

Hodge decomposition and Maximal Regularity for the Hodge Laplacian on homogeneous Besov spaces on \mathbb{R}_+^n

Anatole Gaudin

MathFlows 7th Ed.
CIRM, Luminy, December 2022

Laplacians and differential forms

For $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, say connected,

- Dir/Neu Laplacian;
 $-\Delta u = -\operatorname{div}(\nabla u)$
 $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ or $\nabla u \cdot \nu|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$
- Laplacian on Vector Fields;
 $-\Delta u = \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} u - \nabla \operatorname{div} u$
 $u \cdot \nu|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \nu \times \operatorname{curl} u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$

Laplacians and differential forms

For $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, say connected,

- Dir/Neu Laplacian;
 $-\Delta u = -\operatorname{div}(\nabla u)$
 $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ or $\nabla u \cdot \nu|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$
- Laplacian on Vector Fields;
 $-\Delta u = \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} u - \nabla \operatorname{div} u$
 $u \cdot \nu|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \nu \times \operatorname{curl} u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$

both can be written as the **Hodge Laplacian** acting on differential forms:

$$-\Delta_{\mathcal{H}} = d\delta + \delta d \text{ with B.C. } \nu \lrcorner u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \nu \lrcorner du|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 .$$

Laplacians and differential forms

For $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, say connected,

- Dir/Neu Laplacian;
 $-\Delta u = -\operatorname{div}(\nabla u)$
 $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ or $\nabla u \cdot \nu|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$
- Laplacian on Vector Fields;
 $-\Delta u = \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} u - \nabla \operatorname{div} u$
 $u \cdot \nu|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \nu \times \operatorname{curl} u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$

both can be written as the **Hodge Laplacian** acting on differential forms:

$$-\Delta_{\mathcal{H}} = d\delta + \delta d \text{ with B.C. } \nu \lrcorner u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \nu \lrcorner du|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 .$$

With $d : \Lambda^k \longrightarrow \Lambda^{k+1}$, $\delta : \Lambda^{k+1} \longrightarrow \Lambda^k$, $d^2 = 0 = \delta^2$,

Laplacians and differential forms

For $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, say connected,

- Dir/Neu Laplacian;
 $-\Delta u = -\operatorname{div}(\nabla u)$
 $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ or $\nabla u \cdot \nu|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$
- Laplacian on Vector Fields;
 $-\Delta u = \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} u - \nabla \operatorname{div} u$
 $u \cdot \nu|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \nu \times \operatorname{curl} u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$

both can be written as the **Hodge Laplacian** acting on differential forms:

$$-\Delta_{\mathcal{H}} = d\delta + \delta d \text{ with B.C. } \nu \lrcorner u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \nu \lrcorner du|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 .$$

With $d : \Lambda^k \longrightarrow \Lambda^{k+1}$, $\delta : \Lambda^{k+1} \longrightarrow \Lambda^k$, $d^2 = 0 = \delta^2$, & for $n = 3$,

$$d : L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\nabla} L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{curl}} L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3) \xrightarrow{-\operatorname{div}} L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longleftarrow L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \xleftarrow{-\operatorname{div}} L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3) \xleftarrow{\operatorname{curl}} L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3) \xleftarrow{\nabla} L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \quad : \delta$$

Laplacians and differential forms

For $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, say connected,

- Dir/Neu Laplacian;
 $-\Delta u = -\operatorname{div}(\nabla u)$
 $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ or $\nabla u \cdot \nu|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$
- Laplacian on Vector Fields;
 $-\Delta u = \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} u - \nabla \operatorname{div} u$
 $u \cdot \nu|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \nu \times \operatorname{curl} u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$

both can be written as the **Hodge Laplacian** acting on differential forms:

$$-\Delta_{\mathcal{H}} = d\delta + \delta d \text{ with B.C. } \nu \lrcorner u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \nu \lrcorner du|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 .$$

With $d : \Lambda^k \longrightarrow \Lambda^{k+1}$, $\delta : \Lambda^{k+1} \longrightarrow \Lambda^k$, $d^2 = 0 = \delta^2$, & for $n = 3$,

$$d : L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\nabla} L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{curl}} L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3) \xrightarrow{-\operatorname{div}} L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longleftarrow L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \xleftarrow{-\operatorname{div}} L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3) \xleftarrow{\operatorname{curl}} L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3) \xleftarrow{\nabla} L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \quad : \delta$$

δ is the formal dual operator of d .

Laplacians and differential forms

For $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, say connected,

- Dir/Neu Laplacian;
 $-\Delta u = -\operatorname{div}(\nabla u)$
 $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ or $\nabla u \cdot \nu|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$
- Laplacian on Vector Fields;
 $-\Delta u = \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} u - \nabla \operatorname{div} u$
 $u \cdot \nu|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \nu \times \operatorname{curl} u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$

both can be written as the **Hodge Laplacian** acting on differential forms:

$$-\Delta_{\mathcal{H}} = d\delta + \delta d \text{ with B.C. } \nu \lrcorner u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \nu \lrcorner du|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

With $d : \Lambda^k \longrightarrow \Lambda^{k+1}$, $\delta : \Lambda^{k+1} \longrightarrow \Lambda^k$, $d^2 = 0 = \delta^2$, & for $n = 3$,

$$d : L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\nabla} L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{curl}} L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3) \xrightarrow{-\operatorname{div}} L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow 0$$
$$0 \longleftarrow L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \xleftarrow{-\operatorname{div}} L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3) \xleftarrow{\operatorname{curl}} L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3) \xleftarrow{\nabla} L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \quad : \delta$$

δ is the formal dual operator of d . The dual operator of d is d^* .

Laplacians and differential forms

For $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, say connected,

- Dir/Neu Laplacian;
 $-\Delta u = -\operatorname{div}(\nabla u)$
 $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ or $\nabla u \cdot \nu|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$
- Laplacian on Vector Fields;
 $-\Delta u = \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} u - \nabla \operatorname{div} u$
 $u \cdot \nu|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \nu \times \operatorname{curl} u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$

both can be written as the **Hodge Laplacian** acting on differential forms:

$$-\Delta_{\mathcal{H}} = d\delta + \delta d \text{ with B.C. } \nu \lrcorner u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \nu \lrcorner du|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 .$$

With $d : \Lambda^k \longrightarrow \Lambda^{k+1}$, $\delta : \Lambda^{k+1} \longrightarrow \Lambda^k$, $d^2 = 0 = \delta^2$, & for $n = 3$,

$$d : L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\nabla} L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{curl}} L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3) \xrightarrow{-\operatorname{div}} L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longleftarrow L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \xleftarrow{-\operatorname{div}} L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3) \xleftarrow{\operatorname{curl}} L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3) \xleftarrow{\nabla} L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \quad : \delta$$

δ is the formal dual operator of d . The dual operator of d is d^* .

Interests :

- Nice formalism for Fluid Dynamics in arbitrary dimension (e.g. MHD, vorticity formulation), and for manifolds.

Laplacians and differential forms

For $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, say connected,

- Dir/Neu Laplacian;
 $-\Delta u = -\operatorname{div}(\nabla u)$
 $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ or $\nabla u \cdot \nu|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$
- Laplacian on Vector Fields;
 $-\Delta u = \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} u - \nabla \operatorname{div} u$
 $u \cdot \nu|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \nu \times \operatorname{curl} u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$

both can be written as the **Hodge Laplacian** acting on differential forms:

$$-\Delta_{\mathcal{H}} = d\delta + \delta d \text{ with B.C. } \nu \lrcorner u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \nu \lrcorner du|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

With $d : \Lambda^k \longrightarrow \Lambda^{k+1}$, $\delta : \Lambda^{k+1} \longrightarrow \Lambda^k$, $d^2 = 0 = \delta^2$, & for $n = 3$,

$$d : L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\nabla} L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{curl}} L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3) \xrightarrow{-\operatorname{div}} L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longleftarrow L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \xleftarrow{-\operatorname{div}} L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3) \xleftarrow{\operatorname{curl}} L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3) \xleftarrow{\nabla} L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \quad : \delta$$

δ is the formal dual operator of d . The dual operator of d is d^* .

Interests :

- Nice formalism for Fluid Dynamics in arbitrary dimension (e.g. MHD, vorticity formulation), and for manifolds.
- Generalized Leray Projection : $\mathbb{P} = I - d(-\Delta_{\mathcal{H}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} d^*(-\Delta_{\mathcal{H}})^{-\frac{1}{2}}$,

Laplacians and differential forms

For $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, say connected,

- Dir/Neu Laplacian;
 $-\Delta u = -\operatorname{div}(\nabla u)$
 $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ or $\nabla u \cdot \nu|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$
- Laplacian on Vector Fields;
 $-\Delta u = \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} u - \nabla \operatorname{div} u$
 $u \cdot \nu|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \nu \times \operatorname{curl} u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$

both can be written as the **Hodge Laplacian** acting on differential forms:

$$-\Delta_{\mathcal{H}} = d\delta + \delta d \text{ with B.C. } \nu \lrcorner u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \nu \lrcorner du|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

With $d : \Lambda^k \longrightarrow \Lambda^{k+1}$, $\delta : \Lambda^{k+1} \longrightarrow \Lambda^k$, $d^2 = 0 = \delta^2$, & for $n = 3$,

$$d : L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\nabla} L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{curl}} L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3) \xrightarrow{-\operatorname{div}} L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longleftarrow L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \xleftarrow{-\operatorname{div}} L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3) \xleftarrow{\operatorname{curl}} L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3) \xleftarrow{\nabla} L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \quad : \delta$$

δ is the formal dual operator of d . The dual operator of d is d^* .

Interests :

- Nice formalism for Fluid Dynamics in arbitrary dimension (e.g. MHD, vorticity formulation), and for manifolds.
- Generalized Leray Projection : $\mathbb{P} = I - d(-\Delta_{\mathcal{H}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} d^*(-\Delta_{\mathcal{H}})^{-\frac{1}{2}}$,
- Hodge-Stokes operator $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{H}} = \mathbb{P}(-\Delta_{\mathcal{H}}) = -\Delta_{\mathcal{H}} \mathbb{P} = d^* d$.

Laplacians and differential forms

For $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, say connected,

- Dir/Neu Laplacian;
 $-\Delta u = -\operatorname{div}(\nabla u)$
 $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ or $\nabla u \cdot \nu|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$
- Laplacian on Vector Fields;
 $-\Delta u = \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} u - \nabla \operatorname{div} u$
 $u \cdot \nu|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \nu \times \operatorname{curl} u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$

both can be written as the **Hodge Laplacian** acting on differential forms:

$$-\Delta_{\mathcal{H}} = d\delta + \delta d \text{ with B.C. } \nu \lrcorner u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \nu \lrcorner du|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

With $d : \Lambda^k \longrightarrow \Lambda^{k+1}$, $\delta : \Lambda^{k+1} \longrightarrow \Lambda^k$, $d^2 = 0 = \delta^2$, & for $n = 3$,

$$d : L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\nabla} L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{curl}} L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3) \xrightarrow{-\operatorname{div}} L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longleftarrow L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \xleftarrow{-\operatorname{div}} L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3) \xleftarrow{\operatorname{curl}} L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3) \xleftarrow{\nabla} L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \quad : \delta$$

δ is the formal dual operator of d . The dual operator of d is d^* .

Interests :

- Nice formalism for Fluid Dynamics in arbitrary dimension (e.g. MHD, vorticity formulation), and for manifolds.
- Generalized Leray Projection : $\mathbb{P} = I - d(-\Delta_{\mathcal{H}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} d^*(-\Delta_{\mathcal{H}})^{-\frac{1}{2}}$,
- Hodge-Stokes operator $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{H}} = \mathbb{P}(-\Delta_{\mathcal{H}}) = -\Delta_{\mathcal{H}} \mathbb{P} = d^* d$.

Functional Analytic properties on \mathbb{R}_+^n

$\dot{H}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ -Resolvent Estimates for $-\Delta_{\mathcal{H}}$, $1 < p < \infty$, $s \in (-1 + \frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p})$.

- For all $f \in \dot{H}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \Lambda^k)$, all $\lambda \geq 0$,

$$\|dd^*(\lambda I - \Delta_{\mathcal{H}})^{-1}f\|_{\dot{H}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \lesssim_{s,p,n} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} .$$

Functional Analytic properties on \mathbb{R}_+^n

$\dot{H}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ -Resolvent Estimates for $-\Delta_{\mathcal{H}}$, $1 < p < \infty$, $s \in (-1 + \frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p})$.

- For all $f \in \dot{H}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \Lambda^k)$, all $\lambda \geq 0$,

$$\|d d^*(\lambda I - \Delta_{\mathcal{H}})^{-1} f\|_{\dot{H}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \lesssim_{s,p,n} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} .$$

We recall :

- $\mathbb{P} = I - d(-\Delta_{\mathcal{H}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} d^*(-\Delta_{\mathcal{H}})^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, formally, up to a dense subset.
- $[d, \Delta_{\mathcal{H}}] = [d^*, \Delta_{\mathcal{H}}] = 0$.

Functional Analytic properties on \mathbb{R}_+^n

$\dot{H}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ -Resolvent Estimates for $-\Delta_{\mathcal{H}}$, $1 < p < \infty$, $s \in (-1 + \frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p})$.

- For all $f \in \dot{H}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \Lambda^k)$, all $\lambda \geq 0$,

$$\|d d^*(\lambda I - \Delta_{\mathcal{H}})^{-1} f\|_{\dot{H}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \lesssim_{s,p,n} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} .$$

We recall :

- $\mathbb{P} = I - d(-\Delta_{\mathcal{H}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} d^*(-\Delta_{\mathcal{H}})^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, formally, up to a dense subset.
- $[d, \Delta_{\mathcal{H}}] = [d^*, \Delta_{\mathcal{H}}] = 0$.

Consequently we can recover :

- Leray projector \mathbb{P} bounded on $\dot{H}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \Lambda^k)$,
- Hodge Decomposition :

$$\dot{H}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \Lambda^k) = \dot{H}_{t,\sigma}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \Lambda^k) \oplus \dot{H}_{\gamma}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \Lambda^k)$$

Functional Analytic properties on \mathbb{R}_+^n

$\dot{H}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ -Resolvent Estimates for $-\Delta_{\mathcal{H}}$, $1 < p < \infty$, $s \in (-1 + \frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p})$.

- For all $f \in \dot{H}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \Lambda^k)$, all $\lambda \geq 0$,

$$\|d d^*(\lambda I - \Delta_{\mathcal{H}})^{-1} f\|_{\dot{H}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \lesssim_{s,p,n} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} .$$

We recall :

- $\mathbb{P} = I - d(-\Delta_{\mathcal{H}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} d^*(-\Delta_{\mathcal{H}})^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, formally, up to a dense subset.
- $[d, \Delta_{\mathcal{H}}] = [d^*, \Delta_{\mathcal{H}}] = 0$.

Consequently we can recover :

- Leray projector \mathbb{P} bounded on $\dot{H}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \Lambda^k)$,
- Hodge Decomposition :

$$\dot{H}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \Lambda^k) = \dot{H}_{t,\sigma}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \Lambda^k) \oplus \dot{H}_{\gamma}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \Lambda^k)$$

With

$$\dot{H}_{t,\sigma}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \Lambda^k) = \{u \in \dot{H}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \Lambda^k) \mid d^* u = 0 \text{ and } \nu \lrcorner u|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n} = 0\}$$

Functional Analytic properties on \mathbb{R}_+^n

$\dot{H}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ -Resolvent Estimates for $-\Delta_{\mathcal{H}}$, $1 < p < \infty$, $s \in (-1 + \frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p})$.

- For all $f \in \dot{H}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \Lambda^k)$, all $\lambda \geq 0$,

$$\|d d^*(\lambda I - \Delta_{\mathcal{H}})^{-1} f\|_{\dot{H}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \lesssim_{s,p,n} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} .$$

We recall :

- $\mathbb{P} = I - d(-\Delta_{\mathcal{H}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} d^*(-\Delta_{\mathcal{H}})^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, formally, up to a dense subset.
- $[d, \Delta_{\mathcal{H}}] = [d^*, \Delta_{\mathcal{H}}] = 0$.

Consequently we can recover :

- Leray projector \mathbb{P} bounded on $\dot{H}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \Lambda^k)$,

- Hodge Decomposition :

$$\dot{H}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \Lambda^k) = \dot{H}_{t,\sigma}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \Lambda^k) \oplus \dot{H}_{\gamma}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \Lambda^k)$$

With

$$\dot{H}_{t,\sigma}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \Lambda^k) = \{u \in \dot{H}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \Lambda^k) \mid d^* u = 0 \text{ and } \nu \lrcorner u|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n} = 0\}$$

(similarly for Besov spaces $\dot{B}_{p,q}^s = \dot{B}_{p,q,t}^{s,\sigma} \oplus \dots$).

The problem of Global-in-time L^q -Maximal Regularity

Consider an injective operator \mathcal{L} with bounded analytic C_0 -semigroup $(e^{-t\mathcal{L}})_{t \geq 0}$ on a UMD Banach space X , with \mathcal{R} -bounded resolvent. Let $q \in (1, +\infty)$, $f \in L^q(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \mathcal{L}u = f, & \text{on } (0, +\infty), \\ u(0) = u_0 \in \mathring{D}_{\mathcal{L}}(1/q', q). \end{cases} \quad (\text{ACP})$$

The problem of Global-in-time L^q -Maximal Regularity

Consider an injective operator \mathcal{L} with bounded analytic C_0 -semigroup $(e^{-t\mathcal{L}})_{t \geq 0}$ on a UMD Banach space X , with \mathcal{R} -bounded resolvent. Let $q \in (1, +\infty)$, $f \in L^q(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \mathcal{L}u = f, & \text{on } (0, +\infty), \\ u(0) = u_0 \in \mathring{D}_{\mathcal{L}}(1/q', q). \end{cases} \quad (\text{ACP})$$

(ACP) admits a unique solution $u \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathring{D}_{\mathcal{L}}(1/q', q))$, given by

$$u(t) := e^{-t\mathcal{L}}u_0 + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\mathcal{L}}f(s)ds, \quad t \geq 0$$

and with estimate

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathring{D}_{\mathcal{L}}(1/q', q))} \lesssim_{\mathcal{L}, q} \|(\partial_t u, \mathcal{L}u)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+, X)} \lesssim_{\mathcal{L}, q} \|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+, X)} + \|u_0\|_{\mathring{D}_{\mathcal{L}}(1/q', q)}.$$

The problem of Global-in-time L^q -Maximal Regularity

Consider an injective operator \mathcal{L} with bounded analytic C_0 -semigroup $(e^{-t\mathcal{L}})_{t \geq 0}$ on a UMD Banach space X , with \mathcal{R} -bounded resolvent. Let $q \in (1, +\infty)$, $f \in L^q(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \mathcal{L}u = f, & \text{on } (0, +\infty), \\ u(0) = u_0 \in \mathring{D}_{\mathcal{L}}(1/q', q). \end{cases} \quad (\text{ACP})$$

(ACP) admits a unique solution $u \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathring{D}_{\mathcal{L}}(1/q', q))$, given by

$$u(t) := e^{-t\mathcal{L}} u_0 + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\mathcal{L}} f(s) ds, \quad t \geq 0$$

and with estimate

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathring{D}_{\mathcal{L}}(1/q', q))} \lesssim_{\mathcal{L}, q} \|(\partial_t u, \mathcal{L}u)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+, X)} \lesssim_{\mathcal{L}, q} \|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+, X)} + \|u_0\|_{\mathring{D}_{\mathcal{L}}(1/q', q)}.$$

Where, for $\theta \in (0, 1)$, $r \in [1, +\infty)$,

$$\|v\|_{\mathring{D}_{\mathcal{L}}(\theta, r)} := \left(\int_0^{+\infty} (t^{1-\theta} \|\mathcal{L}e^{-t\mathcal{L}} v\|_X)^r \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}.$$

The problem of Global-in-time L^q -Maximal Regularity

Problems of usual L^q -Maximal Regularity :

- $q = 1, \infty$ not allowed,
- X is UMD, then necessarily reflexive. Too restrictive.
- \mathcal{R} -boundedness of \mathcal{L} .

The problem of Global-in-time L^q -Maximal Regularity

Problems of usual L^q -Maximal Regularity :

- $q = 1, \infty$ not allowed,
- X is UMD, then necessarily reflexive. Too restrictive.
- \mathcal{R} -boundedness of \mathcal{L} .

Idea of [Danchin,Hieber,Mucha,Tolksdorf;arXiv:2011.07918, Chapter 2] :

Replace X by $\mathring{D}_{\mathcal{L}}(\theta, q)$, provided $q \in [1, +\infty)$, $\theta \in (0, 1/q)$.

The problem of Global-in-time L^q -Maximal Regularity

Problems of usual L^q -Maximal Regularity :

- $q = 1, \infty$ not allowed,
- X is UMD, then necessarily reflexive. Too restrictive.
- \mathcal{R} -boundedness of \mathcal{L} .

Idea of [Danchin, Hieber, Mucha, Tolksdorf; arXiv:2011.07918, Chapter 2] :

Replace X by $\dot{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\theta, q)$, provided $q \in [1, +\infty)$, $\theta \in (0, 1/q)$.

Let $q \in [1, +\infty)$, $\theta_q = \theta + 1/q'$, $f \in L^q(\mathbb{R}_+, \dot{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\theta, q))$,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \mathcal{L}u = f, & \text{on } (0, +\infty), \\ u(0) = u_0 \in \dot{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\theta_q, q). \end{cases} \quad (\text{ACP})$$

(ACP) admits a unique solution $u \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, \dot{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\theta_q, q))$, with estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, \dot{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\theta_q, q))} + \|(\partial_t u, \mathcal{L}u)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+, \dot{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\theta, q))} \\ \lesssim_{\mathcal{L}, q} \|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+, \dot{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\theta, q))} + \|u_0\|_{\dot{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\theta_q, q)}. \end{aligned}$$

The problem of Global-in-time L^q -Maximal Regularity

Problems of usual L^q -Maximal Regularity :

- $q = 1, \infty$ not allowed,
- X is UMD, then necessarily reflexive. Too restrictive.
- \mathcal{R} -boundedness of \mathcal{L} .

Idea of [Danchin, Hieber, Mucha, Tolksdorf; arXiv:2011.07918, Chapter 2] :

Replace X by $\dot{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\theta, q)$, provided $q \in [1, +\infty)$, $\theta \in (0, 1/q)$.

Let $q \in [1, +\infty)$, $\theta_q = \theta + 1/q'$, $f \in L^q(\mathbb{R}_+, \dot{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\theta, q))$,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \mathcal{L}u = f, & \text{on } (0, +\infty), \\ u(0) = u_0 \in \dot{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\theta_q, q). \end{cases} \quad (\text{ACP})$$

(ACP) admits a unique solution $u \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, \dot{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\theta_q, q))$, with estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, \dot{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\theta_q, q))} + \|(\partial_t u, \mathcal{L}u)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+, \dot{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\theta, q))} \\ \lesssim_{\mathcal{L}, q} \|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+, \dot{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\theta, q))} + \|u_0\|_{\dot{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\theta_q, q)}. \end{aligned}$$

(Homogeneous version of the Da Prato-Grisvard Theorem)

The problem of Global-in-time L^q -Maximal Regularity

Consider an injective operator \mathcal{L} with bounded analytic C_0 -semigroup $(e^{-t\mathcal{L}})_{t \geq 0}$ on a Banach space X .

Assumptions-Definitions [DHMT;arXiv:2011.07918, Chapter 2]

- $\exists(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$, such that for all $u \in D(\mathcal{L})$, $\|\mathcal{L}u\|_X \sim \|u\|_Y$.

The problem of Global-in-time L^q -Maximal Regularity

Consider an injective operator \mathcal{L} with bounded analytic C_0 -semigroup $(e^{-t\mathcal{L}})_{t \geq 0}$ on a Banach space X .

Assumptions-Definitions [DHMT;arXiv:2011.07918, Chapter 2]

- $\exists(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$, such that for all $u \in D(\mathcal{L})$, $\|\mathcal{L}u\|_X \sim \|u\|_Y$.
- We set $D(\mathring{\mathcal{L}}) := \{y \in Y \mid \exists (u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset D(\mathcal{L}), \text{ s.t. } u_k \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow +\infty]{Y} y\}$ and for $y \in D(\mathring{\mathcal{L}})$, $\mathring{\mathcal{L}}y := \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{L}u_k$.

The problem of Global-in-time L^q -Maximal Regularity

Consider an injective operator \mathcal{L} with bounded analytic C_0 -semigroup $(e^{-t\mathcal{L}})_{t \geq 0}$ on a Banach space X .

Assumptions-Definitions [DHMT;arXiv:2011.07918, Chapter 2]

- $\exists(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$, such that for all $u \in D(\mathcal{L})$, $\|\mathcal{L}u\|_X \sim \|u\|_Y$.
- We set $D(\dot{\mathcal{L}}) := \{y \in Y \mid \exists (u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset D(\mathcal{L}), \text{ s.t. } u_k \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow +\infty]{Y} y\}$ and for $y \in D(\dot{\mathcal{L}})$, $\dot{\mathcal{L}}y := \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{L}u_k$.
- $X \cap D(\dot{\mathcal{L}}) = D(\mathcal{L})$.

Proposition [DHMT;arXiv:2011.07918, Prop.2.12]

For $q \in [1, +\infty]$, $\theta \in (0, 1)$, the functional $\|\cdot\|_{\dot{D}_{\mathcal{L}}(\theta, q)}$ provide an equivalent norm on the normed vector space

$$(X, D(\dot{\mathcal{L}}))_{\theta, q}.$$

Extrapolation on the Besov scale in higher regularity

Our goal here : Application for $p \in (1, +\infty)$, $s \in (-1 + 1/p, 1/p)$ with

- $X = \dot{H}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \Lambda^k)$;
- $\mathcal{L} = -\Delta_{\mathcal{H}}$;
- $Y = \dot{H}^{s+2,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \Lambda^k)$;

Extrapolation on the Besov scale in higher regularity

Our goal here : Application for $p \in (1, +\infty)$, $s \in (-1 + 1/p, 1/p)$ with

- $X = \dot{H}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \Lambda^k)$;
- $\mathcal{L} = -\Delta_{\mathcal{H}}$;
- $Y = \dot{H}^{s+2,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \Lambda^k)$;

Theorem (G. 2022)

For $p \in (1, +\infty)$, $\theta \in (0, 1)$, $q \in [1, +\infty]$, $k \in \llbracket 0, n \rrbracket$ under condition

- $s + 2\theta \in (0, \frac{n}{p})$, and $q \in [1, +\infty]$, with possibly $s + 2\theta = n/p$ when $q = 1$,

and $s + 2\theta \neq 1/p + \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$(\dot{H}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \Lambda^k), \dot{D}_p^s(\dot{\Delta}_{\mathcal{H}}))_{\theta,q} = \dot{B}_{p,q,\mathcal{H}}^{s+2\theta}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \Lambda^k).$$

Extrapolation on the Besov scale in higher regularity

Our goal here : Application for $p \in (1, +\infty)$, $s \in (-1 + 1/p, 1/p)$ with

- $X = \dot{H}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \Lambda^k)$;
- $\mathcal{L} = -\Delta_{\mathcal{H}}$;
- $Y = \dot{H}^{s+2,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \Lambda^k)$;

Theorem (G. 2022)

For $p \in (1, +\infty)$, $\theta \in (0, 1)$, $q \in [1, +\infty]$, $k \in \llbracket 0, n \rrbracket$ under condition

- $s + 2\theta \in (0, \frac{n}{p})$, and $q \in [1, +\infty]$, with possibly $s + 2\theta = n/p$ when $q = 1$,

and $s + 2\theta \neq 1/p + \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$(\dot{H}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \Lambda^k), \dot{D}_p^s(\dot{\Delta}_{\mathcal{H}}))_{\theta,q} = \dot{B}_{p,q,\mathcal{H}}^{s+2\theta}(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \Lambda^k).$$

Corollary

Let $p \in (1, +\infty)$, $q \in [1, +\infty]$, $s \in (-1 + 1/p, 2 + 1/p)$, (s, p, q) satisfies the same conditions. Then, boundedly

$$\mathbb{P} : \dot{B}_{p,q,\mathcal{H}}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \longrightarrow \dot{B}_{p,q,\mathcal{H}}^{s,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}_+^n).$$

Maximal Regularity for the Hodge-Stokes system

$L^q \dot{B}_{p,q}^s$ -Maximal Regularity : the linear Hodge-Stokes system (for k -differential forms)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t u - \Delta u + d\pi = f, \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ \delta u = 0, \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ \nu \lrcorner (u, du)|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n} = 0, \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ u(0) = u_0, \text{ on } \mathbb{R}_+^n. \end{array} \right.$$

Theorem (G. 2022)

Let $p \in (1, +\infty)$, $s, \theta \in (-1 + \frac{1}{p}, 2 + \frac{1}{p})$.

Maximal Regularity for the Hodge-Stokes system

$L^q \dot{B}_{p,q}^s$ -Maximal Regularity : the linear Hodge-Stokes system (for k -differential forms)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t u - \Delta u + d\pi = f, \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ \delta u = 0, \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ \nu \lrcorner (u, du)|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n} = 0, \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ u(0) = u_0, \text{ on } \mathbb{R}_+^n. \end{array} \right.$$

Theorem (G. 2022)

Let $p \in (1, +\infty)$, $s, \theta \in (-1 + \frac{1}{p}, 2 + \frac{1}{p})$.

- If $q \in [1, \infty)$, then for some $\theta = \theta_{s,q}$, assume $u_0 \in \dot{B}_{p,q,\mathcal{H}}^{\theta,\sigma}$, $f \in L^q \dot{B}_{p,q,\mathcal{H}}^s$.

Maximal Regularity for the Hodge-Stokes system

$L^q \dot{B}_{p,q}^s$ -Maximal Regularity : the linear Hodge-Stokes system (for k -differential forms)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t u - \Delta u + d\pi = f, \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ \delta u = 0, \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ \nu \lrcorner (u, du)|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n} = 0, \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ u(0) = u_0, \text{ on } \mathbb{R}_+^n. \end{array} \right.$$

Theorem (G. 2022)

Let $p \in (1, +\infty)$, $s, \theta \in (-1 + \frac{1}{p}, 2 + \frac{1}{p})$.

• If $q \in [1, \infty)$, then for some $\theta = \theta_{s,q}$, assume $u_0 \in \dot{B}_{p,q,\mathcal{H}}^{\theta,\sigma}$,
 $f \in L^q \dot{B}_{p,q,\mathcal{H}}^s$. $\exists! u \in C_b^0(\mathbb{R}_+, \dot{B}_{p,q,\mathcal{H}}^{\theta,\sigma})$ solution

Maximal Regularity for the Hodge-Stokes system

$L^q \dot{B}_{p,q}^s$ -Maximal Regularity : the linear Hodge-Stokes system (for k -differential forms)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t u - \Delta u + d\pi = f, \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ \delta u = 0, \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ \nu \lrcorner (u, du)|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n} = 0, \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ u(0) = u_0, \text{ on } \mathbb{R}_+^n. \end{array} \right.$$

Theorem (G. 2022)

Let $p \in (1, +\infty)$, $s, \theta \in (-1 + \frac{1}{p}, 2 + \frac{1}{p})$.

• If $q \in [1, \infty)$, then for some $\theta = \theta_{s,q}$, assume $u_0 \in \dot{B}_{p,q,\mathcal{H}}^{\theta,\sigma}$, $f \in L^q \dot{B}_{p,q,\mathcal{H}}^s$. $\exists! u \in C_b^0(\mathbb{R}_+, \dot{B}_{p,q,\mathcal{H}}^{\theta,\sigma})$ solution, with estimate

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty \dot{B}_{p,q}^\theta} + \|(\partial_t u, \nabla^2 u, d\pi)\|_{L^q \dot{B}_{p,q}^s} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^q \dot{B}_{p,q}^s} + \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^\theta}.$$

Maximal Regularity for the Hodge-Maxwell system

$L^q \dot{B}_{p,q}^s$ -Maximal Regularity : the linear Hodge-Maxwell system (for k -differential forms)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t b - dd^* b = g, \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ db = 0, \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ \nu \lrcorner (b, db)|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n} = 0, \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ b(0) = b_0, \text{ on } \mathbb{R}_+^n. \end{array} \right.$$

Theorem (G. 2022)

Let $p \in (1, +\infty)$, $s, \theta \in (-1 + \frac{1}{p}, 2 + \frac{1}{p})$.

- If $q \in [1, \infty)$, then for some $\theta = \theta_{s,q}$, assume $b_0 \in \dot{B}_{p,q,\mathcal{H}}^{\theta,\gamma}$,
 $g \in L^q \dot{B}_{p,q,\mathcal{H}}^{s,\gamma}$.

Maximal Regularity for the Hodge-Maxwell system

$L^q \dot{B}_{p,q}^s$ -Maximal Regularity : the linear Hodge-Maxwell system (for k -differential forms)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t b - dd^* b = g, \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ db = 0, \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ \nu \lrcorner (b, db)|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n} = 0, \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ b(0) = b_0, \text{ on } \mathbb{R}_+^n. \end{array} \right.$$

Theorem (G. 2022)

Let $p \in (1, +\infty)$, $s, \theta \in (-1 + \frac{1}{p}, 2 + \frac{1}{p})$.

• If $q \in [1, \infty)$, then for some $\theta = \theta_{s,q}$, assume $b_0 \in \dot{B}_{p,q,\mathcal{H}}^{\theta,\gamma}$, $g \in L^q \dot{B}_{p,q,\mathcal{H}}^{s,\gamma}$. $\exists ! b \in C_b^0(\mathbb{R}_+, \dot{B}_{p,q,\mathcal{H}}^{\theta,\gamma})$ solution, with estimate

$$\|b\|_{L^\infty \dot{B}_{p,q}^\theta} + \|(\partial_t b, \nabla^2 b)\|_{L^q \dot{B}_{p,q}^s} \lesssim \|g\|_{L^q \dot{B}_{p,q}^s} + \|b_0\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^\theta}.$$

The Hall-MHD System in arbitrary dimension : the equations

The usual Hall-MHD system for $u, b : \mathbb{R}^3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$, $h_0 \geq 0$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t u - \Delta u + \nabla \pi = \operatorname{curl} b \times b - u \times \operatorname{curl} u, \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \partial_t b + \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} b = \operatorname{curl}([u - h_0 \operatorname{curl} b] \times b), \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \operatorname{div} u, \operatorname{div} b = 0, \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ (u, b)(0) = (u_0, b_0), \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^3. \end{array} \right.$$

The Hall-MHD System in arbitrary dimension : the equations

The usual Hall-MHD system for $u, b : \mathbb{R}^3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$, $h_0 \geq 0$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t u - \Delta u + \nabla \pi = \operatorname{curl} b \times b - u \times \operatorname{curl} u, \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \partial_t b + \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} b = \operatorname{curl}([u - h_0 \operatorname{curl} b] \times b), \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \operatorname{div} u, \operatorname{div} b = 0, \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ (u, b)(0) = (u_0, b_0), \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^3. \end{array} \right.$$

Here u is a 1-form, b is a 2-form.

The Hall-MHD System in arbitrary dimension : the equations

The usual Hall-MHD system for $u, b : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$, $h_0 \geq 0$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t u - \Delta u + \nabla \pi = \text{curl } b \times b - u \times \text{curl } u, \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \partial_t b + \text{curl curl } b = \text{curl}([u - h_0 \text{curl } b] \times b), \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \text{div } u, \text{div } b = 0, \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ (u, b)(0) = (u_0, b_0), \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^3. \end{array} \right.$$

Here u is a 1-form, b is a 2-form. Then on \mathbb{R}_+^n with Hodge boundary conditions :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t u - \Delta u + d\pi = -\delta b \lrcorner b + u \lrcorner du, \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ \partial_t b + dd^* b = d([u - h_0 \delta b] \lrcorner b), \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ \delta u = 0, \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ db = 0, \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ \nu \lrcorner (u, du, b, db)|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n} = 0, \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ (u, b)(0) = (u_0, b_0), \text{ on } \mathbb{R}_+^n. \end{array} \right.$$

The Hall-MHD System in arbitrary dimension : W-P

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t u - \Delta u + d\pi = -\delta b \lrcorner b + u \lrcorner du, \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ \partial_t b + dd^* b = d([u - h_0 \delta b] \lrcorner b), \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ \delta u = 0, \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ db = 0, \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ \nu \lrcorner (u, du, b, db)|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n} = 0, \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ (u, b)(0) = (u_0, b_0), \text{ on } \mathbb{R}_+^n. \end{array} \right.$$

The Hall-MHD System in arbitrary dimension : W-P

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t u - \Delta u + d\pi = -\delta b \lrcorner b + u \lrcorner du, \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ \partial_t b + dd^* b = d([u - h_0 \delta b] \lrcorner b), \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ \delta u = 0, \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ db = 0, \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ \nu \lrcorner (u, du, b, db)|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n} = 0, \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ (u, b)(0) = (u_0, b_0), \text{ on } \mathbb{R}_+^n. \end{array} \right.$$

Theorem

Let $p \in (n-1, 2n)$. For $(u_0, b_0) \in \dot{B}_{p,1,t}^{n/p-1,\sigma} \times (\dot{B}_{p,1}^{n/p-1,\gamma} \cap \dot{B}_{p,1,t}^{n/p,\gamma})$ sufficiently small in norm,

$\exists!$ global mild solution $(u, b) \in C_b^0(\mathbb{R}_+, \dot{B}_{p,1,t}^{n/p-1,\sigma} \times (\dot{B}_{p,1}^{n/p-1,\gamma} \cap \dot{B}_{p,1,t}^{n/p,\gamma})) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, \dot{B}_{p,1}^{n/p+1} \times (\dot{B}_{p,1}^{n/p+1} \cap \dot{B}_{p,1}^{n/p+2}))$ that remains small enough.

The Hall-MHD System in arbitrary dimension : W-P

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t u - \Delta u + d\pi = -\delta b \lrcorner b + u \lrcorner du, \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ \partial_t b + dd^* b = d([u - h_0 \delta b] \lrcorner b), \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ \delta u = 0, \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ db = 0, \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ \nu \lrcorner (u, du, b, db)|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n} = 0, \text{ on } (0, +\infty) \times \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ (u, b)(0) = (u_0, b_0), \text{ on } \mathbb{R}_+^n. \end{array} \right.$$

Theorem

Let $p \in (n-1, 2n)$. For $(u_0, b_0) \in \dot{B}_{p,1,t}^{n/p-1,\sigma} \times (\dot{B}_{p,1}^{n/p-1,\gamma} \cap \dot{B}_{p,1,t}^{n/p,\gamma})$ sufficiently small in norm,

$\exists!$ global mild solution $(u, b) \in C_b^0(\mathbb{R}_+, \dot{B}_{p,1,t}^{n/p-1,\sigma} \times (\dot{B}_{p,1}^{n/p-1,\gamma} \cap \dot{B}_{p,1,t}^{n/p,\gamma})) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, \dot{B}_{p,1}^{n/p+1} \times (\dot{B}_{p,1}^{n/p+1} \cap \dot{B}_{p,1}^{n/p+2}))$ that remains small enough.

Idea : Previous linear theory and arguments similar to [Danchin, Tan; arXiv:1911.03246, Sections 1 & 3].