

Uniform profile near the point defect of Landau-de Gennes model in the vanishing elasticity limit

Zhiyuan Geng

BCAM

Analysis of Nematic Liquid Crystals Flows Workshop,
April 26, 2022, CIRM

Oseen Frank Model

In the Oseen-Frank model, the state of nematic liquid crystals is described by a unit vector field $n(x)$ which represents the mean local orientation of molecule's optical axis.

Suppose $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is the region occupied by the liquid crystals, the total free energy is given by

$$E_{OF}(n) = \int_{\Omega} \left\{ k_1(\operatorname{div} n)^2 + k_2(n \cdot \operatorname{curl} n)^2 + k_3|n \times \operatorname{curl} n|^2 + (k_2 + k_4)(\operatorname{tr}(\nabla n)^2 - (\operatorname{div} n)^2) \right\} dx, \quad (0.1)$$

Note that when $k_1 = k_2 = k_3 = 1$ and $k_4 = 0$, the energy functional (0.1) reduces to

$$E_{OF}(n) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla n|^2 dx.$$

Singular set of minimizing harmonic maps

Let $n : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$ be a minimizing harmonic map with the singular set $\mathcal{S}(n)$.

- (Schoen-Uhlenbeck, 1982) $\mathcal{S}(n)$ contains finitely many points.
- (Brezis-Coron-Lieb, 1986) Near $x_0 \in \mathcal{S}(n)$, n behaves like the “hedgehog” map $T \frac{x-x_0}{|x-x_0|}$ with some $T \in O(3)$.
- (Simon, 1985) The convergence rate can be controlled by

$$\left| n(x_0 + x) - T \frac{x}{|x|} \right| \leq C|x|^\alpha, \quad \forall |x| < r_0, \text{ for some } \alpha \in (0, 1).$$

Landau-de Gennes model

In the Landau-de Gennes model, the order parameter is a 3×3 symmetric traceless matrix Q (the so-called Q -tensors).

$$\mathcal{Q}_0 := \{Q \in \mathcal{M}^{3 \times 3}, Q = Q^T, \text{tr}(Q) = 0\}.$$

Total free energy = elastic energy + bulk potential,

$$I_\varepsilon(Q, \Omega) = \int_\Omega \left[\frac{1}{2} |\nabla Q|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} f_b(Q) \right] dx, \quad Q \in H^1(\Omega, \mathcal{Q}_0), \quad (0.2)$$

with $Q = Q_b$ on $\partial\Omega$.

$$f_b(Q) = -\frac{a^2}{2} \text{tr}(Q^2) - \frac{b^2}{3} \text{tr}(Q^3) + \frac{c^2}{4} [\text{tr}(Q^2)]^2 + C. \quad (0.3)$$

where ε, a, b, c are material dependent constants, C is a constant that ensures $\inf_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_0} f_b(Q) = 0$.

f_b takes its minimum value on a sub-manifold defined by

$$\mathcal{N} = \left\{ Q = s_+ \left(n \otimes n - \frac{1}{3} \text{Id} \right), n \in \mathbb{S}^2 \right\}, \quad s_+ = \frac{b^2 + \sqrt{b^4 + 24a^2c^2}}{4c^2}. \quad (0.4)$$

f_b takes its minimum value on a sub-manifold defined by

$$\mathcal{N} = \{Q = s_+(n \otimes n - \frac{1}{3}\text{Id}), n \in \mathbb{S}^2\}, \quad s_+ = \frac{b^2 + \sqrt{b^4 + 24a^2c^2}}{4c^2}. \quad (0.4)$$

When $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we focus on the asymptotic behavior of Q_ε , which minimizes the energy $I_\varepsilon(\cdot, \Omega)$ with the fixed boundary condition

$$Q = Q_b \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega, \mathcal{N}) \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$

f_b obtains minimal value \Rightarrow uniaxial constraint $Q = s_+(n \otimes n - \frac{1}{3}\text{Id}) \Rightarrow$ Oseen-Frank model.

Theorem (Majumdar-Zarnescu(2010), Nguyen-Zarnescu(2013))

Fix the domain $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and boundary condition $Q_b = s_+(n_b \times n_b - \frac{1}{3}\text{Id})$, $n_b \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{S}^2)$. For any sequence $\varepsilon_k \rightarrow 0$, there exists a subsequence, still denoted by ε_k , such that Q_{ε_k} converges strongly in H^1 -norm to $Q_* = s_+(n_* \times n_* - \frac{1}{3}\text{Id})$, where $n_* \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{S}^2)$ is a minimizing harmonic map. Let $\mathcal{S}(n_*)$ denote the singular set of n_* , then

$$Q_{\varepsilon_k} \rightarrow Q_* \text{ in } C_{loc}^j(\Omega \setminus \mathcal{S}(Q_*), \mathcal{Q}_0), \quad \forall j \geq 1.$$

- Similar limiting problems: Bauman-Park-Phillips(2012), Golovaty-Montero(2014), Canevari(2015, 2017), Contreras-Lamy-Rodiac(2018)... under various settings.
- Influenced by similar analyses of the Ginzburg-Landau model, see Bethuel-Brezis-Hélein(94).

Main result

Fix $x_0 \in \mathcal{S}(n_*)$. **Question:** What is the behavior of Q_ε in the small neighborhood of x_0 ?

Blow-up Idea: study the blow-up profile of $Q_\varepsilon(x_0 + \varepsilon x)$.

Theorem (G-Zarnescu, 2022)

There exists a subsequence of Q_{ε_n} and a sequence $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ such that the following holds

- $Q_{\varepsilon_n}(x_n + \varepsilon_n x) \rightarrow Q(x)$ in $C_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $Q(x)$ is a local minimizer of the functional $I(Q) = \int \left\{ \frac{1}{2} |\nabla Q|^2 + f_b(Q) \right\} dx$.
- $Q(x) \rightarrow s_+(n(x) \times n(x) - \frac{1}{3} \text{Id})$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, where $n(x) = T(\frac{x}{|x|})$.
- Let $B_r(x_0) \cap \mathcal{S}(n_*) = \{x_0\}$. Then for any sequence $R_n \uparrow \infty$ and satisfying $R_n \varepsilon_n < r$, there holds

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{R_n \varepsilon_n \leq |x| \leq r} |Q_{\varepsilon_n}(x_n + x) - Q_*(x_0 + x)| \right) = 0.$$

Theorem (Milot-Pisante, 2010)

Let $u \in H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^3)$ be a nonconstant local minimizer of $E(u, \Omega) = \int (\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{4}(1 - |u|^2)^2) dx$ and satisfy

$$\sup_{R>0} \frac{1}{R} E(u, B_R) < +\infty.$$

Then up to a translation on the domain and an orthogonal transformation on the image,

$$u = \frac{x}{|x|} f(|x|)$$

for some function f such that

$$f(0) = 0, f'(x) > 0, f(x) = 1 + O(|x|^{-2}) \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof of the main result

1st Part: Assume $\mathcal{S}(n_*) = \{0\}$ and $n_*(x) \sim \frac{x}{|x|}$ near 0. Define $\Phi(x) := s_+(\frac{x}{|x|} \otimes \frac{x}{|x|} - \frac{1}{3}\text{Id})$. By the previous convergence results, we can find a subsequence $\varepsilon_n \downarrow 0$ and $r_n \downarrow 0$ such that, as $n \rightarrow \infty$

- 1 $\frac{r_n}{\varepsilon_n} \rightarrow \infty$;
- 2 $\|Q_{\varepsilon_n}(x) - Q_*(x)\|_{C^2(\Omega \setminus B_{r_n})} \rightarrow 0$
- 3 $\|Q_{\varepsilon_n}(r_n x) - \Phi(x)\|_{C^2(B_2 \setminus B_1)} \rightarrow 0$.

Let $D_\delta^n := \{x \in B_{r_n} : \text{dist}(Q_{\varepsilon_n}(x), \mathcal{N}) \geq \delta\}$

Key lemma

For any $\delta > 0$, there exists a constant C_δ ,

$$\sup\{|x|, x \in D_\delta^n\} = o(r_n) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (0.5)$$

$$\text{diam}(D_\delta^n) \leq C_\delta \varepsilon_n \text{ for } n \text{ large enough.} \quad (0.6)$$

- Take $x_n \in B_{r_n}$ such that

$$\delta_0 \leq \text{dist}(Q_{\varepsilon_n}(x_n), \mathcal{N}) = \sup_{x \in B_{r_n}} \text{dist}(Q_{\varepsilon_n}(x), \mathcal{N}).$$

Key Lemma $\Rightarrow \frac{|x_n|}{r_n} \rightarrow 0$.

- Define

$$Q_n(x) := Q_{\varepsilon_n}(x_n + \varepsilon_n x), \text{ for } |x| \leq \frac{r_n - |x_n|}{\varepsilon_n}.$$

Key lemma $\Rightarrow \forall \delta > 0, \exists c_\delta > 0$, such that $\text{dist}(Q_n(x), \mathcal{N}) < \delta, \forall n$ and $|x| > c_\delta$.

- $Q_n \rightarrow Q$ in $C_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathcal{Q}_0)$, Q locally minimizes the functional $I(\cdot)$,

$$\text{dist}(Q(x), \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow \infty, \text{ deg}_\infty(Q) = 1,$$

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{R} I(Q, B_R) = 8s_+^2 \pi.$$

Tangent map at infinity

2nd Part. **Blow-down analysis:** study the weak H_{loc}^1 limit of $Q_{R_n}(x) := Q(R_n x)$ for some $R_n \rightarrow \infty$. Tangent map of Q at infinity.

- Suppose $Q_{R_n}(x) \rightharpoonup \Psi$ weakly in $H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathcal{Q}_0)$. Then $Q_{R_n}(x) \rightarrow \Psi(x)$ strongly in H_{loc}^1 and $\Psi(x) = s_+(n(x) \otimes n(x) - \frac{1}{3}\text{Id})$, where $n(x) = T \frac{x}{|x|}$ for $T \in O(3)$.

Tangent map at infinity

2nd Part. **Blow-down analysis:** study the weak H_{loc}^1 limit of $Q_{R_n}(x) := Q(R_n x)$ for some $R_n \rightarrow \infty$. Tangent map of Q at infinity.

- Suppose $Q_{R_n}(x) \rightharpoonup \Psi$ weakly in $H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathcal{Q}_0)$. Then $Q_{R_n}(x) \rightarrow \Psi(x)$ strongly in H_{loc}^1 and $\Psi(x) = s_+(n(x) \otimes n(x) - \frac{1}{3}\text{Id})$, where $n(x) = T \frac{x}{|x|}$ for $T \in O(3)$.
- The tangent map of Q at infinity is unique. i.e.

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \|Q_R|_{\mathbb{S}^2} - \Psi|_{\mathbb{S}^2}\|_{C^k(\mathbb{S}^2)} = 0, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}^+$$

Tangent map at infinity

2nd Part. Blow-down analysis: study the weak H_{loc}^1 limit of $Q_{R_n}(x) := Q(R_n x)$ for some $R_n \rightarrow \infty$. Tangent map of Q at infinity.

- Suppose $Q_{R_n}(x) \rightharpoonup \Psi$ weakly in $H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathcal{Q}_0)$. Then $Q_{R_n}(x) \rightarrow \Psi(x)$ strongly in H_{loc}^1 and $\Psi(x) = s_+(n(x) \otimes n(x) - \frac{1}{3}\text{Id})$, where $n(x) = T \frac{x}{|x|}$ for $T \in O(3)$.
- The tangent map of Q at infinity is unique. i.e.

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \|Q_R|_{\mathbb{S}^2} - \Psi|_{\mathbb{S}^2}\|_{C^k(\mathbb{S}^2)} = 0, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}^+$$

- The tangent map at infinity Ψ coincides with the map Φ which is the asymptotic profile of n_* near the singularity.

Key: estimate of radial derivative: $\exists C > 0$ such that for any n and

$$R \leq \frac{r_n}{2\varepsilon_n},$$

$$R^4 \int_{\{R\varepsilon_n \leq |x| \leq 2R\varepsilon_n\}} \frac{1}{r} \left| \frac{\partial Q_{\varepsilon_n}(x + x_n)}{\partial r} \right|^2 dx \leq C.$$

3rd Part. Let $B_r(x_0) \cap \mathcal{S}(n_*) = \{x_0\}$. Then for any sequence $R_n \uparrow \infty$ and satisfying $R_n \varepsilon_n < r$, there holds

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{R_n \varepsilon_n \leq |x| \leq r} |Q_{\varepsilon_n}(x_n + x) - Q_*(x_0 + x)| \right) = 0.$$

Sketch of proof. Argue by contradiction, suppose $\exists \{\varepsilon_n\}, \{y_n\}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|y_n|}{\varepsilon_n} = \infty, \quad |Q_{\varepsilon_n}(y_n + x_n) - Q_*(y_n)| \geq \delta > 0.$$

On the one hand,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |Q_*(y_n) - \Phi(y_n)| = 0, \quad \Phi(y_n) = s_+ \left(\frac{y_n}{|y_n|} \otimes \frac{y_n}{|y_n|} - \frac{1}{3} \text{Id} \right)$$

On the other hand, we define $Z_n := Q_{\varepsilon_n}(|y_n|x + x_n)$, then $Z_n(x) \rightarrow \Phi(x)$ strongly in $H^1(B_2) \cap C^2(B_2 \setminus B_{1/2})$ and therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |Q_{\varepsilon_n}(y_n + x_n) - \Phi(y_n)| = 0,$$

which yields a contradiction.

In the defect core

- A complete characterization for the behavior of a global minimizer Q_ε inside the $O(\varepsilon)$ defect core is largely open.

In the defect core

- A complete characterization for the behavior of a global minimizer Q_ε inside the $O(\varepsilon)$ defect core is largely open.
- Melting hedgehog solution: $Q(x) = r(|x|)\left(\frac{x}{|x|} \otimes \frac{x}{|x|} - \frac{1}{3}I_d\right)$,
see e.g. Schopohl-Sluckin(1988), Gartland-Mkaddem(1999),
Majumdar(2012), Lamy(2013),
Ignat-Nguyen-Slastikov-Zarnescu(2014,2015)....

In the defect core

- A complete characterization for the behavior of a global minimizer Q_ε inside the $O(\varepsilon)$ defect core is largely open.
- Melting hedgehog solution: $Q(x) = r(|x|)\left(\frac{x}{|x|} \otimes \frac{x}{|x|} - \frac{1}{3}I_d\right)$,
see e.g. Schopohl-Sluckin(1988), Gartland-Mkaddem(1999),
Majumdar(2012), Lamy(2013),
Ignat-Nguyen-Slastikov-Zarnescu(2014,2015)....
- Radial hedgehog becomes unstable in certain parameter regime
“biaxial escape”,
see e.g. Ignat-Nguyen-Slastikov-Zarnescu(2015),
Contreras-Lamy(2017), Henao-Majumdar-Pisante(2017)...

In the defect core

- A complete characterization for the behavior of a global minimizer Q_ε inside the $O(\varepsilon)$ defect core is largely open.
- Melting hedgehog solution: $Q(x) = r(|x|)\left(\frac{x}{|x|} \otimes \frac{x}{|x|} - \frac{1}{3}I_d\right)$,
see e.g. Schopohl-Sluckin(1988), Gartland-Mkaddem(1999),
Majumdar(2012), Lamy(2013),
Ignat-Nguyen-Slastikov-Zarnescu(2014,2015)....
- Radial hedgehog becomes unstable in certain parameter regime
“biaxial escape”,
see e.g. Ignat-Nguyen-Slastikov-Zarnescu(2015),
Contreras-Lamy(2017), Henao-Majumdar-Pisante(2017)...
- Typical biaxial core structures: the half-degree ring disclination and
the split-core solution.
see e.g. Yu(2020), Dipasquale-Millot-Pisante(2020,2021),
Tai-Yu(2021).

Thank You!