

On ParaDiag for BDFs



ALMA MATER STUDIORUM
UNIVERSITÀ DI BOLOGNA

Davide Palitta



Martin Gander

Dipartimento di Matematica, Centro AM^2
Alma Mater Studiorum - Università di Bologna

PinT 2022: 11th Conference on Parallel-in-Time Integration
CIRM, July 11-15, 2022

Differential problem and all-at-once discretization

We consider

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \mathfrak{L}(u) + f, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T], \\ u = g, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ u(0) = u_0, \end{cases}$$

$\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d = 1, 2, 3$, \mathfrak{L} linear differential operator w/ **only** space derivatives

All-at-once

$$(B \otimes M + I_\ell \otimes K)\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f}$$

- $B \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell \times \ell}$, ℓ n. of time steps
- $K, M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ stiffness and mass matrices
- $\mathbf{u} = \text{vec}([u_1, \dots, u_\ell])$, $\mathbf{f} = \text{vec}([f_1, \dots, f_\ell]) \in \mathbb{R}^{n\ell}$

Preliminaries

- \otimes Kronecker product: $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n_A \times m_A}$, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n_B \times m_B}$,

$$A \otimes B = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}B & \cdots & a_{1m_A}B \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n_A 1}B & \cdots & a_{n_A m_A}B \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_A n_B \times m_A m_B}$$

- vec operator: $X = [x_1, \dots, x_m] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$,

$$\text{vec}(X) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_m \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{nm}$$

- $(A \otimes B)\text{vec}(X) = \text{vec}(BXA^T)$

ParaDiag: a quite straightforward idea

$$(B \otimes M + I_\ell \otimes K)\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f}$$

- 1 Diagonalize $B = V\Sigma V^{-1}$, $\Sigma = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_\ell)$

$$(V \otimes I_n)(\Sigma \otimes M + I_\ell \otimes K)(V^{-1} \otimes I_n)\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f}$$

- 2 If $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} = (V^{-1} \otimes I_n)\mathbf{u}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{f}} = (V^{-1} \otimes I_n)\mathbf{f}$, solve

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 M + K & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \sigma_\ell M + K \end{bmatrix}}_{\Sigma \otimes M + I_\ell \otimes K} \tilde{\mathbf{u}} = \tilde{\mathbf{f}}$$

- 3 Retrieve $\mathbf{u} = (V \otimes I_n)\tilde{\mathbf{u}}$

What if we can **not** compute $B = V\Sigma V^{-1}$?

- B is not diagonalizable due to the adopted time integrator
- ℓ is too large
- ...

What if we can **not** compute $B = V\Sigma V^{-1}$?

- B is not diagonalizable due to the adopted time integrator ← BDFs
- ℓ is too large
- ...

Backward Differentiation Formulas (BDFs)

For the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y} = f(t, y) \\ y(t_0) = y_0 \end{cases}$$

a BDF of order s is given by

$$y_n - \sum_{i=1}^s \alpha_i y_{n-i} = \tau \beta f(t_n, y_n)$$

τ time step size, $t_i = t_0 + i\tau$, $\alpha_i = \alpha_i(s)$, $\beta = \beta(s) \in \mathbb{R}$ (known)

- Implicit methods
- Stable with order $s \leq 6$

Backward Euler & ParaDiag

Let's focus on $s = 1$: Backward Euler

$$B = \frac{1}{\tau} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ -1 & \ddots & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Backward Euler & ParaDiag

ParaDiag state-of-the-art strategies

- Different τ_j at each t_j ,

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1/\tau_1 & & & & \\ -1/\tau_2 & 1/\tau_2 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & -1/\tau_\ell & 1/\tau_\ell \end{bmatrix}$$

diagonalizable but very ill-conditioned eigenvector matrix [**Maday, Rønquist, 2008**], [**Gander et al, 2016**]

Backward Euler & ParaDiag

ParaDiag state-of-the-art strategies

- Hybrid time discretization

$$B = \frac{1}{\tau} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1/2 & & & & & \\ -1/2 & 0 & 1/2 & & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & & \\ & & & -1/2 & 0 & 1/2 & \\ & & & & -1 & 1 & \end{bmatrix}$$

works well **[Liu et al, 2021]** but not very *flexible*: we'd like to have an effective approach for a **class** of time integrators!

Backward Euler & ParaDiag

ParaDiag state-of-the-art strategies

- Iterative solution of

$$(B \otimes M + I_\ell \otimes K)\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f}$$

and confine ParaDiag to the preconditioning step only

$$\mathcal{P} = C \otimes M + I_\ell \otimes K$$

C diagonalizable approximation to B [Bertaccini, 2000],
[Mcdonald, Pestana, Wathen, 2018], ...

$$B = \frac{1}{\tau} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & & \\ -1 & \ddots & & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & & -1 & 1 & \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\tau} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & -1 \\ -1 & \ddots & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_C + \frac{1}{\tau} \mathbf{e}_1 \mathbf{e}_\ell^T$$

Backward Euler & ParaDiag: our novel strategy

$M = I$ (FD) for sake of simplicity, $C = F^{-1}\Pi F$, F FFT

$$\begin{aligned}(B \otimes I_n + I_\ell \otimes K)\mathbf{u} &= \mathbf{f} \\ \Downarrow \\ (C \otimes I_n + \frac{1}{\tau}\mathbf{e}_1\mathbf{e}_\ell^T \otimes I_n + I_\ell \otimes K)\mathbf{u} &= \mathbf{f} \\ \Downarrow \\ (\Pi \otimes I_n + \frac{1}{\tau}F\mathbf{e}_1\mathbf{e}_\ell^TF^{-1} \otimes I_n + I_\ell \otimes K)\tilde{\mathbf{u}} &= \tilde{\mathbf{f}} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{u}} := (F \otimes I)\mathbf{u}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{f}} = (F \otimes I)\mathbf{f}\end{aligned}$$

Backward Euler & ParaDiag: our novel strategy

$$\left(\underbrace{\Pi \otimes I_n + I_\ell \otimes K}_{=P} + \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{\tau} F e_1 \otimes I_n \right) \left(F^{-T} e_\ell \otimes I_n \right)^T}_{=MN^T} \right) \tilde{\mathbf{u}} = \tilde{\mathbf{f}}$$

Backward Euler & ParaDiag: our novel strategy

$$\left(\underbrace{\Pi \otimes I_n + I_\ell \otimes K}_{=P} + \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{\tau} F e_1 \otimes I_n \right) \left(F^{-T} e_\ell \otimes I_n \right)^T}_{=MN^T} \right) \tilde{\mathbf{u}} = \tilde{\mathbf{f}}$$

Backward Euler & ParaDiag: our novel strategy

$$\left(\underbrace{\Pi \otimes I_n + I_\ell \otimes K}_{=P} + \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{\tau} \mathbf{1} \otimes I_n \right) \left(F^{-T} \mathbf{e}_\ell \otimes I_n \right)^T}_{=MN^T} \right) \tilde{\mathbf{u}} = \tilde{\mathbf{f}}$$

Backward Euler & ParaDiag: our novel strategy

$$\left(\underbrace{\Pi \otimes I_n + I_\ell \otimes K}_{=P} + \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{\tau} \mathbf{1} \otimes I_n \right) \left(F^{-T} e_\ell \otimes I_n \right)^T}_{=MN^T} \right) \tilde{\mathbf{u}} = \tilde{\mathbf{f}}$$

SMW

$$\tilde{\mathbf{u}} = P^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{f}} - P^{-1} M (I + N^T P^{-1} M)^{-1} N^T P^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{f}}$$

then

$$\mathbf{u} = (F^{-1} \otimes I) \tilde{\mathbf{u}}$$

Backward Euler & ParaDiag: our novel strategy

$$\tilde{\mathbf{u}} = P^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{f}} - P^{-1}M(I + N^T P^{-1}M)^{-1}N^T P^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{f}}$$

- $\mathbf{f} = \text{vec}([f_1, \dots, f_\ell])$, $\tilde{\mathbf{f}} = (F \otimes I)\mathbf{f} = \text{vec}([f_1, \dots, f_\ell]F^T)$
- P is block diagonal, $P^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{f}}$ in parallel but $P^{-1}M$ is too expensive
- Exploit the Kronecker structure of $M = 1/\tau \mathbf{1} \otimes I_n$ and $N = F^{-T}e_\ell \otimes I_n$

$$N^T \text{vec}(Y) = YF^{-T}e_\ell \quad M\text{vec}(X) = \text{vec}(1/\tau X \mathbf{1}^T)$$

- Main issue: $(I + N^T P^{-1}M)^{-1}$

Backward Euler & ParaDiag: our novel strategy

If $\Pi = \text{diag}(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_\ell)$, and $F^{-T} \mathbf{e}_\ell = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_\ell)^T$

$$I + N^T P^{-1} M = I + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \gamma_i ((1 - \pi_i) I + \tau K)^{-1}$$

we need to solve

$$\underbrace{\left(I + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \gamma_i ((1 - \pi_i) I + \tau K)^{-1} \right)}_{=: J_\ell} x = b$$

Backward Euler & ParaDiag: our novel strategy

$$\left(I + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \gamma_i ((1 - \pi_i)I + \tau K)^{-1} \right) x = b$$

Galerkin w/ Krylov subspace $\mathcal{K}_m(K, b) = \text{span}\{b, K, b, \dots, K^{m-1}b\}$

- $V_m = [v_1, \dots, v_m] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ w/ orthonormal columns s.t. $\text{range}(V_m) = \mathcal{K}_m(K, b)$
- $x_m = V_m y_m$
- Compute $y_m \in \mathbb{R}^m$ by imposing

$$r_m \perp \mathcal{K}_m(K, b), \quad r_m = J_{\ell} x_m - b$$

\Downarrow

$$\left(I_m + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \gamma_i (I_m - t_{m+1,m} V_m^T h_i e_m^T) M_i \right) y_m = \theta e_1$$

$$\theta = \|b\|, \quad M_i = ((1 - \pi_i)I + \tau T_m)^{-1}, \quad T_m = V_m^T K V_m, \\ t_{m+1,m} = v_{m+1}^T K V_m, \quad h_i = ((1 - \pi_i)I + \tau K)^{-1} v_{m+1}$$

Backward Euler & ParaDiag: our novel strategy

$$(B \otimes I_n + I_\ell \otimes K)\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f}$$

- 1 Compute

$$\text{vec}([z_1, \dots, z_\ell]) = (\Pi \otimes I_n + I_\ell \otimes K)^{-1} \text{vec}([f_1, \dots, f_\ell] F^T)$$

in parallel

- 2 Set $b = [z_1, \dots, z_\ell] F^{-T} e_\ell$
- 3 Obtain x_m by using Galerkin to solve

$$\left(I + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \gamma_i ((1 - \pi_i) I + \tau K)^{-1} \right) x = b$$

- 4 Compute

$$\text{vec}([w_1, \dots, w_\ell]) = (\Pi \otimes I_n + I_\ell \otimes K)^{-1} \text{vec}(x_m \mathbf{1}^T)$$

in parallel

- 5 Set $\mathbf{u} = \text{vec}([z_1, \dots, z_\ell] - [w_1, \dots, w_\ell]) F^{-T}$

Backward Euler & ParaDiag: our novel strategy

Algorithmic considerations (m number of Galerkin iterations)

- $m + 2$ parallel-in-time loops in general
- $m/d + 2$ parallel-in-time loops if we check the residual norm in Galerkin every d iterations

Numerical examples

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} u_t - \nu \Delta u + \vec{w} \cdot \nabla u = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, 1], \Omega: = (0, 1)^2 \\ u = g(x, y), & \text{on } \partial\Omega \\ u_0 = u(x, y, 0) = g(x, y) & \text{if } (x, y) \in \partial\Omega \\ u_0 = u(x, y, 0) = 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

- $\nu = 1/20$
- $\vec{w} = (2y(1 - x^2), -2x(1 - y^2))$
- $g(1, y) = g(x, 0) = g(x, 1) = 0, g(0, y) = 1$
- K obtained by IFISSⁱ

ⁱcd_testproblem, n. 4 with the default setting

Numerical examples

$$(B \otimes M + I_\ell \otimes K)\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f}, \quad B = C + \frac{1}{\tau} \mathbf{e}_1 \mathbf{e}_1^T$$

Competitor: GMRES preconditioned by $\mathcal{P} = C \otimes M + I_\ell \otimes K$ (right preconditioning), $\epsilon = 10^{-8}$ (same threshold for Galerkin)

n	ℓ	ParaDiag $m + 2$	GMRES $p + 1$	$\ \mathbf{u}_{ParaDiag} - \mathbf{u}_{GMRES}\ / \ \mathbf{u}_{GMRES}\ $
289	32	9	50	7.12e-9
	128	9	50	7.28e-9
	512	9	50	7.35e-9
1089	32	9	78	6.88e-9
	128	8	78	2.14e-8
	512	8	78	2.00e-8
4225	32	8	117	2.82e-8
	128	8	117	2.14e-8
	512	8	117	2.01e-8

Conclusions

So far

- New solution framework for ParaDiag w/ BDFs
- Competitive w.r.t. state-of-the-art approaches

Not done yet

- Detailed derivation of the scheme for BDFs of order $s > 1$
 - Same exact procedure but J_ℓ is now a $s \times s$ block matrix
 - Galerkin needs to take into account this structure
- Broader numerical testing (e.g., comparison w/ Daniel et al.)