

Analysis of a Three-Level Variant of Parareal

11th Conference on Parallel-in-Time Integration, CIRM, Marseille, France

- 되 Felix Kwok
- **ii** July 11, 2022
- Département de mathématiques et de statistique, Université Laval

∷ Outline

● Three-level Parareal

• Convergence Analysis

PDE examples

Three-level Parareal

Parareal (Lions, Maday & Turinici 2001)



- Want to solve $u_t = f(t, u)$ for $t \in [0, T]$ with $u(0) = u_0$
- If the solution u is known at a set of points $t \in \{T_1, \ldots, T_N\}$, then the solution u(t) on each (T_{i-1}, T_i) can be computed independently of the other intervals (and in parallel)
- Therefore, want to obtain approximations $U_n^k \approx u(t_n)$ iteratively
- Two-level method :
 - F = "exact" propagator over one interval (as an ODE, or numerically over many δt)
 - $G = \text{coarse propagator over the same interval (but with larger time steps } \Delta t)$

Goal : Find $U_i^k \approx u(T_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots$



Goal : Find $U_i^k \approx u(T_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots$



First, initialize U_i^0 using G.

Goal : Find $U_i^k \approx u(T_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots$



$$U_i^{k+1} = FU_{i-1}^k + GU_{i-1}^{k+1} - GU_{i-1}^k$$

First, initialize U_i^0 using G. Then at step k, given U_i^k :

1. Evaluate FU_{i-1}^k in **parallel** for all *i* (DD in time!)

Goal : Find $U_i^k \approx u(T_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots$



$$U_i^{k+1} = FU_{i-1}^k + GU_{i-1}^{k+1} - GU_{i-1}^k$$

First, initialize U_i^0 using G. Then at step k, given U_i^k :

- 1. Evaluate FU_{i-1}^k in **parallel** for all *i* (DD in time!)
- 2. Evaluate GU_{i-1}^{k+1} and update U_i^{k+1} sequentially.

Goal : Find $U_i^k \approx u(T_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots$



$$U_i^{k+1} = FU_{i-1}^k + GU_{i-1}^{k+1} - GU_{i-1}^k$$

First, initialize U_i^0 using G. Then at step k, given U_i^k :

- 1. Evaluate FU_{i-1}^k in **parallel** for all *i* (DD in time!)
- 2. Evaluate GU_{i-1}^{k+1} and update U_i^{k+1} sequentially.

Goal : Find $U_i^k \approx u(T_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots$



$$U_i^{k+1} = FU_{i-1}^k + GU_{i-1}^{k+1} - GU_{i-1}^k$$

First, initialize U_i^0 using G. Then at step k, given U_i^k :

- 1. Evaluate FU_{i-1}^k in **parallel** for all *i* (DD in time!)
- 2. Evaluate GU_{i-1}^{k+1} and update U_i^{k+1} sequentially.

Goal : Find $U_i^k \approx u(T_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots$



$$U_i^{k+1} = FU_{i-1}^k + GU_{i-1}^{k+1} - GU_{i-1}^k$$

First, initialize U_i^0 using G. Then at step k, given U_i^k :

- 1. Evaluate FU_{i-1}^k in **parallel** for all *i* (DD in time!)
- 2. Evaluate GU_{i-1}^{k+1} and update U_i^{k+1} sequentially.

Repeat until convergence.

O Parareal : known results

- Classical convergence results :
 - If G = backward Euler, then $|U_i^k u(T_i)| \le C_k \Delta T^{k+1}$ (Lions, Maday & Turinici 2001)
 - If G is of order p, then $|U_i^k-u(T_i)|\leq C_k\Delta T^{p(k+1)}$ (Bal & Maday 2002, Bal 2003)
 - Superlinear convergence : $|U_i^k u(T_i)| \le \frac{C_0(CT)^k}{k!} \Delta T^{pk}$ (Gander & Vandewalle 2007)
- Parareal can be interpreted as a multigrid-in-time method (Gander, K. & Zhang 2018)
- Parareal and MGRIT extensively analyzed for ODEs and some discretized PDEs (Gander & Hairer DD17 2008; Friedhoff & MacLachlan NLAA 2015; Dobrev et al. SISC 2017; Ruprecht CVS 2018; Southworth SIMAX 2019; Hessenthaler et al. SISC 2020; Gander & Lunet DD25 2020, Friedhoff & Southworth NLAA 2021, De Sterck et al. NLAA 2021, ...)
- Coarse solve in parareal still sequential : want to parallelize if there are many coarse intervals

• Three-level parareal



- Hierarchical decomposition :
 - Coarsest grid : $0 = T_0 < T_1 < T_2 < \cdots < T_L = T$, of size $\Delta T = T_i T_{i-1}$
 - Intermediate grid : a subdivision of each "subdomain" $\Omega_i = [T_{i-1}, T_i]$, with time step size $\Delta t = \Delta T/M$: $T_{i-1} = t_{i0} < t_{i1} < \cdots < t_{iM} = T_i$
 - Fine grid of size $\delta t = \Delta t/N$ (not indexed)

• Three-level parareal



- Unknowns :
 - $U_{ij}^k \approx u(t_{ij})$: approximate solution at t_{ij} at iteration k
 - Y_i^k : approximate solution at T_i at iteration k
- Propagators :
 - $F = \text{fine propagator over one } \Delta t$, from t_{ij} to $t_{i,j+1}$
 - G= intermediate propagator over the same Δt
 - H = coarse propagator over $\Delta T = M \Delta t$, from T_i to T_{i+1}

• Algorithm

• Compute initial guess for coarsest points :

$$Y_0^0 = y_0, \qquad Y_i^0 = HY_{i-1}^0, \qquad 1 \le i \le L$$

• Compute initial guess for intermediate points (in parallel for $1 \le i \le L$) :

$$U_{i0}^0 = Y_{i-1}^0, \qquad U_{ij}^0 = GU_{i,j-1}^0, \qquad 1 \le j \le M$$

- For $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$:
 - Run parareal on each Ω_i : parfor $i = 1, \ldots, L$, do

$$U_{i0}^{k+1} = Y_{i-1}^k, \qquad U_{ij}^{k+1} = FU_{i,j-1}^k + GU_{i,j-1}^{k+1} - GU_{i,j-1}^k, \qquad 1 \le j \le M$$

• Coarsely propagate values across subdomains :

$$Y_i^{k+1} = \frac{U_{iM}^{k+1}}{M} + HY_{i-1}^{k+1} - HY_{i-1}^k, \qquad 1 \le i \le L$$

Relationship with MGRIT Θ

If we write down the three-level MGRIT V-cycle with F-relaxation and nested iterations in the above notation, we get

- 1. Initialize Y_i^0 and U_{ij}^1 the same way as parareal
- 2. Initialize residuals $g_{ij}^0 = 0, 1 \le i \le L, 1 \le j \le M$
- 3. For $k = 1, 2, \ldots$

• (Par)for
$$i=1,\ldots,L$$
, do

•
$$U_{i0}^{k+1/2} = Y_{i-}^k$$

• $U_{i0}^{k+1/2} = Y_{i-1}^{k-1}$ • $U_{ii}^{k+1/2} = g_{i,j-1}^{k-1} + GU_{i,j-1}^{k+1/2}, \ 1 \le j \le M$

•
$$g_{ij}^k = FU_{ij}^{k+1/2} - GU_{ij}^{k+1/2}, \ 1 \le j \le M$$

•
$$U_{ij}^{k+1} = g_{ij}^k + GU_{i,j-1}^{k+1}, \ 1 \le j \le M$$

•
$$Y_i^{k+1} = U_{iM}^{k+1} + HY_{i-1}^{k+1} - HY_{i-1}^k$$
, $1 \le i \le L$

So the three-level parareal method corresponds to three-level MGRIT with no pre-smoothing at the intermediate level.

Convergence Analysis

• What do we want to know?

- Two-level parareal is known to work well for dissipative problems (e.g. heat equation), but less well for wave or advection-dominated problems
- To predict the behaviour for a given problem, need a convergence analysis that takes into account properties of the spatial operator (e.g. eigenvalues, Lipschitz constants, etc.)
- We present three different error estimates :
 - Nonlinear analysis (uses Lipschitz constants)
 - Linear analysis (analyzes one eigenmode at a time for linear operators)
 - Simplified linear estimates (to get compact formulas useful for further analysis)

Nonlinear analysis

- In the style of Gander & Hairer (DD17 Proceedings, 2008)
- We define the errors at intermediate and coarse points :

$$E_{ij}^{k} = U_{ij}^{k} - u(t_{ij}), \qquad D_{i}^{k} = Y_{i}^{k} - u(T_{i}).$$

• Assume the Lipschitz constants

 $\|(F-G)y-(F-G)z\|\leq \tau\|y-z\|,\quad \|Fy-Fz\|\leq \beta_F\|y-z\|,\quad \|Gy-Gz\|\leq \beta_G\|y-z\|.$

• Parareal on the fine level :

$$U_{ij}^{k+1} = FU_{i,j-1}^k + GU_{i,j-1}^{k+1} - GU_{i,j-1}^k$$
$$U_{ij}^{k+1} - u(t_{ij}) = (F - G)U_{i,j-1}^k - (F - G)u(t_{i,j-1}) + GU_{i,j-1}^{k+1} - Gu(t_{i,j-1})$$
$$\|E_{ij}^{k+1}\| \le \tau \|E_{i,j-1}^k\| + \beta_G \|E_{i,j-1}^{k+1}\|$$

• Parareal on the coarse level :

$$\begin{split} Y_{i}^{k+1} &= U_{iM}^{k+1} + HY_{i-1}^{k+1} - HY_{i-1}^{k} \\ Y_{i}^{k+1} - u(T_{i}) &= U_{iM}^{k+1} - F^{M}u(T_{i-1}) + HY_{i-1}^{k+1} - HY_{i-1}^{k} \\ &= U_{iM}^{k+1} - F^{M}Y_{i-1}^{k} + HY_{i-1}^{k+1} - Hu(T_{i-1}) \\ &+ (F^{M} - H)Y_{i-1}^{k} - (F^{M} - H)u(T_{i-1}) \\ &\|D_{i}^{k+1}\| \leq \|U_{iM}^{k+1} - F^{M}Y_{i-1}^{k}\| + \beta_{H}^{M}\|D_{i-1}^{k+1}\| + \rho\|D_{i-1}^{k}\|, \end{split}$$

where

$$\|(F^M - H)y - (F^M - H)z\| \le \rho \|y - z\|, \qquad \|Hy - Hz\| \le \beta_H^M \|y - z\|.$$

• Need a recurrence on the sub-interval truncation error $\mathcal{E}_{ij}^{k+1} = U_{ij}^{k+1} - F^j Y_{i-1}^k$:

$$\mathcal{E}_{ij}^{k+1} = (F-G)E_{i,j-1}^k - (F-G)E_{i,j-1}^{k+1} + FU_{i,j-1}^{k+1} - F^jY_{i-1}^k$$
$$\|\mathcal{E}_{ij}^{k+1}\| \le \tau \|E_{i,j-1}^k\| + \tau \|E_{i,j-1}^{k+1}\| + \beta_F \|\mathcal{E}_{i,j-1}^{k+1}\|$$

Linear analysis

- The "wrong" sign leads to pessimistic bounds when E_{ij}^{k+1} is not much smaller than E_{ij}^k , i.e., when the speed of convergence is modest.
- Linear analysis : assume
 - F, G and H are linear in the initial conditions (e.g. for linear DEs)
 - Assume F, G and H simultaneously diagonalizable (e.g. same spatial differential operator)
 - Analyze method for scalar ODE, keeping sign information in the error equations
- Example : for $y_t = -\lambda y$, Backward Euler gives

$$F = \frac{1}{(1 + \lambda \Delta t/N)^N}, \qquad G = \frac{1}{1 + \lambda \Delta t}, \qquad H = \frac{1}{1 + \lambda M \Delta t}.$$



O Recurrences for linear analysis

• Intermediate level :

$$\begin{split} E_{ij}^{k+1} &= \tau E_{i,j-1}^k + G E_{i,j-1}^{k+1}, & 1 \le j \le M, \ k \ge 0 \\ E_{ij}^0 &= G E_{i,j-1}^0 - \tau u(t_{i,j-1}), & 1 \le j \le M \\ E_{i0}^{k+1} &= D_{i-1}^k, & k \ge 0 \end{split}$$

• Coarse level :

$$D_i^{k+1} = \mathcal{E}_{iM}^{k+1} + \rho D_{i-1}^k + H D_{i-1}^{k+1}, \qquad k \ge 0$$
$$D_i^0 = H D_{i-1}^0 - \rho u(T_{i-1})$$
$$D_0^k = 0, \qquad \qquad k \ge 0$$

• Sub-interval truncation error :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{ij}^{k} &= \tau E_{i,j-1}^{k-1} - \tau E_{i,j-1}^{k} + F \mathcal{E}_{i,j-1}^{k}, & 1 \le j \le M, \ k \ge 1 \\ \mathcal{E}_{i0}^{k} &= 0, & k \ge 1. \end{aligned}$$

• To solve these recurrences, use generating functions :

$$E(\xi,\eta,z) = \sum_{i\geq 1} \sum_{j\geq 0} \sum_{k\geq 0} E_{ij}^k \eta^i \xi^j z^k, \qquad D(\eta,z) = \sum_{i\geq 1} \sum_{k\geq 0} D_i^k \eta^i z^k$$

- After a lot of algebra, we get $D_l(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} D_l^k z^k$, where

$$D_{l}(z) = \sum_{p=0}^{l-1} \left[(G + \tau z)^{M} z + H(1 - z) \right]^{p} (d_{l-p} + \cdots)$$

=
$$\sum_{p=0}^{l-1} \left[((G + \tau z)^{M} - G^{M}) z + (G^{M} - H) z + H \right]^{p} (d_{l-p} + \cdots)$$

=
$$\sum_{p=0}^{l-1} \left[\sum_{n=2}^{M+1} \binom{M}{n-1} G^{M-n+1} (F - G)^{n-1} z^{n} + (G^{M} - H) z + H \right]^{p} (d_{l-p} + \cdots)$$

• To find D_l^k , compute the coefficient of z^k in the series expansion of $D_l(z)$

Finite termination property

Theorem : For $l = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, the kth iterate of three-level parareal satisfies

 $Y_l^k = u(T_l)$

whenever $k \ge Ml + l$.

Proof : For a linear scalar problem, the generating function

$$D_{l}(z) = \sum_{p=0}^{l-1} \left[(G + \tau z)^{M} z + H(1 - z) \right]^{p} \\ \times \left[d_{l-p} + \left((G + \tau z)^{M} - G^{M} \right) \sum_{i=0}^{l-p-1} H^{i} d_{l-p-i-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{M-1} \left((G + \tau z)^{M-j} - G^{M-j} \right) e_{l-p,j} \right]$$

is a polynomial of degree (l-1)(M+1) + M = lM + l - 1 in z, so the coefficient of z^k is zero for $k \ge lM + l$. A similar argument holds for the nonlinear problem.

Simplified error bounds

$$D_{l}(z) = \sum_{p=0}^{l-1} \left[(G + \tau z)^{M} z + H(1 - z) \right]^{p} \\ \times \left[d_{l-p} + \left((G + \tau z)^{M} - G^{M} \right) \sum_{i=0}^{l-p-1} H^{i} d_{l-p-i-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{M-1} \left((G + \tau z)^{M-j} - G^{M-j} \right) e_{l-p,j} \right]$$

• For a fixed time $t = T_l$, the error at all iterations k can be written in terms of block vectors and matrices :

$$\mathbf{D}_{l} = \begin{bmatrix} D_{l}^{0} \\ D_{l}^{1} \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{p=0}^{l-1} \mathcal{Q}^{p} \mathbf{f}_{p}, \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} L & & \\ R & L & \\ & R & L \\ & & \ddots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{f}_{p} = \begin{bmatrix} f_{p0} \\ f_{p1} \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix},$$

- Use the relationship between Toeplitz matrices and generating functions to bound powers of ${\cal Q}$ applied to ${\bf f}_p$
- Must choose norm carefully to get useful estimates within the same block !

• Convergence of three-level Parareal

Theorem (K. 2022) : Let |G|, |H| < 1. Let $D_k^l = Y_l - u(T_l)$ be the error of three-level parareal after k = (M+1)q + r iterations, where $q \ge 0$ and $0 \le r \le M$. Then

$$D_{l}^{k} \leq {\binom{l}{q+1}} b_{r} \|R\|_{*}^{q} \cdot (D+E) \|u\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T_{l})},$$

where $(b_i)_{i=0}^\infty$ is the coefficient of z^i in the Taylor expansion of

$$B(z) = \frac{1 - H}{1 - H - z((G + |\tau|z)^M - G^M + |\gamma|)}$$

and

$$||R||_* = \max_{0 \le i \le M} \frac{b_{i+M+1}}{b_i}, \quad D = \frac{|\rho|}{1-H} \left(1 - |\gamma|(1-H) + \frac{||R||_*}{b_M} \right), \quad E = \max_{1 \le s \le M} \frac{|\tau|^{s+1}}{b_s G(1-G)^{s+1}}$$

Scalar test problems : $y_t = -\lambda y$

- Total number of time steps = $100M,\,M=4,8,16,32,64,128$
 - 3-level : $10 \times M \times 10$ steps
 - + 2-level : either $10\times 10M$ steps or $10M\times 10$ steps
- We compare :
 - "Linear/nonlinear bound" : calculate polynomials in Matlab
 - "Simplified bound" : use expression from theorem
- For a cost-adjusted comparison of 2- and 3-level parareal, let C_F , C_G and C_H be the cost of doing a single step of the fine, intermediate and coarse integration. Then ignoring communication cost, we have

Туре	#Coarse $ imes$ Fine steps	Cost/iter.	Iter. for exact conv.
2-level	$LM \times N$	$N \cdot C_F + LM \cdot C_G$	LM
2-level	$L \times MN$	$NM \cdot C_F + L \cdot C_G$	L
3-level	$L \times M \times N$	$N \cdot C_F + M \cdot C_G + L \cdot C_H$	LM + L































- (

PDE examples

• Heat equation

Solve

$$u_t - u_{xx} = x^4(1-x) + t^2, \qquad (x,t) \in (0,1) \times (0,1)$$

- Initial condition : u(x,0) = 0
- Homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition in space
- Centred 2nd order FD in space (h = 1/40)
- Backward Euler on all levels















O Advection diffusion equation

• Solve

 $u_t = u_x + \nu u_{xx}, \qquad (x,t) \in (0,2) \times (0,4)$

- Initial condition : $u(x,0) = e^{-20(x-1)^2}$
- Periodic boundary conditions
- Backward Euler on all levels



Advection diffusion equation

• Solve

 $u_t = u_x + \nu u_{xx}, \qquad (x,t) \in (0,2) \times (0,4)$

- Initial condition : $u(x,0) = e^{-20(x-1)^2}$
- Periodic boundary conditions
- Backward Euler on all levels
- Gander (Oberwolfach Report 2017) showed that as $\nu \to 0$, error of 2-level parareal stagnates for k < L, and thus "makes the method useless for parallelization
- Same behaviour for 3-level parareal?



Advection diffusion equation

• Solve

 $u_t = u_x + \nu u_{xx}, \qquad (x,t) \in (0,2) \times (0,4)$

- Initial condition : $u(x,0) = e^{-20(x-1)^2}$
- Periodic boundary conditions
- Backward Euler on all levels
- Gander (Oberwolfach Report 2017) showed that as $\nu \to 0$, error of 2-level parareal stagnates for k < L, and thus "makes the method useless for parallelization
- Same behaviour for 3-level parareal?
- Instead of counting iterations, normalize by cost of sequential solve



- $\nu = 1/1024$
- 8 coarse intervals
- Refine second-level grid from 2 to 64 intervals



- $\nu = 1/1024$
- 8 coarse intervals
- Refine second-level grid from 2 to 64 intervals



- $\nu = 1/1024$
- 8 coarse intervals
- Refine second-level grid from 2 to 64 intervals



- $\nu = 1/1024$
- 8 coarse intervals
- Refine second-level grid from 2 to 64 intervals



- $\nu = 1/1024$
- 8 coarse intervals
- Refine second-level grid from 2 to 64 intervals



- $\nu = 1/1024$
- 8 coarse intervals
- Refine second-level grid from 2 to 64 intervals





- 3-level variant of parareal + interpretation as an MGRIT method
- Finite termination property
- Linear (and nonlinear) analysis by generating functions
- Some speedup possible for advection-diffusion for small $\boldsymbol{\nu}$
- Ongoing work :
 - Adapt estimates to $y_t = \lambda y$ for complex λ
 - Optimize distribution of coarse/intermediate/fine levels
 - Nonlinear problems
 - Adapt framework to study more general Parareal/MGRIT methods

THANK YOU!

Partial support from the National Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada (RGPIN-2021-02595) and from the ANR/RGC Joint Research Scheme sponsored by the Research Grants Council of the Hong Kong SAR (China) and the French National Research Agency (A-HKBU203/19).















