## **FUNCTIONAL EQUATIONS AND COMBINATORICS II**

Lucia Di Vizio (Laboratoire de Mathématiques de Versailles, CNRS-UVSQ)

JNCF 2024

## BACKGROUND

## KLAZAR'S THEOREM

Bell numbers,  $B_n :=$  number of partitions of a set of cardinality  $n \ge 1$ defined by  $\sum_{n \ge 0} \frac{B_n}{n!} t^n := \exp(e^t - 1)$  $B(t) := 1 + \sum_{n \ge 1} B_n t^n = 1 + t + 2t + 5t^3 + 15t^4 + 52t^5 + 203t^6 + 877t^6 + 4140t^7 + 21147t^8 + 115975t^9 + \dots \in \mathbb{Z}[[t]]$  $B\left(\frac{t}{1+t}\right) = tB(t) + 1$ 

### Theorem (Klazar 2003)

B(t) is differentially transcendental over the field of meromorphic functions at 0, i.e. is not solution of an algebraic differential equation with coefficients meromophic at 0.

**RMK.** 
$$z(t) := \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)^{-1}$$
 solution of  $z\left(\frac{t}{t+1}\right) = tz(t)$   
homogeneous eq. associated to  $B\left(\frac{t}{t+1}\right) = tB(t) + 1$ 

## GENERAL RESULT ON *D*-TRANSCENDENCE (ADAMCZEWSKI-DREYFUS-HARDOUIN, 2019)

## Theorem (Adamczewski-Dreyfus-Hardouin, 2019)

Let  $f \in \mathbb{C}((t))$  satisfy

$$\alpha_{o}\mathbf{y} + \alpha_{1}\tau(\mathbf{y}) + \cdots + \alpha_{n}\tau^{n}(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{0},$$

where  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}(t)$  and  $\tau$  is one of the following operators:

- $= \tau(f(t)) = f\left(\frac{t}{t+1}\right);$
- au(f(t)) = f(qt) for some  $q \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , not a root of unity;
- $\tau(f(t)) = f(t^m)$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$ .

Then either  $f \in \mathbb{C}(t)$  or it is *D*-transcendental over  $\mathbb{C}(t)$ .

## Conjecture (Pak-Yeliussizov, 2018)

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} a_n t^n, \sum_{n\geq 0} a_n rac{t^n}{n!} \in \mathbb{C}[[t]]$$
 are *D*-algebraic over  $\mathbb{C}(t)$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  both are D-finite

 $[\Leftrightarrow both (a_n)_{n\geq 0} and (\frac{a_n}{n!})_{n\geq 0}$  satisfy a lin. recurrence with polynomial coeff. in n]

# GENERATING SERIES COMING FROM COMBINATORICS AND FUNCTIONAL EQUATIONS À LA KLAZAR

## BOREL TRANSFORM AND EGF

$$\hat{\varphi}_{\tau}: \mathbb{C}[[t]] \to \mathbb{C}[[t]]:$$

$$(\sum_{n \ge 0} g_n t^n) := \sum_{n \ge 0} g_n \frac{t^n}{n!} \qquad \Phi_{\tau}(f) := \frac{1}{1-t} \cdot f$$

$$orall f, oldsymbol{g} \in \mathsf{C}[[t]] : \quad \Phi_{ au}(f) = oldsymbol{g} \Leftrightarrow \hat{oldsymbol{g}} = \hat{oldsymbol{f}} \cdot oldsymbol{e}^t; \quad rac{d}{dt}(\hat{oldsymbol{f}}) = \Big(rac{f(t) - f(\mathsf{o})}{t}\Big)^{\hat{}}.$$

## Proposition (Bostan, D.V., Raschel)

Let 
$$f \in \mathbb{C}[[t]]$$
. If  $\exists a_{\mathsf{o}}(t), \dots, a_{\mathsf{r}}(t), \mathsf{P}(t) \in \mathsf{C}[t]$ , s.t.:

$$a_{0}(e^{t})\hat{f} + a_{1}(e^{t})(\hat{f})' + \cdots + a_{r}(e^{t})(\hat{f})^{(r)} = P(t),$$

then f satisfies a linear inhomog.  $\tau$ -eq., with  $\tau(t) := \frac{t}{t+1}$  (of order at most max<sub>i</sub>(deg  $a_i$ ), with coefficients in C[t] of degree at most max<sub>i</sub>(deg  $a_i$ , deg P)).

#### Example

 $\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)$ 

B(t) OGF of Bell numbers

$$\hat{B}(t) := \exp(\mathrm{e}^t - 1)$$
 EGF

$$\Rightarrow rac{d}{dt}(\hat{B}) - e^t \cdot \hat{B} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{B-1}{t} = \Phi_{\tau}(B)$$

$$\Rightarrow \tau(B) = tB + 1$$

polynomial $P_n(x)$	EGF $\sum_{n\geq 0} P_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}$	а	b
Bernoulli $B_n(x)$	$\frac{t}{e^t-1} \cdot \exp(xt)$	1 + t	$-\frac{(1+t)t}{(xt-t-1)^2}$
Glaisher $U_n(x)$	$rac{t}{\mathrm{e}^{t}+1}\cdot\exp\left( xt ight)$	1 + <i>t</i>	$\frac{(1+t)t}{(xt-t-1)^2}$
Apostol-Bernoulli $A_n^{(\gamma)}(x)$	$rac{t}{\gamma  \mathrm{e}^t - 1} \cdot \exp\left( xt  ight)$	$\gamma$ (1 + $t$ )	$-\frac{(1+t)t}{(xt-t-1)^2}$
Imschenetsky $S_n(x)$	$rac{ ext{t}}{ ext{e}^t- ext{l}} \cdot ( ext{exp}( ext{x}t) -  ext{l})$	1 + <i>t</i>	$\frac{t^2 x(xt-2t-2)}{(1+t)(xt-t-1)^2}$
Euler $E_n(x)$	$\frac{2}{e^t+1} \cdot \exp(xt)$	-(1 + t)	$\frac{2(1+t)}{1+t-xt}$
Genocchi $G_n(x)$	$\frac{2t}{e^t+1} \cdot \exp(xt)$	-(1+t)	$\frac{2(1+t)t}{(1+t-xt)^2}$
Carlitz $C_n^{(\gamma)}(x)$	$rac{1-\gamma}{1-\gamma \ \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{f}}} \cdot \exp\left( xt  ight)$	$\gamma$ (1 $+$ $t$ )	$\frac{(1-\gamma)(1+t)}{1+t-xt}$
Fubini $F_n(x)$	$1/(1-X(\mathrm{e}^t-1))$	$rac{x}{x+1} \cdot (1+t)$	$\frac{1}{x+1}$
Bell-Touchard $\phi_n(x)$	$\exp\left(x(\mathrm{e}^t-1) ight)$	xt	1
Mahler $s_n(x)$	$\exp\left(x(1+t-\mathrm{e}^t) ight)$	$\frac{x(1+t)t}{xt-t-1}$	$\frac{1+t}{1+t-xt}$
Toscano's actuarial $a_n^{(\gamma)}(x)$	$\exp\left(-x\mathrm{e}^t+\gamma t+x ight)$	$\frac{x(1+t)t}{\gamma t-t-1}$	$\frac{1+t}{1+t-\gamma t}$

 $\rightsquigarrow \tau(y) = ay + b$ 

#### Theorem (Bostan, D.V., Raschel)

Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}(t)$ , with  $a \neq 0$ , and let  $w \in \mathbb{C}((t)) \setminus \mathbb{C}(t)$  verify the difference equation  $w\left(\frac{t}{1+t}\right) = aw + b$ . Then w is differentially transcendental over  $\mathbb{C}(\{t\})$ .

The proof relies on difference Galois theory....

Franke (1963), van der Put-Singer (1997)

## AN EXAMPLE OF WALKS IN THE QUARTER PLANE

Walks in  $\mathbb{N}^2$  starting at (0, 0), with steps  $S = \{ \searrow, \swarrow, \nwarrow, \checkmark \}$  $q_S(i,j;n) = \#$  walks of length n ending at  $(i,j); \quad Q_S(x,y;t) = \sum_{i,j,n=0}^{\infty} q_S(i,j;n) x^i y^j t^n \in \mathbb{Z}[[x,y,t]]$ 

 $K(x,y,t)Q(x,y;t) = xy - tx^2Q(x,o;t) - ty^2Q(o,y;t)$ 

$$K(x,y) = xy - t(y^2 + x^2y^2 + x^2), \text{ with } t = \frac{v}{1+v^2} \quad t \in (0,1/2) \Leftrightarrow v \in (0,1)$$

Parametrization of K:  $\left(x_{0}(s) = \frac{(1-v^{2})s}{v(s^{2}+1)}, y_{0}(s) = \frac{(1-v^{2})s}{v^{2}s^{2}+1}\right) \left(\widetilde{X_{0}}(s) = \frac{(1-v^{2})vs}{v^{4}s^{2}+1}, y_{0}(s)\right)$ , with  $\widetilde{X}_{0}(s) = x_{0}(v^{2}s)$ 

For 
$$v \in (0, 1)$$
:  $G_o(v^2s) - G_o(s) = \frac{(v^2 - 1)}{v} \left( \frac{1}{s^2 + 1} - \frac{2}{v^2s^2 + 1} + \frac{1}{v^4s^2 + 1} \right)$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  Q(x, o, t) is D-transc (and also Q(o, y, t), Q(x, y, t)) for any t  $\in$  (0, 1/2).

[Dreyfus-Hardouin-Raschel-Singer 2020, Bostan-DV-Raschel 2021]

$$K(x,y) = xy - \frac{1}{2}(y^2 + x^2y^2 + x^2) \qquad \boxed{t = 1/2 \Leftrightarrow v = 1}$$
$$K(x,y) = \frac{1}{2}(ix - iy + xy)(ix - iy - xy) \Rightarrow \left(x, y(x) := \frac{ix}{1 + ix}\right) \in \mathbb{C}(x)^2$$

 $G_1(x) := rac{x^2}{2}Q\left(x, 0, rac{1}{2}
ight)$  verifies the functional equation

$$\mathsf{G}_1(\tau(\mathbf{x})) = \mathsf{G}_1(\mathbf{x}) + rac{i\mathbf{x}^2}{1+i\mathbf{x}}$$
, with  $\tau(\mathbf{x}) = rac{i\mathbf{x}}{1+i\mathbf{x}}$ .

 $\rightarrow$  Q(x, 0, 1/2) is D-transc (and also Q(0, y, 1/2), Q(x, y, 1/2)) is D-transc. over the meromorphic functions at the origin.

### Theorem (Bostan-DV-Raschel)

$$Q(x, 0; \pm 1/2) = 2 \sum_{n \ge 0} (2^{2n+2} - 1) \frac{(-1)^n}{n+1} B_{2n+2} x^{2n},$$

where  $(B_n) = \left(1, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{6}, 0, -\frac{1}{30}, 0, \frac{1}{42}, \ldots\right)$  is the sequence of Bernoulli numbers.

8

## **ITERATIVE FUNCTIONAL EQUATIONS**

 $(\mathcal{R})$ 

 $R(t) \in \mathbb{C}(t), R(0) = 0, R'(0) \in \{0, 1, \text{roots of unity}\},\$ but no iteration of R(t) is equal to the identity.

### Theorem (DV-Fernandes-Mishna)

Let R(t) satisfy assumption  $(\mathcal{R})$ . We suppose that there exist  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}(t)$ , and  $f \in \mathbb{C}((t))$  such that f(R(t)) = a(t)f(t) + b(t). Then either f is f is D-transc. over  $\mathbb{C}(t)$  or:

- 1. if b = 0,  $\exists N \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $N \ge 1$ , such that  $f^N \in \mathbb{C}(t)$ ;
- 2. if  $a = 1, f \in \mathbb{C}(t)$ ;
- 3. in all the cases there exists  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}(t)$  such that  $f' = \alpha f + \beta$ .

A permutation  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  is said to avoid the consecutive pattern 1423 if there is no  $1 \le i \le n-4$  such that  $\sigma(i) < \sigma(i+4) < \sigma(i+2) < \sigma(i+3)$ .

 $\widehat{P}(t) = \text{EGF for permutations that avoid the consecutive pattern 1423} \qquad [OEISA201692]$   $\widehat{P}(t) = \frac{1}{2 - \widehat{S}(t)} \quad \text{such that} \quad S(t) = S\left(\frac{t}{1+t^2}\right)\frac{t}{1+t} + 1 \qquad [Elizalde and Noy, 2012]$   $S(t) \text{ has infinite number of singularities} \Rightarrow \text{not } D\text{-finite [Beaton, Conway and Guttmann, 2017]}$ 

### Corollary

S(t) is *D*-transc. over  $\mathbb{C}(t)$ 

### Question

The theorem above gives a potentially simpler path to establish that S(t) is not D-finite (and indeed the even stronger conclusion that it is differentially transcendental) since you would just need to show that S(t) is not solution of an inhomogeneous linear differential equation of order 1.

## Complete $\{2,3\}$ -trees





Figure: All complete {2,3}-trees up to size 6

 $t_n=\#$  trees with n leaves  $\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{T}(t)=\sum_{n\geq 1}t_nt^n$  OGS

 $\rightarrow$   $T(t) = t + T(t^2 + t^3)$   $T(t) = t + t^2 + t^3 + t^4 + 2t^5 + 2t^6 + O(t^7)$  (OEISA014535)

Corollary. T(t) is *D*-trasc. over  $\mathbb{C}(t)$ 

On easily proves that it cannot be rational!

$$S_0 =$$
\_\_\_, Iteration: \_\_\_\_  $\mapsto$ \_\_\_\_  
 $S_0 = _$ \_\_  $S_1 =$ \_\_\_  $S_2 =$ \_\_\_\_  $S_3 =$ \_\_\_\_  $S_4 =$ \_\_\_  $S_4 =$ \_\_\_\_  $S_4 =$ \_\_\_  $S_4 =$ \_\_\_\_  $S_4 =$ \_\_\_  $S_4 =$ \_\_\_\_  $S_4 =$ \_\_\_  $S_4 =$ \_\_\_  $S_4 =$ \_\_\_

Figure: Initial iterates defining the Sierpiński graph.

*Green function* = probability generating function which describes the *n*-step displacement starting and returning to a certain origin vertex

Green function *G*(*t*) for walks that return to their origin on the Sierpiński graph satisfies the functional equation: [Grabner and Woess 1997]

$$G\left(\frac{t^2}{4-3t}\right) = \frac{(2+t)(4-3t)}{(4+t)(2-t)}G(t).$$
 (1)

 $\Rightarrow$  *D*-transc. over  $\mathbb{C}(t)$ .

## GALOIS THEORY OF FUNCTIONAL EQUATIONS

*K* of characteristic zero field + an automorphism  $\tau : K \to K$  $C := K^{\tau} := \{f \in K : \tau(f) = f\}$  = algebraically closed field (=the "constants of the theory")

#### EXAMPLE.

$$K = \mathbb{C}((t)) \text{ and } \tau(f(t)) := f\left(rac{t}{t+1}
ight), \forall f \in \mathbb{C}((t)) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}((t))^{\tau} = \mathbb{C}$$

 $au(ec{y}) = Aec{y}$ , where  $A \in GL_
u(K)$ 

**RMK.**  $\vec{y}$  is a vector of unknowns and  $\tau$  acts on vectors (and later also on matrices) componentwisely.

A Picard-Vessiot ring for  $\tau(\vec{y}) = A\vec{y}$  over K is a K-algebra R plus an automorphism extending the action of  $\tau$ :

1. *R* is  $\tau$ -simple;

2. 
$$\exists Y \in GL_{\nu}(R)$$
 s.t.  $\tau(Y) = AY$  and  $R = K[Y, \det Y^{-1}]$ .

RMK. A Picard-Vessiot ring always exists.

#### EXAMPLE

Let  $a \in K$ ,  $a \neq 0$  and R be the Picard-Vessiot ring of  $\tau(y) = ay$ . Then there exists  $z \in R$  such that  $\tau(z) = az$  and  $R = K[z, z^{-1}]$ .

## The Galois group G of $\tau(\vec{y}) = A\vec{y}$ over K:

Aut<sup> $\tau$ </sup>(R/K) = automorphisms of rings  $\varphi : R \to R$  that commute with  $\tau$  and s.t.  $\varphi_{|K} = id$ 

 $\varphi(\mathbf{Y}) \in \operatorname{GL}_{\nu}(R)$  solution of  $\tau(\vec{\mathbf{y}}) = A\vec{\mathbf{y}}$ .  $\tau(\mathbf{Y}^{-1}\varphi(\mathbf{Y})) = \mathbf{Y}^{-1}\varphi(\mathbf{Y})$  and hence that  $\mathbf{Y}^{-1}\varphi(\mathbf{Y}) \in \operatorname{GL}_{\nu}(C)$ .  $\sim$  a natural group morphism  $G \to \operatorname{GL}_{\nu}(C)$ .

### THEOREM

1.  $G \to GL_{\nu}(C)$ ,  $\varphi \mapsto Y^{-1}\varphi(Y)$  is an injective group morphism. 2. tr.  $\deg_{K} R = \dim_{C} G$ .

### EXAMPLE. $au(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{a}\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{K}$

 $\forall \varphi \text{ of } G \varphi(z) \text{ is another solution of } \tau(y) = ay: \varphi(z) = c_{\varphi}z$ , for some  $c_{\varphi} \in C \Rightarrow G \subset C^*$ 

*z* is transcendental /*K* if and only if  $G = C^*$ . Since the only algebraic subgroups of  $C^*$  are the groups of roots of unity, *z* is algebraic over *K* if and only if *G* is a group of roots of unity, i.e., if and only if there exists a positive integer *N* s.t.  $z^N \in K$ .

$$\tau(\mathbf{y}) = a\mathbf{y} + f, \, a, b \in K \rightsquigarrow \tau(\vec{\mathbf{y}}) = \begin{pmatrix} a & f \\ \mathbf{0} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \vec{\mathbf{y}}$$

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} z & w \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
, with  $\tau(z) = az$  and  $\tau(w) = aw + f$ 

 $\Rightarrow R = K[z, z^{-1}, w]$  (Picard-Vessiot ring)

 $orall arphi \in G$  , therefore there exist  $c_arphi, d_arphi \in C$  such that  $arphi(z) = c_arphi z$  and  $arphi(w) = w + d_arphi z$ 

$$\varphi \begin{pmatrix} z & w \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} z & w \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{\varphi} & d_{\varphi} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\widetilde{G} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : c, d \in C, c \neq 0 \right\} \subset \mathsf{GL}_2(C)$$

According to whether z and w are algebraically dependent or not, either G will be a proper linear algebraic subgroup of  $\tilde{G}$ , or  $G = \tilde{G}$ .

 $R = \mathbb{R}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{R}_r$  is a direct sum of domains

 $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{L}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{L}_r$ , where  $\mathbb{L}_i = Frac(R_i)$  and  $\tau(\mathbb{L}_i) = \mathbb{L}_{i+1}$ 

### $\mathbb{L}$ = the total Picard-Vessiot ring of $\tau(\vec{y}) = A\vec{y}$

The action of the Galois group  $\operatorname{Aut}^{\tau}(R/K)$  naturally extends from R to  $\mathbb{L}$ .

## Porposition

 ${\mathbb L}$  is uniquely determined (up to an isomorphism) by the following properties:

- 1.  $\mathbb L$  has no nilpotent elements and any non-zero divisor of  $\mathbb L$  is invertible.
- 2.  $\mathbb{L}^{\tau} = C$ .
- 3.  $\exists Y \in GL_{\nu}(\mathbb{L})$  solution of  $\tau(\vec{y}) = A\vec{y}$ .

4.  $\mathbbm{L}$  is minimal with respect to the inclusion and the three previous properties.

Any  $\tau$ -ring satisfying the 1,2,3 above contains a copy of *R*.

 $\mathcal{F} = \{\tau \text{-stable rings } K \subset F \subset \mathbb{L}, \text{ s.t. } \forall f \in F \text{ is either a zero divisor or a unit in } F\}$  $\forall F \in \mathcal{F}, H_F := \{\varphi \in \mathcal{G} : \varphi(f) = f \text{ for all } f \in F\}.$ 

$$\mathcal{G} = \{ \text{linear algebraic subgroups of } G \}$$
  
 $\forall H \in \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{L}^H = \{ f \in \mathbb{L} : \varphi(f) = f \text{ for all } \varphi \in H \}$ 

#### Theorem. The following two maps are each other's inverses:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \mathcal{G} & \to & \mathcal{F} \\ \mathcal{H} & \mapsto & \mathbb{L}^{\mathcal{H}} \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{cccc} \mathcal{F} & \to & \mathcal{G} \\ \mathcal{F} & \mapsto & \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}} \end{array}$$

In particular,  $\mathbb{L}^H = K$  if and only if H = G.

## **APPLICATION TO** *D***-TRANSCENDENCE**

There exists a derivation  $\partial$  on K commuting with  $\tau$ .

EXAMPLE: for 
$$au(f(t))=f\left(rac{t}{ au+t}
ight)$$
, we can take  $\partial:=t^2rac{d}{dt}$ 

## Existence-definition of $\partial$ -Picard-Vessiot ring (Wibmer)

There exists a K-algebra  $\mathcal{R}$ , equipped with an extension of  $\tau$  and of  $\partial$ , preserving the commutation, such that:

- 1. there exists  $Y \in GL_{\nu}(\mathcal{R})$  such that  $\tau(Y) = AY$ ;
- 2.  $\mathcal{R}$  is generated over K by the entries of Y,  $\frac{1}{\det(Y)}$  and all their derivatives;
- 3.  $\mathcal{R}$  is  $\tau$ -simple.

Moreover, the total ring of fractions of  $\mathcal R$  contains the total Picard-Vessiot ring L.

Applying  $\partial^n$  to the system  $\tau(\vec{y}) = A\vec{y}$  for any positive integer *n*, we can consider the difference system:

$$\tau(\vec{y}) = \begin{pmatrix} A & \partial(A) & \cdots & \frac{\partial^{n}}{n}(A) \\ 0 & A & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \partial(A) \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & A \end{pmatrix} \vec{y}, \text{ with solution} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^{n}}{n!}(Y) & \frac{\partial^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}(Y) & \cdots & Y \\ 0 & \frac{\partial^{n}}{n!}(Y) & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \frac{\partial^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}(Y) \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \frac{\partial^{n}}{n!}(Y) \end{pmatrix}$$

## F will be a K-algebra s.t.:

- 1. with no nilpotent elements;
- 2. any element is either a zero divisor, or invertible;
- 3.  $\exists \tau$  and of  $\partial$  on *F*, preserving the commutation;

4.  $F^{\tau} = C$ 

## Proposition

Let  $f \in K$ , and let  $w \in F$  be such that  $\tau(w) = w + f$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- 1. w is differentially algebraic over K.
- 2.  $\exists$  integer  $n \ge 0, \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n \in C$  (not all zero) and  $g \in K$  s.t.  $\alpha_0 f + \alpha_1 \partial(f) + \dots + \alpha_n \partial^n(f) = \tau(g) g$ .
- 3.  $\exists$  integer  $n \ge 0$ ,  $\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_n \in C$  (not all zero) s.t.  $g := \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \partial^i(w) \in K$ .

We consider the OGF of the family of Bernoulli polynomials,

$$au(B) = (1+t) \cdot B - \frac{t(1+t)}{(1+t-tx)^2},$$

$$\widetilde{B}(x,t) := tB(x,t) \rightsquigarrow au(\widetilde{B}) = \widetilde{B} - \left(rac{t}{1+t-tx}
ight)^2$$

## $\widetilde{B}$ is differentially transcendental over $\mathbb{C}(t)$ , $orall \, x \in \mathbb{C}$

By contradiction, 
$$\exists n \geq \alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_n$$
 (not all zero) and  $g \in \mathbb{C}(t)$  such that  
 $\alpha_0 b + \alpha_1 \partial(b) + \cdots + \alpha_n \partial^n(b) = \tau(g) - g$ , with  $\partial := t^2 \frac{d}{dt}$  and  $b = \left(\frac{t}{1+t-tx}\right)^2$ .

$$\partial^k(b) = (k+1)! \left(\frac{t}{1+t-tx}\right)^{k+2} \quad \forall k \ge 1$$

 $x \neq 1 \Rightarrow$  the left-hand side of has a unique pole at  $t_0 = \frac{1}{x-1}$ ...

 $x = 1 \Rightarrow$ , the left-hand side is a non-zero polynomial with no constant term... one shows that g can only be a constant...

Let *b* and *a* be non-zero elements of *K*, and let us consider the difference equation  $\tau(y) = ay + b$ .

#### Theorem

 $\tau(y) = ay + b$ , with  $a, b \in K$ , such that  $a \neq 0, 1$  and  $b \neq 0$ . Let F/K be a field extension such that there exists  $w \in F \setminus K$  satisfying the equation  $\tau(w) = aw + b$ . Moreover, let  $F_a$  be a K-algebra as above, such that there exists  $z \in F_a$  satisfying the equation  $\tau(z) = az$ . If z is differentially transcendental over K, then w is differentially transcendental over K.

23

## THANKS!

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

#### Background

Klazar's theorem General result on D-transcendence (Adamczewski-Dreyfus-Hardouin, 2019) Conjecture of Pak-Yeliussizov, 2018 🖛 Generating series coming from combinatorics and functional equations à la Klazor Borel transform and EGE Other examples 🖛 Main result of transcendence over  $\mathbb{C}(\{t\}) \Rightarrow$ An example of walks in the guarter plane -Iterative functional equations Iterative functional equations 🖛 Application 🖛 Complete {2, 3}-trees Galois theory of functional equations Setting 🛏 The Picard-Vessiot ring 🖛 The Galois group 🖛 An example:  $Phi(v) = av + f \Rightarrow$ Total Picard-Vessiot ring 🖛 Galois correspondence 🖛 Application to D-transcendence 🖛 Differential prolongations Algebra where do to what we want 🖛 Example 🖛  $\tau(f) = af + b \Longrightarrow$ Thanks