# Hausdorff dimension of Besicovitch sets of Cantor graphs

Kornélia Héra

Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics, Budapest, Hungary

Multifractal analysis and self-similarity CIRM, 26 - 30 June, 2023

joint work with Iqra Altaf and Marianna Csörnyei

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Definition

- O B ⊂ ℝ<sup>2</sup> is a Besicovitch set (or a Kakeya set) if it contains a unit line segment in every direction.
- K ⊂ ℝ<sup>2</sup> is a Kakeya needle set if a unit line segment can be continuously turned around through 180 degrees within it.

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Definition

- O B ⊂ ℝ<sup>2</sup> is a Besicovitch set (or a Kakeya set) if it contains a unit line segment in every direction.
- $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is a Kakeya needle set if a unit line segment can be continuously turned around through 180 degrees within it.

Examples



• • • • • • • • • • • •

Definition

- O B ⊂ ℝ<sup>2</sup> is a Besicovitch set (or a Kakeya set) if it contains a unit line segment in every direction.
- $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is a Kakeya needle set if a unit line segment can be continuously turned around through 180 degrees within it.

Examples



#### Question: How small can such sets B and K be?

Kornélia Héra (Rényi Institute of Mathematics)

#### Theorems

- There exists a Besicovitch set of measure zero (Besicovitch, 1920).
- For any ε > 0 there is a Kakeya needle set with less than ε measure (follows from Besicovitch, 1920, using Pál joins).

(日) (同) (日) (日)

Theorems

- There exists a Besicovitch set of measure zero (Besicovitch, 1920).
- For any ε > 0 there is a Kakeya needle set with less than ε measure (follows from Besicovitch, 1920, using Pál joins).

The construction of Besicovitch has been simplified by Perron (1928) and others.



Figure: Perron trees, source: Wikipedia

Theorems

- There exists a Besicovitch set of measure zero (Besicovitch, 1920).
- For any ε > 0 there is a Kakeya needle set with less than ε measure (follows from Besicovitch, 1920, using Pál joins).

The construction of Besicovitch has been simplified by Perron (1928) and others.



Figure: Perron trees, source: Wikipedia

Remark: A Kakeya needle set must always have positive measure (easy observation).

Kornélia Héra (Rényi Institute of Mathematics)

3/22

#### Theorem

Besicovitch sets in the plane must always have Hausdorff dimension 2 (Davies, 1971).

(日) (同) (日) (日)

#### Theorem

Besicovitch sets in the plane must always have Hausdorff dimension 2 (Davies, 1971).

The definition can be naturally extended to higher dimensions.

#### Definition

 $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a Besicovitch set or a Kakeya set if it contains a unit line segment in every direction.

#### Theorem

Besicovitch sets in the plane must always have Hausdorff dimension 2 (Davies, 1971).

The definition can be naturally extended to higher dimensions.

#### Definition

 $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a Besicovitch set or a Kakeya set if it contains a unit line segment in every direction.

Easy observation: there exist Besicovitch sets of measure zero in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for all  $n \ge 2$ .

#### Theorem

Besicovitch sets in the plane must always have Hausdorff dimension 2 (Davies, 1971).

The definition can be naturally extended to higher dimensions.

#### Definition

 $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a Besicovitch set or a Kakeya set if it contains a unit line segment in every direction.

Easy observation: there exist Besicovitch sets of measure zero in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for all  $n \ge 2$ .

#### Kakeya conjecture

If  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a Besicovitch set then  $\dim B = n$ .

A lot of important partial results, but still open for all  $n \ge 3$ .

- 34

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

### Further results for Kakeya needle sets

• For convex Kakeya needle sets: the smallest possible measure is  $1/\sqrt{3}$ , that of the equilateral triangle of height 1 (Pál, 1920).

イロト イボト イヨト イヨ

### Further results for Kakeya needle sets

- For convex Kakeya needle sets: the smallest possible measure is  $1/\sqrt{3}$ , that of the equilateral triangle of height 1 (Pál, 1920).
- For simply connected Kakeya needle sets: for any ε > 0 there is a simply connected Kakeya needle set with less than ε measure (Cunningham, 1971).

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

#### Further results for Kakeya needle sets

- For convex Kakeya needle sets: the smallest possible measure is  $1/\sqrt{3}$ , that of the equilateral triangle of height 1 (Pál, 1920).
- For simply connected Kakeya needle sets: for any ε > 0 there is a simply connected Kakeya needle set with less than ε measure (Cunningham, 1971).

Cunningham asked, what happens for circular arcs in place of line segments?

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

#### Further results for Kakeya needle sets

- For convex Kakeya needle sets: the smallest possible measure is  $1/\sqrt{3}$ , that of the equilateral triangle of height 1 (Pál, 1920).
- For simply connected Kakeya needle sets: for any ε > 0 there is a simply connected Kakeya needle set with less than ε measure (Cunningham, 1971).

Cunningham asked, what happens for circular arcs in place of line segments?

#### Observation

The following are equivalent:

- For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a Kakeya needle set of measure less than  $\varepsilon$ .
- For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and any two unit line segments in the plane, the first segment can be continuously moved to the second segment within a set of less than  $\varepsilon$  measure.

#### Definition

 $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  has the strong Kakeya property ( $K^s$ ), if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , A can be continuously moved to any prescribed position within a set of less than  $\varepsilon$  measure.

#### Definition

 $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  has the strong Kakeya property ( $K^s$ ), if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , A can be continuously moved to any prescribed position within a set of less than  $\varepsilon$  measure.

Cunningham's question is: do circular arcs have property  $(K^s)$ ?

#### Definition

 $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  has the strong Kakeya property ( $K^s$ ), if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , A can be continuously moved to any prescribed position within a set of less than  $\varepsilon$  measure.

Cunningham's question is: do circular arcs have property  $(K^s)$ ?

#### Theorems

イロト イヨト イヨト

### Definition

 $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  has the strong Kakeya property ( $K^s$ ), if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , A can be continuously moved to any prescribed position within a set of less than  $\varepsilon$  measure.

Cunningham's question is: do circular arcs have property  $(K^s)$ ?

#### Theorems

• If A is a line segment, then A has property  $K^s$  (follows from Besicovitch, 1920).

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

### Definition

 $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  has the strong Kakeya property ( $K^s$ ), if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , A can be continuously moved to any prescribed position within a set of less than  $\varepsilon$  measure.

Cunningham's question is: do circular arcs have property  $(K^s)$ ?

#### Theorems

- If A is a line segment, then A has property  $K^s$  (follows from Besicovitch, 1920).
- If A is a finite union of parallel line segments then A has property K<sup>s</sup> (Davies, 1971).

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

### Definition

 $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  has the strong Kakeya property ( $K^s$ ), if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , A can be continuously moved to any prescribed position within a set of less than  $\varepsilon$  measure.

Cunningham's question is: do circular arcs have property  $(K^s)$ ?

#### Theorems

- If A is a line segment, then A has property  $K^s$  (follows from Besicovitch, 1920).
- If A is a finite union of parallel line segments then A has property K<sup>s</sup> (Davies, 1971).
- If A has  $\dim_{H}A < 1$ , then A has property  $K^{s}$  (Easy observation, H-Laczkovich, 2016).

### Definition

 $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  has the strong Kakeya property ( $K^s$ ), if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , A can be continuously moved to any prescribed position within a set of less than  $\varepsilon$  measure.

Cunningham's question is: do circular arcs have property  $(K^s)$ ?

#### Theorems

- If A is a line segment, then A has property K<sup>s</sup> (follows from Besicovitch, 1920).
- If A is a finite union of parallel line segments then A has property K<sup>s</sup> (Davies, 1971).
- If A has  $\dim_{H}A < 1$ , then A has property  $K^{s}$  (Easy observation, H-Laczkovich, 2016).
- If A is a full circle then A does not have property  $K^s$  (Easy observation, H-Laczkovich, 2016).

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Definition

 $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  has the strong Kakeya property ( $K^s$ ), if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , A can be continuously moved to any prescribed position within a set of less than  $\varepsilon$  measure.

#### Theorems

### Definition

 $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  has the strong Kakeya property ( $K^s$ ), if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , A can be continuously moved to any prescribed position within a set of less than  $\varepsilon$  measure.

#### Theorems

• If A is a circular arc less than 1/5 of a circle, then A has K<sup>s</sup> (H-Laczkovich, 2016).

### Definition

 $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  has the strong Kakeya property ( $K^s$ ), if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , A can be continuously moved to any prescribed position within a set of less than  $\varepsilon$  measure.

#### Theorems

- If A is a circular arc less than 1/5 of a circle, then A has  $K^{s}$  (H-Laczkovich, 2016).
- If A is a circular arc less than a half circle, then A has K<sup>s</sup> (Chang-Csörnyei, 2020).

In fact, the same is true if we adjoin the opposite circular arc to A.

### Definition

 $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  has the strong Kakeya property ( $K^s$ ), if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , A can be continuously moved to any prescribed position within a set of less than  $\varepsilon$  measure.

#### Theorems

- If A is a circular arc less than 1/5 of a circle, then A has  $K^s$  (H-Laczkovich, 2016).
- If A is a circular arc less than a half circle, then A has K<sup>s</sup> (Chang-Csörnyei, 2020).
  In fact, the same is true if we adjoin the opposite circular arc to A.
- There can be no curves with property (K<sup>s</sup>) other than line segments and circular arcs (Csörnyei-H-Laczkovich, 2017).
  More precisely, if A is a closed set with property (K<sup>s</sup>) such that all of its connected components are non-trivial, then A must be a subset of parallel line segments or concentric circles.

7/22

イロト イヨト イヨト

### Definition

 $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  has the strong Kakeya property ( $K^s$ ), if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , A can be continuously moved to any prescribed position within a set of less than  $\varepsilon$  measure.

#### Theorems

- If A is a circular arc less than 1/5 of a circle, then A has  $K^s$  (H-Laczkovich, 2016).
- If A is a circular arc less than a half circle, then A has K<sup>s</sup> (Chang-Csörnyei, 2020).
  In fact, the same is true if we adjoin the opposite circular arc to A.
- There can be no curves with property (K<sup>s</sup>) other than line segments and circular arcs (Csörnyei-H-Laczkovich, 2017).
  More precisely, if A is a closed set with property (K<sup>s</sup>) such that all of its connected components are non-trivial, then A must be a subset of parallel line segments or concentric circles.

Remark: it is an open question whether circular arcs that are at least a half circle and less than a full circle have property  $(K^s)$ .

Let  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  with  $\dim \Gamma = 1,$  where  $\dim\,$  denotes Hausdorff dimension.

### Definition

 $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is a  $\Gamma$ -Besicovitch set if E contains a rotated (and translated) copy of  $\Gamma$  in every direction.

Image: A matching of the second se

Let  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  with  $\dim \Gamma = 1,$  where  $\dim\,$  denotes Hausdorff dimension.

#### Definition

 $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is a  $\Gamma$ -Besicovitch set if E contains a rotated (and translated) copy of  $\Gamma$  in every direction.

Question: How small can **Г**-Besicovitch sets be?

Let  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  with  $\dim \Gamma = 1,$  where  $\dim\,$  denotes Hausdorff dimension.

#### Definition

 $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is a  $\Gamma$ -Besicovitch set if E contains a rotated (and translated) copy of  $\Gamma$  in every direction.

Question: How small can  $\Gamma$ -Besicovitch sets be?

#### Observations

• If  $\Gamma$  is a unit line segment, E is a classical Besicovitch set.

Let  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  with  $\dim \Gamma = 1,$  where  $\dim\,$  denotes Hausdorff dimension.

#### Definition

 $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is a  $\Gamma$ -Besicovitch set if E contains a rotated (and translated) copy of  $\Gamma$  in every direction.

Question: How small can **F**-Besicovitch sets be?

#### Observations

- If  $\Gamma$  is a unit line segment, *E* is a classical Besicovitch set.
- If Γ is a circular arc, we can choose E to be a circle, so E can have dimension 1.

Let  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  with  $\dim \Gamma = 1,$  where  $\dim\,$  denotes Hausdorff dimension.

### Definition

 $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is a  $\Gamma$ -Besicovitch set if E contains a rotated (and translated) copy of  $\Gamma$  in every direction.

Question: How small can **F**-Besicovitch sets be?

#### Observations

- If  $\Gamma$  is a unit line segment, E is a classical Besicovitch set.
- If Γ is a circular arc, we can choose *E* to be a circle, so *E* can have dimension 1.

What can we say about other curves  $\Gamma$ ?

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Let  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  with  $\dim \Gamma = 1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{H}^1$  denote the 1-dimensional Hausdorff measure.

### Definition

We say that  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is an almost- $\Gamma$ -Besicovitch set if E contains a rotated (and translated) copy of a full-measure subset of  $\Gamma$  in almost every direction.

That is: for almost every direction  $\theta$ , there exists  $\Gamma_{\theta} \subset \Gamma$  with  $\mathcal{H}^{1}(\Gamma_{\theta}) = \mathcal{H}^{1}(\Gamma)$  such that *E* contains a  $\theta$ -rotated (and translated) copy of  $\Gamma_{\theta}$ .

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Let  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  with  $\dim \Gamma = 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}^1$  denote the 1-dimensional Hausdorff measure.

### Definition

We say that  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is an almost- $\Gamma$ -Besicovitch set if E contains a rotated (and translated) copy of a full-measure subset of  $\Gamma$  in almost every direction.

That is: for almost every direction  $\theta$ , there exists  $\Gamma_{\theta} \subset \Gamma$  with  $\mathcal{H}^{1}(\Gamma_{\theta}) = \mathcal{H}^{1}(\Gamma)$  such that E contains a  $\theta$ -rotated (and translated) copy of  $\Gamma_{\theta}$ .

Recall the definition of rectifiable sets:  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is 1-rectifiable if there are Lipschitz maps  $f_i : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2 (i = 1, 2, ...)$  such that  $\mathcal{H}^1(\Gamma \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(\mathbb{R})) = 0$ .

### Theorem (Chang-Csörnyei, 2020)

If  $\Gamma$  is a 1-rectifiable set, then there exists an almost- $\Gamma$ -Besicovitch set E with measure zero.

イロト イヨト イヨト

### Theorem (Chang-Csörnyei, 2020)

If  $\Gamma$  is a 1-rectifiable set, then there exists an almost- $\Gamma$ -Besicovitch set E with measure zero.

Remarks:

- If  $\Gamma$  is the graph of a convex function, then  $\Gamma_\theta$  can be chosen to be  $\Gamma$  minus a single point.
- In fact, the position (rotation and translation) can be chosen continuously, so we have a continuous movement, but  $\theta \to \Gamma_{\theta}$  can not be continuous.
- The directions of tangents play an important role in the proof.

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

### Theorem (Chang-Csörnyei, 2020)

If  $\Gamma$  is a 1-rectifiable set, then there exists an almost- $\Gamma$ -Besicovitch set E with measure zero.

Remarks:

- If  $\Gamma$  is the graph of a convex function, then  $\Gamma_\theta$  can be chosen to be  $\Gamma$  minus a single point.
- In fact, the position (rotation and translation) can be chosen continuously, so we have a continuous movement, but  $\theta \to \Gamma_{\theta}$  can not be continuous.
- The directions of tangents play an important role in the proof.

Question: What can we say about the Hausdorff dimension of  $(almost-)\Gamma$ -Besicovitch sets for rectifiable curves  $\Gamma$ ?

Remark: One naturally expects that for dimension, the answer for  $\Gamma$ -Besicovitch sets is the same as for almost- $\Gamma$ -Besicovitch sets.

イロト イヨト イヨト
Recall:  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is a  $\Gamma$ -Besicovitch set if E contains a rotated (and translated) copy of  $\Gamma$  in every direction.

э

Recall:  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is a  $\Gamma$ -Besicovitch set if E contains a rotated (and translated) copy of  $\Gamma$  in every direction.

#### Propositions

If Γ is a countable union of (not necessarily concentric) circular arcs, then E can have dimension 1 (Chang-Csörnyei, 2020).

• • • • • • • • • • •

Recall:  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is a  $\Gamma$ -Besicovitch set if E contains a rotated (and translated) copy of  $\Gamma$  in every direction.

#### Propositions

- If Γ is a countable union of (not necessarily concentric) circular arcs, then E can have dimension 1 (Chang-Csörnyei, 2020).
- If Γ is a C<sup>∞</sup> curve other than a circular arc, then every Γ-Besicovitch set E must have Hausdorff dimension 2 (follows from Zahl's paper (2013), unpublished observation of Chang).

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Recall:  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is a  $\Gamma$ -Besicovitch set if E contains a rotated (and translated) copy of  $\Gamma$  in every direction.

#### Propositions

- If Γ is a countable union of (not necessarily concentric) circular arcs, then E can have dimension 1 (Chang-Csörnyei, 2020).
- If Γ is a C<sup>∞</sup> curve other than a circular arc, then every Γ-Besicovitch set E must have Hausdorff dimension 2 (follows from Zahl's paper (2013), unpublished observation of Chang).

Proof idea for the latter:

If  $\Gamma$  is not a line segment or a circular arc, then there is a portion  $\Gamma'$  of the curve where the curvature is strictly monotone. For such curves, the "cinematic curvature condition" holds, which roughly means that two copies of  $\Gamma'$  can not be tangent to second order, and then Zahl's theorem can be applied to get dim E = 2.

イロト イヨト イヨト

#### Question

What can we say about the dimension of  $\Gamma$ -Besicovitch sets for curves  $\Gamma$  without nice differentiability properties? For example, for rectifiable curves with a vertical tangent  $\mathcal{H}^1$ -almost everywhere?

A (10) × A (10) × A (10)

#### Question

What can we say about the dimension of  $\Gamma$ -Besicovitch sets for curves  $\Gamma$  without nice differentiability properties? For example, for rectifiable curves with a vertical tangent  $\mathcal{H}^1$ -almost everywhere?

We consider Cantor-graphs (Devil's staircases), only above the Cantor set (this means, that the usual horizontal line segments are not included) with a vertical tangent at almost every point.

The simplest case is: the usual Devil's staircase that maps the 1/3 Cantor set to [0, 1].



Figure: Devil's staircase (without horizontal line segments)

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Our result in this simplest case is the following.

#### Theorem (Altaf-Csörnyei-H, 2022)

Let C be the standard ternary Cantor set and let  $s = \dim C_H = \log 2/\log 3$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be the Devil's staircase graph built on C. Then for any  $\Gamma$ -Besicovitch set E,  $\dim E \ge 1/s$ .



Figure: A portion of a  $\Gamma$ -Besicovitch set E for the Devil's staircase  $\Gamma$ 

More generally, we consider digit-based self-similar Cantor sets as follows. Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $2 \leq b < a$ , fix a digit set  $J \subset \{0, 1, \dots, a-1\}$  with |J| = b, and fix a bijection  $\sigma : J \to \{0, 1, \dots, b-1\}$ . Define

$$C = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_j}{a^j} : x_j \in J \right\}, \ \Gamma = \left\{ \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_j}{a^j}, \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma(x_j)}{b^j} \right) : x_j \in J \right\}.$$

More generally, we consider digit-based self-similar Cantor sets as follows. Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $2 \leq b < a$ , fix a digit set  $J \subset \{0, 1, \dots, a-1\}$  with |J| = b, and fix a bijection  $\sigma : J \to \{0, 1, \dots, b-1\}$ . Define

$$C = \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_j}{a^j} : x_j \in J\right\}, \ \Gamma = \left\{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_j}{a^j}, \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma(x_j)}{b^j}\right) : x_j \in J\right\}.$$

Then the following are easy to see:

- C is self-similar and dim  $C = \log b / \log a$ .
- $\Gamma$  is a rectifiable set with vertical tangents  $\mathcal{H}^1$ -almost everywhere.

More generally, we consider digit-based self-similar Cantor sets as follows. Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $2 \leq b < a$ , fix a digit set  $J \subset \{0, 1, \dots, a-1\}$  with |J| = b, and fix a bijection  $\sigma : J \to \{0, 1, \dots, b-1\}$ . Define

$$C = \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_j}{a^j} : x_j \in J\right\}, \ \Gamma = \left\{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_j}{a^j}, \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma(x_j)}{b^j}\right) : x_j \in J\right\}.$$

Then the following are easy to see:

- C is self-similar and dim  $C = \log b / \log a$ .
- $\Gamma$  is a rectifiable set with vertical tangents  $\mathcal{H}^1$ -almost everywhere.

#### Theorem (Altaf-Csörnyei-H, 2022)

For any  $\Gamma$ -Besicovitch set E, we have  $\dim E \ge \min(2-s^2, 1/s)$ , where  $s = \dim C$ .

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

More generally, we consider digit-based self-similar Cantor sets as follows. Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $2 \leq b < a$ , fix a digit set  $J \subset \{0, 1, \dots, a-1\}$  with |J| = b, and fix a bijection  $\sigma : J \to \{0, 1, \dots, b-1\}$ . Define

$$C = \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_j}{a^j} : x_j \in J\right\}, \ \Gamma = \left\{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_j}{a^j}, \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma(x_j)}{b^j}\right) : x_j \in J\right\}.$$

Then the following are easy to see:

- C is self-similar and dim  $C = \log b / \log a$ .
- $\Gamma$  is a rectifiable set with vertical tangents  $\mathcal{H}^1$ -almost everywhere.

#### Theorem (Altaf-Csörnyei-H, 2022)

For any  $\Gamma$ -Besicovitch set E, we have  $\dim E \ge \min(2-s^2, 1/s)$ , where  $s = \dim C$ .

Remark: in our paper, the results are in fact more flexible, we consider Cantor sets C that are not necessarily self-similar but still have a rigid structure, and more general Cantor graphs on C again with a rigid structure.

Kornélia Héra (Rényi Institute of Mathematics)

Recall C and  $\Gamma$  as before:

$$C = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_j}{a^j} : x_j \in J \right\}, \ \Gamma = \left\{ \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_j}{a^j}, \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma(x_j)}{b^j} \right) : x_j \in J \right\}.$$

Theorem (Altaf-Csörnyei-H, 2022)

For any  $\Gamma$ -Besicovitch set E, we have  $\dim E \ge \min(2-s^2,1/s)$ , where  $s = \dim C$ .

Recall C and  $\Gamma$  as before:

$$C = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_j}{a^j} : x_j \in J \right\}, \ \Gamma = \left\{ \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_j}{a^j}, \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma(x_j)}{b^j} \right) : x_j \in J \right\}.$$

#### Theorem (Altaf-Csörnyei-H, 2022)

For any  $\Gamma$ -Besicovitch set E, we have  $\dim E \ge \min(2-s^2,1/s)$ , where  $s = \dim C$ .

My coauthor recently proved the following:

Recall C and  $\Gamma$  as before:

$$C = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_j}{a^j} : x_j \in J \right\}, \ \Gamma = \left\{ \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_j}{a^j}, \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma(x_j)}{b^j} \right) : x_j \in J \right\}.$$

#### Theorem (Altaf-Csörnyei-H, 2022)

For any  $\Gamma$ -Besicovitch set E, we have  $\dim E \geq \min(2-s^2,1/s)$ , where  $s = \dim C$ .

My coauthor recently proved the following:

#### Theorem (Altaf, 2023)

For any  $s \in [0, 1]$ , there exists a Cantor set (a compact nowhere dense perfect set) C with  $\dim_H C = s$ , a monotone function over C such that its graph  $\Gamma$  is rectifiable with vertical tangents  $\mathcal{H}^1$ -almost everywhere, and such that there exists a  $\Gamma$ -Besicovitch set E with  $\dim_H E = 1$ .

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Let *E* be a  $\Gamma$ -Besicovitch set where  $\Gamma$  is as above, and let  $d = \min(2 - s^2, 1/s)$ .

• • • • • • • • • • •

Let *E* be a  $\Gamma$ -Besicovitch set where  $\Gamma$  is as above, and let  $d = \min(2 - s^2, 1/s)$ . Fix a small scale  $\delta = 1/a^n$ . Recall that  $s = \dim C = \log b / \log a$ , thus we have  $\delta^s = 1/b^n$ .

We discretize the setting at scale  $\delta$ :

- Cover Γ by δ<sup>-s</sup> many rectangles of size δ × δ<sup>s</sup>. Let R denote the union of these rectangles.
- Chose a maximal  $\delta$ -separated set of angles A. We have  $|A| \approx \delta^{-1}$ .
- For each  $\theta \in A$ , consider the rotated copy  $\Gamma_{\theta} \subset E$  and the corresponding approximation  $R_{\theta}$ .

Let *E* be a  $\Gamma$ -Besicovitch set where  $\Gamma$  is as above, and let  $d = \min(2 - s^2, 1/s)$ . Fix a small scale  $\delta = 1/a^n$ . Recall that  $s = \dim C = \log b / \log a$ , thus we have  $\delta^s = 1/b^n$ .

We discretize the setting at scale  $\delta$ :

- Cover Γ by δ<sup>-s</sup> many rectangles of size δ × δ<sup>s</sup>. Let R denote the union of these rectangles.
- Chose a maximal  $\delta$ -separated set of angles A. We have  $|A| \approx \delta^{-1}$ .
- For each  $\theta \in A$ , consider the rotated copy  $\Gamma_{\theta} \subset E$  and the corresponding approximation  $R_{\theta}$ .

We will show that

$$\mathcal{L}\left(\mathsf{E}(\delta)
ight) pprox \mathcal{L}\left(igcup_{ heta\in\mathsf{A}} \mathsf{\Gamma}_{ heta}(\delta)
ight) \gtrsim rac{\delta^{2-d}}{\log(1/\delta)},$$

where  $E(\delta)$  denotes the  $\delta$ -neighborhood of E, and  $\mathcal{L}$  denotes the Lebesgue measure.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Let *E* be a  $\Gamma$ -Besicovitch set where  $\Gamma$  is as above, and let  $d = \min(2 - s^2, 1/s)$ . Fix a small scale  $\delta = 1/a^n$ . Recall that  $s = \dim C = \log b / \log a$ , thus we have  $\delta^s = 1/b^n$ .

We discretize the setting at scale  $\delta$ :

- Cover Γ by δ<sup>-s</sup> many rectangles of size δ × δ<sup>s</sup>. Let R denote the union of these rectangles.
- Chose a maximal  $\delta$ -separated set of angles A. We have  $|A| \approx \delta^{-1}$ .
- For each  $\theta \in A$ , consider the rotated copy  $\Gamma_{\theta} \subset E$  and the corresponding approximation  $R_{\theta}$ .

We will show that

$$\mathcal{L}\left(\mathsf{E}(\delta)
ight) pprox \mathcal{L}\left(igcup_{ heta\in\mathsf{A}} \mathsf{\Gamma}_{ heta}(\delta)
ight) \gtrsim rac{\delta^{2-d}}{\log(1/\delta)},$$

where  $E(\delta)$  denotes the  $\delta$ -neighborhood of E, and  $\mathcal{L}$  denotes the Lebesgue measure.

This implies that the Minkowski dimension of *E* is at least *d*. Using a standard pigeonholing argument, we also get that  $\dim E \ge d$ .

Kornélia Héra (Rényi Institute of Mathematics)

Besicovitch sets of Cantor graphs

16/22

Recall that  $R_{\theta}$  is a natural approximation of  $\Gamma_{\theta}$  consisting of  $\delta^{-s}$  many rectangles of size  $\delta \times \delta^{s}$ .

We use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality:

$$\sum_{\theta \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{L}(R_{\theta}) \leq \mathcal{L}\left(\bigcup_{\theta \in \mathcal{A}} R_{\theta}\right)^{1/2} \cdot \left(\sum_{\theta, \theta' \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{L}(R_{\theta'} \cap R_{\theta})\right)^{1/2}$$

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

.

Recall that  $R_{\theta}$  is a natural approximation of  $\Gamma_{\theta}$  consisting of  $\delta^{-s}$  many rectangles of size  $\delta \times \delta^{s}$ .

We use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality:

$$\sum_{\theta \in A} \mathcal{L}(R_{\theta}) \leq \mathcal{L}\left(\bigcup_{\theta \in A} R_{\theta}\right)^{1/2} \cdot \left(\sum_{\theta, \theta' \in A} \mathcal{L}(R_{\theta'} \cap R_{\theta})\right)^{1/2}$$

For the left hand side, we have:

$$\sum_{\theta \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{R}_{\theta}) = \sum_{\theta \in \mathcal{A}} \delta \cdot \delta^{s} \cdot \delta^{-s} = 1.$$

.

Recall that  $R_{\theta}$  is a natural approximation of  $\Gamma_{\theta}$  consisting of  $\delta^{-s}$  many rectangles of size  $\delta \times \delta^{s}$ .

We use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality:

$$\sum_{\theta \in A} \mathcal{L}(R_{\theta}) \leq \mathcal{L}\left(\bigcup_{\theta \in A} R_{\theta}\right)^{1/2} \cdot \left(\sum_{\theta, \theta' \in A} \mathcal{L}(R_{\theta'} \cap R_{\theta})\right)^{1/2}$$

For the left hand side, we have:

$$\sum_{\theta \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{R}_{\theta}) = \sum_{\theta \in \mathcal{A}} \delta \cdot \delta^{s} \cdot \delta^{-s} = 1.$$

We need an upper bound for  $\sum_{\theta,\theta'\in A} \mathcal{L}(R_{\theta'} \cap R_{\theta})$ .

Recall that  $R_{\theta}$  is a natural approximation of  $\Gamma_{\theta}$  consisting of  $\delta^{-s}$  many rectangles of size  $\delta \times \delta^{s}$ .

We use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality:

$$\sum_{\theta \in A} \mathcal{L}(R_{\theta}) \leq \mathcal{L}\left(\bigcup_{\theta \in A} R_{\theta}\right)^{1/2} \cdot \left(\sum_{\theta, \theta' \in A} \mathcal{L}(R_{\theta'} \cap R_{\theta})\right)^{1/2}$$

For the left hand side, we have:

$$\sum_{\theta \in A} \mathcal{L}(R_{\theta}) = \sum_{\theta \in A} \delta \cdot \delta^{s} \cdot \delta^{-s} = 1.$$

We need an upper bound for  $\sum_{\theta,\theta' \in A} \mathcal{L}(R_{\theta'} \cap R_{\theta})$ . When  $\theta = \theta'$ , this becomes  $\sum_{\theta \in A} \mathcal{L}(R_{\theta}) = 1$ .

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Recall that  $R_{\theta}$  is a natural approximation of  $\Gamma_{\theta}$  consisting of  $\delta^{-s}$  many rectangles of size  $\delta \times \delta^{s}$ .

We use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality:

$$\sum_{\theta \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{L}(R_{\theta}) \leq \mathcal{L}\left(\bigcup_{\theta \in \mathcal{A}} R_{\theta}\right)^{1/2} \cdot \left(\sum_{\theta, \theta' \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{L}(R_{\theta'} \cap R_{\theta})\right)^{1/2}$$

For the left hand side, we have:

$$\sum_{\theta \in A} \mathcal{L}(R_{\theta}) = \sum_{\theta \in A} \delta \cdot \delta^{s} \cdot \delta^{-s} = 1.$$

We need an upper bound for  $\sum_{\theta,\theta'\in A} \mathcal{L}(R_{\theta'} \cap R_{\theta})$ . When  $\theta = \theta'$ , this becomes  $\sum_{\theta \in A} \mathcal{L}(R_{\theta}) = 1$ .

Otherwise  $|\theta - \theta'| \ge \delta$ . WLOG we can assume that one of the graphs is unmoved, that is,  $\theta' = 0$ ,  $R_{\theta'} = R$ . Assume for simplicity that  $\theta > 0$ .

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

#### Definition

Let  $L(\delta, \theta)$  denote the number of intersecting pairs of rectangles of R and  $R_{\theta}$ .

Observations:

#### Definition

Let  $L(\delta, \theta)$  denote the number of intersecting pairs of rectangles of R and  $R_{\theta}$ .

Observations:

• There is a constant (independent of  $\delta$  and  $\theta$ ) such that each fixed rectangle R can only intersect c many rectangles of  $R_{\theta}$ .

#### Definition

Let  $L(\delta, \theta)$  denote the number of intersecting pairs of rectangles of R and  $R_{\theta}$ .

Observations:

• There is a constant (independent of  $\delta$  and  $\theta$ ) such that each fixed rectangle R can only intersect c many rectangles of  $R_{\theta}$ .

Reason: The diameter of the rectangles is  $\approx \delta^s$  and the vertical spacing between rectangles in R is  $\delta^s$ .

#### Definition

Let  $L(\delta, \theta)$  denote the number of intersecting pairs of rectangles of R and  $R_{\theta}$ .

Observations:

• There is a constant (independent of  $\delta$  and  $\theta$ ) such that each fixed rectangle R can only intersect c many rectangles of  $R_{\theta}$ .

Reason: The diameter of the rectangles is  $\approx \delta^s$  and the vertical spacing between rectangles in R is  $\delta^s$ .

Therefore,  $L(\delta, \theta) \leq c \cdot \delta^{-s}$ .

#### Definition

Let  $L(\delta, \theta)$  denote the number of intersecting pairs of rectangles of R and  $R_{\theta}$ .

Observations:

There is a constant (independent of δ and θ) such that each fixed rectangle R can only intersect c many rectangles of R<sub>θ</sub>.
 Reason: The diameter of the rectangles is ≈ δ<sup>s</sup> and the vertical spacing between rectangles in R is δ<sup>s</sup>.

Therefore,  $L(\delta, \theta) \leq c \cdot \delta^{-s}$ .

• For each  $\theta \in A$ , rectangle T of R and T' of  $R_{\theta}$ , we have  $\mathcal{L}(T \cap T') \lesssim \frac{\delta^2}{\theta}$ .

#### Definition

Let  $L(\delta, \theta)$  denote the number of intersecting pairs of rectangles of R and  $R_{\theta}$ .

Observations:

There is a constant (independent of δ and θ) such that each fixed rectangle R can only intersect c many rectangles of R<sub>θ</sub>.
 Reason: The diameter of the rectangles is ≈ δ<sup>s</sup> and the vertical spacing between rectangles in R is δ<sup>s</sup>.
 Therefore, L(δ, θ) < c ⋅ δ<sup>-s</sup>.

• For each  $\theta \in A$ , rectangle T of R and T' of  $R_{\theta}$ , we have  $\mathcal{L}(T \cap T') \lesssim \frac{\delta^2}{\theta}$ .

Reason: This follows from the fact that the same estimate holds for two infinite strips of width  $\delta$  with angle  $\theta$ .

• By the previous observations, we have

$$\sum_{\theta \in \mathcal{A}, \theta \geq \delta} \mathcal{L}(R_{\theta} \cap R) \lesssim \sum_{\theta \in \mathcal{A}, \theta \geq \delta} L(\delta, \theta) \cdot \frac{\delta^2}{\theta} \lesssim \delta^{1-s} \log\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right).$$

• • • • • • • • • • •

• By the previous observations, we have

$$\sum_{\theta \in \mathcal{A}, \theta \geq \delta} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{R}_{\theta} \cap \mathcal{R}) \lesssim \sum_{\theta \in \mathcal{A}, \theta \geq \delta} \mathcal{L}(\delta, \theta) \cdot \frac{\delta^2}{\theta} \lesssim \delta^{1-s} \log\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right).$$

• Summing up for  $\theta' \in A$ , this yields

$$\sum_{ heta, heta'\in\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{L}(R_ heta\cap R_{ heta'})\lesssim \delta^{-s}\cdot \log\left(rac{1}{\delta}
ight).$$

• By the previous observations, we have

$$\sum_{\theta \in \mathcal{A}, \theta \geq \delta} \mathcal{L}(R_{\theta} \cap R) \lesssim \sum_{\theta \in \mathcal{A}, \theta \geq \delta} \mathcal{L}(\delta, \theta) \cdot \frac{\delta^2}{\theta} \lesssim \delta^{1-s} \log\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right).$$

• Summing up for  $\theta' \in A$ , this yields

$$\sum_{ heta, heta'\in \mathcal{A}}\mathcal{L}(R_ heta\cap R_{ heta'})\lesssim \delta^{-s}\cdot \log\left(rac{1}{\delta}
ight).$$

Recalling the Cauchy-Schartz inequality:

$$1 = \sum_{ heta \in A} \mathcal{L}(R_{ heta}) \leq \mathcal{L}\left(igcup_{ heta \in A} R_{ heta}
ight)^{1/2} \cdot \left(\sum_{ heta, heta' \in A} \mathcal{L}(R_{ heta'} \cap R_{ heta})
ight)^{1/2},$$

we obtain  $\mathcal{L}\left(\bigcup_{\theta \in A} R_{\theta}\right) \gtrsim \delta^s / \log\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)$ .

• By the previous observations, we have

$$\sum_{\theta \in \mathcal{A}, \theta \geq \delta} \mathcal{L}(R_{\theta} \cap R) \lesssim \sum_{\theta \in \mathcal{A}, \theta \geq \delta} L(\delta, \theta) \cdot \frac{\delta^2}{\theta} \lesssim \delta^{1-s} \log\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right).$$

• Summing up for  $\theta' \in A$ , this yields

$$\sum_{ heta, heta'\in \mathcal{A}}\mathcal{L}(R_ heta\cap R_{ heta'})\lesssim \delta^{-s}\cdot \log\left(rac{1}{\delta}
ight).$$

Recalling the Cauchy-Schartz inequality:

$$1 = \sum_{ heta \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{L}(R_{ heta}) \leq \mathcal{L}\left(igcup_{ heta \in \mathcal{A}} R_{ heta}
ight)^{1/2} \cdot \left(\sum_{ heta, heta' \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{L}(R_{ heta'} \cap R_{ heta})
ight)^{1/2},$$

we obtain  $\mathcal{L}\left(\bigcup_{\theta \in A} R_{\theta}\right) \gtrsim \delta^{s} / \log\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)$ . This gives us dim  $E \geq 2 - s$ .



Figure: The bounds 2 - s and min $(2 - s^2, 1/s)$ 

In order to improve dim  $E \ge 2-s$  to min $(2-s^2, 1/s)$ , we give an improved bound for  $L(\delta, \theta) \lesssim \delta^{-s}$ .

The improvement on  $L(\delta, \theta)$  is done separately for small angles and large angles. We say that  $\theta$  is small if  $\theta \leq \min(\delta^s, \delta^{1-s})$ , otherwise it is large.

The improvement on  $L(\delta, \theta)$  is done separately for small angles and large angles. We say that  $\theta$  is small if  $\theta \leq \min(\delta^s, \delta^{1-s})$ , otherwise it is large. For small angles, we use the rigid structure of C and  $\Gamma$ . We obtain

$$L(\delta, \theta) \lesssim rac{1}{\delta^s} \cdot \max\left(rac{\delta}{\theta}, heta^s
ight).$$
## Besicovitch sets of Cantor graphs

The improvement on  $L(\delta, \theta)$  is done separately for small angles and large angles. We say that  $\theta$  is small if  $\theta \leq \min(\delta^s, \delta^{1-s})$ , otherwise it is large. For small angles, we use the rigid structure of C and  $\Gamma$ . We obtain

$$L(\delta, heta) \lesssim rac{1}{\delta^{\mathfrak{s}}} \cdot \max\left(rac{\delta}{ heta}, heta^{\mathfrak{s}}
ight).$$

For large angles, we use 3 different scales:  $r > \rho > \delta$  carefully chosen to satisfy the following properties:

- $\theta$  is considered to be small with respect to scale r. More precisely,  $\theta \approx \min(r^s, r^{1-s})$ .
- Inside an intersecting pair of  $\rho \times \rho^s$  rectangles, only constant many pairs of  $\delta \times \delta^s$  rectangles can intersect. For this, we need  $\rho \approx \theta \cdot \delta^s$ .

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

## Besicovitch sets of Cantor graphs

The improvement on  $L(\delta, \theta)$  is done separately for small angles and large angles. We say that  $\theta$  is small if  $\theta \leq \min(\delta^s, \delta^{1-s})$ , otherwise it is large. For small angles, we use the rigid structure of C and  $\Gamma$ . We obtain

$$L(\delta, \theta) \lesssim rac{1}{\delta^s} \cdot \max\left(rac{\delta}{\theta}, \theta^s
ight).$$

For large angles, we use 3 different scales:  $r > \rho > \delta$  carefully chosen to satisfy the following properties:

- $\theta$  is considered to be small with respect to scale r. More precisely,  $\theta \approx \min(r^s, r^{1-s})$ .
- Inside an intersecting pair of  $\rho \times \rho^s$  rectangles, only constant many pairs of  $\delta \times \delta^s$  rectangles can intersect. For this, we need  $\rho \approx \theta \cdot \delta^s$ .

We get the following bound:

$$L(\delta,\theta) \lesssim L(\rho,\theta) \lesssim \frac{r^s}{\rho^s} \cdot L(r,\theta) \lesssim \frac{r^s}{(\theta\delta^s)^s} \cdot L(r,\theta).$$

Finally, we use the small angles bound for  $L(r, \theta)$ .

- 34

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

## Thank you for your attention!

2

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨ