

ASYMPTOTIC DENSITY AND COUNTING RESULTS FOR LEE METRIC CODES

NADJA WILLENBORG

Institute of Computer Science, University of St. Gallen

February 22th, 2023
ALCOCRYPT 2023

JOINT WORK WITH ANNA-LENA HORLEMANN AND VIOLETTA WEGER





-
- ▶ **The container method:** upper bound for the number of independent sets, codes, sum free sets, monotone boolean functions etc.



- ▶ **The container method:** upper bound for the number of independent sets, codes, sum free sets, monotone boolean functions etc.
- ▶ Idea: reduce an enumeration problem to evaluating the number of independent sets.




- ▶ **The container method:** upper bound for the number of independent sets, codes, sum free sets, monotone boolean functions etc.
- ▶ Idea: reduce an enumeration problem to evaluating the number of independent sets.
- ▶ For $0 < t \leq 10\sqrt{n}$ the number of t -error correcting Hamming metric codes is at most $2^{(1+o(1))H_m(n,t)}$.




D. Dong, N. Mani and Y. Zhao "On the number of error correcting codes", arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.12363, 2022.



- ▶ **The container method:** upper bound for the number of independent sets, codes, sum free sets, monotone boolean functions etc.
- ▶ Idea: reduce an enumeration problem to evaluating the number of independent sets.
- ▶ For $0 < t \leq 10\sqrt{n}$ the number of t -error correcting Hamming metric codes is at most $2^{(1+o(1))H_m(n,t)}$.
 D. Dong, N. Mani and Y. Zhao "On the number of error correcting codes", arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.12363, 2022.
- ▶ **Question:** How can we count the number of t -error correcting Lee metric codes?



- ▶ **The container method:** upper bound for the number of independent sets, codes, sum free sets, monotone boolean functions etc.
- ▶ Idea: reduce an enumeration problem to evaluating the number of independent sets.
- ▶ For $0 < t \leq 10\sqrt{n}$ the number of t -error correcting Hamming metric codes is at most $2^{(1+o(1))H_m(n,t)}$.
 D. Dong, N. Mani and Y. Zhao "On the number of error correcting codes", arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.12363, 2022.
- ▶ **Question:** How can we count the number of t -error correcting Lee metric codes?
- ▶ $\binom{X}{\leq t}$: family of subsets of X with at most t elements.



- ▶ We consider codes over $\mathbb{Z}_m := \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$.



- ▶ We consider codes over $\mathbb{Z}_m := \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$.
- ▶ We denote by $x := x + m\mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq x \leq m - 1$ its residue classes.



- ▶ We consider codes over $\mathbb{Z}_m := \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$.
- ▶ We denote by $x := x + m\mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq x \leq m - 1$ its residue classes.
- ▶ The **Lee weight** of $x \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ is defined by

$$\omega^L : \{0, \dots, m - 1\} \rightarrow \{0, \dots, \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor\}, x \mapsto \min\{x, m - x\}.$$



- ▶ We consider codes over $\mathbb{Z}_m := \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$.
- ▶ We denote by $x := x + m\mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq x \leq m - 1$ its residue classes.
- ▶ The **Lee weight** of $x \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ is defined by

$$\omega^L : \{0, \dots, m - 1\} \rightarrow \{0, \dots, \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor\}, x \mapsto \min\{x, m - x\}.$$

- ▶ The Lee weight for $x \in \mathbb{Z}_m^n$ is $\omega^L(x) := \sum_{i=1}^n \omega^L(x_i)$.



- ▶ We consider codes over $\mathbb{Z}_m := \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$.
- ▶ We denote by $x := x + m\mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq x \leq m - 1$ its residue classes.
- ▶ The **Lee weight** of $x \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ is defined by

$$\omega^L : \{0, \dots, m - 1\} \rightarrow \{0, \dots, \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor\}, x \mapsto \min\{x, m - x\}.$$

- ▶ The Lee weight for $x \in \mathbb{Z}_m^n$ is $\omega^L(x) := \sum_{i=1}^n \omega^L(x_i)$.
- ▶ The **Lee distance** of $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_m^n$ is $d^L(x, y) := \omega^L(x - y)$.



- ▶ $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m^n$ is a t -error correcting code if $\min\{d^L(x, y) : x, y \in \mathcal{C}, x \neq y\} \geq 2t + 1$.



- ▶ $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m^n$ is a t -error correcting code if $\min\{d^L(x, y) : x, y \in \mathcal{C}, x \neq y\} \geq 2t + 1$.
- ▶ **Sphere-packing bound:** The size of a t -error correcting code is at most $H_m(n, t) := \frac{m^n}{\mathbf{v}_m^L(n, t)}$, where $\mathbf{v}_m^L(n, t) = |\{y \in \mathbb{Z}_m^n : d^L(0, y) \leq t\}|$.



- ▶ $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m^n$ is a t -error correcting code if $\min\{d^L(x, y) : x, y \in \mathcal{C}, x \neq y\} \geq 2t + 1$.
- ▶ **Sphere-packing bound:** The size of a t -error correcting code is at most $H_m(n, t) := \frac{m^n}{\mathbf{v}_m^L(n, t)}$, where $\mathbf{v}_m^L(n, t) = |\{y \in \mathbb{Z}_m^n : d^L(0, y) \leq t\}|$.
- ▶ If there is a t -error correcting code achieving the sphere-packing bound, then the number of t -error correcting codes is at least $2^{H_m(n, t)}$.



- ▶ $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m^n$ is a t -error correcting code if $\min\{d^L(x, y) : x, y \in \mathcal{C}, x \neq y\} \geq 2t + 1$.
- ▶ **Sphere-packing bound:** The size of a t -error correcting code is at most $H_m(n, t) := \frac{m^n}{\mathbf{v}_m^L(n, t)}$, where $\mathbf{v}_m^L(n, t) = |\{y \in \mathbb{Z}_m^n : d^L(0, y) \leq t\}|$.
- ▶ If there is a t -error correcting code achieving the sphere-packing bound, then the number of t -error correcting codes is at least $2^{H_m(n, t)}$.
- ▶ We will prove a corresponding upper bound.



- $G = (V, E)$ denotes a graph with vertices $V = \mathbb{Z}_m^n$ and edges

$$E = \{(x, y) \in V^2 : d^L(x, y) \leq 2t\}.$$



- ▶ $G = (V, E)$ denotes a graph with vertices $V = \mathbb{Z}_m^n$ and edges

$$E = \{(x, y) \in V^2 : d^L(x, y) \leq 2t\}.$$

- ▶ Any set $I \subseteq V$ that contains no edge is called an independent set.



- ▶ $G = (V, E)$ denotes a graph with vertices $V = \mathbb{Z}_m^n$ and edges

$$E = \{(x, y) \in V^2 : d^L(x, y) \leq 2t\}.$$

- ▶ Any set $I \subseteq V$ that contains no edge is called an independent set.
- ▶ So the family of independent sets of G precisely represent the set of t -error correcting codes.



- ▶ $G = (V, E)$ denotes a graph with vertices $V = \mathbb{Z}_m^n$ and edges

$$E = \{(x, y) \in V^2 : d^L(x, y) \leq 2t\}.$$

- ▶ Any set $I \subseteq V$ that contains no edge is called an independent set.
- ▶ So the family of independent sets of G precisely represent the set of t -error correcting codes.
- ▶ $G[\mathcal{C}]$ the induced subgraph of $\mathcal{C} \subseteq V$.



- ▶ $G = (V, E)$ denotes a graph with vertices $V = \mathbb{Z}_m^n$ and edges

$$E = \{(x, y) \in V^2 : d^L(x, y) \leq 2t\}.$$

- ▶ Any set $I \subseteq V$ that contains no edge is called an independent set.
- ▶ So the family of independent sets of G precisely represent the set of t -error correcting codes.
- ▶ $G[\mathcal{C}]$ the induced subgraph of $\mathcal{C} \subseteq V$.
- ▶ $\Delta(G)$ the maximum degree of G .



- ▶ $G = (V, E)$ denotes a graph with vertices $V = \mathbb{Z}_m^n$ and edges

$$E = \{(x, y) \in V^2 : d^L(x, y) \leq 2t\}.$$

- ▶ Any set $I \subseteq V$ that contains no edge is called an independent set.
- ▶ So the family of independent sets of G precisely represent the set of t -error correcting codes.
- ▶ $G[\mathcal{C}]$ the induced subgraph of $\mathcal{C} \subseteq V$.
- ▶ $\Delta(G)$ the maximum degree of G .
- ▶ $\mathcal{I}(G)$ the family of independent sets in G .



- ▶ $G = (V, E)$ denotes a graph with vertices $V = \mathbb{Z}_m^n$ and edges

$$E = \{(x, y) \in V^2 : d^L(x, y) \leq 2t\}.$$

- ▶ Any set $I \subseteq V$ that contains no edge is called an independent set.
- ▶ So the family of independent sets of G precisely represent the set of t -error correcting codes.
- ▶ $G[\mathcal{C}]$ the induced subgraph of $\mathcal{C} \subseteq V$.
- ▶ $\Delta(G)$ the maximum degree of G .
- ▶ $\mathcal{I}(G)$ the family of independent sets in G .
- ▶ $i(G)$ the number of independent sets in G .



Lemma

Let $1 \leq t \ll n^{3/5}$, $\epsilon > 0$ and n be sufficiently large. Then there is a collection \mathcal{F} of subsets of \mathbb{Z}_m^n with the following properties

- (i) $|\mathcal{F}| \leq 2^{\epsilon H_m(n,t)}$.
- (ii) Every t -error correcting code $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m^n$ is contained in F for some $F \in \mathcal{F}$.
- (iii) $i(G[F]) \leq 2^{(1+\epsilon)H_m(n,t)}$ for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$.

How can we find such a collection of Containers?



-
- ▶ We build a container algorithm that certifies that the following function is well-defined:



- ▶ We build a container algorithm that certifies that the following function is well-defined:

Lemma

Let $\epsilon > 0$, $C > 0$ be a sufficiently large constant, $72 \leq t \leq n^{3/5}$ and let n be sufficiently large. Then there exists a function

$$f : \left(\begin{array}{l} V(G) \\ \leq \frac{CH_m(n,t) \log(n)}{n^{3/5}} \end{array} \right) \rightarrow 2^{V(G)},$$

such that for any $I \in \mathcal{I}(G)$ there is some $P \subseteq I$ that satisfies

- (i) $I \subseteq P \cup f(P)$,
- (ii) $|P| \leq \frac{CH_m(n,t) \log(n)}{n^{3/5}}$,
- (iii) $i(G[P \cup f(P)]) \leq 2^{(1+\epsilon)H_m(n,t)}$.



Suppose that an order v_1, \dots, v_m^n of V and $I \in \mathcal{I}(G)$ are given. Set $G_0 := G$ and $P := \emptyset$. In each step i do the following:

- i) If $i(G_{i-1}) \leq 2^{(1+\epsilon)H_m(n,t)}$, set $f(P) = V(G_{i-1})$, terminate and output P and $f(P)$.
- ii) Let u be the vertex of maximum degree in G_{i-1} .
- iii) If $u \notin I$, define $G_i := G_{i-1} \setminus \{u\}$ and proceed to step $i + 1$.
- iv) If $u \in I$, then add u to P , define $G_i := G_{i-1} \setminus (\{u\} \cup N_G(u))$ and proceed to step $i + 1$.



DJ. Kleitman and KJ Winston "On the number of graphs without 4-cycles", Discrete Mathematics, 1982.



Suppose that an order v_1, \dots, v_m^n of V and $I \in \mathcal{I}(G)$ are given. Set $G_0 := G$ and $P := \emptyset$. In each step i do the following:

- i) If $i(G_{i-1}) \leq 2^{(1+\epsilon)H_m(n,t)}$, set $f(P) = V(G_{i-1})$, terminate and output P and $f(P)$.
- ii) Let u be the vertex of maximum degree in G_{i-1} .
- iii) If $u \notin I$, define $G_i := G_{i-1} \setminus \{u\}$ and proceed to step $i + 1$.
- iv) If $u \in I$, then add u to P , define $G_i := G_{i-1} \setminus (\{u\} \cup N_G(u))$ and proceed to step $i + 1$.



DJ. Kleitman and KJ Winston "On the number of graphs without 4-cycles", Discrete Mathematics, 1982.

Remarks

- ▶ Goal: Set up an almost precise bound.
- ▶ $\mathcal{F} := \{P \cup f(P) : P \subseteq I, I \in \mathcal{I}(G)\}$.



Supersaturation 1

Let $t \leq n^{3/5}$ and $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m^n$. If $|\mathcal{C}| \geq n^4 H_m(n, t)$, then

$$\Delta(G[\mathcal{C}]) \gtrsim \begin{cases} \frac{n^{6/5} |\mathcal{C}|}{H_m(n, t)} & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \\ \frac{n^{12/5} |\mathcal{C}|}{H_m(n, t)} & \text{if } m \text{ is odd} \end{cases} .$$



Supersaturation 1

Let $t \leq n^{3/5}$ and $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m^n$. If $|\mathcal{C}| \geq n^4 H_m(n, t)$, then

$$\Delta(G[\mathcal{C}]) \gtrsim \begin{cases} \frac{n^{6/5} |\mathcal{C}|}{H_m(n, t)} & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \\ \frac{n^{12/5} |\mathcal{C}|}{H_m(n, t)} & \text{if } m \text{ is odd} \end{cases} .$$

Supersaturation 2

Let $\epsilon > 0$, $72 \leq t \leq n^{3/5}$, $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m^n$ and n be sufficiently large. If $\Delta(G[\mathcal{C}]) \leq n^5$, then

$$i(G[\mathcal{C}]) \leq 2^{(1+\epsilon)H_L(n, t)} .$$



Theorem

- (i) For $1 \leq t \leq n^{3/5}$, the number of t -error correcting Lee codes of length n in \mathbb{Z}_m^n is at most $2^{(1+o(1))H_m(n,t)}$.



Theorem

- (i) For $1 \leq t \leq n^{3/5}$, the number of t -error correcting Lee codes of length n in \mathbb{Z}_m^n is at most $2^{(1+o(1))H_m(n,t)}$.
- (ii) For $n^{3/5} < t \leq n^{4/5}$, the number of t -error correcting Lee codes of length n in \mathbb{Z}_m^n is at most $2^{o(H_m(n,t))}$.



- Let $S \geq 1$ be an integer, $\mathcal{B} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{E})$ a bipartite graph with

$$\mathcal{V} = \{\{x, y\} \in \mathbb{Z}_m^n \times \mathbb{Z}_m^n : x \neq y, d^L(x, y) \leq 2t\}$$

$$\mathcal{W} = \{\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m^n : |\mathcal{C}| = S\}$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \{(\{x, y\}, \mathcal{C}) \in \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W} : \{x, y\} \subseteq \mathcal{C}\}.$$



A. Guica and A. Ravagnani "Common complements of linear subspaces and the sparseness of MRD codes", SIAM Journal on Applied Algebra and Geometry, 2022.



- ▶ Let $S \geq 1$ be an integer, $\mathcal{B} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{E})$ a bipartite graph with

$$\mathcal{V} = \{\{x, y\} \in \mathbb{Z}_m^n \times \mathbb{Z}_m^n : x \neq y, d^L(x, y) \leq 2t\}$$

$$\mathcal{W} = \{\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m^n : |\mathcal{C}| = S\}$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \{(\{x, y\}, \mathcal{C}) \in \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W} : \{x, y\} \subseteq \mathcal{C}\}.$$



A. Guica and A. Ravagnani "Common complements of linear subspaces and the sparseness of MRD codes", SIAM Journal on Applied Algebra and Geometry, 2022.

- ▶ The set of isolated vertices in \mathcal{B} is exactly the family of t -error correcting codes.



- ▶ Let $S \geq 1$ be an integer, $\mathcal{B} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{E})$ a bipartite graph with

$$\mathcal{V} = \{\{x, y\} \in \mathbb{Z}_m^n \times \mathbb{Z}_m^n : x \neq y, d^L(x, y) \leq 2t\}$$

$$\mathcal{W} = \{\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m^n : |\mathcal{C}| = S\}$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \{(\{x, y\}, \mathcal{C}) \in \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W} : \{x, y\} \subseteq \mathcal{C}\}.$$



A. Guica and A. Ravagnani "Common complements of linear subspaces and the sparseness of MRD codes", SIAM Journal on Applied Algebra and Geometry, 2022.

- ▶ The set of isolated vertices in \mathcal{B} is exactly the family of t -error correcting codes.
- ▶ \mathcal{B} is left-regular of degree $\binom{m^n-2}{S-2}$.



- ▶ Let $S \geq 1$ be an integer, $\mathcal{B} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{E})$ a bipartite graph with

$$\mathcal{V} = \{\{x, y\} \in \mathbb{Z}_m^n \times \mathbb{Z}_m^n : x \neq y, d^L(x, y) \leq 2t\}$$

$$\mathcal{W} = \{\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m^n : |\mathcal{C}| = S\}$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \{(\{x, y\}, \mathcal{C}) \in \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W} : \{x, y\} \subseteq \mathcal{C}\}.$$



A. Guica and A. Ravagnani "Common complements of linear subspaces and the sparseness of MRD codes", SIAM Journal on Applied Algebra and Geometry, 2022.

- ▶ The set of isolated vertices in \mathcal{B} is exactly the family of t -error correcting codes.
- ▶ \mathcal{B} is left-regular of degree $\binom{m^n-2}{S-2}$.
- ▶ Together with another "strong" regularity condition we can bound the number of isolated vertices in \mathcal{B} .



We define

$$\delta_m^L(n, S, t) := \frac{|\{\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m^n : |\mathcal{C}| = S, d^L(\mathcal{C}) \geq 2t + 1\}|}{|\{\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m^n : |\mathcal{C}| = S\}|}$$

as **the density function** of t -error correcting codes within the set of codes of size S .



We define

$$\delta_m^L(n, S, t) := \frac{|\{\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m^n : |\mathcal{C}| = S, d^L(\mathcal{C}) \geq 2t + 1\}|}{|\{\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m^n : |\mathcal{C}| = S\}|}$$

as the density function of t -error correcting codes within the set of codes of size S .

Theorem

Let $(H_m(n, 2t + 1))_{n \geq 1}$ and $(S_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be sequences with $S_n \geq 1$ for all $n \geq 1$. We have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \delta_m^L(n, S_n, t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } S_n \in o(\sqrt{H_m(n, 2t + 1)}) \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty \\ 0 & \text{if } S_n \in \omega(\sqrt{H_m(n, 2t + 1)}) \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty \end{cases}.$$



GV-bound:

$$|C| \geq \frac{m^n}{\mathbf{v}_m^L(n, 2t)}$$



GV-bound:

$$|\mathcal{C}| \geq \frac{m^n}{\mathbf{v}_m^{\mathbf{L}}(n, 2t)}$$

Corollary

Non-linear Lee metric codes achieving the sphere covering bound are asymptotically sparse with respect to n (or m).



- ▶ Using the container method we can efficiently count all t -error correcting codes and obtain a precise upper bound.



- ▶ Using the container method we can efficiently count all t -error correcting codes and obtain a precise upper bound.
- ▶ Using the bipartite graph model we can consider code families of a specific size and count t -error correcting codes within this family.



- ▶ Using the container method we can efficiently count all t -error correcting codes and obtain a precise upper bound.
- ▶ Using the bipartite graph model we can consider code families of a specific size and count t -error correcting codes within this family.
- ▶ Is one model more advantageous than the other?



- ▶ Using the container method we can efficiently count all t -error correcting codes and obtain a precise upper bound.
- ▶ Using the bipartite graph model we can consider code families of a specific size and count t -error correcting codes within this family.
- ▶ Is one model more advantageous than the other?
- ▶ Linear codes are more difficult to handle.



- ▶ Using the container method we can efficiently count all t -error correcting codes and obtain a precise upper bound.
- ▶ Using the bipartite graph model we can consider code families of a specific size and count t -error correcting codes within this family.
- ▶ Is one model more advantageous than the other?
- ▶ Linear codes are more difficult to handle.
- ▶ Is there a suitable graph model to count linear Lee metric codes?

End



Thank you for your attention!