Convergence of Schwarz Waveform Relaxation Methods for Systems of Semi-Linear Reaction-Diffusion Equations

Victorita Dolean in collaboration with: Nathan Adams and Martin J. Gander 12 July 2022



Motivation and challenges

Reaction-Diffusion (RD) systems have extensive applications in several areas

Examples

- SEIRD models: used for modelling the spread of diseases (such as COVID-19!)
- Lotka-Volterra equations: used to model predator-prey systems

...plus other examples in mathematical biology or physics!

Finding methods to efficiently solve these systems is vital. However, they are nonlinear, time-dependent ! We consider, on the bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , the system of semi-linear RD equations

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u} - \Delta \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T),$$
  

$$\mathbf{u}(x, t) = \mathbf{g}(x, t) \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T),$$
  

$$\mathbf{u}(x, 0) = \mathbf{u}_0(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$
(1)

where  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, ..., u_d)$  and  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}) = (f_1(\mathbf{u}), f_2(\mathbf{u}), ..., f_d(\mathbf{u})).$ 

We consider, on the bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , the system of semi-linear RD equations

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u} - \Delta \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T),$$
  

$$\mathbf{u}(x, t) = \mathbf{g}(x, t) \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T),$$
  

$$\mathbf{u}(x, 0) = \mathbf{u}_0(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$
(1)

where  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, ..., u_d)$  and  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}) = (f_1(\mathbf{u}), f_2(\mathbf{u}), ..., f_d(\mathbf{u}))$ .

Assuming that there exists a constant C such that for all  $\mathbf{x}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathbf{f}$  satisfies

 $\|\mathbf{f}\| \le C(1 + \|\mathbf{x}\|)$ 

and under some assumptions on g and  $u_0$ , the system is well-posed (Cf. Henry '81)

Study and develop Schwarz waveform relaxation (SWR) methods the their optimised versions for non-linear systems of PDEs

- convergence study when applied to semi-linear RD systems.
- show superlinear convergence on bounded time intervals and linear (under conditions) over long time by using comparison principles.
- derive optimised versions on the linear counterpart and apply them to nonlinear systems.

SWR Methods

What are Schwarz waveform relaxation (SWR) methods?

- SWR are a type of domain decomposition method the overall domain is divided into smaller **sub-domains** on which the system is solved.
- they rely on a space-time decomposition with **overlapping** sub-domains.



Decompose the domain into overlapping sub-domains  $\Omega_1 = (0, \beta L)$  and  $\Omega_2 = (\alpha L, L)$ ,  $\alpha < \beta$ .

Denoting  $\mathbf{g}_1(t) := \mathbf{g}(0,t)$  and  $\mathbf{g}_2(t) := \mathbf{g}(L,t)$ , the SWR method gives at iteration n+1 the approximate solutions  $\mathbf{v}^{n+1}$ ,  $\mathbf{w}^{n+1}$  on the two sub-domains by solving the equations

$$\partial_{t} \mathbf{v}^{n+1} - \Delta \mathbf{v}^{n+1} + \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{v}^{n+1}) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega_{1} \times (0, T),$$

$$\mathbf{v}^{n+1}(0, t) = \mathbf{g}_{1}(t) \quad \text{on } (0, T),$$

$$\mathbf{v}^{n+1}(\beta L, t) = \mathbf{w}^{n}(\beta L, t) \quad \text{on } (0, T),$$

$$\mathbf{v}^{n+1}(x, 0) = \mathbf{u}_{0}(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega_{1}$$

$$\partial_{t} \mathbf{w}^{n+1} - \Delta \mathbf{w}^{n+1} + \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{w}^{n+1}) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega_{2} \times (0, T),$$

$$\mathbf{w}^{n+1}(\alpha L, t) = \mathbf{v}^{n}(\alpha L, t) \quad \text{on } (0, T),$$

$$\mathbf{w}^{n+1}(L, t) = \mathbf{g}_{2}(t) \quad \text{on } (0, T),$$

$$\mathbf{w}^{n+1}(x, 0) = \mathbf{u}_{0}(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega_{2}.$$
(3)

# Error Analysis: Linear Convergence Estimate on unbounded intervals

We denote the errors in sub-domain  $\Omega_1$  by  $\mathbf{d}^n := \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}^n$  and in  $\Omega_2$  by  $\mathbf{e}^n := \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{w}^n$ .

#### Linear Convergence Estimate

Assume that  $\partial_i f_i \ge 0$ , i = 1, ..., d, and that there exists a constant a satisfying  $0 < a < (\pi/L)^2$ , such that  $-\frac{a}{d} \le \partial_i f_j \le 0$ ,  $j \ne i$ . Then the errors in the Schwarz waveform relaxation algorithm satisfy

$$\sup_{\mathbf{f}\in\Omega_1} \|\mathbf{d}^{2n+1}(x,\cdot)\|_{\infty} \leq \gamma^n \|\mathbf{e}^0(\beta L,\cdot)\|_{\infty}, \tag{4}$$

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega_2} \|\mathbf{e}^{2n+1}(x, \cdot)\|_{\infty} \leq \gamma^n \|\mathbf{d}^0(\alpha L, \cdot)\|_{\infty},$$
(5)

where  $\gamma \in (0,1)$  is given by

$$\gamma = \left(\frac{\sin(\sqrt{a}\alpha L)}{\sin(\sqrt{a}\beta L)}\right) \left(\frac{\sin(\sqrt{a}(1-\beta)L)}{\sin(\sqrt{a}(1-\alpha)L)}\right)$$

We denote the errors in sub-domain  $\Omega_1$  by  $\mathbf{d}^n := \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}^n$  and in  $\Omega_2$  by  $\mathbf{e}^n := \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{w}^n$ .

#### Superlinear Convergence Estimate

Under same assumption on application f, the errors in the Schwarz waveform relaxation algorithm satisfy

$$\sup_{v \in \Omega_1} \|\mathbf{d}^{2n}(x, \cdot)\|_T \leq \max(e^{aT}, 1) \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{n(\beta - \alpha)L}{\sqrt{T}}\right) \|\mathbf{d}^0(\beta L, \cdot)\|_T,$$
(6)

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega_2} \|\mathbf{e}^{2n}(x, \cdot)\|_T \leq \max(e^{aT}, 1) \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{n(\beta - \alpha)L}{\sqrt{T}}\right) \|\mathbf{e}^0(\alpha L, \cdot)\|_T,$$
(7)

• Write the equations in term of error.

- Write the equations in term of error.
- Linearise (some assumptions are needed on the partial derivatives).

- Write the equations in term of error.
- Linearise (some assumptions are needed on the partial derivatives).
- Apply a comparison principle. (see Volpert et al for more sophisticated variants)

- Write the equations in term of error.
- Linearise (some assumptions are needed on the partial derivatives).
- Apply a comparison principle. (see Volpert et al for more sophisticated variants)

Let T > 0 and let  $\mathbf{u} = (u_j)_{1 \le j \le d} \in C^{2,1}(\Omega \times [0,T))^d$ be a function for which each component satisfies

$$\partial_t u_i - \Delta u_i + \sum_{j=1}^d a_{ij}(x,t)u_j = 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0,T)$$
  
 $u_i(x,t) = g_i(t), \text{ on } \partial\Omega \times (0,T),$   
 $u_i(x,0) = 0, \text{ in } \Omega.$ 

- Write the equations in term of error.
- Linearise (some assumptions are needed on the partial derivatives).
- Apply a comparison principle. (see Volpert et al for more sophisticated variants)

Let T > 0 and let  $\mathbf{u} = (u_j)_{1 \le j \le d} \in C^{2,1}(\Omega \times [0,T))^d$ be a function for which each component satisfies

$$\partial_t u_i - \Delta u_i + \sum_{j=1}^d a_{ij}(x, t) u_j = 0, \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, T)$$
$$u_i(x, t) = g_i(t), \text{ on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T)$$
$$u_i(x, 0) = 0, \text{ in } \Omega.$$

Let  $\tilde{a}$  be a constant and  $\tilde{u} \in C^{2,1}(\Omega \times [0,T))$  be a scalar function satisfying

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \frac{\partial \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}}{\partial t} - \Delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{a}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} &= 0, & \text{ in } \Omega \times (0,T) \\ & \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{t}) &= \tilde{\boldsymbol{g}}(t), & \text{ on } \partial \Omega \times (0,T), \\ & \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x},0) &= 0, & \text{ in } \Omega. \end{array}$$

- Write the equations in term of error.
- Linearise (some assumptions are needed on the partial derivatives).
- Apply a comparison principle. (see Volpert et al for more sophisticated variants)

Let T > 0 and let  $\mathbf{u} = (u_j)_{1 \le j \le d} \in C^{2,1}(\Omega \times [0,T))^d$ be a function for which each component satisfies

$$\partial_t u_i - \Delta u_i + \sum_{j=1}^d a_{ij}(x,t) u_j = 0, \text{ in } \Omega \times (0,T)$$
$$u_i(x,t) = g_i(t), \text{ on } \partial\Omega \times (0,T),$$
$$u_i(x,0) = 0, \text{ in } \Omega.$$

Let  $\tilde{a}$  be a constant and  $\tilde{u} \in C^{2,1}(\Omega \times [0,T))$  be a scalar function satisfying

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \frac{\partial \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}}{\partial t} - \Delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} - \tilde{a} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} &= 0, & \text{ in } \Omega \times (0,T) \\ \\ \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x},t) &= \tilde{\boldsymbol{g}}(t), & \text{ on } \partial \Omega \times (0,T), \\ \\ \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x},0) &= 0, & \text{ in } \Omega. \end{array}$$

We assume that  $A(x,t) = (a_{ij}(x,t))_{1 \le i,j \le d}$  verifies

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega, t \in [0,T]} \|A(x,t)\|_{\infty} \le \tilde{a}$$

- Write the equations in term of error.
- Linearise (some assumptions are needed on the partial derivatives).
- Apply a comparison principle. (see Volpert et al for more sophisticated variants)

Let T > 0 and let  $\mathbf{u} = (u_i)_{1 \le i \le d} \in C^{2,1}(\Omega \times [0,T))^d$ be a function for which each component satisfies

$$\begin{array}{lll} \partial_t u_i - \Delta u_i + \sum_{j=1}^d a_{ij}(x,t)u_j &= 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0,T) & \text{ and that for } i = 1,...,d \text{ and for all } t \in [0,T], \\ u_i(x,t) &= g_i(t), \text{ on } \partial\Omega \times (0,T), & |g_i(t)| \leq \tilde{g}(t). \\ u_i(x,0) &= 0, \text{ in } \Omega. \end{array}$$

Let  $\tilde{a}$  be a constant and  $\tilde{u} \in C^{2,1}(\Omega \times [0,T))$  be a scalar function satisfying

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \frac{\partial \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}}{\partial t} - \Delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} - \tilde{a} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} &= 0, & \text{ in } \Omega \times (0,T) \\ \\ \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x},t) &= \tilde{\boldsymbol{g}}(t), & \text{ on } \partial \Omega \times (0,T), \\ \\ \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x},0) &= 0, & \text{ in } \Omega. \end{array}$$

We assume that  $A(x,t) = (a_{ij}(x,t))_{1 \le i,j \le d}$  verifies

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega, \ t \in [0,T]} \|A(x,t)\|_{\infty} \le \tilde{a}$$

 $|g_i(t)| \leq \tilde{g}(t).$ 

8/19

- Write the equations in term of error.
- Linearise (some assumptions are needed on the partial derivatives).
- Apply a comparison principle. (see Volpert et al for more sophisticated variants)

Let T > 0 and let  $\mathbf{u} = (u_i)_{1 \le i \le d} \in C^{2,1}(\Omega \times [0,T))^d$ be a function for which each component satisfies

$$\partial_t u_i - \Delta u_i + \sum_{j=1}^d a_{ij}(x,t)u_j = 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0,T) \quad \text{and that for } i = 1,..., o$$

$$u_i(x,t) = g_i(t), \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0,T), \quad |g_i(x,t)| = 0, \text{ in } \Omega.$$
Then for  $i = 1,...,d$ ,
$$|g_i(x,t)| = 0, \text{ in } \Omega.$$

Let  $\tilde{a}$  be a constant and  $\tilde{u} \in C^{2,1}(\Omega \times [0,T))$  be a scalar function satisfying

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \frac{\partial \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}}{\partial t} - \Delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} - \tilde{a} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} &= 0, & \text{ in } \Omega \times (0,T) \\ \\ \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x},t) &= \tilde{\boldsymbol{g}}(t), & \text{ on } \partial \Omega \times (0,T), \\ \\ \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x},0) &= 0, & \text{ in } \Omega. \end{array}$$

We assume that  $A(x,t) = (a_{ij}(x,t))_{1 \le i,j \le d}$  verifies

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega, \ t \in [0,T]} \|A(x,t)\|_{\infty} \le \tilde{a}$$

and that for 
$$i = 1, ..., d$$
 and for all  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$|g_i(t)| \le \tilde{g}(t).$$

Then for 
$$i = 1, ..., d$$
,  
 $|u_i(x, t)| \leq \tilde{u}(x, t), \forall (x, t) \in \Omega_T = \Omega \times (0, T].$ 

Numerical results

The model:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \nu_u \Delta u - u(1 - u - rv) = 0, \\ \partial_t v - \nu_v \Delta v - buv = 0 \end{cases}$$

with (b, r > 0) example of non-equilibrium thermodynamics, resulting in the establishment of a nonlinear chemical oscillator.

- the main aspect of the reaction is its 'excitability'
- the ratio of concentration of some ions oscillated, causing the colour of the solution to change.



Figure 1: Credits: Wikimedia Commons

The model:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \nu_u \Delta u - u(1 - u - rv) = 0, \\ \partial_t v - \nu_v \Delta v - buv = 0 \end{cases}$$

with (b, r > 0) example of non-equilibrium thermodynamics, resulting in the establishment of a nonlinear chemical oscillator.

- the main aspect of the reaction is its 'excitability'
- the ratio of concentration of some ions oscillated, causing the colour of the solution to change.





The model:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \nu_u \Delta u - f(u) + \sigma v = 0, \\ \partial_t v - \nu_v \Delta v - u + v = 0 \end{cases}$$

with  $f(u) = \lambda u - u^3 - \kappa \ (\lambda, \nu_u, \nu_v, \kappa > 0)$ describes how an action potential travels through a nerve.

- prototype of an excitable system (e.g., a neuron).
- activator-inhibitor type of system: close to the ground state, one component stimulates the production of both components while the other one inhibits their growth.



Figure 2: Credits: Wikipedia

# FitzHugh-Nagumo equations

The model:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \nu_u \Delta u - f(u) + \sigma v = 0, \\ \partial_t v - \nu_v \Delta v - u + v = 0 \end{cases}$$

with  $f(u) = \lambda u - u^3 - \kappa$  ( $\lambda, \nu_u, \nu_v, \kappa > 0$ ) describes how an action potential travels through a nerve.

- prototype of an excitable system (e.g., a neuron).
- activator-inhibitor type of system: close to the ground state, one component stimulates the production of both components while the other one inhibits their growth.





The model (with migration of the populations):

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \nu_u \Delta u - u(\alpha - \beta v) = 0, \\ \partial_t v - \nu_v \Delta v + v(\gamma - \delta u) = 0 \end{cases}$$

describe a biological system in which two species interact, one a predator and one its prey.

- prey population is assumed to have an unlimited food supply, and to reproduce exponentially unless subject to predation
- predator population grows fueled by the food supply, minus natural death.



Figure 3: The hypothesis of the Theorem are not verified, we have convergence but not the linear one.

Optimised SWR (OSWR) Methods

Idea: (from Y. Courvoisier thesis, University of Geneva) optimise the method for a nearby linear system and see how it works for non-linear system. Consider RD systems of the form

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u} = D\partial_{xx}\mathbf{u} - B\mathbf{u}, \ \mathbf{u} = (u, v),$$

where D and B are constant in space matrices in  $\mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$  such that the matrix D is positive definite and the eigenvalues of B are positive.

# **Optimised Method: Introduction**

Idea: (from Y. Courvoisier thesis, University of Geneva) optimise the method for a nearby linear system and see how it works for non-linear system. Consider RD systems of the form

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u} = D \partial_{xx} \mathbf{u} - B \mathbf{u}, \ \mathbf{u} = (u, v),$$

where D and B are constant in space matrices in  $\mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$  such that the matrix D is positive definite and the eigenvalues of B are positive.

Further assumption: D and B can be diagonalised in the same basis, allowing us to diagonalise our system. This leads to the uncoupled system

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{u}_t = \nu_1 \tilde{u}_{xx} - \lambda_1 \tilde{u}, \\ \tilde{v}_t = \nu_2 \tilde{v}_{xx} - \lambda_2 \tilde{v}. \end{cases}$$

We can now focus on a single equation when obtaining our optimisation.

# Simplified Equation and Method

Focus on the one-dimensional linear RD equation:

 $u_t = \nu u_{xx} - \lambda u, \ \nu, \lambda > 0,$ 

with initial condition u(x, 0) = g(x).

Focus on the one-dimensional linear RD equation:

 $u_t = \nu u_{xx} - \lambda u, \ \nu, \lambda > 0,$ 

with initial condition u(x,0) = g(x). SWR algorithm with Robin transmission conditions gives

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_1^n = \nu \partial_{xx} u_1^n - \lambda u_1^n, & \Omega_1 \times (0, \infty) \\ (\partial_{\mathbf{n}_1} + \mathbf{p}) u_1^n = (\partial_{\mathbf{n}_1} + \mathbf{p}) u_2^{n-1}, & \partial \Omega_1 \times (0, \infty) \\ u_1^n(x, 0) = g(x), & \Omega_1 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_2^n = \nu \partial_{xx} u_2^n - \lambda u_2^n, & \Omega_2 \times (0, \infty) \\ (\partial_{\mathbf{n}_2} + \mathbf{p}) u_2^n = (\partial_{\mathbf{n}_2} + \mathbf{p}) u_1^{n-1}, & \partial \Omega_2 \times (0, \infty) \\ u_2^n(x, 0) = g(x), & \Omega_2, \end{cases}$$

where  $\mathbf{n}_1$  and  $\mathbf{n}_2$  are the unit outward vectors of  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  respectively, and p is the Robin parameter.

Fourier transform in time + computation of the local errors + iterate  $\Rightarrow$  contraction factor for our SWR algorithm:

$$\rho(\omega,\lambda,\nu,\mathbf{p}) = \left| \left( \frac{\mathbf{p} - \sqrt{\alpha}}{\mathbf{p} + \sqrt{\alpha}} \right)^2 e^{-2\sqrt{\alpha}L} \right|, \, \alpha := \frac{i\omega + \lambda}{\nu}$$

where  $\omega$  is the Fourier frequency in time and L is the length of the overlap.

Fourier transform in time + computation of the local errors + iterate  $\Rightarrow$  contraction factor for our SWR algorithm:

$$\rho(\omega, \lambda, \nu, \mathbf{p}) = \left| \left( \frac{\mathbf{p} - \sqrt{\alpha}}{\mathbf{p} + \sqrt{\alpha}} \right)^2 e^{-2\sqrt{\alpha}L} \right|, \, \alpha := \frac{i\omega + \lambda}{\nu}$$

where  $\omega$  is the Fourier frequency in time and L is the length of the overlap.

Rewrite  $\alpha = \frac{i\omega + \lambda}{\nu}$  in the form  $\alpha = i\tilde{\omega} + \frac{\lambda}{\nu}$ , where  $\tilde{\omega} = \frac{\omega}{\nu}$ .

$$f(\mathbf{p}, y) = \frac{(\mathbf{p} - y)^2 + y^2 - \varepsilon}{(\mathbf{p} + y)^2 + y^2 - \varepsilon} e^{-2yL}, \, \varepsilon = \frac{\lambda}{\nu}$$

Fourier transform in time + computation of the local errors + iterate  $\Rightarrow$  contraction factor for our SWR algorithm:

$$\rho(\omega, \lambda, \nu, \mathbf{p}) = \left| \left( \frac{\mathbf{p} - \sqrt{\alpha}}{\mathbf{p} + \sqrt{\alpha}} \right)^2 e^{-2\sqrt{\alpha}L} \right|, \, \alpha := \frac{i\omega + \lambda}{\nu}$$

where  $\omega$  is the Fourier frequency in time and L is the length of the overlap.

Rewrite 
$$\alpha = \frac{i\omega + \lambda}{\nu}$$
 in the form  $\alpha = i\tilde{\omega} + \frac{\lambda}{\nu}$ , where  $\tilde{\omega} = \frac{\omega}{\nu}$ .

$$f(\mathbf{p}, y) = \frac{(\mathbf{p} - y)^2 + y^2 - \varepsilon}{(\mathbf{p} + y)^2 + y^2 - \varepsilon} e^{-2yL}, \ \varepsilon = \frac{\lambda}{\nu}$$

To optimise the contraction factor solve

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{p}>0} \max_{y \in I} f(\boldsymbol{p}, y), \ I = [\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, y_{max}]$$

Fourier transform in time + computation of the local errors + iterate  $\Rightarrow$  contraction factor for our SWR algorithm:

$$\rho(\omega, \lambda, \nu, \mathbf{p}) = \left| \left( \frac{\mathbf{p} - \sqrt{\alpha}}{\mathbf{p} + \sqrt{\alpha}} \right)^2 e^{-2\sqrt{\alpha}L} \right|, \, \alpha := \frac{i\omega + \lambda}{\nu}$$

where  $\omega$  is the Fourier frequency in time and L is the length of the overlap.

Rewrite 
$$\alpha = \frac{i\omega + \lambda}{\nu}$$
 in the form  $\alpha = i\tilde{\omega} + \frac{\lambda}{\nu}$ , where  $\tilde{\omega} = \frac{\omega}{\nu}$ .

$$f(\mathbf{p}, y) = \frac{(\mathbf{p} - y)^2 + y^2 - \varepsilon}{(\mathbf{p} + y)^2 + y^2 - \varepsilon} e^{-2yL}, \, \varepsilon = \frac{\lambda}{\nu}$$

To optimise the contraction factor solve

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{p}>0} \max_{y \in I} f(\boldsymbol{p}, y), \ I = [\varepsilon, y_{max}]$$

A lot of different cases + tedious computations + different cases to be considered...but the optimal parameter can be found!

Numerical Results - OSWR

# Discretising the OSWR method

PinT 2022, CIRM Marseille

Discretise (implicit Euler for the linear part and explicit for the nonlinear part)

$$\boldsymbol{u}_t = 
u \boldsymbol{u}_{xx} - \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{u}), \ \boldsymbol{u} = [u, v]^T$$

For our implementation, set the following values: [a, b] = [0, 1],  $[0, T] = [0, 12\pi]$ ,  $\nu = 0.05$ , N = 60 and M = 200. Initial condition  $u_0 = [1 - x/2, 1/2 + x/2]^T$  and boundary conditions  $u_a = u_b = 0$ .



- We run the algorithm for values in the interval  $p = [0.1, \sqrt{\varepsilon}]$ .
- Robin algorithm outperforms Dirichlet even when p is sub-optimal.
- Best rate of convergence obtained for  $p=\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ , in accordance with theory.





- We run the algorithm for values in the interval  $p = [0.1, \sqrt{\varepsilon}]$ .
- Robin algorithm outperforms Dirichlet even when p is sub-optimal.
- Best rate of convergence obtained for  $p=\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ , in accordance with theory.





- We run the algorithm for values in the interval  $p = [0.1, \sqrt{\varepsilon}]$ .
- Robin algorithm outperforms Dirichlet even when p is sub-optimal.
- Best rate of convergence obtained for  $p=\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ , in accordance with theory.





- We run the algorithm for values in the interval  $p = [0.1, \sqrt{\varepsilon}]$ .
- Robin algorithm outperforms Dirichlet even when p is sub-optimal.
- Best rate of convergence obtained for  $p=\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ , in accordance with theory.





- We run the algorithm for values in the interval  $p = [0.1, \sqrt{\varepsilon}]$ .
- Robin algorithm outperforms Dirichlet even when p is sub-optimal.
- Best rate of convergence obtained for  $p=\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ , in accordance with theory.





- We run the algorithm for values in the interval  $p = [0.1, \sqrt{\varepsilon}]$ .
- Robin algorithm outperforms Dirichlet even when p is sub-optimal.
- Best rate of convergence obtained for  $p=\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ , in accordance with theory.





- We run the algorithm for values in the interval  $p = [0.1, \sqrt{\varepsilon}]$ .
- Robin algorithm outperforms Dirichlet even when p is sub-optimal.
- Best rate of convergence obtained for  $p=\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ , in accordance with theory.





- We run the algorithm for values in the interval  $p = [0.1, \sqrt{\varepsilon}]$ .
- Robin algorithm outperforms Dirichlet even when p is sub-optimal.
- Best rate of convergence obtained for  $p=\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ , in accordance with theory.





- We run the algorithm for values in the interval  $p = [0.1, \sqrt{\varepsilon}]$ .
- Robin algorithm outperforms Dirichlet even when p is sub-optimal.
- Best rate of convergence obtained for  $p=\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ , in accordance with theory.





- We run the algorithm for values in the interval  $p = [0.1, \sqrt{\varepsilon}]$ .
- Robin algorithm outperforms Dirichlet even when p is sub-optimal.
- Best rate of convergence obtained for  $p=\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ , in accordance with theory.





# Non-Linear Example: small vs large overlap

- We vary the value of  $p \mbox{ from 1 to 10, with small then large overlap.}$
- Dirichlet fails to converge optimised method successfully converges for  $p \ge 4$ .
- Best rate of convergence obtained for p = 4. (not in line with the theoretical results)





# Non-Linear Example: small vs large overlap

- We vary the value of  $p \mbox{ from 1 to 10, with small then large overlap.}$
- Dirichlet fails to converge optimised method successfully converges for  $p \ge 4$ .
- Best rate of convergence obtained for p = 4. (not in line with the theoretical results)





## **Altered Boundary Conditions**



Error plot: Linear Example, p = 1.



 $\label{eq:Error plot: Non-Linear Example, $p=4$.}$ 

- OSWR remains superior over SWR
- Optimal value of p occurs at p = 1; unchanged from original boundary conditions

- OSWR converges rapidly even when SWR fails to converge
- Optimal value of p occurs at p = 4; unchanged from original boundary conditions

**Overall Conclusions** 

- Theoretical convergence analysis for SWR applied to semi-linear RD system.
- OSWR performed as expected for the linear system.
- Additionally, the method successfully converged for the non-linear system when the Robin parameter p was large enough.

- Theoretical convergence analysis for SWR applied to semi-linear RD system.
- OSWR performed as expected for the linear system.
- Additionally, the method successfully converged for the non-linear system when the Robin parameter p was large enough.

However, it is clear further research could be done into the efficiency of this method.

#### Further Research Examples

- Investigate the limitation on the value of the Robin parameter *p*.
- Test the method on a more complex non-linear systems, such as an SEIRD model.
- Research the effectiveness of the method for higher dimensional systems.