

# Kähler-Einstein Bergman metrics on pseudoconvex domains of dimension two

Nikhil Savale (joint with C. Y. Hsiao & M. Xiao)

Universität zu Köln

November 8, 2022

Bergman kernels in microlocal analysis and mathematical physics (CIRM)

# Riemann mapping theorem

Let  $\mathbb{D}^n := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid |z| < 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ .

**Theorem (Riemann mapping theorem 1851)**

*Let  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$  open, proper, simply connected. Then  $U \cong \mathbb{D}^1$  (biholomorphic)*

# Riemann mapping theorem

Let  $\mathbb{D}^n := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid |z| < 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ .

**Theorem (Riemann mapping theorem 1851)**

*Let  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$  open, proper, simply connected. Then  $U \cong \mathbb{D}^1$  (biholomorphic)*

Naive higher dimensional generalization is false

**Theorem (Poincare 1907)**

*In  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , the polydisk and disk  $\mathbb{D}^1 \times \mathbb{D}^1 \not\cong \mathbb{D}^2$  (not biholomorphic).*

Poincare's proof computes:  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{D}^n) = PSU(n, 1)$

# Riemann mapping theorem

Let  $\mathbb{D}^n := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid |z| < 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ .

**Theorem (Riemann mapping theorem 1851)**

*Let  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$  open, proper, simply connected. Then  $U \cong \mathbb{D}^1$  (biholomorphic)*

Naive higher dimensional generalization is false

**Theorem (Poincare 1907)**

*In  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , the polydisk and disk  $\mathbb{D}^1 \times \mathbb{D}^1 \not\cong \mathbb{D}^2$  (not biholomorphic).*

Poincare's proof computes:  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{D}^n) = PSU(n, 1)$

Questions:

- find generalization of RMT in higher dimensions?
- biholomorphism classification of domains in higher dimensions
- more robust biholomorphism invariants?

# Bergman kernel & metric

Let  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  open, connected (often smoothly bounded..)

**Bergman projection:**  $\Pi_U : L^2(U) \rightarrow L^2(U) \cap \mathcal{O}(U)$

**Bergman (Schwartz) kernel:**  $\Pi_U(z, z') \in L^2(U \times U)$

Properties: i)  $\Pi_U(z, z')$  hol./antihol. in  $z/z'$ , smooth in the interior

ii)  $\Pi_U(z, z)$  smooth & positive in the interior

# Bergman kernel & metric

Let  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  open, connected (often smoothly bounded..)

**Bergman projection:**  $\Pi_U : L^2(U) \rightarrow L^2(U) \cap \mathcal{O}(U)$

**Bergman (Schwartz) kernel:**  $\Pi_U(z, z') \in L^2(U \times U)$

Properties: i)  $\Pi_U(z, z')$  hol./antihol. in  $z/z'$ , smooth in the interior

ii)  $\Pi_U(z, z)$  smooth & positive in the interior

**Bergman metric:**  $g_{j\bar{k}}^U = -\partial_{z_j} \partial_{\bar{z}_k} [\ln \Pi_U(z, z)]$

Is a biholomorphism invariant!

# Computations of $\Pi_U$

1.  $U = \mathbb{D}^n$  disk

$$\Pi_{\mathbb{D}^n}(z, z') = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \frac{(\alpha, n)!}{\pi^n} z^\alpha \bar{z}'^\alpha = \frac{n!}{\pi^n} \frac{1}{(1 - z\bar{z}')^{n+1}}$$

$g^{\mathbb{D}^n}$  is the Poincare hyperbolic disk

# Computations of $\Pi_U$

1.  $U = \mathbb{D}^n$  disk

$$\Pi_{\mathbb{D}^n}(z, z') = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \frac{(\alpha, n)!}{\pi^n} z^\alpha \bar{z}'^\alpha = \frac{n!}{\pi^n} \frac{1}{(1 - z\bar{z}')^{n+1}}$$

$g^{\mathbb{D}^n}$  is the Poincare hyperbolic disk

2. (D'Angelo '78)

$U = E_p := \{|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^{2p} \leq 1\}$  ellipsoid

$$\Pi_{E_p}(z, z') = \frac{2}{\pi^2} \frac{1}{p} \frac{(1 - z_1 \bar{z}'_1)^{\frac{2}{p} - 2}}{\left[ (1 - z_1 \bar{z}'_1)^{\frac{1}{p}} - z_2 \bar{z}'_2 \right]^3} + \frac{2}{\pi^2} \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{(1 - z_1 \bar{z}'_1)^{\frac{2}{p} - 2}}{\left[ (1 - z_1 \bar{z}'_1)^{\frac{1}{p}} - z_2 \bar{z}'_2 \right]^2}$$

# Computations of $\Pi_U$

1.  $U = \mathbb{D}^n$  disk

$$\Pi_{\mathbb{D}^n}(z, z') = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \frac{(\alpha, n)!}{\pi^n} z^\alpha \bar{z}'^\alpha = \frac{n!}{\pi^n} \frac{1}{(1 - z\bar{z}')^{n+1}}$$

$g^{\mathbb{D}^n}$  is the Poincare hyperbolic disk

2. (D'Angelo '78)

$U = E_p := \{|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^{2p} \leq 1\}$  ellipsoid

$$\Pi_{E_p}(z, z') = \frac{2}{\pi^2} \frac{1}{p} \frac{(1 - z_1 \bar{z}'_1)^{\frac{2}{p} - 2}}{\left[ (1 - z_1 \bar{z}'_1)^{\frac{1}{p}} - z_2 \bar{z}'_2 \right]^3} + \frac{2}{\pi^2} \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{(1 - z_1 \bar{z}'_1)^{\frac{2}{p} - 2}}{\left[ (1 - z_1 \bar{z}'_1)^{\frac{1}{p}} - z_2 \bar{z}'_2 \right]^2}$$

Computing curvatures:  $\mathbb{D}^1 \times \mathbb{D}^1 \not\cong \mathbb{D}^2$ ,  $E_p \not\cong E_{p'}$  for  $p \neq p'$  (not biholomorphic).

# Fefferman's theorem

Another strategy for  $\mathbb{D}^1 \times \mathbb{D}^1 \not\cong \mathbb{D}^2$ :

Look for behaviour of biholomorphisms near boundary ( $\partial$ polydisk is non-smooth)

Folklore conjecture: Let  $U_1, U_2 \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  smoothly bounded.

Then any biholomorphism  $F : U_1 \rightarrow U_2$  extends smoothly to the boundary.

# Fefferman's theorem

Another strategy for  $\mathbb{D}^1 \times \mathbb{D}^1 \not\cong \mathbb{D}^2$ :

Look for behaviour of biholomorphisms near boundary ( $\partial$ polydisk is non-smooth)

Folklore conjecture: Let  $U_1, U_2 \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  smoothly bounded.

Then any biholomorphism  $F : U_1 \rightarrow U_2$  extends smoothly to the boundary.

## Theorem (Fefferman '74)

1. Let  $U_1, U_2 \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  smoothly bounded and strongly pseudoconvex.

Then  $U_1 \cong U_2$  (biholomorphic)  $\iff \exists$  CR diffeomorphism  $\partial U_1 \cong \partial U_2$ .

2. Let  $U = \{\rho < 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be strongly pseudoconvex.

Then the Bergman kernel satisfies

$$\Pi_U(z, z) = a(z) \rho^{-n-1} + b(z) \ln(-\rho)$$

(II  $\implies$  I) Study geodesic flow for Bergman metric near boundary.

# Fefferman's theorem

Another strategy for  $\mathbb{D}^1 \times \mathbb{D}^1 \not\cong \mathbb{D}^2$ :

Look for behaviour of biholomorphisms near boundary ( $\partial$ polydisk is non-smooth)

Folklore conjecture: Let  $U_1, U_2 \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  smoothly bounded.

Then any biholomorphism  $F : U_1 \rightarrow U_2$  extends smoothly to the boundary.

## Theorem (Fefferman '74)

1. Let  $U_1, U_2 \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  smoothly bounded and strongly pseudoconvex.

Then  $U_1 \cong U_2$  (biholomorphic)  $\iff \exists$  CR diffeomorphism  $\partial U_1 \cong \partial U_2$ .

2. Let  $U = \{\rho < 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be strongly pseudoconvex.

Then the Bergman kernel satisfies

$$\Pi_U(z, z) = a(z) \rho^{-n-1} + b(z) \ln(-\rho)$$

(II  $\implies$  I) Study geodesic flow for Bergman metric near boundary.

Ramadanov Conjecture ('81):

Let  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be strongly pseudoconvex. If  $b = O(\rho^\infty)$  then  $\partial U$  is locally spherical.

Known for  $n = 2$ : Burns-Graham ('87), Boutet de Monvel ('88).

# CR manifold, Pseudoconvexity, Finite type

CR manifold  $(X^{2n+1}, T^{1,0}X \subset T_{\mathbb{C}}X)$      integrable,  $n$  dimensional, non-deg  
 eg.  $X := \partial U, U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  domain with  $T^{1,0}X = T^{1,0}\mathbb{C}^n \cap T_{\mathbb{C}}X$ .

Levi distribution:  $HX = \text{Re} [T^{1,0}X \oplus T^{0,1}X]$

Levi form:  $\mathcal{L} \in (HX^*)^{\otimes 2} \otimes (T_x X/H_x X)$   
 $\mathcal{L}(U, V) := [[U, V]] \in T_x X/H_x X$

# CR manifold, Pseudoconvexity, Finite type

CR manifold  $(X^{2n+1}, T^{1,0}X \subset T_{\mathbb{C}}X)$  integrable,  $n$  dimensional, non-deg  
 eg.  $X := \partial U$ ,  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  domain with  $T^{1,0}X = T^{1,0}\mathbb{C}^n \cap T_{\mathbb{C}}X$ .

Levi distribution:  $HX = \text{Re} [T^{1,0}X \oplus T^{0,1}X]$

Levi form:  $\mathcal{L} \in (HX^*)^{\otimes 2} \otimes (T_x X / H_x X)$   
 $\mathcal{L}(U, V) := [[U, V]] \in T_x X / H_x X$

$x \in X$  is weakly/strongly pseudoconvex  $\iff \mathcal{L}_x$  is pos. def./semi-def.  
 $x \in X$  is finite type  $\iff HX$  is bracket generating at  $x$

type of a point  $x \in X$ :

$r(x) = 1 + \min \#$  brackets in  $HX$  necessary to generate  $TX$

type of strongly pseudoconvex point  $x \in X$  is  $r(x) = 2$ .

# Tangential CR complex & Szegő kernel

Tangential CR operator:  $\bar{\partial}_b : C^\infty(\Lambda^q T^{0,1*} X) \rightarrow C^\infty(T^{0,1*} X)$ ,  
Defined via:  $(\bar{\partial}_b f)(\bar{Z}) = \bar{Z}(f)$ ,  $f \in C^\infty(X)$ ,  $\bar{Z} \in T^{0,1} X$ .

# Tangential CR complex & Szegő kernel

Tangential CR operator:  $\bar{\partial}_b : C^\infty(\Lambda^q T^{0,1*} X) \rightarrow C^\infty(T^{0,1*} X)$ ,

Defined via:  $(\bar{\partial}_b f)(\bar{Z}) = \bar{Z}(f)$ ,  $f \in C^\infty(X)$ ,  $\bar{Z} \in T^{0,1} X$ .

**Szegő projector:**  $\Pi_b : L^2(X) \rightarrow \ker(\bar{\partial}_b)$   
(wrt some measure)

# Tangential CR complex & Szegő kernel

Tangential CR operator:  $\bar{\partial}_b : C^\infty(\Lambda^q T^{0,1*} X) \rightarrow C^\infty(T^{0,1*} X)$ ,

Defined via:  $(\bar{\partial}_b f)(\bar{Z}) = \bar{Z}(f)$ ,  $f \in C^\infty(X)$ ,  $\bar{Z} \in T^{0,1} X$ .

**Szegő projector:**  $\Pi_b : L^2(X) \rightarrow \ker(\bar{\partial}_b)$   
 (wrt some measure)

## Theorem (Kohn '65)

Let  $(X^{2n+1}, T^{1,0} X)$  strongly pseudoconvex. Furthermore assuming  $\bar{\partial}_b$  has closed range,  $\exists G : H^s(T^{0,1*} X) \rightarrow H^{s+\frac{1}{2}}(X)$  such that  $\Pi_b = I - G\bar{\partial}_b$

# Szegő parametrix

Interesting to describe the singularities of its Schwartz kernel.

## Theorem (Boutet de Monvel-Sjöstrand '75)

Let  $(X^{2n+1}, T^{1,0}X)$  be strongly pseudoconvex CR manifold. Assume  $\partial_b$  has closed range. Then near each  $x \in X$  there exist coordinates  $\left( \underbrace{x_1 \dots, x_{2n}}_{=x'}, x_{2n+1} \right)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_b(x, y) &= \int_0^\infty dt e^{it\Psi(x,y)} a(t; x, y) \\ &= \int_0^\infty dt e^{it(x_{2n+1} - y_{2n+1})} \underbrace{e^{it\Phi(x', y)}}_{=b(t; x, y)} a(t; x, y) \end{aligned}$$

Phase:  $\text{Im}\Phi(x, y) \geq C|x' - y'|^2$ ,  $x = y \iff \Psi = 0$ .

Amplitude:  $a(t; x, y) \in S_{t, cl}^n$ ,  $a \sim \sum_{j=0}^\infty t^{n-j} a_j(x, y)$

# Szegő parametrix

Interesting to describe the singularities of its Schwartz kernel.

## Theorem (Boutet de Monvel-Sjöstrand '75)

Let  $(X^{2n+1}, T^{1,0}X)$  be strongly pseudoconvex CR manifold. Assume  $\partial_b$  has closed range. Then near each  $x \in X$  there exist coordinates  $\left( \underbrace{x_1 \dots, x_{2n}}_{=x'}, x_{2n+1} \right)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_b(x, y) &= \int_0^\infty dt e^{it\Psi(x,y)} a(t; x, y) \\ &= \int_0^\infty dt e^{it(x_{2n+1} - y_{2n+1})} \underbrace{e^{it\Phi(x', y)}}_{=b(t; x, y)} a(t; x, y) \end{aligned}$$

*Phase:*  $\text{Im}\Phi(x, y) \geq C|x' - y'|^2$ ,  $x = y \iff \Psi = 0$ .

*Amplitude:*  $a(t; x, y) \in S_{t, \text{cl}}^n$ ,  $a \sim \sum_{j=0}^\infty t^{n-j} a_j(x, y)$

Note: regrouped amplitude  $b(t; x, y) \in S_{t, \frac{1}{2}, \text{cl}}^n(\mathbb{R}_x^{2n+1})$

(lies in a more general class;  $\partial_x^\alpha \partial_t^\gamma b = O\left(t^{n+\frac{1}{2}|\alpha|-\gamma}\right)$ )

# Bergman asymptotics

Specialize to  $X = \partial U$ .

Consider Poisson operator

$$P : C^\infty(X) \rightarrow C^{-\infty}(U)$$

$$\square_U P = 0, \quad \gamma P = I.$$

$P$  approximately relates the Bergman-Szegő projectors

$$\Pi_U \approx P (P^* P)^{-1} \Pi_b P$$

(at highest order)

Parametrices for  $P$  described by Boutet de Monvel '71.

# Bergman asymptotics

Specialize to  $X = \partial U$ .

Consider Poisson operator

$$P : C^\infty(X) \rightarrow C^{-\infty}(U)$$

$$\square_U P = 0, \quad \gamma P = I.$$

$P$  approximately relates the Bergman-Szegő projectors

$$\Pi_U \approx P (P^* P)^{-1} \Pi_b P$$

(at highest order)

Parametrices for  $P$  described by Boutet de Monvel '71.

Using the above recover/refine Fefferman's theorem

## Greens function, pointwise bounds

Consider  $(X^3, T^{1,0}X)$  weakly pseudoconvex, finite type.  
Let maximum number of brackets be  $r := \max_{x \in X} r(x)$ .

### Theorem (Christ '89)

Let  $(X^3, T^{1,0}X)$  weakly pseudoconvex, finite type. Assume  $\bar{\partial}_b$  has closed range.  
There exists (microlocal)  $G : H^s(X) \rightarrow H^{s+\frac{1}{r}}(X)$  such that  $\Pi_b = I - G\bar{\partial}_b$ .

## Greens function, pointwise bounds

Consider  $(X^3, T^{1,0}X)$  weakly pseudoconvex, finite type.  
 Let maximum number of brackets be  $r := \max_{x \in X} r(x)$ .

### Theorem (Christ '89)

Let  $(X^3, T^{1,0}X)$  weakly pseudoconvex, finite type. Assume  $\bar{\partial}_b$  has closed range.  
 There exists (microlocal)  $G : H^s(X) \rightarrow H^{s+\frac{1}{r}}(X)$  such that  $\Pi_b = I - G\bar{\partial}_b$ .

### Theorem (Christ '89)

Let  $(X^3, T^{1,0}X)$  weakly pseudoconvex, finite type. Assume  $\bar{\partial}_b$  has closed range. Near any point  $x' \in X$  of type  $r(x')$  there exists coordinates  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  centered at  $x'$  such that

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \Pi(x, 0)| \leq C_\alpha \left[ d^H(x, 0) \right]^{-2-r-\alpha_1-\alpha_2-r\alpha_3}$$

$$d^H(x, 0) = |x_1| + |x_2| + |x_3|^{1/r(x')}.$$

$(d^H(x, 0))$  is equivalent to the sub-Riemannian CC distance between  $x, 0$ .

Similar bounds for boundaries of weakly pseudoconvex finite type domains in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ :  
 McNeal '89, Nagel-Rosay-Stein-Wainger '89

# Szegő parametrix

Consider  $(X^3, T^{1,0}X)$  weakly pseudoconvex, finite type  
 $(HX = \text{Re} [T^{1,0}X \oplus T^{0,1}X]$  bracket generating).  
 Let maximum number of brackets be  $r := \max_{x \in X} r(x)$ .

## Theorem (Hsiao-S. '20)

Let  $(X^3, T^{1,0}X)$  weakly pseudoconvex, finite type. Assume  $\bar{\partial}_b$  has closed range. Near any point  $x' \in X$  of type  $r(x')$  there exists coordinates  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  centered at  $x'$  such that

$$\Pi(x, 0) = \int_0^\infty dt e^{itx_3} b(x; t) + C^\infty(X)$$

where  $b \sim t^{\frac{2}{r}} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^\infty t^{-\frac{j}{r}} b_j \left( t^{\frac{1}{r}} x_1, t^{\frac{1}{r}} x_2 \right) \right] \in S_{\frac{1}{r}, cl}^{\frac{2}{r}}(\mathbb{R}_{x_1, x_2}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_t)$ ,  $b_j \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ .

Christ estimates are equivalent to  $b \in S_{\frac{1}{r}}^{\frac{2}{r}}(\mathbb{R}_{x_1, x_2}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_t)$

(i.e.  $\partial_t^k \partial_x^\alpha b = O\left(t^{m-k+\frac{|\alpha|}{r}}\right)$  without classical expansion).

# Bergman asymptotics

Specializations:

## Theorem (Hsiao-S. '20)

Let  $X^3 = \partial U$  be boundary of weakly pseudoconvex, finite type domain  $U = \{\rho < 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ . For any point  $x' \in X = \partial U$  on the boundary, of type  $r = r(x')$ , the Bergman kernel satisfies the asymptotics

$$\Pi_U(z, z) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(-\rho)^{2+\frac{2}{r}-\frac{1}{r}j}} a_j + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j (-\rho)^j \log(-\rho),$$

as  $z \rightarrow x'$  for some set of reals  $a_j, b_j$ .

Here  $a_0 = B_0(0, 0)$  given by model Bergman of  $(\mathbb{C}, e^{-\Phi} dz_1)$  where

$$\rho \sim \operatorname{Re} z_2 + \underbrace{\Phi(z_1, \bar{z}_1)}_{\text{subharmonic homog. poly}}, \quad \text{leading approx.}$$

Fefferman '74 (strongly pseudoconvex case), D'Angelo '78 (ellipsoids), Boas-Straube-Yu '95 (h-extendible/semiregular domains), Kamimoto '98, '04 (tube domains, toric domains)...

# Bergman asymptotics

## Theorem (Marinescu-S. '18)

Let  $Y^2$  Riemann surface.  $(L, h^L)$  Hermitian, holomorphic, semi-positive. Assume that  $R^L$  vanishes to finite order at each point. The Bergman kernel admits on-diagonal expansion

$$\Pi_k(y, y) \sim k^{\frac{2}{r}} \left[ b_0(y) + b_1(y) k^{-\frac{2}{r}} + b_2(y) k^{-\frac{4}{r}} \dots \right]$$

where  $r = r(y) = 2 + \text{ord}_y(R^L)$ .

The above proved by local index theory method as in Bismut-Lebeau '91, Dai-Liu-Ma '06, Ma-Marinescu '07.

# Proof Sketch

Step 1. Let

$$Z = a_j(x) \partial_{x_j} \quad \text{CR vector field}$$

$$\tilde{Z} = \tilde{a}_j(z) \partial_{z_j} + \tilde{b}_j(z) \partial_{\bar{z}_j} \quad \text{almost analytic extension}$$

Find *almost analytic BRT coordinates*  $(w_1(z), w_2(z), w_3(z))$  on  $\mathbb{C}^3$  such that

$$\tilde{Z} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{w_1} + i\partial_{w_2}) - \frac{i}{2} (\partial_{w_1}\varphi + i\partial_{w_2}\varphi) \partial_{w_3} \quad \text{for}$$

$$\varphi = \underbrace{\varphi_0(w_1, w_2)}_{\text{homogeneous, real coefficients}} + O(|w|^{r+1}).$$

# Proof Sketch

Step 1. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
 Z &= a_j(x) \partial_{x_j} && \text{CR vector field} \\
 \tilde{Z} &= \tilde{a}_j(z) \partial_{z_j} + \tilde{b}_j(z) \partial_{\bar{z}_j} && \text{almost analytic extension}
 \end{aligned}$$

Find *almost analytic BRT coordinates*  $(w_1(z), w_2(z), w_3(z))$  on  $\mathbb{C}^3$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{Z} &= \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{w_1} + i\partial_{w_2}) - \frac{i}{2} (\partial_{w_1}\varphi + i\partial_{w_2}\varphi) \partial_{w_3} \quad \text{for} \\
 \varphi &= \underbrace{\varphi_0(w_1, w_2)}_{\text{homogeneous, real coefficients}} + O(|w|^{r+1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Step 2.

The local Bergman kernel  $B_t(p, p')$  for the Hermitian metric  $e^{-t\varphi(p_1, p_2)} dp$ ,  $p = \text{Rew}$  satisfies symbolic estimates/expansion in  $t \rightarrow \infty$  by local index method.

# Proof sketch

Step 3.

Construct almost analytic extensions for Szegő kernel  $\Pi(x, x')$  on  $X = \{\operatorname{Re} z = 0\}$   
and  $t$ -Fourier transform of  $B_t(p, p')$  on  $\mathbb{R}_p^3 = \{\operatorname{Re} w = 0\}$ .  
This part requires Christ estimates.

# Proof sketch

Step 3.

Construct almost analytic extensions for Szegő kernel  $\Pi(x, x')$  on  $X = \{\text{Re}z = 0\}$  and  $t$ -Fourier transform of  $B_t(p, p')$  on  $\mathbb{R}_p^3 = \{\text{Re}w = 0\}$ .  
This part requires Christ estimates.

Step 4.

Relate almost analytic extensions  $\Pi$  and  $t$ -Fourier transform of  $B_t$ .

Relation is pointwise Boutet de Monvel-Sjöstrand theorem.

This requires constructing a calculus of symbols  $S_{\frac{1}{r}, \text{cl}}^{m, k}$  satisfying Christ estimates.

# Proof sketch

Step 3.

Construct almost analytic extensions for Szegő kernel  $\Pi(x, x')$  on  $X = \{\text{Re}z = 0\}$  and  $t$ -Fourier transform of  $B_t(p, p')$  on  $\mathbb{R}_p^3 = \{\text{Re}w = 0\}$ .  
 This part requires Christ estimates.

Step 4.

Relate almost analytic extensions  $\Pi$  and  $t$ -Fourier transform of  $B_t$ .  
 Relation is pointwise Boutet de Monvel-Sjöstrand theorem.  
 This requires constructing a calculus of symbols  $S_{\frac{1}{r}, \text{cl}}^{m, k}$  satisfying Christ estimates.

Step 5.

For Fefferman's expansion; the relation between Bergman & Szegő kernels  $\Pi_U, \Pi$  via the Poisson operator still holds.  
 Also uses requires solution of  $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem for weakly pseudoconvex domains  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  (Kohn '72).

## Application: Canonical metrics

No new application to boundary extension problem (Bell-Ligocka '81)

**Theorem (Cheng-Yau '79, Mok-Yau '83)**

Any  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  pseudoconvex admits a Kahler-Einstein metric

$$g^{U, KE} = \partial\bar{\partial}u.$$

Here  $u$  solves **MA equation**  $J(u) := \det \begin{pmatrix} u & u_{\bar{\beta}} \\ u_{\alpha} & u_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \end{pmatrix} = 1$  in  $D$  .  
 $u = 0$  on  $\partial D$

## Application: Canonical metrics

No new application to boundary extension problem (Bell-Ligocka '81)

### Theorem (Cheng-Yau '79, Mok-Yau '83)

Any  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  pseudoconvex admits a Kahler-Einstein metric

$$g^{U, KE} = \partial\bar{\partial}u.$$

Here  $u$  solves **MA equation**  $J(u) := \det \begin{pmatrix} u & u_{\bar{\beta}} \\ u_{\alpha} & u_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \end{pmatrix} = 1$  in  $D$   
 $u = 0$  on  $\partial D$ .

### Theorem (Lee-Melrose '81)

Let  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  strongly pseudoconvex. Then  $u \sim \rho \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j (\rho^{n+1} \ln \rho)^j$ .

Question (Yau '82): For which  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  pseudoconvex is  $g^{U, KE} = g^{U, Bergman}$ ?

Conjecturally:

$U =$  homogeneous domain (Aut( $U$ ) acts transitively. VGPS classification '63).

$U$  homogeneous + smooth boundary  $\implies U = \mathbb{D}^n$

## Application: Canonical metrics

Known in strongly pseudoconvex case

**Theorem (Fu-Wong '97, Nemirovski-Shafikov '06 ( $n=2$ ), Huang-Xiao '18 ( $n>2$ ))**

*Let  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  strongly pseudoconvex with  $g^{U,KE} = g^{U,Bergman}$ . Then  $U = \mathbb{D}^n$ .*

Application of the new asymptotics

**Theorem (Xiao-S.)**

*Let  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  pseudoconvex and finite type with  $g^{U,KE} = g^{U,Bergman}$ . Then  $U = \mathbb{D}^2$ .*

# Proof sketch (of Fu-Wong & Nemirovski-Shafikov (n=2))

Step 1.

If  $g^{U,KE} = g^{U,Bergman}$  then  $u = \Pi_U(z)^{-1/n+1}$  satisfies

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} u & u_{\bar{\beta}} \\ u_{\alpha} & u_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\pi^n}{n!} u^{n+2}$$

Step 2.

Comparing log singularity on both sides:  $b = 0$  in Fefferman expansion.

# Proof sketch (of Fu-Wong & Nemirovski-Shafikov ( $n=2$ ))

Step 1.

If  $g^{U,KE} = g^{U,Bergman}$  then  $u = \Pi_U(z)^{-1/n+1}$  satisfies

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} u & u_{\bar{\beta}} \\ u_{\alpha} & u_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\pi^n}{n!} u^{n+2}$$

Step 2.

Comparing log singularity on both sides:  $b = 0$  in Fefferman expansion.

Step 3.

2D Ramadanov  $\implies \partial U$  locally spherical

# Proof sketch (of Fu-Wong & Nemirovski-Shafikov ( $n=2$ ))

Step 1.

If  $g^{U,KE} = g^{U,Bergman}$  then  $u = \Pi_U(z)^{-1/n+1}$  satisfies

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} u & u_{\bar{\beta}} \\ u_{\alpha} & u_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\pi^n}{n!} u^{n+2}$$

Step 2.

Comparing log singularity on both sides:  $b = 0$  in Fefferman expansion.

Step 3.

2D Ramadanov  $\implies \partial U$  locally spherical

Step 4.

Uniformization theorems (Nemirovski-Shafikov)  $\implies U = \mathbb{D}^2$

# Proof sketch (of Xiao-S)

Step 1.

If  $g^{U,KE} = g^{U,Bergman}$  then  $u = \Pi_U(z)^{-1/n+1}$  satisfies

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} u & u_{\bar{\beta}} \\ u_{\alpha} & u_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\pi^n}{n!} u^{n+2}$$

Step 2.

Consider asymptotics of equation as  $z \rightarrow x'$  (point of higher type  $r$ ).

Along *critically tangent* (order  $r$ ) path

$$\text{leading order : } [\partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} B_0(v) + \dots] \left( \rho^{-2-\frac{2}{r}} \right)^4 = B_0^2(v) \left( \rho^{-2-\frac{2}{r}} \right)^4$$

$B_0$ =model kernel,  $v$ =tangent vector.

# Proof sketch (of Xiao-S)

Step 1.

If  $g^{U,KE} = g^{U,Bergman}$  then  $u = \Pi_U(z)^{-1/n+1}$  satisfies

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} u & u_{\bar{\beta}} \\ u_{\alpha} & u_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\pi^n}{n!} u^{n+2}$$

Step 2.

Consider asymptotics of equation as  $z \rightarrow x'$  (point of higher type  $r$ ).

Along *critically tangent* (order  $r$ ) path

$$\text{leading order: } [\partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} B_0(v) + \dots] \left( \rho^{-2-\frac{2}{r}} \right)^4 = B_0^2(v) \left( \rho^{-2-\frac{2}{r}} \right)^4$$

$B_0$  = model kernel,  $v$  = tangent vector.

Step 3.

No model can satisfy above equation. Different asymptotics as  $|v| \rightarrow \infty$ .

# Proof sketch (of Xiao-S)

Step 1.

If  $g^{U,KE} = g^{U,Bergman}$  then  $u = \Pi_U(z)^{-1/n+1}$  satisfies

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} u & u_{\bar{\beta}} \\ u_{\alpha} & u_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\pi^n}{n!} u^{n+2}$$

Step 2.

Consider asymptotics of equation as  $z \rightarrow x'$  (point of higher type  $r$ ).

Along *critically tangent* (order  $r$ ) path

$$\text{leading order: } [\partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} B_0(v) + \dots] \left( \rho^{-2-\frac{2}{r}} \right)^4 = B_0^2(v) \left( \rho^{-2-\frac{2}{r}} \right)^4$$

$B_0$ =model kernel,  $v$ =tangent vector.

Step 3.

No model can satisfy above equation. Different asymptotics as  $|v| \rightarrow \infty$ .

Step 4.

Reduces to strongly pseudoconvex case.

Thank you.