

Some simple conditions for entire functions to have only real zeros

joint work with Anna Vishnyakova

Thu Hien Nguyen

Julius-Maximilians-Universität Würzburg

CIRM Workshop “Symmetry, Stability, and Interactions with
Computation”
Luminy, Marseille, 15 November 2023



Mathematisches
Forschungsinstitut
Oberwolfach



The \mathcal{LP} class: a natural extension of univariate hyperbolic polynomials

The Laguerre–Pólya class

- A univariate real polynomial $P \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ is **hyperbolic** ($P \in \mathcal{HP}$) if all its zeros are real.

The Laguerre–Pólya class

- A univariate real polynomial $P \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ is **hyperbolic** ($P \in \mathcal{HP}$) if all its zeros are real.



Edmond Laguerre (1834 – 1886)



George Pólya (1887 – 1985)

The Laguerre–Pólya class

- A univariate real polynomial $P \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ is **hyperbolic** ($P \in \mathcal{HP}$) if all its zeros are real.



Edmond Laguerre (1834 – 1886)



George Pólya (1887 – 1985)

- **The Laguerre–Pólya** (\mathcal{LP}) is a class of real entire functions that are the uniform limits on compact sets of sequences of univariate hyperbolic polynomials.

Why do we care?

The Laguerre–Pólya class appears in:

- analysis e.g. asymptotics, connection to the Riemann hypothesis
- special functions e.g. Ramanujan-type q -series & hypergeometric functions
- stability problems & control theory
- combinatorics e.g. graph polys, discrete convexity
- probability e.g. interlacing polys
- operator theory e.g. hyperbolicity preserving operators
- total positivity e.g. Pólya frequency sequences, bi-infinite Toeplitz matrices
- analytic number theory
- statistical physics
- theory of mock modular forms

The \mathcal{LP} class and totally positive sequences

- A sequence $(a_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$, $a_k \geq 0$, is called the **totally positive sequence** (PF_{∞}), if all minors of the infinite matrix

$$\begin{vmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & \dots \\ 0 & a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & a_0 & a_1 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{vmatrix}$$

are non-negative.

The \mathcal{LP} class and totally positive sequences

- A sequence $(a_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$, $a_k \geq 0$, is called the **totally positive sequence** (PF_{∞}), if all minors of the infinite matrix

$$\begin{vmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & \dots \\ 0 & a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & a_0 & a_1 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{vmatrix}$$

are non-negative.

M.Aissen, I.J.Schoenberg, A.M.Whitney and A.Edrei, 1952:

The \mathcal{LP} class and totally positive sequences

- A sequence $(a_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$, $a_k \geq 0$, is called the **totally positive sequence** (PF_{∞}), if all minors of the infinite matrix

$$\begin{vmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & \dots \\ 0 & a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & a_0 & a_1 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{vmatrix}$$

are non-negative.

M.Aissen, I.J.Schoenberg, A.M.Whitney and A.Edrei, 1952:

- the generating **polynomial** $P(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k \in \mathcal{HP}$ IFF $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n, 0, 0, \dots) \in PF_{\infty}$.

The \mathcal{LP} class and totally positive sequences

- A sequence $(a_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$, $a_k \geq 0$, is called the **totally positive sequence** (PF_{∞}), if all minors of the infinite matrix

$$\left\| \begin{array}{cccccc} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & \dots \\ 0 & a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & a_0 & a_1 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{array} \right\|$$

are non-negative.

M.Aissen, I.J.Schoenberg, A.M.Whitney and A.Edrei, 1952:

- the generating **polynomial** $P(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k \in \mathcal{HP}$ IFF $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n, 0, 0, \dots) \in PF_{\infty}$.
- the generating **entire function** $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in \mathcal{HP}$ (f of order less than 1) IFF $(a_k)_{k=0}^{\infty} \in PF_{\infty}$.

Hadamard's canonical factorization

Theorem 1 (E. Laguerre and G. Pólya)

$$f \in \mathcal{LP} \iff f(x) = cx^n e^{-\alpha x^2 + \beta x} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{x}{x_k}\right) e^{\frac{x}{x_k}},$$

Hadamard's canonical factorization

Theorem 1 (E. Laguerre and G. Pólya)

$$f \in \mathcal{LP} \iff f(x) = cx^n e^{-\alpha x^2 + \beta x} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{x}{x_k}\right) e^{\frac{x}{x_k}},$$

where

Hadamard's canonical factorization

Theorem 1 (E. Laguerre and G. Pólya)

$$f \in \mathcal{LP} \iff f(x) = cx^n e^{-\alpha x^2 + \beta x} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{x}{x_k}\right) e^{\frac{x}{x_k}},$$

where

- $c, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\},$

Hadamard's canonical factorization

Theorem 1 (E. Laguerre and G. Pólya)

$$f \in \mathcal{LP} \iff f(x) = cx^n e^{-\alpha x^2 + \beta x} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{x}{x_k}\right) e^{\frac{x}{x_k}},$$

where

- $c, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$,
- $x_k \in \mathbb{R}, x_k \neq 0, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x_k^2} < \infty$.

Hadamard's canonical factorization

Theorem 1 (E. Laguerre and G. Pólya)

$$f \in \mathcal{LP} \iff f(x) = cx^n e^{-\alpha x^2 + \beta x} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{x}{x_k}\right) e^{\frac{x}{x_k}},$$

where

- $c, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$,
- $x_k \in \mathbb{R}, x_k \neq 0, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x_k^2} < \infty$.

Note: the product on the right-hand side can be finite or empty.

Theorem 2 (E. Laguerre and G. Pólya)

$(P_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $P_n(0) = 1$, is a sequence of univariate hyperbolic polynomials,

Theorem 2 (E. Laguerre and G. Pólya)

$(P_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $P_n(0) = 1$, is a sequence of univariate hyperbolic polynomials, $(P_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges uniformly in the disk $\{|z| \leq A\}$ for some $A > 0$.

Theorem 2 (E. Laguerre and G. Pólya)

$(P_n)_{n=1}^\infty$, $P_n(0) = 1$, is a sequence of univariate hyperbolic polynomials,
 $(P_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ converges uniformly in the disk $\{|z| \leq A\}$ for some $A > 0$.
Then $(P_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ **converges uniformly on compact subsets** to $f \in \mathcal{LP}$.

Examples

For a real entire function f of order $\rho < 2$:
 f has only real zeros $\iff f \in \mathcal{LP}$

Examples

For a real entire function f of order $\rho < 2$:
 f has only real zeros $\iff f \in \mathcal{LP}$

Example 3

$$f(x) = e^{-x^2}, \rho(f) = 2, f \in \mathcal{LP}.$$

Examples

For a real entire function f of order $\rho < 2$:
 f has only real zeros $\iff f \in \mathcal{LP}$

Example 3

$$f(x) = e^{-x^2}, \rho(f) = 2, f \in \mathcal{LP}.$$

Example 4 (a non-example)

$$g(x) = e^{x^2}, \rho(g) = 2, g \notin \mathcal{LP}.$$

Some previous results

Second quotients of Taylor coefficients

We will only consider $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ s.t. $a_k > 0 \forall k$.

Second quotients of Taylor coefficients

We will only consider $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ s.t. $a_k > 0 \forall k$.

$$q_n = q_n(f) := \frac{a_{n-1}^2}{a_{n-2} a_n}, \quad n \geq 2.$$

Second quotients of Taylor coefficients

We will only consider $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ s.t. $a_k > 0 \forall k$.

$$q_n = q_n(f) := \frac{a_{n-1}^2}{a_{n-2} a_n}, \quad n \geq 2.$$

Note:
$$a_n = \frac{a_1}{q_2^{n-1} q_3^{n-2} \dots q_{n-1}^2 q_n} \left(\frac{a_1}{a_0} \right)^{n-1}, \quad n \geq 2.$$

Newton inequalities - a necessary condition

Polynomials(ultra log-concavity):

Let $P(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k$, $a_k > 0$. If P is hyperbolic then

$$\frac{a_k^2}{\binom{n}{k}^2} \geq \frac{a_{k-1}}{\binom{n}{k-1}} \frac{a_{k+1}}{\binom{n}{k+1}}, \quad \text{or} \quad q_k \geq \frac{k(n-k+2)}{(k-1)(n-k+1)} > 1$$

Newton inequalities - a necessary condition

Polynomials(ultra log-concavity):

Let $P(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k$, $a_k > 0$. If P is hyperbolic then

$$\frac{a_k^2}{\binom{n}{k}^2} \geq \frac{a_{k-1}}{\binom{n}{k-1}} \frac{a_{k+1}}{\binom{n}{k+1}}, \quad \text{or} \quad q_k \geq \frac{k(n-k+2)}{(k-1)(n-k+1)} > 1$$

Entire functions:

- If $f \in \mathcal{LP}$, then $q_k(f) \geq \frac{k}{k-1}$, $\forall k \geq 2$.
- If $\exists m = 2, 3, \dots$, s.t. $q_m(f) = \frac{m}{m-1}$, then $f(z) = ce^{\alpha z}$, $c > 0, \alpha > 0$ (folklore).

Hutchinson's constant

Theorem 5 (J.I. Hutchinson, 1923)

Inequalities $q_n \geq 4$, $\forall n \geq 2$, are valid IFF:

Hutchinson's constant

Theorem 5 (J.I. Hutchinson, 1923)

Inequalities $q_n \geq 4$, $\forall n \geq 2$, are valid IFF:

- 1 the zeros of f are all **real**, **simple**, and **negative** ($f \in \mathcal{LP}$) and

Hutchinson's constant

Theorem 5 (J.I. Hutchinson, 1923)

Inequalities $q_n \geq 4$, $\forall n \geq 2$, are valid IFF:

- 1 the zeros of f are all **real**, **simple**, and **negative** ($f \in \mathcal{LP}$) and
- 2 the zeros of any polynomial $P(z, f) = \sum_{k=m}^n a_k z^k$, $m < n$, are all **real** and **non-positive**.

The partial theta function

- The entire function $g_a(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^j}{a^{j^2}}$, $a > 1$, is called the **partial theta function**.

The partial theta function

- The entire function $g_a(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^j}{a^{j^2}}$, $a > 1$, is called the **partial theta function**.

Note: $q_n(g_a) = a^2$, $\forall n \geq 2$.

The partial theta function

- The entire function $g_a(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^j}{a^{j^2}}$, $a > 1$, is called the **partial theta function**.

Note: $q_n(g_a) = a^2$, $\forall n \geq 2$.

S.O. Warnaar, Partial theta functions. I. Beyond the lost notebook, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **87**, No. 3 (2003), 363 – 395.

The partial theta function

- The entire function $g_a(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^j}{a^{j^2}}$, $a > 1$, is called the **partial theta function**.

Note: $q_n(g_a) = a^2$, $\forall n \geq 2$.

S.O. Warnaar, Partial theta functions. I. Beyond the lost notebook, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **87**, No. 3 (2003), 363 – 395.

Theorem 6 (O. Katkova, T. Lobova-Eisner, A. Vishnyakova, 2003)

$\exists q_{\infty} \approx 3.23363666$ s.t.:

The partial theta function

- The entire function $g_a(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^j}{a^{j^2}}$, $a > 1$, is called the **partial theta function**.

Note: $q_n(g_a) = a^2$, $\forall n \geq 2$.

S.O. Warnaar, Partial theta functions. I. Beyond the lost notebook, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **87**, No. 3 (2003), 363 – 395.

Theorem 6 (O. Katkova, T. Lobova-Eisner, A. Vishnyakova, 2003)

$\exists q_{\infty} \approx 3.23363666$ s.t.:

① $g_a(z) \in \mathcal{LP} \iff a^2 \geq q_{\infty}$

The partial theta function

- The entire function $g_a(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^j}{a^{j^2}}$, $a > 1$, is called the **partial theta function**.

Note: $q_n(g_a) = a^2$, $\forall n \geq 2$.

S.O. Warnaar, Partial theta functions. I. Beyond the lost notebook, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **87**, No. 3 (2003), 363 – 395.

Theorem 6 (O. Katkova, T. Lobova-Eisner, A. Vishnyakova, 2003)

$\exists q_{\infty} \approx 3.23363666$ s.t.:

- 1 $g_a(z) \in \mathcal{LP} \iff a^2 \geq q_{\infty}$
- 2 $\forall n \geq 2 \exists c_n > 1$ s.t. $S_n(z, g_a) \in \mathcal{LP} \iff a^2 \geq c_n$

The partial theta function

- The entire function $g_a(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^j}{a^{j^2}}$, $a > 1$, is called the **partial theta function**.

Note: $q_n(g_a) = a^2$, $\forall n \geq 2$.

S.O. Warnaar, Partial theta functions. I. Beyond the lost notebook, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **87**, No. 3 (2003), 363 – 395.

Theorem 6 (O. Katkova, T. Lobova-Eisner, A. Vishnyakova, 2003)

$\exists q_{\infty} \approx 3.23363666$ s.t.:

- ① $g_a(z) \in \mathcal{LP} \iff a^2 \geq q_{\infty}$
- ② $\forall n \geq 2 \exists c_n > 1$ s.t. $S_n(z, g_a) \in \mathcal{LP} \iff a^2 \geq c_n$
- ③ $4 = c_2 > c_4 > c_6 > \dots$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{2n} = q_{\infty}$
- ④ $3 = c_3 < c_5 < c_7 < \dots$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{2n+1} = q_{\infty}$

Conditions in terms of Taylor coefficients

Theorem 7 (V.Kostov, B.Shapiro, 2013)

If $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\forall n \geq N$ $S_n(z, f) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k \in \mathcal{LP}$. Then

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n(f) \geq q_\infty.$$

Applications of q_∞

Theorem 7 (V.Kostov, B.Shapiro, 2013)

If $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\forall n \geq N$ $S_n(z, f) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k \in \mathcal{LP}$. Then

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n(f) \geq q_\infty.$$

Theorem 8 (A. Vishnyakova and Ng., 2018)

If q_n are *decreasing* in n , and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n = b \geq q_\infty$. Then all the zeros of f are *real and negative* ($f \in \mathcal{LP}$).

Applications of q_∞

Theorem 7 (V.Kostov, B.Shapiro, 2013)

If $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\forall n \geq N$ $S_n(z, f) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k \in \mathcal{LP}$. Then

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n(f) \geq q_\infty.$$

Theorem 8 (A. Vishnyakova and Ng., 2018)

If q_n are *decreasing* in n , and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n = b \geq q_\infty$. Then all the zeros of f are *real and negative* ($f \in \mathcal{LP}$).

Theorem 9 (A. Vishnyakova and Ng., 2019)

If q_n are *increasing* in n , and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n = c < q_\infty$. Then $f \notin \mathcal{LP}$.

Entire functions with decreasing q_n

① $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{(k!)a^{k^2}}: q_k \rightarrow a^2; f \in \mathcal{LP}$ for $a > 1$

Entire functions with decreasing q_n

① $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{(k!)a^{k^2}}: q_k \rightarrow a^2; f \in \mathcal{LP}$ for $a > 1$

② $h_a(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{(a^k-1)(a^{k-1}-1)\dots(a-1)} = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{z}{a^k}\right);$ has only real negative zeros for $a > 1$

Entire functions with decreasing q_n

① $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{(k!)a^{k^2}}: q_k \rightarrow a^2; f \in \mathcal{LP}$ for $a > 1$

② $h_a(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{(a^k-1)(a^{k-1}-1)\dots(a-1)} = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{z}{a^k}\right);$ has only real negative zeros for $a > 1$

③ $E_{\rho}(z, \mu) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\mu+k/\rho)}, \rho > 0, \mu \in \mathbb{R}; E_{\rho} \in \mathcal{LP}$ for $\rho \in (0, 1/2]$ – a generalisation of the classical Mittag-Leffler function (I.V. Ostrovskii and I. N. Peresolkova, 1997)

Entire functions with increasing q_n

- ① $\varphi_{m,a}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{a^{k^2}} (k!)^m$, $a > 1$, $m \geq 0$; conditions for which $m \geq 0$: $\varphi_{m,a} \in \mathcal{LP}$ were studied by A. Bohdanov and A. Vishnyakova, 2016

Entire functions with increasing q_n

- 1 $\varphi_{m,a}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{a^{k^2}} (k!)^m$, $a > 1$, $m \geq 0$; conditions for which $m \geq 0$: $\varphi_{m,a} \in \mathcal{LP}$ were studied by A. Bohdanov and A. Vishnyakova, 2016
- 2 $f_a(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{(a+1)(a^2+1)\dots(a^k+1)}$, $a > 1$ – known as the q -Kummer function ${}_1\phi_1(q; -q; q, -z)$

Conditions for a q -Kummer function ¹

Theorem 10 (Ng., 2022)

$f_a(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{(a+1)(a^2+1)\dots(a^k+1)} \in \mathcal{LP}$ for $a > 1$ IFF there exists $z_0 \in (-(a^2+1), -(a+1))$ s.t. $f_a(z_0) \leq 0$.

¹Partially supported by the Akhiezer Foundation.

Conditions for a q -Kummer function ¹

Theorem 10 (Ng., 2022)

$f_a(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{(a+1)(a^2+1)\dots(a^k+1)} \in \mathcal{LP}$ for $a > 1$ IFF there exists $z_0 \in (-(a^2+1), -(a+1))$ s.t. $f_a(z_0) \leq 0$.

Theorem 11 (Ng., 2022)

- 1 If $f_a \in \mathcal{LP}$, then $a \geq 3.90155$;
- 2 If $a \geq 3.91719$, then $f_a \in \mathcal{LP}$.

¹Partially supported by the Akhiezer Foundation.

Conjecture about a q -Kummer function

Conjecture 1 (Ng., 2022)

$\exists a_0 \in [3.90155, 3.91719]$ s.t. $f_a \in \mathcal{LP}$ IFF $a \geq a_0$.

Conjecture about a q -Kummer function

Conjecture 1 (Ng., 2022)

$\exists a_0 \in [3.90155, 3.91719]$ s.t. $f_a \in \mathcal{LP}$ IFF $a \geq a_0$.

Idea: $\forall n \exists a_n$ s.t. $F_{a_n} \in \mathcal{LP}$ IFF $a \geq a_n$.

- $a_2 \geq a_4 \geq a_6 \geq \dots$
- $a_3 \leq a_5 \leq a_7 \leq \dots$
- moreover, $a_{2m} \geq a_{2m+1}$

Then, $\exists \lim_{m \rightarrow 0} a_{2m} = b$, and $\exists \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} a_{2m+1} = c$, s.t. $b = c = a_0$.

A criterion with additional conditions²

Theorem 12 (A. Vishnyakova and Ng., 2021)

Suppose that:


²The research was supported by the National Research Foundation of Ukraine. 

A criterion with additional conditions²

Theorem 12 (A. Vishnyakova and Ng., 2021)

Suppose that:

① $3 < q_2 \leq q_3 \leq q_4 \leq \dots;$

²The research was supported by the National Research Foundation of Ukraine. 

A criterion with additional conditions²

Theorem 12 (A. Vishnyakova and Ng., 2021)

Suppose that:

- 1 $3 < q_2 \leq q_3 \leq q_4 \leq \dots$;
- 2 If there exists $j_0 \geq 2$ s.t. $q_{j_0} < 4$, $q_{j_0+1} \geq 4$, and
 - (i) $q_{j_0-1}/q_{j_0+1} \geq 0.525$; or
 - (ii) $q_{j_0} \geq 3.4303$.

²The research was supported by the National Research Foundation of Ukraine.


A criterion with additional conditions²

Theorem 12 (A. Vishnyakova and Ng., 2021)

Suppose that:

- ① $3 < q_2 \leq q_3 \leq q_4 \leq \dots$;
- ② If there exists $j_0 \geq 2$ s.t. $q_{j_0} < 4$, $q_{j_0+1} \geq 4$, and
 - (i) $q_{j_0-1}/q_{j_0+1} \geq 0.525$; or
 - (ii) $q_{j_0} \geq 3.4303$.

Then $f \in \mathcal{LP}$ IFF there exists $z_0 \in [\frac{-a_1}{a_2}, 0]$ s.t. $f(z_0) \leq 0$.

²The research was supported by the National Research Foundation of Ukraine. 

Hutchinson's intervals³

J.I. Hutchinson: $q_n(f) \in [4, +\infty) \iff f \in \mathcal{LP}$

³This research was supported by the Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach within the program Oberwolfach Leibniz Fellows.

Hutchinson's intervals³

J.I. Hutchinson: $q_n(f) \in [4, +\infty) \iff f \in \mathcal{LP}$

Theorem 13 (A. Vishnyakova and Ng., 2023+)

Suppose $q_n(f) \in [\alpha, \beta(\alpha)]$, where $1 + \sqrt{5} \leq \alpha < 4$, and $\beta(\alpha) = \frac{8}{\alpha(4-\alpha)}$.

³This research was supported by the Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach within the program Oberwolfach Leibniz Fellows.

Hutchinson's intervals³

J.I. Hutchinson: $q_n(f) \in [4, +\infty) \iff f \in \mathcal{LP}$

Theorem 13 (A. Vishnyakova and Ng., 2023+)

*Suppose $q_n(f) \in [\alpha, \beta(\alpha)]$, where $1 + \sqrt{5} \leq \alpha < 4$, and $\beta(\alpha) = \frac{8}{\alpha(4-\alpha)}$.
Then $q_n(f) \in [\alpha, \beta(\alpha)]$ for all $n \geq 2$ implies that $f \in \mathcal{LP}$.*

³This research was supported by the Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach within the program Oberwolfach Leibniz Fellows.

Hutchinson's intervals³

J.I. Hutchinson: $q_n(f) \in [4, +\infty) \iff f \in \mathcal{LP}$

Theorem 13 (A. Vishnyakova and Ng., 2023+)

*Suppose $q_n(f) \in [\alpha, \beta(\alpha)]$, where $1 + \sqrt{5} \leq \alpha < 4$, and $\beta(\alpha) = \frac{8}{\alpha(4-\alpha)}$.
Then $q_n(f) \in [\alpha, \beta(\alpha)]$ for all $n \geq 2$ implies that $f \in \mathcal{LP}$.*

³This research was supported by the Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach within the program Oberwolfach Leibniz Fellows.

Hutchinson's intervals³

J.I. Hutchinson: $q_n(f) \in [4, +\infty) \iff f \in \mathcal{LP}$

Theorem 13 (A. Vishnyakova and Ng., 2023+)

*Suppose $q_n(f) \in [\alpha, \beta(\alpha)]$, where $1 + \sqrt{5} \leq \alpha < 4$, and $\beta(\alpha) = \frac{8}{\alpha(4-\alpha)}$.
Then $q_n(f) \in [\alpha, \beta(\alpha)]$ for all $n \geq 2$ implies that $f \in \mathcal{LP}$.*

arXiv:2008.04754

arXiv:2212.05692

³This research was supported by the Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach within the program Oberwolfach Leibniz Fellows.

Hutchinson's intervals³

J.I. Hutchinson: $q_n(f) \in [4, +\infty) \iff f \in \mathcal{LP}$

Theorem 13 (A. Vishnyakova and Ng., 2023+)

Suppose $q_n(f) \in [\alpha, \beta(\alpha)]$, where $1 + \sqrt{5} \leq \alpha < 4$, and $\beta(\alpha) = \frac{8}{\alpha(4-\alpha)}$.
Then $q_n(f) \in [\alpha, \beta(\alpha)]$ for all $n \geq 2$ implies that $f \in \mathcal{LP}$.

arXiv:2008.04754

arXiv:2212.05692

Many thanks
for attention!

³This research was supported by the Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach within the program Oberwolfach Leibniz Fellows.

Appendix: Multiplier sequences as hyperbolicity preserving operators

Problem (C.Hermite): describe linear transformations that map polynomials with all roots in a given area into the set of polynomials with all roots in another given area.

Problem (C.Hermite): describe linear transformations that map polynomials with all roots in a given area into the set of polynomials with all roots in another given area.

Our setting: $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Hyperbolicity preserving operators

- A linear operator $T : \mathbb{R}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[x]$ is called a **hyperbolicity preserver (HPO)** if it preserves \mathcal{HP} .

Hyperbolicity preserving operators

- A linear operator $T : \mathbb{R}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[x]$ is called a **hyperbolicity preserver (HPO)** if it preserves \mathcal{HP} .

Example 1. Hermite-Poulain theorem: a finite order linear **differential operator with constant coefficients** $T = a_0 + a_1 \frac{d}{dx} + \cdots + a_k \frac{d^k}{dx^k}$ is an HPO IFF its symbol polynomial $Q(t) = a_0 + a_1 t + \cdots + a_k t^k \in \mathcal{HP}$.

Hyperbolicity preserving operators

- A linear operator $T : \mathbb{R}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[x]$ is called a **hyperbolicity preserver (HPO)** if it preserves \mathcal{HP} .

Example 1. Hermite-Poulain theorem: a finite order linear **differential operator with constant coefficients** $T = a_0 + a_1 \frac{d}{dx} + \cdots + a_k \frac{d^k}{dx^k}$ is an HPO IFF its symbol polynomial $Q(t) = a_0 + a_1 t + \cdots + a_k t^k \in \mathcal{HP}$.

Example 2. G.Pólya & J. Schur:

- A real sequence $(\gamma_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is a **multiplier sequence (MS)** if:

$$P(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k \in \mathcal{HP} \implies Q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \gamma_k a_k z^k \in \mathcal{HP}.$$

Hyperbolicity preserving operators

- A linear operator $T : \mathbb{R}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[x]$ is called a **hyperbolicity preserver (HPO)** if it preserves \mathcal{HP} .

Example 1. Hermite-Poulain theorem: a finite order linear **differential operator with constant coefficients** $T = a_0 + a_1 \frac{d}{dx} + \cdots + a_k \frac{d^k}{dx^k}$ is an HPO IFF its symbol polynomial $Q(t) = a_0 + a_1 t + \cdots + a_k t^k \in \mathcal{HP}$.

Example 2. G.Pólya & J. Schur:

- A real sequence $(\gamma_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is a **multiplier sequence (\mathcal{MS})** if:

$$P(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k \in \mathcal{HP} \implies Q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \gamma_k a_k z^k \in \mathcal{HP}.$$

Note: \mathcal{MS} is a linear operator acting diagonally in the monomial basis of $\mathbb{C}[x]$: $T_{\mathcal{A}}(x^i) = \alpha_i x^i$.

\mathcal{LP} and multiplier sequences

Theorem 14 (G. Pólya and J. Schur, 1914)

Let $(\gamma_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$ be a real sequence. TFAE:

\mathcal{LP} and multiplier sequences

Theorem 14 (G. Pólya and J. Schur, 1914)

Let $(\gamma_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$ be a real sequence. TFAE:

1 $(\gamma_k)_{k=0}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{MS}$

Theorem 14 (G. Pólya and J. Schur, 1914)

Let $(\gamma_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$ be a real sequence. TFAE:

1 $(\gamma_k)_{k=0}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{MS}$

2 $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : P_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \gamma_k z^k \in \mathcal{HP}$

\mathcal{LP} and multiplier sequences

Theorem 14 (G. Pólya and J. Schur, 1914)

Let $(\gamma_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$ be a real sequence. TFAE:

- 1 $(\gamma_k)_{k=0}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{MS}$
- 2 $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : P_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \gamma_k z^k \in \mathcal{HP}$
- 3 $\Phi(z) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_k}{k!} z^k$ converges absolutely in \mathbb{C} , and $\Phi \in \mathcal{LP}$

\mathcal{LP} and multiplier sequences

Theorem 14 (G. Pólya and J. Schur, 1914)

Let $(\gamma_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$ be a real sequence. TFAE:

- 1 $(\gamma_k)_{k=0}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{MS}$
- 2 $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : P_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \gamma_k z^k \in \mathcal{HP}$
- 3 $\Phi(z) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_k}{k!} z^k$ converges absolutely in \mathbb{C} , and $\Phi \in \mathcal{LP}$

Every new entire function from \mathcal{LP} generates a new multiplier sequence.

\mathcal{LP} and multiplier sequences

Theorem 14 (G. Pólya and J. Schur, 1914)

Let $(\gamma_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$ be a real sequence. TFAE:

- 1 $(\gamma_k)_{k=0}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{MS}$
- 2 $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : P_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \gamma_k z^k \in \mathcal{HP}$
- 3 $\Phi(z) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_k}{k!} z^k$ converges absolutely in \mathbb{C} , and $\Phi \in \mathcal{LP}$

Every new entire function from \mathcal{LP} generates a new multiplier sequence.

Corollary 15 (A. Vishnyakova and Ng., 2019)

Let $(a_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$ be a real positive sequence s.t. its $(q_k)_{k=2}^{\infty}$ is *increasing* in k .
Then

$$(k!a_k)_{k=0}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{MS} \implies \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} q_k \geq q_{\infty}.$$