Gradient flows for sampling and their deterministic interacting particle approximations

Dejan Slepčev Carnegie Mellon University

Centre International de Rencontres Mathématiques Aggregation-Diffusion Equations & Collective Behavior: Analysis, Numerics and Applications 11.April 2024.

Random vs. deterministic quantization

From Xu, Korba, S. Accurate Quantization of Measures via Interacting Particle-based Optimization, ICML 2022.



Figure: Quantizing a Gaussian using 1024 particles.

- d a metric or general dissimilarity measure on \$\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)\$ or its subset
 [Wasserstein metric, MMD, KSD, *-discrepancy, etc.]
- $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

Random quantization error

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbf{n},\mathbf{d}) = \mathcal{E}[\mathbf{d}(\mu,\mu_{\mathbf{n}})]$$

where $\mu_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \delta_{x_i}$ and $x_i \sim \mu$ are i.i.d samples of μ .

Optimal quantization error

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{O}}(n,\mathbf{d})) = \inf_{\{x_1,\dots,x_n\}} \mathbf{d}(\mu,\mu_n)$$

Quantization error of optimal transport

Given $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_{p}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$, transport plans, π are probability measures on $\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}$ with first marginal μ and second marginal ν :

 $\Pi(\mu,\nu) = \{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) : \pi(A \times \mathbb{R}^d) = \mu(A), \pi(\mathbb{R}^d \times A) = \nu(A)\}.$ **p-OT distance**

$$d_{p}(\mu,\nu) = \left(\inf_{\pi\in\Pi(\mu,\nu)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}\times\mathbb{R}^{d}}|x-y|^{p}\,d\pi(x,y)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

For μ with bounded support on a connected domain, with density bounded from below (Ajtai, Komlos, Tusnady 1984, Talagrand and Yukic 1993)

$$\mathcal{Q}_{R}(n, d_{p}) \lesssim \begin{cases} n^{-1/2} & \text{if } d = 1 \\ n^{-1/2} (\log n)^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } d = 2 \\ n^{-1/d} & \text{if } d \ge 3. \end{cases}$$

and

 $\mathcal{Q}_O(n, d_p) \sim n^{-1/d}$

Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Space (RKHS)

Definition. Hilbert space *H* is an RKHS if pointwise evaluation $f \mapsto f(x)$ is a continuous operator.

Example: Sobolev space H^s for s > d/2 is an RKHS.

- For all *x* there exists $\phi_x \in H$ such that $\langle \phi_x, f \rangle_H = f(x)$.
- The associated kernel is $K(x, y) = \langle \phi_x, \phi_y \rangle_H$.
- For $f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \phi_{x_i}$, $\langle f, f \rangle = \sum_{i,j} a_i a_j K(x_i, x_j) \ge 0$. So *K* is positive definite.
- If the Hilbert space is translation invariant, K(x, y) = K(x y)
- Conversely, any positive definite continuous kernel K(x − y) defines am RKHS, H_K, functions f = K * θ ∈ H_K for θ finite measure and

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{K}}}^{2} = \iint \mathcal{K}(x-y)d\theta(x)d\theta(y) = \int \frac{1}{\widehat{\mathcal{K}}(\xi)} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^{2}d\xi.$$

Examples: $K(x) = \exp(-|x|^2)$ -Gaussian, $K(x) = \exp(-|x|)$ - Laplace.

Maximum Mean Discrepancy (MMD)

Let H_K be RKHS corresponding to a kernel K.

$$\mathsf{MMD}_{H_{K}}(\rho,\pi) = \sup_{\|\phi\|_{H_{K}} \leqslant 1} \int \phi \, d\rho - \int \phi \, d\pi$$

It is known that

$$\mathsf{MMD}^{2}_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{K}}}(\rho,\pi) = \iint \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) \, \boldsymbol{d}(\rho-\pi)(\mathbf{x}) \, \boldsymbol{d}(\rho-\pi)(\mathbf{y})$$

If K(x, y) = K(x - y) then

$$\mathsf{MMD}_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{K}}}^{2}(\rho,\pi) = \int \mathcal{K} * \rho \, d\rho - 2 \int \mathcal{K} * \rho \, d\pi + \int \mathcal{K} * \pi \, d\pi$$

For kernels *K* which decay at infinity and are strictly integrally positive definite, MMD_{H_K} metrizes narrow convergence of measures. (see *Sriperumbudur* 2016)

Quantization in MMD

For a broad set of Kernels and $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (see *Sriperumbudur* 2016) $\mathcal{Q}_R(\mathcal{L}, MMD) \lesssim \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$

Theorem [Xu, Korba, S.]

Assume K(x, y) = K(x - y) and $\hat{K}(\xi) \leq (1 + |\xi|^2)^{-d/2}$, which holds for Gaussian, a range of Matérn kernels and others.

• Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]^d$.

$$\mathcal{Q}_O(\mathcal{L}, MMD) \lesssim rac{(\ln n)^{d-1}}{n}$$

• Light-tailed probability measure on \mathbb{R}^d .

$$\mathcal{Q}_O(\pi, MMD) \lesssim \frac{(\ln n)^{(5d+1)/2}}{n}$$

Open: Optimal rate on *n*. Dependance of constants on *d*.

Arbel, Korba, Salim, Gretton, '19 For fixed μ consider MMD(ρ , π) as a functional of ρ . More precisely let

$$E(\rho) = \frac{1}{2} \int K * \rho \, d\rho - \int K * \pi \, d\rho$$

Note: total energy = interaction energy + potential energy.

Gradient flow in Wasserstein metric

$$\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho \nabla K * (\pi - \rho)) = \mathbf{0}.$$

MMD gradient flows: Discrete measures

For fixed $\rho_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{x_i}$

$$E(\rho_n) = \frac{1}{2n^2} \sum_i \sum_j K(x_i - x_j) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_i K * \pi(x_i)$$

Gradient flow in Wasserstein metric

$$\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho \nabla K * (\pi - \rho)) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Gradient flow for discrete measures: $\rho_n(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{x_i(t)}$

$$\dot{x}_i = \nabla K * \pi(x_i) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla K(x_i - x_j)$$

Note: We need to know π which is not available in sampling problems.

Open Problems

- Does MMD(ρ(t), μ) → 0 as n → ∞ if ρ is absolutely continuous wrt Lebesgue measure? At what rate?
- What is the limit of $MMD(\rho_n(t), \mu)$ as $t \to \infty$?

MMD gradient flows: Discrete measures

$$\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho \nabla \mathbf{K} * (\pi - \rho)) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Gradient flow for discrete measures: $\rho_n(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{\mathbf{x}_i(t)}$

$$\dot{x}_i = \nabla K * \pi(x_i) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla K(x_i - x_j)$$

Open Problems

 Does MMD(ρ(t), μ) → 0 as n → ∞ if ρ is absolutely continuous wrt Lebesgue measure? At what rate?
 Boufadene, Vialard show that for K(x, y) = |x - y|^{-d+2} for d ≥ 3, C¹ positive solutions on compact manifolds satisfy

$$W(\mu_t,\pi) \lesssim e^{-\lambda t}.$$

- What is the limit of $MMD(\rho_n(t), \mu)$ as $t \to \infty$?
- Approaches for $\pi \sim e^{-U}$.

Fokker–Planck equation

Consider Kullback-Leibler divergence, that is the relative entropy

$$\mathsf{KL}(\rho) = \int \mathsf{ln}\left(\frac{\rho}{\pi}\right) \rho \, dx.$$

Wasserstein gradient flow is given by $\partial_t \rho = -\nabla \cdot (\rho v)$, where the vector field *v* minimizes the Rayleigh functional

$$R(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{2}g_{\rho}(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{v}) + \frac{\delta \mathsf{KL}}{\delta \rho}[\mathbf{v}] = \frac{1}{2}\int |\mathbf{v}|^{2}\rho(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x} - \int (\ln\rho + U)\nabla \cdot (\rho\mathbf{v})d\mathbf{x}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}\int |\mathbf{v}|^{2}\rho(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x} + \nabla\rho \cdot \mathbf{v} + \nabla U \cdot \mathbf{v}\rho d\mathbf{x}$$

where $\pi = C \exp(-U)$. Minimizing over *v* gives $v = -\left(\frac{\nabla \rho}{\rho} + \nabla U\right)$. Thus

Wasserstein gradient flow is the Fokker-Planck equation

$$\partial_t \rho = \nabla \cdot (\nabla \rho + \rho \nabla U).$$

Q: Is there a related model where the velocity makes sense for particles?

KL-divergence $\mathsf{KL}(\rho) = \int \ln\left(\frac{\rho}{\pi}\right) \rho \, dx$ Fokker-Planck equation $\partial_t \rho = \nabla \cdot (\rho \nabla (\ln \rho + U))$

Q: Is there a related model where the velocity makes sense for particles? A1: Blob model by *Carrillo, Craig, and Patacchini*, 2019: Regularize ρ in the KL divergence, using a mollifier η_{ε} .

$$\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(
ho) = \int \ln\left(rac{
ho * \eta_{arepsilon}}{\pi}
ight)
ho \, dx.$$

Wasserstein gradient flow

$$\partial_t \rho = \nabla \cdot (\rho \nabla (\ln(\rho * \eta_{\varepsilon}) + U)).$$

• Particle ODE give a true solution of the equation.

Blob model (cont.)

Blob model by Carrillo, Craig, and Patacchini, 2019:

$$\boldsymbol{\mathsf{E}}_{\varepsilon}(\rho_{\varepsilon}) = \int \ln\left(\frac{\rho_{\varepsilon} * \eta_{\varepsilon}}{\pi}\right) \rho_{\varepsilon} \, \boldsymbol{\mathsf{d}} \boldsymbol{\mathsf{x}}.$$

Wasserstein gradient flow

$$\partial_t \rho_{\varepsilon} = \nabla \cdot (\rho_{\varepsilon} \nabla (\ln(\rho_{\varepsilon} * \eta_{\varepsilon}) + U)).$$

- Particle ODE give a true solution of the equation.
- Model introduces a bias. Let π_ε be a minimizer. Lu, S., Wang, 2023 show d₂(π, π_ε) ≤ ε.
- Convergence of ρ_ε(t) → ρ(t) as ε → 0. [Carrillo, Craig, and Patacchini; Craig, Jacobs, Topalova]

Open problems/issues:

- Convergence of $\rho_{\varepsilon}(t)$ as $t \to \infty$.
- Convergence of $\rho_{\varepsilon}(\infty)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$.
- Model is not viable in high dimensions.

Birth-death dynamics

Hellinger distance

$$d_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}(\rho_{0},\rho_{1}) = \inf_{(\rho_{t},u_{t})} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} u_{t}^{2} \,\mathrm{d}\rho_{t} \,\mathrm{d}t,$$

where (ρ_t, u_t) satisfies the equation $\partial_t \rho_t = -\rho_t u_t$. If measures $\rho_0, \rho_1 \ll \lambda$ for some probability measure $d\lambda(x)$, then

$$d_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}(\rho_{0},\rho_{1}) = 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho_{1}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}} - \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho_{0}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}} \right)^{2} \mathrm{d}\lambda.$$

Restricted to probability measures

$$d_{\mathcal{SH}}(
ho_0,
ho_1)=4 \arcsin\Big(rac{d_H(
ho_0,
ho_1)}{4}\Big).$$

Pure birth-death dynamics is the gradient flow of KL divergence wrt d_{SH} .

$$\partial_t \rho_t = -\rho_t \log \frac{\rho_t}{\pi} + \rho_t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_t \log \frac{\rho_t}{\pi} \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

Birth-death dynamics - convergence as $t \to \infty$.

Pure birth-death dynamics is the gradient flow of KL divergence wrt d_{SH} .

$$\partial_t \rho_t = -\rho_t \log \frac{\rho_t}{\pi} + \rho_t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_t \log \frac{\rho_t}{\pi} \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

Lu, Lu, Nolen and *Lu, S., Wang* establish **Theorem.** If $\inf_{x \in \Omega} \frac{\rho_0(x)}{\pi(x)} \ge e^{-M}$ then

$$\mathsf{KL}(\rho_t|\pi) \leqslant e^{-(2-3\delta)(t-t_*)} \,\mathsf{KL}(\rho_0|\pi)$$

for every $\delta \in (0, 1/4)$ and all $t \ge t_* := \log(M/\delta^3)$.

Regularization and particle based approximations:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}(\rho) = \int \rho \log(K_{\varepsilon} * \rho) - \int \rho \log \pi = \int \rho \log(K_{\varepsilon} * \rho) + \int \rho V.$$
$$\partial_{t} \rho^{\varepsilon} = -\rho^{\varepsilon} \left[\log\left(\frac{K_{\varepsilon} * \rho^{\varepsilon}}{\pi}\right) + K_{\varepsilon} * \left(\frac{\rho^{\varepsilon}}{K_{\varepsilon} * \rho^{\varepsilon}}\right) - \int \log\left(\frac{K_{\varepsilon} * \rho^{\varepsilon}}{\pi}\right) \rho^{\varepsilon} - 1 \right].$$

Results for dynamics of positive measures on torus:

- (i) Regularized flow is well posed up to time $T_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \infty$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.
- (ii) Solutions $\rho^{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \rho$ on finite time intervals.

(iii) If
$$\tau_{\varepsilon} < T_{\varepsilon}$$
 and $\tau_{\varepsilon} \to \infty$ then $\rho^{\varepsilon}(\tau_{\varepsilon}) \to \pi$.

Open problems:

- (i) Long time existence of L^1 solutions
- (ii) Well posedness of measure-valued solutions
- (iii) Convergence of particle-based schemes.
- (iv) Adding diffusion

Stein Variational Gradient Descent

Consider Kullback-Leibler divergence, that is the relative entropy

$$\mathsf{KL}(\rho) = \int \ln\left(\frac{\rho}{\mu}\right) \rho \, dx.$$

Wasserstein gradient flow is given by $\partial_t \rho = -\nabla \cdot (\rho v)$, where the vector field *v* minimizes the Rayleigh functional

$$R(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{2}g_{\rho}(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{v}) + \frac{\delta \mathsf{KL}}{\delta \rho}[\mathbf{v}] = \frac{1}{2}\int |\mathbf{v}|^2 \rho(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x} - \int (\ln \rho + U)\nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v})d\mathbf{x}$$

where $\mu = C \exp(-U)$. Minimizing over *v* identifies the Wasserstein gradient flow as the Fokker-Planck equation

$$\partial_t \rho = \nabla \cdot (\nabla \rho + \rho \nabla U).$$

Liu, Wang (2016) introduced Stein Variational Gradient Descent: $g(v, v) = ||v||_{H_k}^2$, that is gradient descent vector minimizes

$$R(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{H_{\mathcal{K}}}^{2} + \frac{\delta \operatorname{KL}}{\delta \rho} [\mathbf{v}].$$

Stein Variational Gradient Descent

Consider Kullback-Leibler divergence, that is the relative entropy

$$\mathsf{KL}(\rho) = \int \ln\left(\frac{\rho}{\mu}\right) \rho dx.$$

For Stein Variational Gradient Descent $g(v, v) = ||v||_{H_K}^2$ that is gradient descent vector minimizes

$$\mathsf{R}(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{K}}}^{2} + \frac{\delta \,\mathsf{KL}}{\delta \rho} [\mathbf{v}]$$

which, for $\mu \sim e^{-U}$ leads to

(SVGD)
$$\partial_t \rho = \nabla \cdot ((\nabla K * \rho + K * (\rho \nabla U))\rho)$$

Note that the equation makes sense for discrete measures $\rho = \mu_n$

(SVGD_n)
$$\dot{x}_i = -\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^n \nabla K(x_i - x_j) - \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^n K(x_i - x_j)\nabla U(x_j).$$

Lu, Lu, and Nolen **Theorem 1.** For *K* smooth and ρ_0 smooth with $KL(\rho_0) < \infty$ the solution of (SVGD) satisfies

$$\rho(t) \rightarrow \pi$$
 weakly as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

There is no rate known. Linearization (*Duncan, Nüsken, Szpruch*) indicates that the convergence is not exponential.

Theorem 2. For *K* smooth if $\rho_n(0) \rightarrow \rho(0)$ in d_2 then for all t > 0

$$\rho_n(t) \to \rho(t) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Numerical Quantization Errors



Figure: Quantization rates of the algorithms at study when $\pi = \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{1}{d}I_d)$. MMD/KSD Descent use bandwidth 1; SVGD use Laplace kernel; NSVGD use Laplace kernel with adaptive choice of bandwidth.

More Numerical quantization Errors

d	Eval.	SVGD	NSVGD	MMD-lbfgs	KSD-lbfgs	KH	SP
2	KSD	-0.98	-0.94	-1.48	-1.46	-0.84	-0.77
	MMD	-1.04	-1.00	-1.60	-1.54	-0.93	-0.77
3	KSD	-0.91	-0.81	-1.38	-1.44	-0.84	-0.78
	MMD	-0.96	-0.91	-1.51	-1.49	-0.92	-0.75
4	KSD	-0.91	-0.81	-1.35	-1.39	-0.89	-
	MMD	-0.94	-0.89	-1.46	-1.40	-0.95	_
8	KSD	-0.84	-0.80	-1.14	-1.16	-	-
	MMD	-0.77	-0.90	-1.25	-1.13	_	_

Table: Slopes for the quantization measured in KSD/MMD, for the different algorithms at study and several dimensions d.

Final States



Testing with different bandwidths



Figure: Changing the bandwidth in MMD evaluation metric when, in 2D. From Left to Right: (evaluation) MMD bandwidth = 1, 0.7, 0.3.

Radon transform

Radon Transform

For $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and $p \in \mathbb{R}$

$${\it Rf}(heta,{\it p})=\widehat{f}(heta,{\it p}):=\int_{ heta^{\perp}}f({\it p} heta+{\it y}^{ heta})\,{\it dy}^{ heta},$$



Sliced Wasserstein distance

Radon Transform

For $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and $p \in \mathbb{R}$

$$Rf(heta, p) = \widehat{f}(heta, p) := \int_{ heta^{\perp}} f(p heta + y^{ heta}) \, dy^{ heta},$$

Sliced Wasserstein distance

For $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$SW^{2}(\mu,\sigma) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} W^{2}(\mathsf{P}^{\theta}_{\#}\,\mu,\mathsf{P}^{\theta}_{\#}\,\sigma)\,d\theta = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} W^{2}(\hat{\mu}^{\theta},\hat{\sigma}^{\theta})\,d\theta,$$

where $\mathsf{P}^{\theta}(x) = (x \cdot \theta)\theta$.

Bonnotte '13 (on bounded domains) and *Bayraktar and Guo '21* on \mathbb{R}^d show that *W* and *SW* induce the same topology on \mathcal{P}_2 .

Particle approximation error of W and SW

- d a metric or general dissimilarity measure on P(R^d) or its subset [Wasserstein metric, Sliced Wasserstein, MMD, etc.]
- $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$

Random quantization error

$$\mathcal{Q}_{R}(n,\mathbf{d}) = E[\mathbf{d}(\mu,\mu_{n})]$$

where $\mu_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \delta_{x_i}$ and $x_i \sim \mu$ are i.i.d samples of μ .

For μ with bounded support, with density bounded from below

$$\mathcal{Q}_R(n, W) \sim \begin{cases} n^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\log n)^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } d = 2\\ n^{-\frac{1}{d}} & \text{if } d \geqslant 3. \end{cases}$$

 $\mathcal{Q}_R(n, SW) \sim n^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \text{for all } d.$

Background of Radon Transform

$$Rf(\theta, p) = \int_{\theta^{\perp}} f(p\theta + y^{\theta}) \, dy^{\theta}, \qquad R^*g(x) = \check{g}(x) := \oint_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} g(\theta, x \cdot \theta) \, d\theta.$$
$$\langle Rf, g \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{P}_d)} = \langle f, R^*g \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

Attenuated Sobolev Spaces

$$\|f\|_{H^s_t(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\xi|^{2t} (1+|\xi|^2)^{s-t} |\mathcal{F}_d f(\xi)|^2 \, dy$$

Theorem (Sharafutdinov)

For $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t > -\frac{d}{2}$ Radon transform is an isometry between $H_t^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $H_{t+(d-1)/2}^{s+(d-1)/2}(\mathbb{P}_d)$:

$$\|f\|_{H^s_t(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \|Rf\|_{H^{s+(d-1)/2}_{t+(d-1)/2}(\mathbb{P}_d)}.$$

Consequently $||f||_{H^{-(d-1)/2}_{-(d-1)/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)} = ||Rf||_{L^2(\mathbb{P}_d)}.$

Let Λ be given by

$$\Lambda = \begin{cases} (-i)^{d-1} \frac{\partial^{d-1}}{\partial p^{d-1}} & \text{when } d \text{ is odd} \\ (-i)^{d-1} \mathcal{H}_p \frac{\partial^{d-1}}{\partial p^{d-1}} & \text{when } d \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

where \mathcal{H}_p is the Hilbert transform in *p* variable. Inversion formula for the Radon transform: on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$f = c_d R^* \Lambda R f.$$

Local geometry of Sliced Wasserstein distance

• Metric derivative: Formally

$$\frac{SW^2(\mu_t,\mu_{t+h})}{h^2} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \frac{W^2(\hat{\mu}^{\theta}_t,\hat{\mu}^{\theta}_{t+h})}{h^2} \, d\theta \xrightarrow{h \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |\hat{\mu}'(\theta,\cdot)|^2_W \, d\theta.$$

• If $\partial \mu + \operatorname{div}(\mu \nu) = 0$ then $\partial_t \hat{\mu}^{\theta} + \operatorname{div}_{\rho}(\hat{\mu}^{\theta} \Pi^{\theta}_{\mu} \nu) = 0$ and

$$|\mu'|_{\mathcal{SW}}^2(t) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |\widehat{\mu}'(\theta, \cdot)|_{\mathcal{W}}^2(t) \, d\theta = \left\| \theta \cdot \frac{d\widehat{v_t\mu_t}}{d\widehat{\mu}_t} \right\|_{L^2(\widehat{\mu}_t)}^2$$

• We define $B_{SW}(\mu, J) = \left\| \theta \cdot \frac{d\hat{J}}{d\hat{\mu}} \right\|_{L^2(\hat{\mu}; \mathbb{P}_d)}^2$.

Local geometry of Sliced Wasserstein distance

- Recall $|\mu'|_{SW}^2(t) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |\hat{\mu}'(\theta, \cdot)|_W^2(t) d\theta$. The geodesic must satisfy $\hat{\mu}_t^{\theta} = [\hat{\mu}_0^{\theta}, \hat{\mu}_1^{\theta}]_t$, where $[\cdot, \cdot]_t$ is displacement interpolation in 1D. However $\mu_t := R^{-1}([\hat{\mu}_0^{\theta}, \hat{\mu}_1^{\theta}]_t)$ may fail to be nonnegative!
- (*P*₂, *SW*) is not a geodesic length space.
 Let *ℓ*_{sw}(μ₀, μ₁) be the minimal length of curves connecting μ₀, μ₁.
- If $\mu_t := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{x_i(t)}$ then

$$|\mu'|_{SW}^2(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \oint_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |\theta \cdot \mathbf{x}'_i(t)|^2 \, d\theta = \frac{1}{d} |\mu'|_W^2(t).$$

So when restricted do discrete measures $\ell_{SW,discrete} = \frac{1}{d}d_W$.

Comparison of *SW* with negative Sobolev Spaces

Theorem

Let $\mu, \nu, \lambda \in \mathcal{P}ac, 2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Assume $0 < a \leq b < \infty$ such that

$$a\widehat{\lambda}^{ heta}\leqslant\widehat{\mu}^{ heta}\leqslant b\widehat{\lambda}^{ heta}$$
 and $\widehat{
u}^{ heta}\leqslant b\widehat{\lambda}^{ heta}$ for a.e. $heta\in\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$

(i) If λ is log-concave then $\ell_{SW}(\mu,\nu) \leq 2\sqrt{\frac{b}{a}SW(\mu,\nu)}$.

(ii) Assume $\|\widehat{\lambda}^{\theta}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{P}_{d})} \leq C_{\lambda}$. Then

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{bC_{\lambda}}}\|\mu-\nu\|_{\dot{H}^{-(d+1)/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq SW(\mu,\nu).$$

If further $\mu = \nu$ on a δ strip of $\partial \Omega$ then

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{bC_{\lambda}}}\|\mu-\nu\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{(d+1)}{2}}} \leq SW(\mu,\nu) \leq \ell_{SW}(\mu,\nu) \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{a}}\|\mu-\nu\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{(d+1)}{2}}}.$$

Let f^{θ} and F^{θ} the density and the CDF of $\hat{\mu}_{\theta}$,

$$SJ_2(\mu) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{F^{\theta}(r)(1-F^{\theta}(r))}{f^{\theta}(r)} \, dr \, d\theta$$

Theorem

Assume $\hat{\mu}_{\theta} \ll \mathcal{L}_1$ and $SJ_2(\mu) < \infty$. Let $\mu^n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{X_i}$ where X_i are i.i.d samples of μ . Then

$$\ell_{sw}(\mu^n,\mu)\leqslant c\sqrt{\mathcal{SJ}_2(\mu)}\,rac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}\quad$$
 with high probability.

SW near particle measures; SW gradient flows

Lemma

Assume $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i \delta_{y_i}$. Let $L = \min_{i \neq j} |y_i - y_j|$, Then there exists C > 0 only dependent on d such that if $W_{\infty}(\mu, \nu) < \frac{L}{2}$ then

$$0 \leqslant \frac{1}{d} W_2^2(\mu,\nu) - SW_2^2(\mu,\nu) \leqslant CnW_{\infty}(\mu,\nu)SW_2^2(\mu,\nu).$$

- "Gradient flows" in Sliced Wasserstein metric are high order integro-differential equations
- Gradient flows of Sliced Wasserstein metric with respect to Wasserstein metric are of interest in generative sampling (Bonnotte '13, Li, Moosmüller '23, Tanguy, Flamary, Delon, '23)

Sliced Wasserstein quantization



Figure: Quantization measured in Sliced Wasserstein distance for $\mu = \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{1}{d}I_d)$. States are same as before. In practice, we use 50 random directions drawn uniformly on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} . Slopes of red lines are -0.71, -0.64, and -0.61 in 2,3, and 4D, respectively.

Open Problem: Establish the optimal quantization rate in Sliced Wasserstein metric. Theoretical prediction for grids $n^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2d}}$.

Radon-Wasserstain metric

Given unit vector θ let

$$g_{\theta}(w,w) = \begin{cases} \int u(x \cdot \theta)^2 d\rho(x) & \text{if } w_{\theta}(x) = \theta u(\theta \cdot x) \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Consider the Radon-Wasserstein metric \overline{g} given by

$$\overline{g}(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{v}) = \inf\left\{\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} g_{\theta}(\mathbf{w}_{\theta},\mathbf{w}_{\theta})d\theta : \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \mathbf{w}_{\theta}d\mathbf{S}(\theta)\right\}$$
$$= \inf\left\{\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} g_{\theta}(\mathbf{w}_{\theta},\mathbf{w}_{\theta})d\theta : \mathbf{v} = \vec{R}^{*}\mathbf{w}\right\}$$

The resulting distance \overline{d} satisfies $d_W \leq \overline{d} \leq d_W$. However the geodesics often do not exist.

Projected KL gradient flow

Consider $\pi \sim e^{-U}$. We want to determine the gradient flow of

$$E(
ho) = \int \log rac{
ho}{\pi} d
ho$$

with respect to \overline{g} . Given unit vector θ , for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ Radon transform

$${\it R}_{ heta}({\it s}) = \int_{ heta^{\perp}} f({\it s} heta + {\it y}) d{\it y}$$

Gradient flow is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}) &= \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{v} &= -\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \theta \left(\partial_s \ln(\mathbf{R}_{\theta} \rho) + \frac{\mathbf{R}_{\theta}(\rho \nabla \mathbf{U} \cdot \theta)}{\mathbf{R}_{\theta} \rho} \right) (\mathbf{x} \cdot \theta) d\theta \\ &= -\mathbf{R}^* \nabla \ln(\mathbf{R} \rho) + \mathbf{R}^* \frac{\mathbf{R}_{\theta}(\rho \nabla \mathbf{U} \cdot \theta)}{\mathbf{R}_{\theta} \rho} \end{aligned}$$

Particle approximation of projected KL gradient flow

Gradient flow

$$\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}$$
$$\mathbf{v} = -\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \theta \left(\partial_s \ln(\mathbf{R}_{\theta} \rho) + \frac{\mathbf{R}_{\theta}(\rho \nabla \mathbf{U} \cdot \theta)}{\mathbf{R}_{\theta} \rho} \right) (\mathbf{x} \cdot \theta) d\theta$$

Consider $\rho_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{x_i}$. From $R_{\theta}\rho_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \delta_{x_i \cdot \theta}$ we approximate projected density $R_{\theta}\rho$ using a 1D KDE. Accuracy does not decay with *d*!

$$\dot{x}_{i} = -\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \theta \left(\partial_{s} \ln(K * (R_{\theta} \rho_{n})) + \frac{K * R_{\theta}(\rho_{n} \nabla U \cdot \theta)}{K * R_{\theta} \rho_{n}} \right) (x_{i} \cdot \theta) d\theta$$

We approximate the above by taking a random angle θ at each step

$$x_i(\Delta t) = x_i(0) + \Delta t \,\theta \, \frac{\sum_j \mathcal{K}'((x_j - x_i) \cdot \theta) + \mathcal{K}((x_j - x_i) \cdot \theta) \mathcal{D}_{\theta} \mathcal{U}(x_j)}{\sum_j \mathcal{K}((x_j - x_i) \cdot \theta)}$$

For convergence, complexity of each step is O(n), up to logarithms!

Observed speed of convergence



Figure: MMD and KSD convergence rates in 2D, using processor time. We run SVGD with bandwidth 0.7 and sliced flow with bandwidth 0.3. There are 1024 particles. Above: Initial distribution is the uniform distribution in a ball, target is Gaussian. Below: Initial distribution is a Gaussian centered at (0, 2) while target distribution is a Gaussian mixture, centered at (1, 0) and (-1, 0).



Figure: Sampling 2-dimension normal distribution, final states. From column 1 to 3: kernel bandwidth 0.1, 0.3 and 1. We ran algorithms for 50,000 steps, take timestep 0.03. The number of particles is 1024.

Approximation Error



Figure: The target distribution is Gaussian. We note that sliced-KL flow does not result in variance collapse. [Variance is approximated very well.]

Projection based metric 2

Let $H_{\mathcal{K}}$ be an RKHS on \mathbb{R} . Given unit vector θ instead of

$$g_{\theta}(w,w) = \begin{cases} \int u(x \cdot \theta))^2 d\rho(x) & \text{if } w(x) = \theta u(\theta \cdot x) \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

consider

$$g_{\theta}(w,w) = egin{cases} \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{K}}}^2 & ext{if } w(x) = heta u(heta \cdot x) \ \infty & ext{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Consider the *sliced* metric \overline{g} given as

$$\overline{g}(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{v}) = \inf\left\{\int_{\mathcal{S}^{d-1}} g_{\theta}(\mathbf{w}_{\theta},\mathbf{w}_{\theta}) d\theta : \mathbf{v} = \int_{\mathcal{S}^{d-1}} \mathbf{w}_{\theta} d\mathcal{S}(\theta)\right\}$$

Radon-Stein gradient flow (~SVGD)

Full gradient flow is given by

(RSVGD)
$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}) &= \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{v} &= -\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \theta \left(K' * (\mathbf{R}_{\theta} \rho) + K * (\mathbf{R}_{\theta} (\rho \nabla \mathbf{U} \cdot \theta)) \right) d\theta \end{aligned}$$

Consider $\rho_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{x_i}$.

$$\dot{x}_{i} = -\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \theta \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j} \left(\mathcal{K}'((x_{j} - x_{i}) \cdot \theta) + \mathcal{D}_{\theta} \mathcal{U}(x_{j}) \mathcal{K}((x_{j} - x_{i}) \cdot \theta) \right) d\theta$$

We approximate the above by taking a random angle θ at each step

$$x_i(\Delta t) = x_i(0) + \Delta t \,\theta \,\frac{1}{n} \sum_j \left(\mathcal{K}'((x_j - x_i) \cdot \theta) + \mathcal{D}_{\theta} \mathcal{U}(x_j) \mathcal{K}((x_j - x_i) \cdot \theta) \right)$$

Existence and convergence of Projected SVGD gradient flow

 \sim Lu, Lu, Nolen

Assumption 1. $K \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ is positive definite, integrable, even, and K, K', K'' are bounded.

Assumption 2. $U \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is nonnegative, coercive, and satisfies $|\nabla U| \leq C(1 + U)$ and $|D^2 U| \leq C(1 + U)$. Assumption 3. $\int (1 + U)\rho_0 dx < \infty$.

Theorem [S. and Xu]

Under assumptions above (RSVGD) has a unique solution $\rho \in C([0,\infty), \mathcal{P}).$

Theorem [S. and Xu]

Under some mild further assumptions $\rho(t) \rightarrow \pi$ weakly as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

- What is the optimal quantization error for MMD (for various kernels)?
- What is a robust way to measure quantization error? [Remove sensitivity to kernel width.]
- Convergence properties of SVGD, especially for nonsmooth kernels
- Well-posedness and convergence for Radon Wasserstein KL gradient flow
- Birth-death dynamics in high dimension
- Quantitative information on convergence.