

Introduction to o-minimal geometry

Lecture I : o-minimality

O. Le Gal

Université de Savoie, Chambéry, France

O-minimality and foliations,
CIRM virtual workshop,
31.05.2021

Outline

- 1 Structures on \mathbb{R}
- 2 Regularities
- 3 DCC and finiteness

Structures on \mathbb{R}

A (real) algebraic set X is a subset of \mathbb{R}^n defined by polynomial equations: $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, P_1(x) = 0, \dots, P_k(x) = 0\}$.

Example : quadratic equations with their solutions:

$$X = \{(a, b, c, x) \in \mathbb{R}^4; ax^2 + bx + c = 0\}.$$

With X comes the quadratic equations having a (real) solution:

$$Y = \{(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{R}^3; \exists x \in \mathbb{R}, ax^2 + bx + c = 0\}$$

The quadratic formula gives a presentation of Y with polynomial equations and inequalities:

$$Y = \{(a, b, c); a \neq 0, b^2 - 4ac \geq 0\} \cup \{a = 0, b \neq 0\} \cup \{(0, 0, 0)\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \{(a, b, c, x) \in \mathbb{R}^4; ax^2 + bx + c = 0\} \\ Y &= \{(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{R}^3; \exists x \in \mathbb{R}, ax^2 + bx + c = 0\} \\ &= \{(a, b, c); a \neq 0, b^2 - 4ac \geq 0\} \cup \{a = 0, b \neq 0\} \cup \{(0, 0, 0)\} \end{aligned}$$

Y is the projection of X by $\pi : \mathbb{R}^4 \ni (a, b, c, x) \mapsto (a, b, c) \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

Y is not algebraic: $(1, b, 1) \in Y \Leftrightarrow b \in (-\infty, -2] \cup [2, +\infty)$.

Y can be described by polynomial equations and inequalities.

Studying real algebraic sets drives us to consider sets that are defined not only by polynomial equations but inequalities: the semi-algebraic sets.

Semi-algebraic sets have usefull stability properties. Closed by :

- union, intersection, complement,
- cartesian product,
- projections (Tarski).

Corresponds to stability of formulas by:

- conjunction (“or”), disjunction (“and”), negation (“not”),
- cartesian product,
- adding existential quantifier (“ $\exists x \in \mathbb{R}, \dots$ ”),
- adding universal quantifier (“ $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \dots$ ”).

Example : if $X = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \Phi(x)\}$ is semi-algebraic, its tangent cone is semi-algebraic:

$$TC(X) = \left\{ (x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \begin{array}{l} \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists t > 0, \exists w \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\ t < \varepsilon, \|w - v\| < \varepsilon, \Phi(x + tw) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\mathbb{C} \left(\Pi_{x,v}^{X,\varepsilon} \left(\mathbb{C} \left(\Pi_{x,v,\varepsilon}^{X,\varepsilon,w,t} \left\{ (x, v, \varepsilon, w, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{3n+2}; \begin{array}{l} 0 < t, t < \varepsilon, \\ \|w - v\| < \varepsilon, \\ \Phi(x + tw) \end{array} \right\} \right) \right) \right)$$

We want a framework that mimics the good stability properties of semi-algebraic sets.

Definition

A structure $S = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_n$ on \mathbb{R} is the given for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ of a collection $S_n \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of subset of \mathbb{R}^n such that:

- 1 $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, S_n is a boolean algebra (closed by \cap, \cup, \complement)
- 2 S is closed by projection and cartesian product: if $X \in S_n, Y \in S_m$, then $\pi_k^n(X) \in S_k$ and $X \times Y \in S_{m+n}$
- 3 the graph of $=$ belongs to S_2 : $\{(x, y); x = y\} \in S_2$.

Definition

A structure $S = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_n$ on \mathbb{R} is the given for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ of a collection $S_n \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of subset of \mathbb{R}^n such that:

- 1 $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, S_n is a boolean algebra (closed by \cap, \cup, \complement)
- 2 S is closed by projection and cartesian product: if $X \in S_n, Y \in S_m$, then $\pi_k^n(X) \in S_k$ and $X \times Y \in S_{m+n}$
- 3 the graph of $=$ belongs to S_2 : $\{(x, y); x = y\} \in S_2$.

Definition

The structure generated by $(A_i)_{i \in I}$, where $A_i \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$ is the smallest structure that contains all $A_i, i \in I$.

Definition

A structure $S = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_n$ expanding $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq)$ is the given for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ of a collection $S_n \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of subset of \mathbb{R}^n such that:

- 1 $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, S_n is a boolean algebra (closed by \cap, \cup, \complement)
- 2 S is closed by projection and cartesian product: if $X \in S_n, Y \in S_m$, then $\pi_k^n(X) \in S_k$ and $X \times Y \in S_{m+n}$
- 3 the graphs of $=, \leq$ belongs to S_2 .
- 4 the graphs of $+, \times$ belongs to S_3 .

Definition

A structure $S = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_n$ expanding $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq)$ is the given for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ of a collection $S_n \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of subset of \mathbb{R}^n such that:

- 1 $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, S_n is a boolean algebra (closed by \cap, \cup, \complement)
- 2 S is closed by projection and cartesian product: if $X \in S_n, Y \in S_m$, then $\pi_k^n(X) \in S_k$ and $X \times Y \in S_{m+n}$
- 3 the graphs of $=, \leq$ belongs to S_2 .
- 4 the graphs of $+, \times$ belongs to S_3 .
- 5 all points belongs to S_1 .

Definition

A structure $S = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_n$ expanding $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq)$ is the given for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ of a collection $S_n \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of subset of \mathbb{R}^n such that:

- 1 $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, S_n is a boolean algebra (closed by \cap, \cup, \complement)
- 2 S is closed by projection and cartesian product: if $X \in S_n, Y \in S_m$, then $\pi_k^n(X) \in S_k$ and $X \times Y \in S_{m+n}$
- 3 the graphs of $=, \leq$ belongs to S_2 .
- 4 the graphs of $+, \times$ belongs to S_3 .
- 5 all points belongs to S_1 .

Definition

The expansion of $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq)$ by $(A_i)_{i \in I}$, where $A_i \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$ is the smallest structure that expands $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq)$ and contains all $A_i, i \in I$.

Definition

A structure $S = (S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ expanding $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq)$ is the given for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ of a collection $S_n \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of subset of \mathbb{R}^n such that:

- 1 $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, S_n is a boolean algebra (closed by \cap, \cup, \complement)
- 2 S is closed by projection and cartesian product: if $X \in S_n, Y \in S_m$, then $\pi_k^n(X) \in S_k$ and $X \times Y \in S_{m+n}$
- 3 S contains all semi-algebraic sets.

Definition

The expansion of $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq)$ by $(A_i)_{i \in I}$, where $A_i \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$ is the smallest structure that expands $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq)$ and contains all A_i , $i \in I$.

Definition

Given $S = (S_n)_{n \geq 1}$ a structure on \mathbb{R} , $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is definable in S if $A \in S_n$. $f : D \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is definable in S if its graph belongs to S_{n+m} .

Sets definable in $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq)$ are exactly the semi-algebraic sets.
Maps definable in $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq)$ are exactly the semi-algebraic maps.

Examples.

- Linear structure on \mathbb{R} : structure generated by $+$, $(x \mapsto \lambda x)_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$.
definable = boolean combination of linear subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n .

Examples.

- Linear structure on \mathbb{R} : structure generated by $+$, $(x \mapsto \lambda x)_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$.
definable = boolean combination of linear subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n .
- semi-linear structure: generated by $+$, $(x \mapsto \lambda x)_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$, \leq , 1
definable = combination of polytopes (not necessary bounded)

Examples.

- Linear structure on \mathbb{R} : structure generated by $+$, $(x \mapsto \lambda x)_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$.
definable = boolean combination of linear subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n .
- semi-linear structure: generated by $+$, $(x \mapsto \lambda x)_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$, \leq , 1
definable = combination of polytopes (not necessary bounded)
- ring structure: generated by $+$, \times
definable = semi-algebraic ($x \leq y \Leftrightarrow \exists t, y - x = t^2$).

Examples.

- Linear structure on \mathbb{R} : structure generated by $+$, $(x \mapsto \lambda x)_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$.
definable = boolean combination of linear subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n .
- semi-linear structure: generated by $+$, $(x \mapsto \lambda x)_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$, \leq , 1
definable = combination of polytopes (not necessary bounded)
- ring structure: generated by $+$, \times
definable = semi-algebraic ($x \leq y \Leftrightarrow \exists t, y - x = t^2$).
- expansion of $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq)$ by restricted analytic functions
definable = subanalytic

Examples.

- Linear structure on \mathbb{R} : structure generated by $+$, $(x \mapsto \lambda x)_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$.
definable = boolean combination of linear subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n .
- semi-linear structure: generated by $+$, $(x \mapsto \lambda x)_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$, \leq , 1
definable = combination of polytopes (not necessary bounded)
- ring structure: generated by $+$, \times
definable = semi-algebraic ($x \leq y \Leftrightarrow \exists t, y - x = t^2$).
- expansion of $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq)$ by restricted analytic functions
definable = subanalytic
- expansion of $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq)$ by \mathbb{N}
all the projective hierarchy is definable ! (contains all borelian sets). Ex: Cantor set.

Examples.

- Linear structure on \mathbb{R} : structure generated by $+$, $(x \mapsto \lambda x)_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$.
definable = boolean combination of linear subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n .
- semi-linear structure: generated by $+$, $(x \mapsto \lambda x)_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$, \leq , 1
definable = combination of polytopes (not necessary bounded)
- ring structure: generated by $+$, \times
definable = semi-algebraic ($x \leq y \Leftrightarrow \exists t, y - x = t^2$).
- expansion of $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq)$ by restricted analytic functions
definable = subanalytic
- expansion of $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq)$ by \mathbb{N}
all the projective hierarchy is definable ! (contains all borelian sets). Ex: Cantor set.

Even if there are intermediate behaviors, there is roughly an alternative: defining few “nice” sets or a lot of “complicated” sets.

Definition

An expansion of $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq)$ is o-minimal if the definable sets of \mathbb{R} are the finite unions of points and intervals.

Definition

An expansion of $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq)$ is o-minimal if the definable sets of \mathbb{R} are the finite unions of points and intervals.

Examples:

- 1 $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq)$: semi-algebraic sets

Definition

An expansion of $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq)$ is o-minimal if the definable sets of \mathbb{R} are the finite unions of points and intervals.

Examples:

- 1 $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq)$: semi-algebraic sets
- 2 \mathbb{R}_{an} : subanalytic sets

Definition

An expansion of $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq)$ is o-minimal if the definable sets of \mathbb{R} are the finite unions of points and intervals.

Examples:

- 1 $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq)$: semi-algebraic sets
- 2 \mathbb{R}_{an} : subanalytic sets
- 3 \mathbb{R}_{pow} : $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq, (x \mapsto x^\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}})$

Definition

An expansion of $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq)$ is o-minimal if the definable sets of \mathbb{R} are the finite unions of points and intervals.

Examples:

- 1 $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq)$: semi-algebraic sets
- 2 \mathbb{R}_{an} : subanalytic sets
- 3 \mathbb{R}_{pow} : $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq, (x \mapsto x^\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}})$
- 4 \mathbb{R}_{exp} = $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq, \exp)$

Definition

An expansion of $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq)$ is o-minimal if the definable sets of \mathbb{R} are the finite unions of points and intervals.

Examples:

- 1 $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq)$: semi-algebraic sets
- 2 \mathbb{R}_{an} : subanalytic sets
- 3 \mathbb{R}_{pow} : $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq, (x \mapsto x^\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}})$
- 4 \mathbb{R}_{exp} = $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq, \exp)$
- 5 $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$

Definition

An expansion of $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq)$ is o-minimal if the definable sets of \mathbb{R} are the finite unions of points and intervals.

Examples:

- 1 $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq)$: semi-algebraic sets
- 2 \mathbb{R}_{an} : subanalytic sets
- 3 \mathbb{R}_{pow} : $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq, (x \mapsto x^\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}})$
- 4 \mathbb{R}_{exp} = $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq, \exp)$
- 5 $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$
- 6 Quasianalytic Denjoy-Carleman classes

Definition

An expansion of $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq)$ is o-minimal if the definable sets of \mathbb{R} are the finite unions of points and intervals.

Examples:

- 1 $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq)$: semi-algebraic sets
- 2 \mathbb{R}_{an} : subanalytic sets
- 3 \mathbb{R}_{pow} : $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq, (x \mapsto x^\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}})$
- 4 \mathbb{R}_{exp} = $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq, \exp)$
- 5 $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$
- 6 Quasianalytic Denjoy-Carleman classes
- 7 Some non-oscillating trajectories of analytic vector fields

Definition

An expansion of $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq)$ is o-minimal if the definable sets of \mathbb{R} are the finite unions of points and intervals.

Examples:

- 1 $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq)$: semi-algebraic sets
- 2 \mathbb{R}_{an} : subanalytic sets
- 3 \mathbb{R}_{pow} : $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq, (x \mapsto x^\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}})$
- 4 \mathbb{R}_{exp} = $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq, \exp)$
- 5 $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$
- 6 Quasianalytic Denjoy-Carleman classes
- 7 Some non-oscillating trajectories of analytic vector fields
- 8 Borel summable functions

Definition

An expansion of $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq)$ is o-minimal if the definable sets of \mathbb{R} are the finite unions of points and intervals.

Examples:

- 1 $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq)$: semi-algebraic sets
- 2 \mathbb{R}_{an} : subanalytic sets
- 3 \mathbb{R}_{pow} : $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq, (x \mapsto x^\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}})$
- 4 \mathbb{R}_{exp} = $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq, \exp)$
- 5 $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$
- 6 Quasianalytic Denjoy-Carleman classes
- 7 Some non-oscillating trajectories of analytic vector fields
- 8 Borel summable functions
- 9 Some pfaffian functions (see Jean-Marie)

Definition

An expansion of $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq)$ is o-minimal if the definable sets of \mathbb{R} are the finite unions of points and intervals.

Examples:

- 1 $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq)$: semi-algebraic sets
- 2 \mathbb{R}_{an} : subanalytic sets
- 3 $\mathbb{R}_{pow} : (\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq, (x \mapsto x^\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}})$
- 4 $\mathbb{R}_{exp} = (\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq, \exp)$
- 5 $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$
- 6 Quasianalytic Denjoy-Carleman classes
- 7 Some non-oscillating trajectories of analytic vector fields
- 8 Borel summable functions
- 9 Some pfaffian functions (see Jean-Marie)
- 10 \mathbb{R}_f with $f \in C^\infty([0, 1])$ generic for the Whitney topology.

Regularities

We fix an o-minimal structure S .

Proposition

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be definable. Then f is piecewise continuous: there exists $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that f is continuous on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$.

Regularities

We fix an o-minimal structure S .

Proposition

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be definable. Then f is piecewise continuous: there exists $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that f is continuous on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$.

Proposition

Let $h : \mathbb{R}^n \times (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then for all x , $\ell(x) := \lim_{t \rightarrow b} h(x, t)$ exists in $\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$ and $x \mapsto \ell(x)$ is definable.

Regularities

We fix an o-minimal structure S .

Proposition

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be definable. Then f is piecewise continuous: there exists $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that f is continuous on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$.

Proposition

Let $h : \mathbb{R}^n \times (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then for all x , $\ell(x) := \lim_{t \rightarrow b} h(x, t)$ exists in $\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$ and $x \mapsto \ell(x)$ is definable.

Proposition

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be definable. Then f is piecewise monotone: there exists $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that f is monotone on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$.

Proposition

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be definable. Then f is piecewise differentiable: there exists $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that f is differentiable on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$.

Proposition

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be definable. Then f is piecewise differentiable: there exists $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that f is differentiable on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$.

Proposition

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be definable, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then f is piecewise C^n : there exists $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that f is C^n on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$.

Proposition

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be definable. Then f is piecewise differentiable: there exists $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that f is differentiable on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$.

Proposition

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be definable, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then f is piecewise C^n : there exists $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that f is C^n on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$.

Proposition

If f is definable and has an asymptotic development of order n at a then f is C^n at a .

$$f(a+x) = a_0 + a_1(x-a) + a_2(x-a)^2 + \dots + a_n(x-a)^n + o((x-a)^n).$$

Cell decomposition and finiteness properties

Definition

- A cell decomposition of \mathbb{R} is a finite partition of \mathbb{R} into points and intervals.
- A cell decomposition $\mathcal{C} = (C_i)_i$ of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} is given by a cell decomposition \mathcal{D} of \mathbb{R}^n , and for each $D \in \mathcal{D}$, continuous definable functions $f_j : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $j = 1, \dots, m_D$, with

$$f_0 := -\infty < f_1 < \dots < f_{m_D} < +\infty =: f_{m_D+1}.$$

The C_i 's are the graphs of the f_j , $j = 1, \dots, m_D$ and the strips:

$$(f_j, f_{j+1}) = \{(x, y) \in D \times \mathbb{R}; x \in D, f_j(x) < y < f_{j+1}(x)\}$$

for $j = 0, \dots, m_D$, for all cells $D \in \mathcal{D}$.

Theorem (Cell decomposition)

Let $A_1, \dots, A_k \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be definable. Then there exists a cell decomposition $\mathcal{C} = (C_i)_{i \in I}$ of \mathbb{R}^n adapted to A_1, \dots, A_k , this is, each A_i is a union on cells.

Any definable set is a finite union of sets definably homeomorphic to some $(0; 1)^d$. The dimension of a definable set is the maximal d that appears in a cell decomposition.

Theorem (Finiteness)

If A is definable, A has finitely many connected components.

Theorem (Uniform finiteness)

If $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$ is definable, then there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$, such that all fibers $A_x = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^m; (x, y) \in A\}$ have less than N connected components.

Theorem (Definable choice)

Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ be definable and Π be the projection $\mathbb{R}^{n+m} \ni (x, y) \rightarrow x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then there exists a definable map $\varphi : \Pi(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+n}$ such that $\Pi \circ \varphi = \text{Id}$ on $\Pi(A)$.

Theorem (curve selection)

Let A be definable and $x \in \overline{A}$. Then there exists a continuous definable $\gamma : (0, 1] \rightarrow A$ with $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \gamma(t) = x$.

A connected definable set is arcwise connected (by definable arcs).