Mathematical foundations of automatic differentiation



A tutorial – part 1











In a nutshell: some motivation

Example: regressions and derivatives

 $cost(w, b) = some program (* that computes e.g. <math>\Sigma_i(b + w * x_i - y_i)^2 *)$

aler o tarent transformation and on a control or restance into the addition of the second

Follow the derivative downhill

Best way to calculate derivatives of programs

Automatic Differentiation (AD)

(AKA backpropagation)



AD: many perspectives

Automatic differentiation: a long history



Robin Edwin Wengert

1964 (forward mode)

Seppo Linnainmaa 1976 (reverse mode)

Bart Speelpenning 1980 (reverse mode)



- -> machine learning community
- -> programming languages community



and many many more!

My perspective in this tutorial: Combinatory Homomorphic Automatic Differentiation



- Programming languages as freely generated categories
- Universal property

AD definition semantics correctness proof

as 3 canonical homomorphic functors

Some of my fantastic collaborators!

supporting GPU implementation



expressive languages + mathematical foundations

CHAD for

Fernando Lucatelli Nunes reverse CHAD for recursion



Gordon Plotkin



Tom Smeding

efficiency and complexity of CHAD + (GPU) implementation



crucial initial ideas in context of dual numbers forward AD

Gabriele Keller



Trevor McDonell



Mathieu Huot

Sam Staton

Some topics we'll cover

- In depth: why care?
- Basics of AD: different modes
- Programming languages as **free categories**
- Linear types for accumulation effect
- Categorical structure of **Σ-type categories**
- AD as a **homomorphic** functor
- Correctness via categorical logical relations
- Deriving dual numbers CHAD from regular CHAD
- Implementation challenges
- Generalizing CHAD beyond AD



In depth: why compute derivatives?

Why compute derivatives?

• optimization

[gradient descent & its variants]

(m)

• Bayesian inference

 $\operatorname{argmin}_{v} f(x)$

 $p(\theta | y) = p(\theta, y) / \int p(\theta, y) d\theta$ [HMC, ADVI, Gibbs with Gradients]

• solving systems of non-linear equations

f(x) = o [Newton-Krylov methods]





11

Why compute derivatives?

optimization

e.g. fitting neural nets, MLE in statistics

Bayesian inference

e.g. applied statistics and probabilistic ML

solving systems of non-linear equations

e.g. solve discretizations of PDEs arising in physics



Any more use cases for derivatives?

Computing derivatives?

What is a derivative? Various perspectives

• Geometry: best linear approx. $Df : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ to a function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ (i.e. action of function on tangent vectors)

algorithm: ???

• Analysis: limit of finite differences $Df(x)(v) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{f(x + \delta \cdot v) - f(x)}{\delta}$

algorithm: finite differencing

- Algebra: symbolic transformation governed by certain rules
 - chain rule
 - rules giving derivative for primitive operations (e.g. product rule)

algorithm: automatic differentiation



Want to compute derivatives: some desiderata



• generally applicable

Any more desiderata?

Finite differencing

Finite differencing

• differentiation as a higher-order function:

- problems?
- numerical stability
 - adding small number to large number
 - subtracting two numbers that are almost the same
- time inefficiency for high dimensional input
 - \circ O(n) time complexity overhead over $f:\mathbb{R}^n o\mathbb{R}^m$ for full derivative. Why?
 - \circ same asymptotic space complexity as f (other than storing derivative values)



computes column

20

Automatic differentiation (AD)

Forward mode AD

Elliott Vákár Vákár, Smeding Lucatelli Nunes, Vákár

AD – basic idea – forward mode

• differentiation as a metaprogram:

```
diff :: (Vect a, Vect b) =>
        Code (a -> b) -> Code (a -> a -o b)
diff (f . g) = \x v -> diff f (g x) (diff g x v)
diff sin = \x v -> cos x * v
diff (*) = \x v -> x_1 * v_2 + x_2 * v_1
diff (+) = \x v -> (+) v
: : :
```

- much more numerically stable!
- any problems?
 - chain rule: often need g as well as its $Dg! \rightarrow pair g$ with Dg
 - \circ common subcomputations in *g* and *Dg* -> share between *g* and *Dg*



computes column

AD – basic idea – forward mode

• sharing and pairing the primal and tangent computations *g* and *Dg*

diff sin = $\langle x - \rangle (\sin x, \langle v - \rangle \cos x * v)$

diff (*) =
$$\langle x - \rangle$$
 ((*) x, $\langle v - \rangle x_1 * v_2 + x_2 * v_1$)

diff (+) = $\langle x - \rangle$ ((+) x, $\langle v - \rangle$ (+) v)

÷

• exercise: derivative of tuples & let-binding! preserve sharing!



computes column

AD – basic idea – forward mode

$$diff :: (Vect a, Vect b) \Rightarrow \\ Code (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow Code (a \rightarrow b \times (a - o b))) \\ diff x_i = (x \rightarrow (x_i, (v \rightarrow v_i))) \\ diff s_i = (x \rightarrow let (s1, s2) = diff s x in (s1_i, (v \rightarrow (s2 v)_i))) \\ diff (s, t) = (x \rightarrow let (s1, s2) = diff s x (t1, t2) = diff t x in ((s1, t1), (v \rightarrow (s2 v, t2 v))) \\ diff (let x = s in t) = (x \rightarrow let (s1, s2) = diff s x (t1, t2) = diff t (x, s1) in (t1, (v \rightarrow t2) (v, s2 v)) \\ \end{cases}$$

 ∂x_n ∂x_1 ∂u_m ∂u_m

computes column

we will improve on this later

v))

complexity:

- O(n) time complexity overhead over $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ for full derivative. Why? Ο
- space complexity overhead over *f* proportional to all intermediates of *f*. Why? 0
- generates code of size O(size(f)). Why? Ο

Forward AD example

Original program

Forward AD transformed program

x : real + t : real × real

let y = 2 * x z = x * y w = cos z v = (y, z, w) in v
let y = 2 * x z = x * y w = cos z v = (y, z, w) in (v, \x' ->
let y' = 2 * x' z' = x' * y + x * y' w' = -sin z * z' v' = (y', z', w') in v')
primals

Reverse mode AD

Elliott Abadi, Wei, Plotkin, Vytiniotis, Belov Vákár Vákár, Smeding Lucatelli Nunes, Vákár

AD – recall – forward mode



computes column

diff :: (Vect a, Vect b) => Code $(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow Code (a \rightarrow b \times (a - o b)))$

diff = $\langle x - \rangle (\sin x, \langle v - \rangle \cos x * v)$ sin

 $= \ \ ((*) x, \ \) x \rightarrow x_1 * v_2 + x_2 * v_1)$ diff (*)

= $(x \to ((+) x, (v \to (+) v))$ diff (+)

÷



$rac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_1} \quad \cdots \quad rac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_n}$ AD – basic idea – reverse mode diff :: (Vect a, Vect b) => $rac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_1}$... ∂u_m Code $(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow Code (a \rightarrow b \times (b - o a)))$ $x \rightarrow (x i, \quad v \rightarrow v \sim i)$ diff x_i computes row = diff s i $x \rightarrow 1et (s1, s2) = diff s x in$ = $(s1 i, \langle v - \rangle s2 (v \sim i))$ diff (s, t) $x \rightarrow let (s1, s2) = diff s x$ = (t1, t2) = diff t x in $((s1, t1), \langle v \rangle s2 v_1 + t2 v_2))$ diff (let x = s in t) = $x \rightarrow 1et (s1, s2) = diff s x$ (t1, t2) = diff t (x, s1) in(t1, $\langle v \rangle$ = t2 v in t21 + s2 t22 where $v \sim i = (0, ..., 0, v, 0, ..., 0)$

AD – basic idea – reverse mode



more about this later

computes row

- **complexity** (assuming a sparse vector representation for cotangents):
 - O(m) time complexity overhead over $f:\mathbb{R}^n o \mathbb{R}^m$ for full derivative. Why?
 - space complexity overhead over *f* proportional to all intermediates of *f*. Why?
 - generates code of size O(size(f)). Why?

Reverse AD example

Original program

Reverse AD transformed program

```
x : real X real X real X real
                  + t : real X (real -o real X real X real X
                real)
                let y = x1 * x4 + 2 * x2
                    z = y * x3
                    w = z + x4
                                                                   primals
                    u1 = sin w
                    u^2 = \cos w
                    v = u1 + u2 in
                    (∨ , \v' ->
                           let u2' = v'
                               u1' = v'
                               w' = cos w * u1' - sin w * u2'
                               z' = w'
duplication -> addition
                                                                   cotangents
                               y' = z' * x3
                               x1' = y' * x4
                               x2' = 2 * y'
                               x3' = y * z'
                               x4' = x1 * y' + w' in
                               (x1', x2', x3', x4'))
```

Dual numbers forward mode AD

Kmett Shaikhha, Fitzgibbon, Vytiniotis, Peyton Jones Huot, Staton, Vákár

AD – basic idea – fwd dual numbers

• compute derivative

÷



computes column

diff :: (Vect a, Vect b) =>
 Code (a -> b) -> Code ((a X a) -> (b X b))

- diff (f.g) = diff f. diff g
- diff sin = $\langle (x, x') \rangle \langle (\sin x, x' * \cos x) \rangle$
- diff (*) = $(x, x') \rightarrow ((*) x, x_1 * x'_2 + x_2 * x'_1)$

:

:

diff (+) = $(x, x') \rightarrow ((+) x, (+) x')$

AD – basic idea – fwd dual numbers

diff :: (Vect a, Vect b) => Code (a -> b) -> Code ((a X a) -> (b X b))

diff $x_i = \langle (x, x') \rangle \langle (x_i, x'_i)$

• complexity:

- \circ O(n) time complexity overhead over $f:\mathbb{R}^n o \mathbb{R}^m$ for full derivative. Why?
- \circ O(1) space complexity overhead over f. Why?
- generates code of size *O*(*size*(*f*)). Why?



computes column

Dual numbers forward AD example

Original program

x : real ⊦ t : real × real × real

Dual numbers forward AD transformed program

(x, x') : real \times real + Dt : (real \times real) Х $(real \times real)$ Х $(real \times real)$ mixed primals let (y, y') = (2 * x, 2 * x') and (z, z') = (x * y, x' * y + x * y')tangents (w, w') = (cos z, -sin z * z') (v, v') = ((y, z, w), (y', z', w')) in (v, v')

Dual numbers reverse mode AD

Kmett Abadi, Plotkin? Mak, Ong? Brunel, Mazza, Pagani Huot, Staton, Vákár Mazza, Pagani Krawiec, Peyton Jones, Krishnaswami, Ellis, Eisenberg, Fitzgibbon



• generates code of size *O*(*size*(*f*)). Why?

Dual numbers reverse AD example

i.e. custom interpreter

Original program Dual numbers reverse AD transformed program x : real X real X real X real x : real X real X real X real + t : real + t : real × (real -o real X real X real X real) let y = x1 * x4 + 2 * x2**let** y = x1 * x4 + 2 * x2 z = y * x3z = y * x3w = z + x4w = z + x4primals u1 = sin wu1 = sin w $u^2 = \cos w$ $u^2 = \cos w$ v = u1 + u2 inv = u1 + u2 inv $(v, \v' \to let u1' = v'$ **let** u2' = v' **in** (let w' = cos w * u1' aargh! duplicate computation **let** z' = w' from w' onwards, for both **let** y' = z' * x3 **in** cotangents contributions! (y' * x4, 2 * y', y * z', x1 * y' + w')) + (let w' = -sin w * u2' Brunel, Mazza, Pagani **let** z' = w' solution: linear factoring rule **let** y' = z' * x3 **in** wk w' + wk w'' -> wk (w' + w'') (y' * x4, 2 * y', y * z', x1 * y' + w')))