

Probabilistic approaches to rational points on algebraic surfaces

Tony Várilly-Alvarado



joint work with Austen James (Rice PhD)

AGCT 2023 - CIRM
June 5th, 2023

The problem

smooth, projective, geometrically integral

X/\mathbb{Q} : nice geometrically rational surface.

$$\bar{X} := X \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \mathbb{P}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}^2$$

Example: $-K_X$ ample (del Pezzo surfaces); $d := K_X^2$

degree

$$d = 4 : \{Q_1 = Q_2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^4$$

$$d = 3 : \{C(x, y, z, w) = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^3 \leftarrow \text{cubic surfaces}$$

$$d = 2 : \{w^2 = f_4(x, y, z)\} \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}(1, 1, 1, 2)$$

$$d = 1 : \{w^2 = z^3 + a_4(x, y)z + b_6(x, y)\} \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}(1, 1, 2, 3)$$

The problem

X/\mathbb{Q} : nice geometrically rational surface.

Determine if $X(\mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$.

Necessary condition: $X(\mathbb{Q}_p) \neq \emptyset$ for all primes $p \leq \infty$.

X is projective $\implies X(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \prod_{p \leq \infty} X(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

The problem

X/\mathbb{Q} : nice geometrically rational surface.

Determine if $X(\mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$.

Necessary condition: $X(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \neq \emptyset$.

Not sufficient (Cassels—Guy, 1966):

$$X : 5x^3 + 9y^3 + 10z^3 + 12w^3 = 0.$$

Obstruction Sets

$$X(\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq \underline{X(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})^{\text{Br}}} \subseteq X(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$$

Brauer—Manin set

Brauer—Manin obstruction:

$$X(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \neq \emptyset \text{ but } X(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})^{\text{Br}} = \emptyset \implies X(\mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset.$$

Conjecture (Colliot-Thélène—Sansuc, 1979):

$$X(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})^{\text{Br}} \neq \emptyset \implies X(\mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset.$$

Obstruction Sets

$X(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})^{\text{Br}}$ is defined using $\text{Br } X / \text{Br}_0 X$

Brauer group:
 $H_{\text{et}}^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)_{\text{tors}}$

Constant Algebras:
 $\text{im}(\text{Br } \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \text{Br } X)$
from $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q})$

For nice geometrically rational surfaces over \mathbb{Q} :

$$\text{Br } X / \text{Br}_0 X \xrightarrow{\cong} H^1(\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}), \text{Pic } \overline{X})$$

(Hochschild—Serre spectral sequence).

Case of Cubic surfaces

$$\bar{X} \simeq \text{Bl}_{\{P_1, \dots, P_6\}} \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^2 \implies \text{Pic } \bar{X} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^7$$

in general position

Corrected post-talk

K/\mathbb{Q} : Galois closure of field where **the 27 lines** are defined. This is a finite extension.

If $X(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \neq \emptyset$ then $\text{Pic } X_K \xrightarrow{\sim} (\text{Pic } \bar{X})^{\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/K)}$
as $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ -modules, and inflation gives an isomorphism

$$H^1(\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}), \text{Pic } X_K) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}), \text{Pic } \bar{X})$$

Case of Cubic surfaces

So: to understand $\text{Br } X/\text{Br}_0 X$, we must understand

$$\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \curvearrowright \text{Pic } X_K.$$

$\text{Pic } X_K$ has an intersection form (curves on a surface!)

$\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \curvearrowright \text{Pic } X_K$ respects this form and fixes K_X .

Get $\rho_X: \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(K_X^\perp)$.

$\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Finite} \end{array} \right) \implies \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Finite image!} \end{array} \right)$

Case of Cubic surfaces

So: to understand $\text{Br } X/\text{Br}_0 X$, we must understand

$$\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \curvearrowright \text{Pic } X_K.$$

$\text{Pic } X_K$ has an intersection form (curves on a surface!)

$\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \curvearrowright \text{Pic } X_K$ respects this form and fixes K_X .

Get $\rho_X: \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(K_X^\perp)$

Manin: K_X^\perp carries a root system of type E_6

$$\implies \text{im}(\rho_X) \leq \underline{W(E_6)}$$

Weyl group;
51,840 elements!

Case of Cubic surfaces

Using these ideas, Swinnerton–Dyer (1993) showed that $\text{Br } X/\text{Br}_0 X$ is isomorphic to one of:

$$0, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$$

Goal: Given a smooth cubic surface X/\mathbb{Q} , efficiently compute $\text{Br } X/\text{Br}_0 X$.



This can already be done in magma.
So why bother?

- (1) Cubic surfaces are a “proof of concept” case for us.
- (2) Existing methods help benchmark new ideas.

Case of Cubic surfaces

Using these ideas, Swinnerton–Dyer (1993) showed that $\text{Br } X/\text{Br}_0 X$ is isomorphic to one of:

$$0, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$$

Goal: Given a smooth cubic surface X/\mathbb{Q} , efficiently compute $\text{Br } X/\text{Br}_0 X$.



Do not do the naive thing: compute K/\mathbb{Q} .

This can be a number field of very large degree!

Reduction modulo p

X/\mathbb{Q} : smooth cubic surface;

K/\mathbb{Q} : a splitting field for X ;

p : a prime of good reduction for X ;

\mathfrak{p} : a prime ideal in \mathcal{O}_K above p .

Have $\rho_X : \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(K_X^\perp)$

repn's $\rho_{X_p} : \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(K_{X_p}^\perp)$

$\text{Frob} \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathbb{F}_p)$ gives conj. class $[\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}] \subseteq \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$

$\rho_{X_p}(\text{Frob}) \in \mathcal{O}(K_{X_p}^\perp)$ gives conj. class in $\text{im}(\rho_X)$.

Strategy

Given a smooth cubic surface X/\mathbb{Q} :

(1) Reduce X over lots of good primes;

(2) Use Frob to compute conj. classes of $\text{im}(\rho_X)$;

(3) Decide the most likely $\text{im}(\rho_X) \leq W(E_6)$;

(4) Compute $H^1(\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}), \text{Pic } X_K) \simeq \text{Br } X/\text{Br}_0 X$.

The group $W(E_6)$

- Order: $51,840 = 2^7 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^1$
- Orders of elements: $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12\}$
- 25 Conjugacy Classes
- 350 Conjugacy classes of subgroups
- Orders of subgroups:
 $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12, 16, 18, 20, 24, 27, 32, 36, 40, 48, 54, 60, 64, 72, 80, 81, 96, 108, 120, 128, 144, 160, 162, 192, 216, 240, 288, 320, 324, 360, 384, 432, 576, 648, 720, 960, 1152, 1296, 1440, 1920, 25920, 51840\}$

Spectral Profile of a subgroup

Let $H \leq W(E_6)$ be a subgroup.

For each $h \in H$, compute its $W(E_6)$ -conjugacy class.

This is one of 25 possibilities C_1, \dots, C_{25}

Tabulate and normalize.

Example: a particular H of order 48

C	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
#	1	7	2	0	6	2	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	9	0	2	0	4	0	0	4	0

Spectral profile of H :

$$\left[\frac{1}{48}, \frac{7}{48}, \frac{1}{24}, 0, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{24}, \frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{24}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{5}{48}, \frac{3}{16}, 0, \frac{1}{24}, 0, \frac{1}{12}, 0, 0, \frac{1}{12}, 0 \right]$$

Spectral Profile of a subgroup

The 350 conjugacy classes of subgroups of $W(E_6)$ give rise to 339 distinct spectral profiles.

Among the 11 collisions (Gassmann equivalent subgroups) between 22 subgroups, 6 pairs lead to the same Brauer group for a cubic surface.

So spectral profiles can be used to compute the Brauer group among $340 = 350 - 22 + 12$ conjugacy classes of subgroups of $W(E_6)$.

An Example

$$X : \quad -x^2w + 2xyz + 4xyw - 5xzw - xw^2 + y^2z \\ -3y^2w + 2yz^2 - 4yzw + z^3 - z^2w - 3w^3 = 0$$

Compute Frobenius conjugacy classes for 40 primes:
 $\{p \leq 197\} \setminus \{2, 3, 5, 7, 19\}$.

Observed conjugacy classes:

C	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
#	0	4	1	0	0	5	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0

What is the most likely spectral profile?

Detour: Bayesian Basics

Suppose we are given a coin and told that the probability of it landing heads is either $p = 0.3, 0.4, \text{ or } 0.5$.

We toss the coin 1000 times. It falls heads 482 times. What is the most likely value for p ?

Scenarios for $[p, 1 - p]$:

A

$[0.3, 0.7]$

B

$[0.4, 0.6]$

C

$[0.5, 0.5]$

A priori: $P(A) = P(B) = P(C) = \frac{1}{3}$.

Detour: Bayesian Basics

A

[0.3,0.7]

B

[0.4,0.6]

C

[0.5,0.5]

Let E be the event:

482 heads are observed after 1000 tosses.

$$L(E | A) = \frac{1000!}{482!518!} \cdot (0.3)^{482} \cdot (0.7)^{518} \approx 7.65 \times 10^{-34}$$

$$L(E | B) = \frac{1000!}{482!518!} \cdot (0.4)^{482} \cdot (0.6)^{518}, \approx 2.67 \times 10^{-8}$$

$$L(E | C) = \frac{1000!}{482!518!} \cdot (0.5)^{482} \cdot (0.5)^{518} \approx 0.0132$$

Using binomial distribution

Detour: Bayesian Basics

A

[0.3,0.7]

B

[0.4,0.6]

C

[0.5,0.5]

Let E be the event:

482 heads are observed after 1000 tosses.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Posterior}(A | E) &= \frac{P(A) \cdot L(A | E)}{P(A) \cdot L(A | E) + P(B) \cdot L(B | E) + P(C) \cdot L(C | E)} \\ &= \frac{(0.3)^{482}(0.7)^{518}}{(0.5)^{482}(0.5)^{518} + (0.4)^{482}(0.6)^{518} + (0.3)^{482}(0.7)^{518}} \\ &\approx 5.79728017 \times 10^{-32}\end{aligned}$$

Detour: Bayesian Basics

A

[0.3,0.7]

B

[0.4,0.6]

C

[0.5,0.5]

Let E be the event:

482 heads are observed after 1000 tosses.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Posterior}(B | E) &= \frac{P(B) \cdot L(B | E)}{P(A) \cdot L(A | E) + P(B) \cdot L(B | E) + P(C) \cdot L(C | E)} \\ &= \frac{(0.4)^{482}(0.6)^{518}}{(0.5)^{482}(0.5)^{518} + (0.4)^{482}(0.6)^{518} + (0.3)^{482}(0.7)^{518}} \\ &\approx 2.01956718 \times 10^{-6}\end{aligned}$$

Detour: Bayesian Basics

A

[0.3,0.7]

B

[0.4,0.6]

C

[0.5,0.5]

Let E be the event:

482 heads are observed after 1000 tosses.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Posterior}(C | E) &= \frac{P(C) \cdot L(C | E)}{P(A) \cdot L(A | E) + P(B) \cdot L(B | E) + P(C) \cdot L(C | E)} \\ &= \frac{(0.5)^{482}(0.5)^{518}}{(0.5)^{482}(0.5)^{518} + (0.4)^{482}(0.6)^{518} + (0.3)^{482}(0.7)^{518}} \\ &\approx 0.99999798\end{aligned}$$

Back to our Example

$$X : \quad -x^2w + 2xyz + 4xyw - 5xzw - xw^2 + y^2z \\ -3y^2w + 2yz^2 - 4yzw + z^3 - z^2w - 3w^3 = 0$$

Observed conjugacy classes:

C	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
#	0	4	1	0	0	5	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0

What is the most likely spectral profile?

We can apply the same principle! Use a multinomial distribution to replace the binomial distribution

Back to our Example

There are 339 spectral profiles SP_1, \dots, SP_{339} .

Think of SP_i as a vector of probabilities of observing a particular conjugacy class:

$$SP_i = [p_{i,1}, p_{i,2}, \dots, p_{i,25}]; \quad \sum_j p_{i,j} = 1$$

All SP_i start out equally likely to be right: $P(SP_i) = \frac{1}{339}$.

We have collected a vector of observations

$$\alpha = [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{25}]$$

Back to our Example

Likelihood of observing α given that SP_i is correct:

$$L(\alpha \mid SP_i) = \frac{(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{25})!}{\alpha_1! \dots \alpha_{25}!} \prod_{j=1}^{25} p_{ij}^{\alpha_j}.$$

Posterior probabilities:

$$\text{Posterior}(SP_i \mid \alpha) = \frac{P(SP_i) \cdot L(\alpha \mid SP_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^{339} P(SP_j) \cdot L(\alpha \mid SP_j)}.$$

This idea works

Theorem (James—V.A. '23): Let $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Let X/\mathbb{Q} denote a smooth cubic surface. Let SP be the spectral profile of $\text{im}(\rho_X) \leq W(E_6)$.

There is an $x = x(\epsilon)$ such that for all sets of observations $\alpha = [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{25}]$ with $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{25} > x$ we have

$$\text{Posterior}(SP \mid \alpha) > 1 - \epsilon.$$

Elsenhans—Jahnel Database

Elsenhans & Jahnel have a publicly available list of 350 smooth cubic surfaces, one for each conjugacy class of subgroups of $W(E_6)$.

The above ideas by themselves can only probabilistically determine the Brauer group of 340 of these surfaces.

They do! In **184 seconds**.
(2.3 GHz Quad-Core Intel Core i7)

Magma internals do too! In **1002 seconds**.