

Equidistribution of weakly special subvarieties, o-minimality and the geometric André–Oort conjecture

Joint work with Rodolphe Richard

Emmanuel Ullmo, IHES

CIRM, O-minimality and foliations

03/06/2021



Homogeneous Dynamics

- \mathbf{G} a semi-simple \mathbb{Q} -algebraic group, $G := \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})^+$.

Homogeneous Dynamics

- \mathbf{G} a semi-simple \mathbb{Q} -algebraic group, $G := \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})^+$.
- Exemple $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{SL}(n)$ or $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{Sp}(2n)$.

Homogeneous Dynamics

- \mathbf{G} a semi-simple \mathbb{Q} -algebraic group, $G := \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})^+$.
- Exemple $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{SL}(n)$ or $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{Sp}(2n)$.
- $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ an arithmetic lattice and $S = \Gamma \backslash G$. By definition Γ is commensurable to $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Z})$. Borel proved that the covolume of $S = \Gamma \backslash G$ with respect to the Haar measure is finite.

Homogeneous Dynamics

- \mathbf{G} a semi-simple \mathbb{Q} -algebraic group, $G := \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})^+$.
- Exemple $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{SL}(n)$ or $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{Sp}(2n)$.
- $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ an arithmetic lattice and $S = \Gamma \backslash G$. By definition Γ is commensurable to $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Z})$. Borel proved that the covolume of $S = \Gamma \backslash G$ with respect to the Haar measure is finite.
- Therefore S is endowed with a canonical $G(\mathbb{R})$ -invariant probability measure μ_S .

Homogeneous Dynamics

- \mathbf{G} a semi-simple \mathbb{Q} -algebraic group, $G := \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})^+$.
- Exemple $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{SL}(n)$ or $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{Sp}(2n)$.
- $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ an arithmetic lattice and $S = \Gamma \backslash G$. By definition Γ is commensurable to $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Z})$. Borel proved that the covolume of $S = \Gamma \backslash G$ with respect to the Haar measure is finite.
- Therefore S is endowed with a canonical $G(\mathbb{R})$ -invariant probability measure μ_S .
- We say that a \mathbb{Q} -subgroup \mathbf{H} of \mathbf{G} of type \mathcal{H} if the radical \mathbf{R} of \mathbf{H} is unipotent and \mathbf{H}/\mathbf{R} is a product of \mathbb{Q} -simple factors \mathbf{F}_α such that $\mathbf{F}_\alpha(\mathbb{R})$ is not compact.

Homogeneous Dynamics

- \mathbf{G} a semi-simple \mathbb{Q} -algebraic group, $G := \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})^+$.
- Exemple $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{SL}(n)$ or $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{Sp}(2n)$.
- $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ an arithmetic lattice and $S = \Gamma \backslash G$. By definition Γ is commensurable to $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Z})$. Borel proved that the covolume of $S = \Gamma \backslash G$ with respect to the Haar measure is finite.
- Therefore S is endowed with a canonical $G(\mathbb{R})$ -invariant probability measure μ_S .
- We say that a \mathbb{Q} -subgroup \mathbf{H} of \mathbf{G} of type \mathcal{H} if the radical \mathbf{R} of \mathbf{H} is unipotent and \mathbf{H}/\mathbf{R} is a product of \mathbb{Q} -simple factors \mathbf{F}_α such that $\mathbf{F}_\alpha(\mathbb{R})$ is not compact.
- $\mathbf{H} \simeq \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{F}_1 \times \mathbf{F}_2 \times \dots \times \mathbf{F}_r$ with \mathbf{R} unipotent, \mathbf{F}_α \mathbb{Q} -simple and $\mathbf{F}_\alpha(\mathbb{R})$ is not compact.

Homogeneous Dynamics

- \mathbf{G} a semi-simple \mathbb{Q} -algebraic group, $G := \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})^+$.
- Exemple $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{SL}(n)$ or $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{Sp}(2n)$.
- $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ an arithmetic lattice and $S = \Gamma \backslash G$. By definition Γ is commensurable to $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Z})$. Borel proved that the covolume of $S = \Gamma \backslash G$ with respect to the Haar measure is finite.
- Therefore S is endowed with a canonical $G(\mathbb{R})$ -invariant probability measure μ_S .
- We say that a \mathbb{Q} -subgroup \mathbf{H} of \mathbf{G} of type \mathcal{H} if the radical \mathbf{R} of \mathbf{H} is unipotent and \mathbf{H}/\mathbf{R} is a product of \mathbb{Q} -simple factors \mathbf{F}_α such that $\mathbf{F}_\alpha(\mathbb{R})$ is not compact.
- $\mathbf{H} \simeq \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{F}_1 \times \mathbf{F}_2 \times \dots \times \mathbf{F}_r$ with \mathbf{R} unipotent, \mathbf{F}_α \mathbb{Q} -simple and $\mathbf{F}_\alpha(\mathbb{R})$ is not compact.
- In this situation $\Gamma_H := \Gamma \cap H$ is lattice in \mathbf{H} .

Homogeneous Dynamics

- $Z := \Gamma \backslash \Gamma H \simeq \Gamma_H \backslash H \subset S$ is endowed with a H -invariant probability measure μ_H .

Homogeneous Dynamics

- $Z := \Gamma \backslash \Gamma H \simeq \Gamma_H \backslash H \subset S$ is endowed with a H -invariant probability measure μ_H .
- As \mathbf{G} acts on the right on $S = \Gamma \backslash G$, for any $g \in G$ we define $\mu_{H,g}$ as the translate of μ_H by g .

Homogeneous Dynamics

- $Z := \Gamma \backslash \Gamma H \simeq \Gamma_H \backslash H \subset S$ is endowed with a H -invariant probability measure μ_H .
- As \mathbf{G} acts on the right on $S = \Gamma \backslash G$, for any $g \in G$ we define $\mu_{H,g}$ as the translate of μ_H by g .
- We say that $\mu_{H,g}$ is an homogeneous measure.
 $\text{Supp}(\mu_{H,g}) = \Gamma_H \backslash H.g \subset S$.

Homogeneous Dynamics

- $Z := \Gamma \backslash \Gamma H \simeq \Gamma_H \backslash H \subset S$ is endowed with a H -invariant probability measure μ_H .
- As \mathbf{G} acts on the right on $S = \Gamma \backslash G$, for any $g \in G$ we define $\mu_{H,g}$ as the translate of μ_H by g .
- We say that $\mu_{H,g}$ is an homogeneous measure.
 $\text{Supp}(\mu_{H,g}) = \Gamma_H \backslash H.g \subset S$.
- Let $(\mathbf{H}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of \mathbb{Q} -subgroups of \mathbf{G} of type \mathcal{H}

Homogeneous Dynamics

- $Z := \Gamma \backslash \Gamma H \simeq \Gamma_H \backslash H \subset S$ is endowed with a H -invariant probability measure μ_H .
- As \mathbf{G} acts on the right on $S = \Gamma \backslash G$, for any $g \in G$ we define $\mu_{H,g}$ as the translate of μ_H by g .
- We say that $\mu_{H,g}$ is an homogeneous measure.
 $\text{Supp}(\mu_{H,g}) = \Gamma_H \backslash H.g \subset S$.
- Let $(\mathbf{H}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of \mathbb{Q} -subgroups of \mathbf{G} of type \mathcal{H}
- Let $(g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of elements of G and μ_{H_n, g_n} be the translate by g_n of μ_{H_n} . $\text{Supp}(\mu_{H_n, g_n}) = \Gamma_{H_n} \backslash H_n.g_n \subset S$

Homogeneous Dynamics

- $Z := \Gamma \backslash \Gamma H \simeq \Gamma_H \backslash H \subset S$ is endowed with a H -invariant probability measure μ_H .
- As \mathbf{G} acts on the right on $S = \Gamma \backslash G$, for any $g \in G$ we define $\mu_{H,g}$ as the translate of μ_H by g .
- We say that $\mu_{H,g}$ is an homogeneous measure.
 $\text{Supp}(\mu_{H,g}) = \Gamma_H \backslash H.g \subset S$.
- Let $(\mathbf{H}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of \mathbb{Q} -subgroups of \mathbf{G} of type \mathcal{H}
- Let $(g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of elements of G and μ_{H_n, g_n} be the translate by g_n of μ_{H_n} . $\text{Supp}(\mu_{H_n, g_n}) = \Gamma_{H_n} \backslash H_n.g_n \subset S$
- **Main Question:** What can be said about the convergence of μ_{H_n, g_n} ?

Ratner theory and Mozes-Shah theorem

Let $\mathbf{H} \subset \mathbf{G}$ be of type \mathcal{H} , $g \in G$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then $\mu_{H,g} = \mu_{\gamma H \gamma^{-1}, \gamma g}$. We fix a fundamental set \mathcal{F} for the action of Γ on G . We say that the writing of $\mu_{H,g}$ is normalised if $g \in \mathcal{F}$.

Ratner theory and Mozes-Shah theorem

Let $\mathbf{H} \subset \mathbf{G}$ be of type \mathcal{H} , $g \in G$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then $\mu_{H,g} = \mu_{\gamma H \gamma^{-1}, \gamma g}$. We fix a fundamental set \mathcal{F} for the action of Γ on G . We say that the writing of $\mu_{H,g}$ is normalised if $g \in \mathcal{F}$.

Theorem (Mozes-Shah)

Let $(\mu_{H_n, g_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of homogeneous measures in a normalised writing.

(i) If g_n varies in a bounded subset of \mathcal{F} , then up to passing to a subsequence, $g_n \rightarrow g_\infty$ and there exists a subgroup \mathbf{H}_∞ of type \mathcal{H} such that $\mathbf{H}_n \subset \mathbf{H}_\infty$ for all n and such that

$$\mu_{H_n, g_n} \longrightarrow \mu_{H_\infty, g_\infty}.$$

(ii) Let $\bar{S} = S \cup \{\infty\}$ be the one point compactification of S . Let μ_∞ a weak limit of μ_{H_n, g_n} . Then $\mu_\infty = \delta_\infty$ or $\mu_\infty = \mu_{H_\infty, g_\infty}$ as in (i).

Main result

Let $S = \Gamma \backslash G$, $\mu_n := \mu_{H_n, g_n}$ weakly converging to $\mu_\infty = \mu_{H_\infty, g_\infty}$ with $H_n \subset H_\infty$ and $g_n \rightarrow g_\infty$. Let $\theta : G \rightarrow S = \Gamma \backslash G$ be the projection map.

Main result

Let $S = \Gamma \backslash G$, $\mu_n := \mu_{H_n, g_n}$ weakly converging to $\mu_\infty = \mu_{H_\infty, g_\infty}$ with $H_n \subset H_\infty$ and $g_n \rightarrow g_\infty$. Let $\theta : G \rightarrow S = \Gamma \backslash G$ be the projection map.

Theorem (Richard-U)

Let $\widehat{V} \subset S$ be a measurable set and $U \subset G$ be **bounded and definable**. Assume that

- $\liminf \mu_n(\widehat{V} \cap \theta(U)) > 0$ (in particular $\widehat{V} \cap U$ contains an open subset of $\Gamma \backslash \Gamma H_n g_n$)
- $\theta^{-1}(\widehat{V}) \cap U \subset G$ is **definable**.

Then $\widehat{V} \cap \theta(U)$ contains a non empty open set of

$$\Gamma \backslash \Gamma H_\infty g_\infty = \Gamma_{H_\infty} \backslash H_\infty g_\infty \subset S.$$

locally symmetric spaces, Shimura varieties, Period Domain

- More generally we can fix $M \subset G$ a compact subgroup and $S_M := \Gamma \backslash G/M$.
- All the previous results apply to S_M . When $M = \{1\}$, $S_M = S$.

locally symmetric spaces, Shimura varieties, Period Domain

- More generally we can fix $M \subset G$ a compact subgroup and $S_M := \Gamma \backslash G/M$.
- All the previous results apply to S_M . When $M = \{1\}$, $S_M = S$.
- When $M = K_\infty$, S_M is a locally symmetric space.

locally symmetric spaces, Shimura varieties, Period Domain

- More generally we can fix $M \subset G$ a compact subgroup and $S_M := \Gamma \backslash G/M$.
- All the previous results apply to S_M . When $M = \{1\}$, $S_M = S$.
- When $M = K_\infty$, S_M is a locally symmetric space.
- If G/K_∞ is hermitian, S_{K_∞} is a quasi-projective algebraic variety (Baily-Borel). (**Shimura Case**).

locally symmetric spaces, Shimura varieties, Period Domain

- More generally we can fix $M \subset G$ a compact subgroup and $S_M := \Gamma \backslash G/M$.
- All the previous results apply to S_M . When $M = \{1\}$, $S_M = S$.
- When $M = K_\infty$, S_M is a locally symmetric space.
- If G/K_∞ is hermitian, S_{K_∞} is a quasi-projective algebraic variety (Baily-Borel). (**Shimura Case**).
- If V is a quasi projective variety supporting a \mathbb{Z} -variation of Hodge structure, there is an associated holomorphic period map

$$\psi : V \longrightarrow \Gamma \backslash G/M$$

for some associated, (G, Γ, M) . (**Period domain case**)

Shimura case

When $S = \Gamma \backslash G / K_\infty$ is a Shimura variety. A weakly special subvariety Z of S is a complex totally geodesic subvariety of S . Moreover

$$\Gamma_H \backslash HgK_\infty / K_\infty = \Gamma_H \backslash X_H \rightarrow Z \subset S$$

for some semi-simple subgroup $\mathbf{H} \subset \mathbf{G}$ of type \mathcal{H} , such that $X_H = H.gK_\infty / K_\infty$ is hermitian symmetric.

Shimura case

When $S = \Gamma \backslash G / K_\infty$ is a Shimura variety. A weakly special subvariety Z of S is a complex totally geodesic subvariety of S . Moreover

$$\Gamma_H \backslash HgK_\infty / K_\infty = \Gamma_H \backslash X_H \rightarrow Z \subset S$$

for some semi-simple subgroup $\mathbf{H} \subset \mathbf{G}$ of type \mathcal{H} , such that $X_H = H.gK_\infty / K_\infty$ is hermitian symmetric. The main theorem implies the "geometric part of the André-Oort conjecture" that was proven before using o-minimality and functional transcendence (Ax-Lindemann):

Theorem

Let V be an algebraic subvariety of S containing a Zariski dense set of weakly special subvarieties. Then V is weakly special or there is a special subvariety

$$\psi : \Gamma_{H_1} \backslash X_{H_1} \times \Gamma_{H_2} \backslash X_{H_2} \longrightarrow S$$

and an algebraic subvariety W of $\Gamma_{H_2} \backslash X_{H_2}$ such that

$$V = \psi(\Gamma_{H_1} \backslash X_{H_1} \times W) \subset S.$$

sketch of proof of the "geometric part of André-Oort"

Let $Z_n = \Gamma \backslash \Gamma H_n g_n K_\infty / K_\infty$ be a sequence of maximal weakly special subvariety of V . Assume for simplicity that the sequence of measures μ_{Z_n} has no "loss of mass". We may also assume that V is not contained in any proper special subvariety.

sketch of proof of the "geometric part of André-Oort"

Let $Z_n = \Gamma \backslash \Gamma H_n g_n K_\infty / K_\infty$ be a sequence of maximal weakly special subvariety of V . Assume for simplicity that the sequence of measures μ_{Z_n} has no "loss of mass". We may also assume that V is not contained in any proper special subvariety.

- By Mozes-Shah, up to passing to a sub-sequence we may assume that μ_{H_n, g_n} weakly converges to μ_{H_∞, g_∞} for some subgroup \mathbf{H}_∞ of type \mathcal{H} containing all the \mathbf{H}_n .

sketch of proof of the "geometric part of André-Oort"

Let $Z_n = \Gamma \backslash \Gamma H_n g_n K_\infty / K_\infty$ be a sequence of maximal weakly special subvariety of V . Assume for simplicity that the sequence of measures μ_{Z_n} has no "loss of mass". We may also assume that V is not contained in any proper special subvariety.

- By Mozes-Shah, up to passing to a sub-sequence we may assume that μ_{H_n, g_n} weakly converges to μ_{H_∞, g_∞} for some subgroup \mathbf{H}_∞ of type \mathcal{H} containing all the \mathbf{H}_n .
- Then using that $\pi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow S$ is definable in $\mathbb{R}^{an, exp}$ and the main theorem we deduce that that

$$Z_n = \Gamma \backslash \Gamma H_n g_n K_\infty / K_\infty \subset Z'_n := \Gamma \backslash \Gamma H_\infty g_n K_\infty / K_\infty \subset V$$

sketch of proof of the "geometric part of André-Oort"

Let $Z_n = \Gamma \backslash \Gamma H_n g_n K_\infty / K_\infty$ be a sequence of maximal weakly special subvariety of V . Assume for simplicity that the sequence of measures μ_{Z_n} has no "loss of mass". We may also assume that V is not contained in any proper special subvariety.

- By Mozes-Shah, up to passing to a sub-sequence we may assume that μ_{H_n, g_n} weakly converges to μ_{H_∞, g_∞} for some subgroup \mathbf{H}_∞ of type \mathcal{H} containing all the \mathbf{H}_n .
- Then using that $\pi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow S$ is definable in $\mathbb{R}^{an, exp}$ and the main theorem we deduce that that

$$Z_n = \Gamma \backslash \Gamma H_n g_n K_\infty / K_\infty \subset Z'_n := \Gamma \backslash \Gamma H_\infty g_n K_\infty / K_\infty \subset V$$

- In general Z'_n is not weakly special: \mathbf{H}_∞ may have a unipotent part, $H_\infty \cdot g_n \cdot K_\infty$ could be not a symmetric space or a non hermitian symmetric space.

sketch of proof of the "geometric part of André-Oort"

- The "Baby Ax-Lindemann" theorem implies that the Zariski closure \tilde{Z}_n of Z'_n is weakly special.

sketch of proof of the "geometric part of André-Oort"

- The "Baby Ax-Lindemann" theorem implies that the Zariski closure \tilde{Z}_n of Z'_n is weakly special.
- By maximality we have $Z_n = Z'_n = \tilde{Z}_n$ and $\mathbf{H}_\infty = \mathbf{H}_n$.

sketch of proof of the "geometric part of André-Oort"

- The "Baby Ax-Lindemann" theorem implies that the Zariski closure \tilde{Z}_n of Z'_n is weakly special.
- By maximality we have $Z_n = Z'_n = \tilde{Z}_n$ and $\mathbf{H}_\infty = \mathbf{H}_n$.
- An argument in group theory shows that \mathbf{H}_∞ is normal in \mathbf{G} and concludes the proof.

sketch of proof of the "geometric part of André-Oort"

- The "Baby Ax-Lindemann" theorem implies that the Zariski closure \tilde{Z}_n of Z'_n is weakly special.
- By maximality we have $Z_n = Z'_n = \tilde{Z}_n$ and $\mathbf{H}_\infty = \mathbf{H}_n$.
- An argument in group theory shows that \mathbf{H}_∞ is normal in \mathbf{G} and concludes the proof.
- If the mass of μ_{Z_n} escape at ∞ , we use some recent results of Daw-Gorodnik-U to adapt the proof.

The period domain case

- Let V be a quasi-projective variety with a \mathbb{Z} -variation of Hodge structures and

$$\psi : V \longrightarrow S_M = \Gamma \backslash G/M$$

the associated period map.

The period domain case

- Let V be a quasi-projective variety with a \mathbb{Z} -variation of Hodge structures and

$$\psi : V \longrightarrow S_M = \Gamma \backslash G/M$$

the associated period map.

- There is an analogue definition of weakly special subvarieties of S_M and we say that a weakly special subvariety Z of S_M is **horizontal** if $Z \subset \overline{\psi(V)}$.

The period domain case

- Let V be a quasi-projective variety with a \mathbb{Z} -variation of Hodge structures and

$$\psi : V \longrightarrow S_M = \Gamma \backslash G/M$$

the associated period map.

- There is an analogue definition of weakly special subvarieties of S_M and we say that a weakly special subvariety Z of S_M is **horizontal** if $Z \subset \overline{\psi(V)}$.
- By Cattani, Deligne, Kaplan if Z is weakly special, analytic components of $\psi^{-1}(Z)$ are algebraic subvarieties of V .

The period domain case

- Let V be a quasi-projective variety with a \mathbb{Z} -variation of Hodge structures and

$$\psi : V \longrightarrow S_M = \Gamma \backslash G/M$$

the associated period map.

- There is an analogue definition of weakly special subvarieties of S_M and we say that a weakly special subvariety Z of S_M is **horizontal** if $Z \subset \overline{\psi(V)}$.
- By Cattani, Deligne, Kaplan if Z is weakly special, analytic components of $\psi^{-1}(Z)$ are algebraic subvarieties of V .
- We define the **horizontal weakly special positive dimensional locus** $WS^h(V)$ of V to be the union of all the positive dimensional analytic components of $\psi^{-1}(Z)$ for Z varying among horizontal weakly special subvarieties of S_M .

The period domain case

Theorem (Chen-Richard-U)

Let V be a quasi-projective variety supporting a \mathbb{Z} -variation of Hodge structures. Assume that the horizontal weakly special positive dimensional locus $WS^h(V)$ is Zariski dense in V . Then the period map

$\psi : V \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash G/M$ factorises:

$$S \xrightarrow{(\psi_1, \psi_2)} \Gamma_1 \backslash G_1/M_1 \times \Gamma_2 \backslash G_2/M_2 \xrightarrow{\varphi} \Gamma \backslash G/M,$$

where $\Gamma_1 \backslash G_1/M_1$ is a Shimura variety, φ is a finite Hodge morphism, ψ_1 is a dominant algebraic morphism and $\psi(V)$ is dense in

$$\varphi(\Gamma_1 \backslash G_1/M_1 + \times \psi_2(V)).$$

Remark

Assume that μ_{H_n, g_n} converges to μ_{H_∞, g_∞} . Let $Z_n := \text{Supp}(\mu_{H_n, g_n})$ and $Z_\infty := \text{Supp}(\mu_{H_\infty, g_\infty})$. Then $\dim(Z_\infty) \geq \dim(Z_n)$ with equality iff for n big enough, $\mathbf{H}_n = \mathbf{H}_\infty$.

Remark

Assume that μ_{H_n, g_n} converges to μ_{H_∞, g_∞} . Let $Z_n := \text{Supp}(\mu_{H_n, g_n})$ and $Z_\infty := \text{Supp}(\mu_{H_\infty, g_\infty})$. Then $\dim(Z_\infty) \geq \dim(Z_n)$ with equality iff for n big enough, $\mathbf{H}_n = \mathbf{H}_\infty$.

- In homogeneous dynamics the dimension grows at the limit.
- In o-minimal families the dimension decreases at the limit.

Definition

Let $B \subset \mathbb{R}^r$ be a definable subset (in some fixed o-minimal theory). A family of subsets $(A_b)_{b \in B}$ with A_b a definable subset of \mathbb{R}^s is a **definable family** if

$$\tilde{A} := \cup_{b \in B} (\{b\} \times A_b) \subset \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{R}^s$$

is definable.

Definition

Let $B \subset \mathbb{R}^r$ be a definable subset (in some fixed \mathfrak{o} -minimal theory). A family of subsets $(A_b)_{b \in B}$ with A_b a definable subset of \mathbb{R}^s is a **definable family** if

$$\tilde{A} := \cup_{b \in B} (\{b\} \times A_b) \subset \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{R}^s$$

is definable.

It's well known that there are some uniformity results for definable families. For exemple if A_b is finite for all $b \in B$ then $|A_b|$ is uniformly bounded.

o-minimal family and Hausdorff convergence

- Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a compact and $\mathcal{K}(K)$ the set of compact subsets of K
- Then $\mathcal{K}(K)$, endowed with the Hausdorff distance $\delta_H(,)$ is sequentially compact.

$$\delta_H(F_1, F_2) = \max\left\{ \sup_{y \in F_2} d(y, F_1), \sup_{x \in F_1} d(x, F_2) \right\}$$

\mathcal{o} -minimal family and Hausdorff convergence

- Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a compact and $\mathcal{K}(K)$ the set of compact subsets of K
- Then $\mathcal{K}(K)$, endowed with the Hausdorff distance $\delta_H(\cdot, \cdot)$ is sequentially compact.

$$\delta_H(F_1, F_2) = \max\left\{\sup_{y \in F_2} d(y, F_1), \sup_{x \in F_1} d(x, F_2)\right\}$$

Proposition

Let $(A_b)_{b \in B}$ a definable family with $A_b \subset K \subset \mathbb{R}^s$ for all $b \in B \subset \mathbb{R}^r$. Let $(b_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in B . We assume that we have a Hausdorff limit L for the sequence $(\overline{A_{b_i}})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $\mathcal{K}(K)$. Then L is a **definable compact subset** of K and

$$\dim(L) \leq \liminf_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \dim(A_{b_i}).$$

Proposition

Let $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ be an arithmetic lattice. Let \mathbf{H} be a subgroup of \mathbf{G} of type \mathcal{H} .

Proposition

Let $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ be an arithmetic lattice. Let \mathbf{H} be a subgroup of \mathbf{G} of type \mathcal{H} .

- For all bounded subsets U and V of G , there exists a finite subset F of Γ such that

$$\forall g \in V, (\Gamma H g) \cap U = (F H g) \cap U.$$

- If moreover U and V are semi-algebraic, the family

$$((\Gamma H g) \cap U)_{g \in V}$$

is semi-algebraic.

main technical tool in o-minimality and Hausdorff convergence

Theorem (Richard-U)

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a compact and $(\nu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of finite measures with support in K such that $\lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \nu_i = \nu_\infty$ is a finite measure,

main technical tool in o-minimality and Hausdorff convergence

Theorem (Richard-U)

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a compact and $(\nu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of finite measures with support in K such that $\lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \nu_i = \nu_\infty$ is a finite measure, Let d be the essential dimension of ν_∞ .

main technical tool in o-minimality and Hausdorff convergence

Theorem (Richard-U)

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a compact and $(\nu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of finite measures with support in K such that $\lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \nu_i = \nu_\infty$ is a finite measure, Let d be the essential dimension of ν_∞ . Let $(A(b))_{b \in B}$ a definable family in \mathbb{R}^n , $(b_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ in B a sequence and A' a definable subset of \mathbb{R}^n . Assume that

- $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \text{Supp}(\nu_i) \subset A(b_i)$
- $\liminf_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \nu_i(A') > 0$.

Then for n big enough, A' contains a non empty open subset of $A(b_i)$.

Sketch of proof of the main thm

Let $S = \Gamma \backslash G$, $\mu_n := \mu_{H_n, g_n}$ weakly converging to $\mu_\infty = \mu_{H_\infty, g_\infty}$ with $\mathbf{H}_n \subset \mathbf{H}_\infty$ and $g_n \rightarrow g_\infty$. Let $\theta : G \rightarrow S = \Gamma \backslash G$ be the projection map. $\widehat{V} \subset S$ measurable, $U \subset G$ bounded and definable. We assume that

- $\liminf \mu_n(\widehat{V} \cap \theta(U)) > 0$ (in particular $\widehat{V} \cap U$ contains an open subset of $\Gamma \backslash \Gamma H_n g_n$)
- $\theta^{-1}(\widehat{V}) \cap U$ is definable.

Sketch of proof of the main thm

Let $S = \Gamma \backslash G$, $\mu_n := \mu_{H_n, g_n}$ weakly converging to $\mu_\infty = \mu_{H_\infty, g_\infty}$ with $H_n \subset H_\infty$ and $g_n \rightarrow g_\infty$. Let $\theta : G \rightarrow S = \Gamma \backslash G$ be the projection map. $\widehat{V} \subset S$ measurable, $U \subset G$ bounded and definable. We assume that

- $\liminf \mu_n(\widehat{V} \cap \theta(U)) > 0$ (in particular $\widehat{V} \cap U$ contains an open subset of $\Gamma \backslash \Gamma H_n g_n$)
- $\theta^{-1}(\widehat{V}) \cap U$ is definable.

We use the previous theorem with:

- $A' = \theta^{-1}(\widehat{V}) \cap U$, $K = \overline{U}$,

Sketch of proof of the main thm

Let $S = \Gamma \backslash G$, $\mu_n := \mu_{H_n, g_n}$ weakly converging to $\mu_\infty = \mu_{H_\infty, g_\infty}$ with $H_n \subset H_\infty$ and $g_n \rightarrow g_\infty$. Let $\theta : G \rightarrow S = \Gamma \backslash G$ be the projection map. $\widehat{V} \subset S$ measurable, $U \subset G$ bounded and definable. We assume that

- $\liminf \mu_n(\widehat{V} \cap \theta(U)) > 0$ (in particular $\widehat{V} \cap U$ contains an open subset of $\Gamma \backslash \Gamma H_n g_n$)
- $\theta^{-1}(\widehat{V}) \cap U$ is definable.

We use the previous theorem with:

- $A' = \theta^{-1}(\widehat{V}) \cap U$, $K = \overline{U}$,
- $B = U$, $(A_b)_{b \in B} = (\Gamma H_\infty b \cap U)_{b \in U}$ which is a definable family (using reduction theory).

Sketch of proof of the main thm

Let $S = \Gamma \backslash G$, $\mu_n := \mu_{H_n, g_n}$ weakly converging to $\mu_\infty = \mu_{H_\infty, g_\infty}$ with $H_n \subset H_\infty$ and $g_n \rightarrow g_\infty$. Let $\theta : G \rightarrow S = \Gamma \backslash G$ be the projection map. $\widehat{V} \subset S$ measurable, $U \subset G$ bounded and definable. We assume that

- $\liminf \mu_n(\widehat{V} \cap \theta(U)) > 0$ (in particular $\widehat{V} \cap U$ contains an open subset of $\Gamma \backslash \Gamma H_n g_n$)
- $\theta^{-1}(\widehat{V}) \cap U$ is definable.

We use the previous theorem with:

- $A' = \theta^{-1}(\widehat{V}) \cap U$, $K = \overline{U}$,
- $B = U$, $(A_b)_{b \in B} = (\Gamma H_\infty b \cap U)_{b \in U}$ which is a definable family (using reduction theory).
- $\nu_n = (\widehat{\mu}_n)|_{\overline{U}}$ where $\widehat{\mu}_n$ is the Γ -invariant locally finite measure on G corresponding to μ_n on $S = \Gamma \backslash G$. We may assume $g_n \in U$ and $g_n \rightarrow g_\infty$.

Sketch of proof of the main thm

Let $S = \Gamma \backslash G$, $\mu_n := \mu_{H_n, g_n}$ weakly converging to $\mu_\infty = \mu_{H_\infty, g_\infty}$ with $\mathbf{H}_n \subset \mathbf{H}_\infty$ and $g_n \rightarrow g_\infty$. Let $\theta : G \rightarrow S = \Gamma \backslash G$ be the projection map. $\widehat{V} \subset S$ measurable, $U \subset G$ bounded and definable. We assume that

- $\liminf \mu_n(\widehat{V} \cap \theta(U)) > 0$ (in particular $\widehat{V} \cap U$ contains an open subset of $\Gamma \backslash \Gamma H_n g_n$)
- $\theta^{-1}(\widehat{V}) \cap U$ is definable.

We use the previous theorem with:

- $A' = \theta^{-1}(\widehat{V}) \cap U$, $K = \overline{U}$,
- $B = U$, $(A_b)_{b \in B} = (\Gamma H_\infty b \cap U)_{b \in U}$ which is a definable family (using reduction theory).
- $\nu_n = (\widehat{\mu}_n)|_{\overline{U}}$ where $\widehat{\mu}_n$ is the Γ -invariant locally finite measure on G corresponding to μ_n on $S = \Gamma \backslash G$. We may assume $g_n \in U$ and $g_n \rightarrow g_\infty$.

Conclusion $\widehat{V} \cap U$ contains an open subset of $\Gamma \backslash \Gamma H_\infty g_n$. If \widehat{V} is moreover analytic then $\Gamma \backslash \Gamma H_\infty g_n \subset \widehat{V}$.

THANKS FOR YOUR
ATTENTION!