

Descent and étale-Brauer obstructions for 0-cycles (joint with J. Berg)

Symposium on Arithmetic Geometry and its Applications, CIRM

Francesca Balestrieri

The American University of Paris

February 8, 2023

Motivation

Let k be a number field and X a smooth, projective, geometrically integral variety over k .

Motivation

Let k be a number field and X a smooth, projective, geometrically integral variety over k .

Rational points. When studying the arithmetic behaviour of the set $X(k)$ of k -rational points of X , we often use sets cut out from the adelic points:

$$X(k) \subset \boxed{???} \subset X(\mathbf{A}_k).$$

Motivation

Let k be a number field and X a smooth, projective, geometrically integral variety over k .

Rational points. When studying the arithmetic behaviour of the set $X(k)$ of k -rational points of X , we often use sets cut out from the adelic points:

$$X(k) \subset \boxed{???} \subset X(\mathbf{A}_k).$$

Classical candidates for $\boxed{???}$ are:

Motivation

Let k be a number field and X a smooth, projective, geometrically integral variety over k .

Rational points. When studying the arithmetic behaviour of the set $X(k)$ of k -rational points of X , we often use sets cut out from the adelic points:

$$X(k) \subset \boxed{???} \subset X(\mathbf{A}_k).$$

Classical candidates for $\boxed{???}$ are:

- **Brauer-Manin set:** if $\text{Br } X := H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \mathbf{G}_m)$ is the Brauer group of X ,

$$X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} := \bigcap_{\alpha \in \text{Br } X} \{(x_v) \in X(\mathbf{A}_k) : \sum_{v \in \Omega_k} \text{inv}_v(\alpha(x_v)) = 0\}.$$

Motivation

Let k be a number field and X a smooth, projective, geometrically integral variety over k .

Rational points. When studying the arithmetic behaviour of the set $X(k)$ of k -rational points of X , we often use sets cut out from the adelic points:

$$X(k) \subset \boxed{???} \subset X(\mathbf{A}_k).$$

Classical candidates for $\boxed{???}$ are:

- **Brauer-Manin set:** if $\text{Br } X := H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \mathbf{G}_m)$ is the Brauer group of X ,

$$X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} := \bigcap_{\alpha \in \text{Br } X} \{(x_v) \in X(\mathbf{A}_k) : \sum_{v \in \Omega_k} \text{inv}_v(\alpha(x_v)) = 0\}.$$

- **Descent sets:** if $g : Y \rightarrow X$ is an X -torsor under some linear algebraic group G over k ,

$$X(\mathbf{A}_k)^g := \bigcup_{\sigma \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(k, G)} g^\sigma(Y^\sigma(\mathbf{A}_k)).$$

- Étale-Brauer set:

$$X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{ét, Br}} := \bigcap_{F \text{ finite}} \bigcap_{[f: Y \rightarrow X] \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, F)} \bigcup_{\sigma \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(k, F)} f^\sigma(Y^\sigma(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}}).$$

- **Étale-Brauer set:**

$$X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{ét,Br}} := \bigcap_{F \text{ finite}} \bigcap_{[f: Y \rightarrow X] \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, F)} \bigcup_{\sigma \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(k, F)} f^\sigma(Y^\sigma(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}}).$$

We have

$$\overline{X(k)} \subset X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{ét,Br}} \subset X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} \subset X(\mathbf{A}_k)$$

- **Étale-Brauer set:**

$$X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{ét,Br}} := \bigcap_{F \text{ finite}} \bigcap_{[f:Y \rightarrow X] \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, F)} \bigcup_{\sigma \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(k, F)} f^\sigma(Y^\sigma(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}}).$$

We have

$$\overline{X(k)} \subset X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{ét,Br}} \subset X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} \subset X(\mathbf{A}_k)$$

and we know that $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{ét,Br}}$ is generally insufficient to explain failure of the Hasse principle.

(Poonen: there is an X with $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{ét,Br}} \neq \emptyset$ but $X(k) = \emptyset$.)

0-cycles of degree d . The set of 0-cycles of degree of X is the set of \mathbf{Z} -formal sums

$$Z_0^d(X) := \left\{ z := \sum_{x \in X \text{ closed pt}} n_x x \mid \deg(z) := \sum_{x \in X \text{ closed pt}} n_x [k(x) : k] = d \right\}.$$

0-cycles of degree d . The set of 0-cycles of degree of X is the set of \mathbf{Z} -formal sums

$$Z_0^d(X) := \left\{ z := \sum_{x \in X \text{ closed pt}} n_x x \mid \deg(z) := \sum_{x \in X \text{ closed pt}} n_x [k(x) : k] = d \right\}.$$

Similarly as with what we do for rational points, we often use obstruction sets cut out from the adelic 0-cycles and containing $Z_0^d(X)$:

$$Z_0^d(X) \subset \boxed{???} \subset Z_0^d(X_{\mathbf{A}_k}).$$

0-cycles of degree d . The set of 0-cycles of degree of X is the set of \mathbf{Z} -formal sums

$$Z_0^d(X) := \left\{ z := \sum_{x \in X \text{ closed pt}} n_x x \mid \deg(z) := \sum_{x \in X \text{ closed pt}} n_x [k(x) : k] = d \right\}.$$

Similarly as with what we do for rational points, we often use obstruction sets cut out from the adelic 0-cycles and containing $Z_0^d(X)$:

$$Z_0^d(X) \subset \boxed{???} \subset Z_0^d(X_{\mathbf{A}_k}).$$

Classical candidates for $\boxed{???}$ are:

- **Brauer-Manin set:** The Brauer-Manin set $Z_0^d(X_{\mathbf{A}_k})^{\text{Br}}$ is defined as the set of adelic 0-cycles $(z_v = \sum_{x_v \in X_{k_v}} n_{x_v} x_v)_v$ of degree d such that, for any $\alpha \in \text{Br } X$, we have

$$\sum_v \sum_{x_v \in X_{k_v}} n_{x_v} \text{inv}_v(\text{cores}_{\bar{k}(x_v)/k_v}(\alpha(x_v))) = 0.$$

- **Brauer-Manin set:** The Brauer-Manin set $Z_0^d(X_{\mathbf{A}_k})^{\text{Br}}$ is defined as the set of adelic 0-cycles $(z_v = \sum_{x_v \in X_{k_v}} n_{x_v} x_v)_v$ of degree d such that, for any $\alpha \in \text{Br } X$, we have

$$\sum_v \sum_{x_v \in X_{k_v}} n_{x_v} \text{inv}_v(\text{cores}_{\bar{k}(x_v)/k_v}(\alpha(x_v))) = 0.$$

The arithmetic of 0-cycles is, conjecturally, much more well-behaved than that of rational points.

- **Brauer-Manin set:** The Brauer-Manin set $Z_0^d(X_{\mathbf{A}_k})^{\text{Br}}$ is defined as the set of adelic 0-cycles $(z_v = \sum_{x_v \in X_{k_v}} n_{x_v} x_v)_v$ of degree d such that, for any $\alpha \in \text{Br } X$, we have

$$\sum_v \sum_{x_v \in X_{k_v}} n_{x_v} \text{inv}_v(\text{cores}_{\bar{k}(x_v)/k_v}(\alpha(x_v))) = 0.$$

The arithmetic of 0-cycles is, conjecturally, much more well-behaved than that of rational points.

Conjecture (Colliot-Thélène)

Let X be nice over k . Then the Brauer-Manin obstruction is the only one to weak approximation for 0-cycles of degree 1 on X .

Rational points vs 0-cycles

k -rational points		0-cycles of degree d
$X(k)$	\rightsquigarrow	$Z_0^d(X_k)$
$X(\mathbf{A}_k)$	\rightsquigarrow	$Z_0^d(X_{\mathbf{A}_k})$
$X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br } X}$	\rightsquigarrow	$Z_0^d(X_{\mathbf{A}_k})^{\text{Br}}$
$X(\mathbf{A}_k)^g$ for $g : Y \rightarrow X$ a torsor under G	\rightsquigarrow	???
$X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{ét, Br}}$	\rightsquigarrow	???

Main goal: defining a descent set for 0-cycles

Our main goal was to fill in this gap in the theory of 0-cycles by defining an analogue of the descent set.

Main goal: defining a descent set for 0-cycles

Our main goal was to fill in this gap in the theory of 0-cycles by defining an analogue of the descent set.

Recall: for rational points, we know that the descent set associated to a G -torsor $g : Y \rightarrow X$ contains $X(k)$ since we always have the partition

$$X(k) = \bigsqcup_{\sigma} g^{\sigma}(Y^{\sigma}(k))$$

Main goal: defining a descent set for 0-cycles

Our main goal was to fill in this gap in the theory of 0-cycles by defining an analogue of the descent set.

Recall: for rational points, we know that the descent set associated to a G -torsor $g : Y \rightarrow X$ contains $X(k)$ since we always have the partition

$$X(k) = \bigsqcup_{\sigma} g^{\sigma}(Y^{\sigma}(k))$$

Then, using $Y^{\sigma}(k) \subset Y^{\sigma}(\mathbf{A}_k)$, we deduce that $X(k) \subset X(\mathbf{A}_k)^g$.

Main goal: defining a descent set for 0-cycles

Our main goal was to fill in this gap in the theory of 0-cycles by defining an analogue of the descent set.

Recall: for rational points, we know that the descent set associated to a G -torsor $g : Y \rightarrow X$ contains $X(k)$ since we always have the partition

$$X(k) = \bigsqcup_{\sigma} g^{\sigma}(Y^{\sigma}(k))$$

Then, using $Y^{\sigma}(k) \subset Y^{\sigma}(\mathbf{A}_k)$, we deduce that $X(k) \subset X(\mathbf{A}_k)^g$.

Idea: we want a similar partition for the set of global 0-cycles $Z_0^d(X)$, and we can exploit the partition for the rational points to construct it.

Descent set for 0-cycles: construction

Consider $z \in Z_0^d(X)$, say $z = \sum_{x \in X} n_x x$.

Descent set for 0-cycles: construction

Consider $z \in Z_0^d(X)$, say $z = \sum_{x \in X} n_x x$.

- Let $S := \{k(x) : x \in \text{supp}(z)\}$.

Descent set for 0-cycles: construction

Consider $z \in Z_0^d(X)$, say $z = \sum_{x \in X} n_x x$.

- Let $S := \{k(x) : x \in \text{supp}(z)\}$.
- For each $L \in S$, consider

$$T_L := \{[g_L^{-1}(x)] : x \in \text{supp}(z) \text{ and } k(x) = L\} \subset H^1(L, G).$$

Descent set for 0-cycles: construction

Consider $z \in Z_0^d(X)$, say $z = \sum_{x \in X} n_x x$.

- Let $S := \{k(x) : x \in \text{supp}(z)\}$.
- For each $L \in S$, consider

$$T_L := \{[g_L^{-1}(x)] : x \in \text{supp}(z) \text{ and } k(x) = L\} \subset H^1(L, G).$$

- For each $\tau \in T_L$, for each $L \in S$, we can partition $\text{supp}(z)$ by

$$P(L, \tau) := \{x \in \text{supp}(z) : k(x) = L \text{ and } [g_L^{-1}(x)] = \tau\}.$$

Descent set for 0-cycles: construction

Consider $z \in Z_0^d(X)$, say $z = \sum_{x \in X} n_x x$.

- Let $S := \{k(x) : x \in \text{supp}(z)\}$.
- For each $L \in S$, consider

$$T_L := \{[g_L^{-1}(x)] : x \in \text{supp}(z) \text{ and } k(x) = L\} \subset H^1(L, G).$$

- For each $\tau \in T_L$, for each $L \in S$, we can partition $\text{supp}(z)$ by

$$P(L, \tau) := \{x \in \text{supp}(z) : k(x) = L \text{ and } [g_L^{-1}(x)] = \tau\}.$$

- For each $\tau \in T_L$, for each $L \in S$, let

$$\Delta_\tau := \sum_{x \in P(L, \tau)} n_x.$$

Descent set for 0-cycles: construction

Consider $z \in Z_0^d(X)$, say $z = \sum_{x \in X} n_x x$.

- Let $S := \{k(x) : x \in \text{supp}(z)\}$.
- For each $L \in S$, consider

$$T_L := \{[g_L^{-1}(x)] : x \in \text{supp}(z) \text{ and } k(x) = L\} \subset H^1(L, G).$$

- For each $\tau \in T_L$, for each $L \in S$, we can partition $\text{supp}(z)$ by

$$P(L, \tau) := \{x \in \text{supp}(z) : k(x) = L \text{ and } [g_L^{-1}(x)] = \tau\}.$$

- For each $\tau \in T_L$, for each $L \in S$, let

$$\Delta_\tau := \sum_{x \in P(L, \tau)} n_x.$$

We note that $\sum_{L \in S} \sum_{\tau \in T_L} \Delta_\tau [L : k] = d$.

Then, using the partition for rational points via the torsor, it is clear that any $x \in P(L, \tau)$ lifts to some L -rational point $y_x \in Y_L^T$.

Then, using the partition for rational points via the torsor, it is clear that any $x \in P(L, \tau)$ lifts to some L -rational point $y_x \in Y_L^\tau$.

So, by considering all the $x \in \text{supp}(z)$ with a same residue field and "twist", we obtain the "decomposition" into 0-cycles

$$\left(\left(\sum_{x \in P(L, \tau)} n_x y_x \right)_{\tau \in T_L} \right)_{L \in S} \in \prod_{L \in S} \prod_{\tau \in T_L} Z_0^{\Delta_\tau}(Y_L^\tau).$$

These pieces can be "recombined" into z by taking the pushforwards of the points in all the Y_L^τ 's along the natural composition

$$Y_L^\tau \xrightarrow{g_L^\tau} X_L \xrightarrow{s_L} X.$$

These pieces can be "recombined" into z by taking the pushforwards of the points in all the Y_L^τ 's along the natural composition

$$Y_L^\tau \xrightarrow{g_L^\tau} X_L \xrightarrow{s_L} X.$$

Explicitly, the "recombining" map is

$$g_{**} \left(\left(\left(\left(\sum_{x \in P(L, \tau)} n_x y_x \right)_{\tau \in T_L} \right)_{L \in S} \right) \right) = \sum_{L \in S} \sum_{\tau \in T_L} \sum_{x \in P(L, \tau)} n_x (s_L \circ g_L^\tau)_*(y_x).$$

Hence, any $z \in Z_0^d(X)$ comes from

$$Z_0^d(X)^g := g_{**} \left(\prod_S \prod_{(T_L)_{L \in S}} \prod_{\substack{((\Delta_\tau)_{\tau \in T_L})_{L \in S} \\ \sum_{L \in S} \sum_{\tau \in T_L} [L:k] \Delta_\tau = d}} \prod_{L \in S} \prod_{\tau \in T_L} Z_0^{\Delta_\tau}(Y_L^\tau) \right)$$

Hence, any $z \in Z_0^d(X)$ comes from

$$Z_0^d(X)^g := g_{**} \left(\prod_S \prod_{(T_L)_{L \in S}} \prod_{\substack{((\Delta_\tau)_{\tau \in T_L})_{L \in S} \\ \sum_{L \in S} \sum_{\tau \in T_L} [L:k] \Delta_\tau = d}} \prod_{L \in S} \prod_{\tau \in T_L} Z_0^{\Delta_\tau}(Y_L^\tau) \right)$$

In fact, it is easy to check that

$$Z_0^d(X) = Z_0^d(X)^g.$$

Hence, any $z \in Z_0^d(X)$ comes from

$$Z_0^d(X)^g := g_{**} \left(\prod_S \prod_{(T_L)_{L \in S}} \prod_{\substack{((\Delta_\tau)_{\tau \in T_L})_{L \in S}: \\ \sum_{L \in S} \sum_{\tau \in T_L} [L:k] \Delta_\tau = d}} \prod_{L \in S} \prod_{\tau \in T_L} Z_0^{\Delta_\tau}(Y_L^\tau) \right)$$

In fact, it is easy to check that

$$Z_0^d(X) = Z_0^d(X)^g.$$

This is the analogue of the partition for rational points!

Hence, any $z \in Z_0^d(X)$ comes from

$$Z_0^d(X)^g := g_{**} \left(\prod_S \prod_{(T_L)_{L \in S}} \prod_{\substack{((\Delta_\tau)_{\tau \in T_L})_{L \in S} \\ \sum_{L \in S} \sum_{\tau \in T_L} [L:k] \Delta_\tau = d}} \prod_{L \in S} \prod_{\tau \in T_L} Z_0^{\Delta_\tau}(Y_L^\tau) \right)$$

In fact, it is easy to check that

$$Z_0^d(X) = Z_0^d(X)^g.$$

This is the analogue of the partition for rational points!

(In fact, if $d = 1$ and if we consider effective 0-cycles and $S = \{k\}$ only, then we recover $X(k) = \bigcup_\tau g^\tau(Y^\tau(k))$.)

So, we define

- the g -descent set of degree d to be

$$Z_0^d(X_{\mathbf{A}_k})^g := g_{**}^{ad} \left(\prod_S \prod_{(T_L)_{L \in S}} \prod_{\substack{((\Delta_\tau)_{\tau \in T_L})_{L \in S} \\ \sum_{L \in S} \sum_{\tau \in T_L} [L:k] \Delta_\tau = d}} \prod_{L \in S} \prod_{\tau \in T_L} Z_0^{\Delta_\tau}(Y_{\mathbf{A}_L}^\tau) \right)$$

So, we define

- the g -descent set of degree d to be

$$Z_0^d(X_{\mathbf{A}_k})^g := g_{**}^{ad} \left(\prod_S \prod_{(T_L)_{L \in S}} \prod_{\substack{((\Delta_\tau)_{\tau \in T_L})_{L \in S} \\ \sum_{L \in S} \sum_{\tau \in T_L} [L:k] \Delta_\tau = d}} \prod_{L \in S} \prod_{\tau \in T_L} Z_0^{\Delta_\tau}(Y_{\mathbf{A}_L}^\tau) \right)$$

- the étale-Brauer set of degree d to be

$$Z_0^d(X_{\mathbf{A}_k})^{\text{ét, Br}} := \bigcap_{F \text{ finite}} \bigcap_{[f: Y \xrightarrow{F} X]} Z_0^d(X_{\mathbf{A}_k})^{f, \text{Br}}.$$

So, we define

- the g -descent set of degree d to be

$$Z_0^d(X_{\mathbf{A}_k})^g := g_{**}^{ad} \left(\prod_S \prod_{(T_L)_{L \in S}} \prod_{\substack{((\Delta_\tau)_{\tau \in T_L})_{L \in S} \\ \sum_{L \in S} \sum_{\tau \in T_L} [L:k] \Delta_\tau = d}} \prod_{L \in S} \prod_{\tau \in T_L} Z_0^{\Delta_\tau}(Y_{\mathbf{A}_L}^\tau) \right)$$

- the étale-Brauer set of degree d to be

$$Z_0^d(X_{\mathbf{A}_k})^{\text{ét, Br}} := \bigcap_{F \text{ finite}} \bigcap_{[f: Y \xrightarrow{F} X]} Z_0^d(X_{\mathbf{A}_k})^{f, \text{Br}}.$$

We have

$$Z_0^d(X) \subset Z_0^d(X_{\mathbf{A}_k})^{\text{ét, Br}} \subset Z_0^d(X_{\mathbf{A}_k})^{\text{Br}} \subset Z_0^d(X_{\mathbf{A}_k}).$$

So, we define

- the g -descent set of degree d to be

$$Z_0^d(X_{\mathbf{A}_k})^g := g_{**}^{ad} \left(\prod_S \prod_{(T_L)_{L \in S}} \prod_{\substack{((\Delta_\tau)_{\tau \in T_L})_{L \in S}: \\ \sum_{L \in S} \sum_{\tau \in T_L} [L:k] \Delta_\tau = d}} \prod_{L \in S} \prod_{\tau \in T_L} Z_0^{\Delta_\tau}(Y_{\mathbf{A}_L}^\tau) \right)$$

- the étale-Brauer set of degree d to be

$$Z_0^d(X_{\mathbf{A}_k})^{\text{ét, Br}} := \bigcap_{F \text{ finite}} \bigcap_{[f: Y \xrightarrow{F} X]} Z_0^d(X_{\mathbf{A}_k})^{f, \text{Br}}.$$

We have

$$Z_0^d(X) \subset Z_0^d(X_{\mathbf{A}_k})^{\text{ét, Br}} \subset Z_0^d(X_{\mathbf{A}_k})^{\text{Br}} \subset Z_0^d(X_{\mathbf{A}_k}).$$

Question: Do we always have that

$$Z_0^1(X_{\mathbf{A}_k})^{\text{ét, Br}} = \emptyset \iff Z_0^1(X_{\mathbf{A}_k})^{\text{Br}} = \emptyset?$$

So, we define

- the g -descent set of degree d to be

$$Z_0^d(X_{\mathbf{A}_k})^g := g_{**}^{ad} \left(\prod_S \prod_{(T_L)_{L \in S}} \prod_{\substack{((\Delta_\tau)_{\tau \in T_L})_{L \in S} \\ \sum_{L \in S} \sum_{\tau \in T_L} [L:k] \Delta_\tau = d}} \prod_{L \in S} \prod_{\tau \in T_L} Z_0^{\Delta_\tau}(Y_{\mathbf{A}_L}^\tau) \right)$$

- the étale-Brauer set of degree d to be

$$Z_0^d(X_{\mathbf{A}_k})^{\text{ét, Br}} := \bigcap_{F \text{ finite}} \bigcap_{[f: Y \xrightarrow{F} X]} Z_0^d(X_{\mathbf{A}_k})^{f, \text{Br}}.$$

We have

$$Z_0^d(X) \subset Z_0^d(X_{\mathbf{A}_k})^{\text{ét, Br}} \subset Z_0^d(X_{\mathbf{A}_k})^{\text{Br}} \subset Z_0^d(X_{\mathbf{A}_k}).$$

Question: Do we always have that

$$Z_0^1(X_{\mathbf{A}_k})^{\text{ét, Br}} = \emptyset \iff Z_0^1(X_{\mathbf{A}_k})^{\text{Br}} = \emptyset?$$

The conjecture by Colliot-Thélène says YES.

Some results: 0-cycles on Enriques surfaces

Recall that if X is an Enriques surface over k , there is always a K3 covering $f : Y \rightarrow X$, which is a $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ -torsor over X with Y a K3 surface.

Some results: 0-cycles on Enriques surfaces

Recall that if X is an Enriques surface over k , there is always a K3 covering $f : Y \rightarrow X$, which is a $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ -torsor over X with Y a K3 surface.

For K3 surfaces, the arithmetic behaviour of rational points is conjecturally explained by the Brauer-Manin obstruction.

Conjecture (Skorobogatov)

Let Y be a K3 surface over k . Then $\overline{Y(k)} = Y(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}}$.

Theorem (B.-Berg)

Let X be an Enriques surface over a number field k and let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a K3 covering of X . Assume the above conjecture. Let $d \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Theorem (B.-Berg)

Let X be an Enriques surface over a number field k and let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a K3 covering of X . Assume the above conjecture. Let $d \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Then: for any positive integer n , if $(z_v)_v \in Z_0^d(X_{\mathbf{A}_k})^{f, \text{Br}}$ then there exists a global 0-cycle $z_n \in Z_0^d(X)$ such that z_n and $(z_v)_v$ have the same image in $CH_0(X_{k_v})/n$ for all $v \in \Omega_k$.

Theorem (B.-Berg)

Let X be an Enriques surface over a number field k and let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a K3 covering of X . Assume the above conjecture. Let $d \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Then: for any positive integer n , if $(z_v)_v \in Z_0^d(X_{\mathbf{A}_k})^{f, \text{Br}}$ then there exists a global 0-cycle $z_n \in Z_0^d(X)$ such that z_n and $(z_v)_v$ have the same image in $CH_0(X_{k_v})/n$ for all $v \in \Omega_k$.

Note: the proof uses the following result by Ieronymou: under Skorobogatov's conjecture, the Brauer-Manin obstruction is the only one for weak approximation (in the Chow groups sense) for 0-cycles of any degree d on a K3 surface.

Some results: 0-cycles on varieties admitting a universal torsor

A classical result about universal torsors is the following.

Theorem

Let X be a variety over k with $\bar{k}[X]^\times = \bar{k}^\times$ and $\text{Pic } \bar{X}$ finitely generated as a \mathbf{Z} -module. Assume that $g : W \rightarrow X$ is a universal torsor for X . Then $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^g = X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}_1}$.

Some results: 0-cycles on varieties admitting a universal torsor

A classical result about universal torsors is the following.

Theorem

Let X be a variety over k with $\overline{k}[X]^\times = \overline{k}^\times$ and $\text{Pic } \overline{X}$ finitely generated as a \mathbf{Z} -module. Assume that $g : W \rightarrow X$ is a universal torsor for X . Then $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^g = X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}_1}$.

(Here $\text{Br}_1(X) := \ker(\text{Br } X \rightarrow \text{Br } \overline{X})$.)

Extending to our setting a strategy of Liang (i.e. that of relating the arithmetic of 0-cycles to that of rational points over finite extensions of k), we can prove an analogue result for 0-cycles.

Extending to our setting a strategy of Liang (i.e. that of relating the arithmetic of 0-cycles to that of rational points over finite extensions of k), we can prove an analogue result for 0-cycles.

Theorem (B.-Berg)

*Let X be a smooth, proper, geometrically integral variety over k .
Suppose that a universal torsor $g : W \rightarrow X$ exists.*

Extending to our setting a strategy of Liang (i.e. that of relating the arithmetic of 0-cycles to that of rational points over finite extensions of k), we can prove an analogue result for 0-cycles.

Theorem (B.-Berg)

*Let X be a smooth, proper, geometrically integral variety over k .
Suppose that a universal torsor $g : W \rightarrow X$ exists.*

Then: *for any integer $d \in \mathbf{Z}$, for any positive integer n , and for any finite subset $S \subset \Omega_k$ of places of k , we have that*

Extending to our setting a strategy of Liang (i.e. that of relating the arithmetic of 0-cycles to that of rational points over finite extensions of k), we can prove an analogue result for 0-cycles.

Theorem (B.-Berg)

*Let X be a smooth, proper, geometrically integral variety over k .
Suppose that a universal torsor $g : W \rightarrow X$ exists.*

Then: *for any integer $d \in \mathbf{Z}$, for any positive integer n , and for any finite subset $S \subset \Omega_k$ of places of k , we have that*

1. $\mathbf{Z}_0^d(X_{\mathbf{A}_k})^g \neq \emptyset$ implies $\mathbf{Z}_0^d(X_{\mathbf{A}_k})^{\text{Br}_1} \neq \emptyset$;

Extending to our setting a strategy of Liang (i.e. that of relating the arithmetic of 0-cycles to that of rational points over finite extensions of k), we can prove an analogue result for 0-cycles.

Theorem (B.-Berg)

Let X be a smooth, proper, geometrically integral variety over k . Suppose that a universal torsor $g : W \rightarrow X$ exists.

Then: *for any integer $d \in \mathbf{Z}$, for any positive integer n , and for any finite subset $S \subset \Omega_k$ of places of k , we have that*

1. $\mathbf{Z}_0^d(X_{\mathbf{A}_k})^g \neq \emptyset$ implies $\mathbf{Z}_0^d(X_{\mathbf{A}_k})^{\text{Br}_1} \neq \emptyset$;
2. if, moreover, $\text{Br}_1(X)/\text{Br}_0(X)$ is finite, then $(z_v)_v \in \mathbf{Z}_0^d(X_{\mathbf{A}_k})^{\text{Br}_1}$ implies that there exists some $(u_v)_v \in \mathbf{Z}_0^d(X_{\mathbf{A}_k})^g$ such that z_v and u_v have the same image in $\text{CH}_0(X_{k_v})/n$ for all $v \in S$.

Some results: 0-cycles on varieties with a torsor under a torus

In the rational points setting, Harpaz and Wittenberg have proved the following result.

Theorem (Harpaz-Wittenberg)

Let X be a smooth, geometrically integral variety over k . Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a torsor under a k -torus T . Let $A \subset \text{Br } X$ be the inverse image of $\text{Br}_{nr}(Y) \subset \text{Br } Y$ under $f^ : \text{Br } X \rightarrow \text{Br } Y$. Then*

$$X(\mathbf{A}_k)^A \subset \bigcup_{\sigma \in H^1(k, T)} f^\sigma(Y^\sigma(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}_{nr}}).$$

Using again Liang's strategy, we can prove the following analogue for 0-cycles.

Theorem (B.-Berg)

Let X be a smooth, proper, geometrically integral variety over k . Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a torsor under a k -torus T .

Using again Liang's strategy, we can prove the following analogue for 0-cycles.

Theorem (B.-Berg)

Let X be a smooth, proper, geometrically integral variety over k . Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a torsor under a k -torus T .

Assume that $\text{Br } X / \text{Br}_0 X$ is finite and that there is some finite extension F/k such that $\text{res}_{L/k} : \text{Br } X / \text{Br}_0 X \rightarrow \text{Br}(X_L) / \text{Br}_0(X_L)$ is surjective for all finite extensions L/k linearly disjoint from F over k .

Using again Liang's strategy, we can prove the following analogue for 0-cycles.

Theorem (B.-Berg)

Let X be a smooth, proper, geometrically integral variety over k . Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a torsor under a k -torus T .

Assume that $\text{Br } X / \text{Br}_0 X$ is finite and that there is some finite extension F/k such that $\text{res}_{L/k} : \text{Br } X / \text{Br}_0 X \rightarrow \text{Br}(X_L) / \text{Br}_0(X_L)$ is surjective for all finite extensions L/k linearly disjoint from F over k .

Then: *for any integer $d \in \mathbf{Z}$, for any positive integer n , and for any finite subset $S \subset \Omega_k$ of places of k , we have that $(z_v)_v \in \mathbf{Z}_0^d(X_{\mathbf{A}_k})^{\text{Br}}$ implies that there exists some $(u_v)_v \in \mathbf{Z}_0^d(X_{\mathbf{A}_k})^{f, \text{Br}_{nr}}$ such that z_v and u_v have the same image in $\text{CH}_0(X_{k_v})/n$ for all $v \in S$.*

Thank you for your attention!