

The algebraic Heun-Askey-Wilson operator, Leonard pairs and the algebraic Bethe ansatz

Pascal Baseilhac, Institut Denis Poisson CNRS-Tours

CIRM - October 2021

- *P.B.-Tsujiimoto-Vinet-Zhedanov*, [arXiv:1811.11407](#)
- *P.B.-R. Pimenta*, [arXiv:1909.02464](#)
- *P.B.-R. Pimenta (in progress)*

Some motivations...

- The **spectral problem (eigenvalues, eigenstates)** for a class of Hamiltonians describing a 3-sites spin chain on a triangle with inhomogeneous couplings in a magnetic field of the general form $(a, b, c = x, y, z, i, j = 1, 2, 3)$:

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_a \sum_{i,j} J_{ij}^a \sigma_i^a \sigma_j^a + \sum_{a \neq b} \sum_{i,j} K_{ij}^{ab} \sigma_i^a \sigma_j^b + \sum_{\{a,b,c\}} \sum_{i,j,k} L_{ijk}^{abc} \sigma_i^a \sigma_j^b \sigma_k^c + \sum_i b_i^z \sigma_i^z$$

Example : (N.B. Special case $\kappa = \kappa^*$, $j_1 = j_2 = j_3 = 1/2$, result known [Sklyanin,'88])

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} = & \frac{(q - q^{-1})^2}{2} (\kappa (S_1^x S_2^x + S_1^y S_2^y + \Delta S_1^z S_2^z) + \kappa^* (S_2^x S_3^x + S_2^y S_3^y + \Delta S_2^z S_3^z) \\ & - \frac{(q - q^{-1})}{2} (\kappa^* S_3^z - \kappa S_1^z + (\kappa - \kappa^*) S_2^z)). \end{aligned}$$

- **Toy model** for N sites spin chains (q-Onsager, higher rank Askey-Wilson algebras)
- **TQ-relation** [Cao et al.,'13] \rightarrow Baxter Q-polynomials vs. special functions
- The **time and band limiting problem**
 [Slepian,Laudau,Pollack,80's],..., [Grünbaum-Vinet-Zhedanov,'17]
- The **spectral problem** for the reduced density matrix in **free-fermion entanglement**
 [Eisler-Peschel,'18],..., [Crampé-Nepomechie-Vinet,'19]
- Finding a **determinantal representation** for q-Askey scheme orth. polynomials

Background [Wiegmann-Zabrodin, '95],[Grünbaum-Vinet-Zhedanov, '17]

- Heun equation (Fuchsian form).

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\psi(x) + \left(\frac{\gamma}{x} + \frac{\delta}{x-1} + \frac{\epsilon}{x-d}\right) \frac{d}{dx}\psi(x) + \frac{\alpha\beta x - q}{x(x-1)(x-d)}\psi(x) = 0, \quad \alpha + \beta - \gamma - \delta + 1 = 0.$$

- The Heun operator M . The Heun equation can be written $M\psi(x) = \lambda\psi(x)$

$$M = x(x-1)(x-d) \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + (\rho_2 x^2 + \rho_1 x + \rho_0) \frac{d}{dx} + r_1 x + r_0.$$

$\rightarrow \rho_i, r_i$ parameters in $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \epsilon, d, \lambda)$

$\rightarrow M$ is the most general 2nd order diff. op. / $M : n \rightarrow n + 1, \deg(\text{Poly}(x)) = n.$

In [WZ, '95] : M is a bilinear form in $\pi(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \subset \mathcal{D}$ (differential operators)

Background [Wiegmann-Zabrodin, '95],[Grünbaum-Vinet-Zhedanov, '17]

- Heun equation (Fuchsian form).

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\psi(x) + \left(\frac{\gamma}{x} + \frac{\delta}{x-1} + \frac{\epsilon}{x-d}\right) \frac{d}{dx}\psi(x) + \frac{\alpha\beta x - q}{x(x-1)(x-d)}\psi(x) = 0, \quad \alpha + \beta - \gamma - \delta + 1 = 0.$$

- The Heun operator M . The Heun equation can be written $M\psi(x) = \lambda\psi(x)$

$$M = x(x-1)(x-d) \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + (\rho_2 x^2 + \rho_1 x + \rho_0) \frac{d}{dx} + r_1 x + r_0.$$

$\rightarrow \rho_i, r_i$ parameters in $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \epsilon, d, \lambda)$

$\rightarrow M$ is the most general 2nd order diff. op. / $M : n \rightarrow n + 1, \deg(\text{Poly}(x)) = n$.

In [WZ, '95] : M is a bilinear form in $\pi(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \subset \mathcal{D}$ (differential operators)

In [GVZ, '17] 'tridiagonalization' approach :

$$M = \pi(\kappa A + \kappa^* A^* + \tilde{\kappa}_+ AA^* + \tilde{\kappa}_- A^* A)$$

$$\text{with } A \stackrel{\pi}{\mapsto} x, \quad A^* \stackrel{\pi}{\mapsto} D_x = x(x-1)(x-d) \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + (\alpha + 1 - (\alpha + \beta + 2)x) \frac{d}{dx}.$$

Bispectral problem related with $P_n^{\alpha, \beta}(x)$ Jacobi polynomial

Background [Wiegmann-Zabrodin, '95],[Grünbaum-Vinet-Zhedanov, '17]

In [GVZ, '17] 'tridiagonalization' approach :

$$M = \pi(\kappa A + \kappa^* A^* + \tilde{\kappa}_+ AA^* + \tilde{\kappa}_- A^* A)$$

with $A \xrightarrow{\pi} x$, $A^* \xrightarrow{\pi} D_x = x(x-1)(x-d) \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + (\alpha+1 - (\alpha+\beta+2)x) \frac{d}{dx}$.

Bispectral problem related with $P_n^{\alpha, \beta}(x)$ Jacobi polynomial, $\theta_n^* = -n(n+\alpha+\beta+1)$

$$\pi(A^*)P_n^{\alpha, \beta}(x) = \theta_n^* P_n^{\alpha, \beta}(x) , \quad \pi(A)P_n^{\alpha, \beta}(x) = P_{n+1}^{\alpha, \beta}(x) + b_n P_n^{\alpha, \beta}(x) + u_n P_{n-1}^{\alpha, \beta}(x)$$

Remarks [GVZ, '17] :

\rightarrow Let A, A^* satisfy **Jacobi algebra (J)** then A, M satisfy **Heun-Jacobi algebra (HJ)**

Define the embedding $\pi : J \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ (differential operators).

$\rightarrow \pi(A), \pi(A^*)$ bispectral operators + $\pi(M)$ **Heun-Jacobi operator**

Background [Wiegmann-Zabrodin, '95],[Grünbaum-Vinet-Zhedanov, '17]

In [GVZ, '17] 'tridiagonalization' approach :

$$M = \pi(\kappa A + \kappa^* A^* + \tilde{\kappa}_+ AA^* + \tilde{\kappa}_- A^* A)$$

with $A \xrightarrow{\pi} x$, $A^* \xrightarrow{\pi} D_x = x(x-1)(x-d) \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + (\alpha+1 - (\alpha+\beta+2)x) \frac{d}{dx}$.

Bispectral problem related with $P_n^{\alpha, \beta}(x)$ Jacobi polynomial, $\theta_n^* = -n(n + \alpha + \beta + 1)$

$$\pi(A^*)P_n^{\alpha, \beta}(x) = \theta_n^* P_n^{\alpha, \beta}(x) , \quad \pi(A)P_n^{\alpha, \beta}(x) = P_{n+1}^{\alpha, \beta}(x) + b_n P_n^{\alpha, \beta}(x) + u_n P_{n-1}^{\alpha, \beta}(x)$$

Remarks [GVZ, '17] :

\rightarrow Let A, A^* satisfy **Jacobi algebra (J)** then A, M satisfy **Heun-Jacobi algebra (HJ)**

Define the embedding $\pi : J \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ (differential operators).

$\rightarrow \pi(A), \pi(A^*)$ bispectral operators + $\pi(M)$ **Heun-Jacobi operator**

Idea [GVZ, '17] : Let $\pi(A), \pi(A^*)$ bispectral operators generating q-Askey-scheme orthogonal polynomials \Rightarrow Heun-Askey-Wilson algebra ? algebraic HAW operator ? Diagonalization, ... ?

The subject of this talk is to present the following results :

Let $(\bar{\pi}, \bar{V})$ be an irreducible finite dimensional representation of the **Askey-Wilson algebra** with generators A, A^* . Denote $\bar{\pi}(A), \bar{\pi}(A^*)$ the corresponding **Leonard pair** of Askey-Wilson type.

\Rightarrow **Solve the spectral problem** for $\bar{\pi}(I^{AW})$ where

$$I^{AW} = \kappa A + \kappa^* A^* + \kappa_+ [A, A^*]_q + \kappa_- [A^*, A]_q \quad , \quad [X, Y]_q = qXY - q^{-1}YX .$$

Remark : For a Leonard pair of Racah type ($q = 1$ case), see [[Bernard et al., '20](#)].

\Rightarrow **Relate the q-Racah polynomials and scalar products of Bethe states**

Remark : Towards determinantal representations for q-Racah polynomials.

AW, HAW algebras, maps and Leonard pairs

In this talk, firstly we will be interested in two algebras (AW and HAW) and how they are closely related :

- The **Askey-Wilson algebra** (AW) with generators $\{A, A^*\}$
- The **Heun-Askey-Wilson algebra** (HAW) with generators $\{X, I\}$
- The map $\text{HAW} \rightarrow \text{AW}$

Motivated by some problems in physics, we will be interested in the **diagonalization of operators that are images of HAW generators $\{X, I\}$** in some representations. For the applications in physics, we will need to introduce the algebra $U_q(sl_2)$ and briefly recall the notion of Leonard pair.

- The **algebra** $U_q(sl_2)$
- **Examples** of maps : $\text{AW} \rightarrow U_q(sl_2)$ and $\text{AW} \rightarrow U_q(sl_2)^{\otimes 3}$
- AW algebra and **Leonard pairs**

\mathbb{K} field of characteristic zero. $q \in \mathbb{K}$ not a root of unity. $[x, y]_q = qxy - q^{-1}yx$.

Definition : [Zhedanov, '91] Let $\rho, \omega, \eta, \eta^*$ be scalars in \mathbb{K} . The **Askey-Wilson algebra** (AW) is generated by A, A^* subject to the relations

$$\begin{aligned} [A, [A, A^*]_q]_{q^{-1}} &= \rho A^* + \omega A + \eta, \\ [A^*, [A^*, A]_q]_{q^{-1}} &= \rho A + \omega A^* + \eta^*. \end{aligned}$$

Definition : [B-Tsujimoto-Vinet-Zhedanov, '18] Let e_i, b_i be scalars in \mathbb{K} . The **Heun-Askey-Wilson algebra** (HAW) is generated by X, I subject to the relations

$$\begin{aligned} [X, [X, I]_q]_{q^{-1}} &= e_1 X^3 + b_1 X^2 + b_2 \{X, I\} + b_3 X + b_4 I + b_5, \\ [I, [I, X]_q]_{q^{-1}} &= e_2 X^3 + e_3 X I X + e_4 X^2 + b'_1 \{X, I\} + b_2 I^2 + b'_3 I + b_6 X + b_7, \end{aligned}$$

$$e_3 = e_1(q^2 + q^{-2} + 1), \quad b'_1 = b_1 + e_1 b_2, \quad b'_3 = b_3 + e_1 b_4.$$

Special case : for $e_i = 0 \forall i$, HAW algebra reduces to the AW algebra.

$$X \mapsto A, \quad I \mapsto A^* + a^*$$

\mathbb{K} field of characteristic zero. $q \in \mathbb{K}$ not a root of unity. $[x, y]_q = qxy - q^{-1}yx$.

Definition : [Zhedanov, '91] Let $\rho, \omega, \eta, \eta^*$ be scalars in \mathbb{K} . The **Askey-Wilson algebra** (AW) is generated by A, A^* subject to the relations

$$\begin{aligned} [A, [A, A^*]_q]_{q^{-1}} &= \rho A^* + \omega A + \eta, \\ [A^*, [A^*, A]_q]_{q^{-1}} &= \rho A + \omega A^* + \eta^*. \end{aligned}$$

Definition : [B-Tsujimoto-Vinet-Zhedanov, '18] Let e_i, b_i be scalars in \mathbb{K} . The **Heun-Askey-Wilson algebra** (HAW) is generated by X, I subject to the relations

$$\begin{aligned} [X, [X, I]_q]_{q^{-1}} &= e_1 X^3 + b_1 X^2 + b_2 \{X, I\} + b_3 X + b_4 I + b_5, \\ [I, [I, X]_q]_{q^{-1}} &= e_2 X^3 + e_3 X I X + e_4 X^2 + b'_1 \{X, I\} + b_2 I^2 + b'_3 I + b_6 X + b_7, \\ e_3 &= e_1 (q^2 + q^{-2} + 1), \quad b'_1 = b_1 + e_1 b_2, \quad b'_3 = b_3 + e_1 b_4. \end{aligned}$$

Special case : for $e_i = 0 \forall i$, HAW algebra reduces to the AW algebra.

$$X \mapsto A, \quad I \mapsto A^* + a^*$$

Proposition : [BTVZ, '18] Let $\kappa, \kappa^*, \kappa_{\pm} \in \mathbb{K}$. There exists $\phi : HAW \rightarrow AW$:

$$X \mapsto A, \quad I \mapsto \kappa A + \kappa^* A^* + \kappa_+ [A, A^*]_q + \kappa_- [A^*, A]_q.$$

In the context of physics, the **AW/HAW** algebras essentially arise in relation with the quantum algebra $U_q(sl_2)$. For applications (e.g. quantum Euler top, three spins interacting systems), the following material is needed :

Definition : The algebra $U_q(sl_2)$ is generated by $\{q^{\pm s_3}, S_{\pm}\}$ subject to the relations :

$$[s_3, S_{\pm}] = \pm S_{\pm}, \quad [S_+, S_-] = \frac{q^{2s_3} - q^{-2s_3}}{q - q^{-1}}.$$

Casimir element : $C = (q - q^{-1})^2 S_- S_+ + q^{2s_3+1} + q^{-2s_3-1}$

Coproduct : $\Delta : U_q(sl_2) \rightarrow U_q(sl_2) \otimes U_q(sl_2) :$

$$\Delta(S_+) = S_+ \otimes 1 + q^{2s_3} \otimes S_+ \quad \Delta(S_-) = S_- \otimes q^{-2s_3} + 1 \otimes S_- , \quad \Delta(q^{s_3}) = q^{s_3} \otimes q^{s_3} .$$

In the context of physics, the **AW/HAW** algebras essentially arise in relation with the quantum algebra $U_q(sl_2)$. For applications (e.g. quantum Euler top, three spins interacting systems), the following material is needed :

Definition : The algebra $U_q(sl_2)$ is generated by $\{q^{\pm s_3}, S_{\pm}\}$ subject to the relations :

$$[s_3, S_{\pm}] = \pm S_{\pm}, \quad [S_+, S_-] = \frac{q^{2s_3} - q^{-2s_3}}{q - q^{-1}}.$$

Casimir element : $C = (q - q^{-1})^2 S_- S_+ + q^{2s_3+1} + q^{-2s_3-1}$

Coproduct : $\Delta : U_q(sl_2) \rightarrow U_q(sl_2) \otimes U_q(sl_2) :$

$$\Delta(S_+) = S_+ \otimes 1 + q^{2s_3} \otimes S_+ \quad \Delta(S_-) = S_- \otimes q^{-2s_3} + 1 \otimes S_- , \quad \Delta(q^{s_3}) = q^{s_3} \otimes q^{s_3} .$$

Example 1. [Granovskii-Zhedanov, '93] Let $k_{\pm}, \epsilon_{\pm} \in \mathbb{K}$. Map $AW \rightarrow U_q(sl_2) :$

$$\begin{aligned} A &\rightarrow k_+ v q^{1/2} S_+ q^{s_3} + k_- v^{-1} q^{-1/2} S_- q^{s_3} + \epsilon_+ q^{2s_3} , \\ A^* &\rightarrow k_+ v^{-1} q^{-1/2} S_+ q^{-s_3} + k_- v q^{1/2} S_- q^{-s_3} + \epsilon_- q^{-2s_3} . \end{aligned}$$

In the context of physics, the **AW/HAW** algebras essentially arise in relation with the quantum algebra $U_q(sl_2)$. For applications (e.g. quantum Euler top, three spins interacting systems), the following material is needed :

Definition : The algebra $U_q(sl_2)$ is generated by $\{q^{\pm s_3}, S_{\pm}\}$ subject to the relations :

$$[s_3, S_{\pm}] = \pm S_{\pm}, \quad [S_+, S_-] = \frac{q^{2s_3} - q^{-2s_3}}{q - q^{-1}}.$$

Casimir element : $C = (q - q^{-1})^2 S_- S_+ + q^{2s_3+1} + q^{-2s_3-1}$

Coproduct : $\Delta : U_q(sl_2) \rightarrow U_q(sl_2) \otimes U_q(sl_2) :$

$$\Delta(S_+) = S_+ \otimes 1 + q^{2s_3} \otimes S_+ \quad \Delta(S_-) = S_- \otimes q^{-2s_3} + 1 \otimes S_- , \quad \Delta(q^{s_3}) = q^{s_3} \otimes q^{s_3} .$$

Example 1. [Granovskii-Zhedanov, '93] Let $k_{\pm}, \epsilon_{\pm} \in \mathbb{K}$. Map $AW \rightarrow U_q(sl_2) :$

$$\begin{aligned} A &\rightarrow k_+ v q^{1/2} S_+ q^{s_3} + k_- v^{-1} q^{-1/2} S_- q^{s_3} + \epsilon_+ q^{2s_3} , \\ A^* &\rightarrow k_+ v^{-1} q^{-1/2} S_+ q^{-s_3} + k_- v q^{1/2} S_- q^{-s_3} + \epsilon_- q^{-2s_3} . \end{aligned}$$

Example 2. [Granovskii-Zhedanov, '93] Map $(U)AW \rightarrow U_q(sl_2) \otimes U_q(sl_2) \otimes U_q(sl_2) :$

$$A \rightarrow \Delta(C) \otimes 1 , \quad A^* \rightarrow 1 \otimes \Delta(C) .$$

In the context of physics, **eigenproblem for images of AW/HAW generators** $\{A, A^*\}/\{X, I\}$ arise. A classification of irreducible finite dimensional representations of AW algebra is needed.

Definition : [Terwilliger, '01]. Let \bar{V} be a finite dimensional vector space. A **Leonard pair** A, A^* is such that :

- (i) in the eigenbasis of A , then A^* acts as a tridiagonal matrix ;
- (ii) in the eigenbasis of A^* , then A acts as a tridiagonal matrix.

Theorem : [Terwilliger-Vidunas, '04]. Let A, A^* denote a Leonard pair on \bar{V} . Then there exists a sequence of scalars $\{\beta, \gamma, \gamma^*, \omega, \eta, \eta^*\} \in \mathbb{K}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} A^2 A^* - \beta A A^* A + A^* A^2 - \gamma (A A^* + A^* A) - \rho A^* &= \gamma^* A^2 + \omega A + \eta , \\ A^* A^2 - \beta A^* A A^* + A A^* A^2 - \gamma^* (A A^* + A^* A) - \rho^* A &= \gamma A^* A^2 + \omega A^* + \eta^* . \end{aligned}$$

The sequence is uniquely determined by the pair A, A^* provided $\dim(\bar{V}) \geq 4$.

In the context of physics, **eigenproblem for images of AW/HAW generators** $\{A, A^*\}/\{X, I\}$ arise. A classification of irreducible finite dimensional representations of AW algebra is needed.

Definition :[Terwilliger,'01]. Let \bar{V} be a finite dimensional vector space. A **Leonard pair** A, A^* is such that :

- (i) in the eigenbasis of A , then A^* acts as a tridiagonal matrix ;
- (ii) in the eigenbasis of A^* , then A acts as a tridiagonal matrix.

Theorem :[Terwilliger-Vidunas,'04]. Let A, A^* denote a Leonard pair on \bar{V} . Then there exists a sequence of scalars $\{\beta, \gamma, \gamma^*, \omega, \eta, \eta^*\} \in \mathbb{K}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} A^2 A^* - \beta A A^* A + A^* A^2 - \gamma(A A^* + A^* A) - \rho A^* &= \gamma^* A^2 + \omega A + \eta , \\ A^* A^2 - \beta A^* A A^* + A A^* A^2 - \gamma^*(A A^* + A^* A) - \rho^* A &= \gamma A^* A^2 + \omega A^* + \eta^* . \end{aligned}$$

The sequence is uniquely determined by the pair A, A^* provided $\dim(\bar{V}) \geq 4$.

In the following analysis, we consider families of **Leonard pairs** such that :

$$\beta = q^2 + q^{-2} , \quad \rho = \rho^* \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma = \gamma^* = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{Askey-Wilson type}$$

PROBLEM 1

Let $(\bar{\pi}, \bar{V})$ be an irreducible finite dimensional representation of the **Askey-Wilson algebra** with generators A, A^* . Denote $\bar{\pi}(A), \bar{\pi}(A^*)$ the corresponding **Leonard pair of Askey-Wilson type**.

\Rightarrow **Solve the spectral problem** for $\bar{\pi}(I^{AW})$ where

$$I^{AW} = \kappa A + \kappa^* A^* + \kappa_+ [A, A^*]_q + \kappa_- [A^*, A]_q \quad , \quad [X, Y]_q = qXY - q^{-1}YX .$$

Remark : Solution well-known for $\kappa = 1, \kappa^* = \kappa_{\pm} = 0$ (or $\kappa^* = 1, \kappa = \kappa_{\pm} = 0$)
 \Leftrightarrow bispectral problem for q-Racah orthogonal polynomials.

PROBLEM 1

Let $(\bar{\pi}, \bar{V})$ be an irreducible finite dimensional representation of the **Askey-Wilson algebra** with generators A, A^* . Denote $\bar{\pi}(A), \bar{\pi}(A^*)$ the corresponding **Leonard pair of Askey-Wilson type**.

\Rightarrow **Solve the spectral problem** for $\bar{\pi}(I^{AW})$ where

$$I^{AW} = \kappa A + \kappa^* A^* + \kappa_+ [A, A^*]_q + \kappa_- [A^*, A]_q \quad , \quad [X, Y]_q = qXY - q^{-1}YX .$$

Remark : Solution well-known for $\kappa = 1, \kappa^* = \kappa_{\pm} = 0$ (or $\kappa^* = 1, \kappa = \kappa_{\pm} = 0$)
 \Leftrightarrow bispectral problem for q-Racah orthogonal polynomials.

Strategy : **AW algebra** \sim **Example of reflection algebra**

\Rightarrow HAW operator $\bar{\pi}(I^{AW}) \sim$ Transfer matrix

\Rightarrow Apply **(Modified) algebraic Bethe Ansatz (ABA)**

- **Step 1** : Use the reflection algebra presentation of AW algebra. **Relate the image of the HAW generator** I in AW to a generating function $t(u)$:

$$t(u) = (q^2 u^2 - q^{-2} u^{-2})(u^2 - u^{-2}) \underbrace{\left(\kappa A + \kappa^* A^* + \kappa_+ [A, A^*]_q + \kappa_- [A^*, A]_q \right)}_{\equiv I^{AW}}$$

- **Step 1** : Use the reflection algebra presentation of AW algebra. **Relate the image of the HAW generator** I in AW to a generating function $t(u)$:

$$t(u) = (q^2 u^2 - q^{-2} u^{-2})(u^2 - u^{-2}) \underbrace{\left(\kappa A + \kappa^* A^* + \kappa_+ [A, A^*]_q + \kappa_- [A^*, A]_q \right)}_{\equiv I^{AW}}$$

- **Step 2** : Let $(\bar{\pi}, \bar{V})$ such that $\bar{\pi}(A), \bar{\pi}(A^*)$ is a Leonard pair. **Diagonalize the transfer matrix** $\bar{\pi}(t(u))$ using the framework of ABA \Rightarrow

$$\bar{\pi} \left(I^{AW} \right) |\Psi_{a,\epsilon}^M(\bar{u})\rangle = \Lambda_{a,\epsilon}^M |\Psi_{a,\epsilon}^M(\bar{u})\rangle$$

- is **diagonalized** for :
- \rightarrow Special cases ('a'=sp) $\kappa^* = \kappa_{\pm} = 0$ or $\kappa = \kappa_{\pm} = 0$;
 - \rightarrow Diagonal case ('a'=d) $\kappa_{\pm} = 0, \kappa, \kappa^* \neq 0$;
 - \rightarrow Generic case ('a'=g) $\kappa, \kappa^*, \kappa_{\pm} \neq 0$.

The **eigenstates** are **Bethe states** of the general form $(a \in \{sp, d, g\})$:

$$|\Psi_{a,\pm}^M(\bar{u}, m_0)\rangle = \bar{\pi}(\mathcal{B}^{\pm}(u_1, m_0 + 2(M-1)) \cdots \mathcal{B}^{\pm}(u_M, m_0)) |\Omega^{\pm}\rangle, \quad \mathcal{B}^{\pm}(u) \in \mathbb{K}[u, u^{-1}] \otimes AW$$

The Bethe roots $\bar{u} = \{u_1, \dots, u_M\}$ satisfy a system of **Bethe equations** :

$$P_a(U_i) = 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, \dim(\bar{V}) \quad \text{where} \quad U_i = \frac{q u_i^2 + q^{-1} u_i^{-2}}{q + q^{-1}} \quad \text{with} \quad a \in \{sp, d, g\}.$$

Step 1-a : A reflection algebra presentation for the AW algebra

Recall the **AW algebra** with defining relations :

$$[A, [A, A^*]_q]_{q^{-1}} = \rho A^* + \omega A + \eta, \quad [A^*, [A^*, A]_q]_{q^{-1}} = \rho A + \omega A^* + \eta^*.$$

Define the R-matrix and K-operator :

$$R(u) = \begin{pmatrix} uq - u^{-1}q^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & u - u^{-1} & q - q^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & q - q^{-1} & u - u^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & uq - u^{-1}q^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad K(u) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}(u) & \mathcal{B}(u) \\ \mathcal{C}(u) & \mathcal{D}(u) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{A}(u) = (u^2 - u^{-2}) (quA - q^{-1}u^{-1}A^*) - (q + q^{-1})\rho^{-1} (\eta u + \eta^* u^{-1}),$$

$$\mathcal{D}(u) = (u^2 - u^{-2}) (quA^* - q^{-1}u^{-1}A) - (q + q^{-1})\rho^{-1} (\eta^* u + \eta u^{-1}),$$

$$\mathcal{B}(u) = \chi(u^2 - u^{-2}) \left(\rho^{-1} \left([A^*, A]_q + \frac{\omega}{q - q^{-1}} \right) + \frac{qu^2 + q^{-1}u^{-2}}{q^2 - q^{-2}} \right),$$

$$\mathcal{C}(u) = \rho\chi^{-1} (u^2 - u^{-2}) \left(\rho^{-1} \left([A, A^*]_q + \frac{\omega}{q - q^{-1}} \right) + \frac{qu^2 + q^{-1}u^{-2}}{q^2 - q^{-2}} \right).$$

Proposition : (first example in [Zabrodin, '95]) [B, '04] The AW algebra admits a presentation in the form of the a **reflection algebra** :

$$R(u/v) (K(u) \otimes \mathbb{I}) R(uv) (\mathbb{I} \otimes K(v)) = (\mathbb{I} \otimes K(v)) R(uv) (K(u) \otimes \mathbb{I}) R(u/v).$$

Step 1-b : Generating function $t(u)$ and the HAW generator I^{AW}

Given a reflection algebra 'RKRK' with a K-operator $K(u)$ a **generating function for mutually commuting elements** is provided by (\rightarrow transfer matrix) [Sklyanin,'88]

$t(u) = \text{tr}(K^+(u)K(u))$ where

$$K^+(u) = \begin{pmatrix} qu\kappa + q^{-1}u^{-1}\kappa^* & \kappa_+(q^2u^2 - q^{-2}u^{-2}) \\ \kappa_-(q^2u^2 - q^{-2}u^{-2}) & qu\kappa^* + q^{-1}u^{-1}\kappa \end{pmatrix},$$

satisfies the "dual" reflection equation given by [DeVega-Gonzales-Ruiz,'93]. Using the K-matrix in terms of A, A^* one gets :

$$t(u) = (q^2u^2 - q^{-2}u^{-2})(u^2 - u^{-2}) \underbrace{\left(\kappa_+ A + \kappa_+^* A^* + \kappa_+ [A, A^*]_q + \kappa_- [A^*, A]_q \right)}_{= \text{image of HAW generator : } I^{AW}} + \underbrace{\mathcal{F}_0(u)}_{\text{scalar function}},$$

Diagonalization of $\bar{\pi}(t(u))$ using ABA \Leftrightarrow Diagonalization of $\bar{\pi}(I^{AW})$

Hint : combine three tools...

- \rightarrow Theory of Leonard pairs [Terwilliger et al., '99],
- \rightarrow Gauge transformations for open systems [Cao et al., '03],
- \rightarrow Modified algebraic Bethe ansatz [Belliard-Crampé et al., '13-'15].

Step 2-a : Diagonalization of $t(u)$ using ABA (reference states)

- **Reference states.** In order to diagonalize $t(u) = \text{tr} (K^+(u)K(u))$ using ABA, where

$$K^+(u) = \begin{pmatrix} qu\kappa + q^{-1}u^{-1}\kappa^* & \kappa_+(q^2u^2 - q^{-2}u^{-2}) \\ \kappa_- \rho(q^2u^2 - q^{-2}u^{-2}) & qu\kappa^* + q^{-1}u^{-1}\kappa \end{pmatrix}, \quad K(u) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}(u) & \mathcal{B}(u) \\ \mathcal{C}(u) & \mathcal{D}(u) \end{pmatrix},$$

the starting point is the identification of a **reference state** $|\Omega\rangle$ such that

$$\bar{\pi}(\mathcal{C}(u))|\Omega\rangle = 0 \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{C}(u) = \rho\chi^{-1}(u^2 - u^{-2}) \left(\rho^{-1} \left([\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*]_q + \frac{\omega}{q - q^{-1}} \right) + \frac{qu^2 + q^{-1}u^{-2}}{q^2 - q^{-2}} \right).$$

Problem : for a Leonard pair $\bar{\pi}(\mathcal{A}), \bar{\pi}(\mathcal{A}^*)$, no solution !

Idea :

→ **Introduce a (gauge) transformation** such that $t(u) = \text{tr} \left(\tilde{K}^+(u|m)K(u|m, \epsilon) \right)$

$$K(u|m, \epsilon) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}^\epsilon(u|m) & \mathcal{B}^\epsilon(u|m) \\ \mathcal{C}^\epsilon(u|m) & \mathcal{D}^\epsilon(u|m) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \epsilon = \pm, \rightarrow \{\mathcal{A}^\epsilon, \dots\} \in \mathbb{K}[u, u^{-1}] \otimes AW \quad \text{'dynamical' operators}$$

→ **Identify references states** (= pseudo-vacuum states) $|\Omega^\pm\rangle$ such that

$$\bar{\pi}(\mathcal{C}^\pm(u|m))|\Omega^\pm\rangle = 0.$$

→ **Gauge transformations :**

Let $\epsilon = \pm 1$, α, β be generic complex parameters and m be an integer. Introduce the covariant (resp. contravariant) vectors [Cao et al., '03]

$$|X^\epsilon(u, m)\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha q^{\epsilon m} u^\epsilon \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad |Y^\epsilon(u, m)\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \beta q^{-\epsilon m} u^\epsilon \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\langle \tilde{X}^\epsilon(u, m)| = -\epsilon \frac{q^{-\epsilon} u^{-\epsilon}}{\gamma_{m-1}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \alpha q^{\epsilon m} u^\epsilon \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle \tilde{Y}^\epsilon(u, m)| = -\epsilon \frac{q^{-\epsilon} u^{-\epsilon}}{\gamma_{m+1}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\beta q^{-\epsilon m} u^\epsilon \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\gamma^\epsilon(u, m) = \alpha \frac{1-\epsilon}{2} \beta \frac{\epsilon+1}{2} q^{-m} u - \alpha \frac{\epsilon+1}{2} \beta \frac{1-\epsilon}{2} q^m u^{-1}$.

Applying the gauge transformation to $K(u)$, the entries of $K(u|m)$ are given by :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}^\epsilon(u, m) &= \langle \tilde{Y}^\epsilon(u, m-2) | K(u) | X^\epsilon(u^{-1}, m) \rangle, & \mathcal{B}^\epsilon(u, m) &= \langle \tilde{Y}^\epsilon(u, m) | K(u) | Y^\epsilon(u^{-1}, m) \rangle, \\ \mathcal{C}^\epsilon(u, m) &= \langle \tilde{X}^\epsilon(u, m) | K(u) | X^\epsilon(u^{-1}, m) \rangle, \\ \mathcal{D}^\epsilon(u, m) &= \frac{\gamma^\epsilon(1, m+1)}{\gamma^\epsilon(1, m)} \langle \tilde{X}^\epsilon(u, m+2) | K(u) | Y^\epsilon(u^{-1}, m) \rangle - \frac{(q - q^{-1})\gamma^\epsilon(u^{-2}, m+1)}{(qu^2 - q^{-1}u^{-2})\gamma^\epsilon(1, m)} \mathcal{A}^\epsilon(u, m). \end{aligned}$$

Example :

$$\mathcal{C}^+(u, m) = \frac{\alpha b(u^2)}{\alpha - \beta q^{2-2m}} \left(\frac{\alpha q^m}{u\chi} [A, A^*]_q - \frac{\chi q^{-m}}{\alpha \rho u} [A^*, A]_q - \frac{(q^2 + 1)}{qu} A + \left(\frac{1}{qu^3} + qu \right) A^* \right) + f_0(u).$$

→ **Reference state.**

The gauge transformation depends on some parameters α, β and ϵ . \Rightarrow We fix α, β such that $|\Omega^\pm\rangle$ is a reference state. For a **Leonard pair of q-Racah type** [Terwilliger, '99], use :

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\pi}(A)|\theta_M\rangle &= \theta_M|\theta_M\rangle, & \bar{\pi}(A^*)|\theta_M\rangle &= a_{M,M+1}|\theta_{M+1}\rangle + a_{M,M}|\theta_M\rangle + a_{M,M-1}|\theta_{M-1}\rangle, \\ \bar{\pi}(A^*)|\theta_M^*\rangle &= \theta_M^*|\theta_M^*\rangle, & \bar{\pi}(A)|\theta_M^*\rangle &= a_{M,M+1}^*|\theta_{M+1}^*\rangle + a_{M,M}^*|\theta_M^*\rangle + a_{M,M-1}^*|\theta_{M-1}^*\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

where $a_{0,-1} = a_{2s,2s+1} = a_{0,-1}^* = a_{2s,2s+1}^* = 0$ and

$$\theta_M = bq^{2M} + cq^{-2M}, \quad \theta_M^* = b^*q^{2M} + c^*q^{-2M},$$

Lemma 1. Let m_0 be an integer. If the parameters α, β are such that :

$$(q^2 - q^{-2})\alpha c^* q^{m_0} = 1 \quad (\text{resp. } (q^2 - q^{-2})\beta c^* q^{-m_0} = 1)$$

then $|\Omega^+\rangle \equiv |\theta_0^*\rangle$ satisfies

$$\bar{\pi}(\mathcal{C}^+(u, m_0))|\Omega^+\rangle = 0 \quad (\text{resp. } \bar{\pi}(\mathcal{B}^+(u, m_0))|\Omega^+\rangle = 0).$$

Lemma 2. Other constraints on α, β for $\bar{\pi}(\mathcal{C}^-(u, m_0))|\Omega^-\rangle = 0$ (resp. $\bar{\pi}(\mathcal{B}^-(u, m_0))|\Omega^-\rangle = 0$).

\Rightarrow **Two families of eigenstates will be considered, starting from $|\Omega^\pm\rangle$**

Step 2-b : Diagonalization of $\bar{\pi}(t(u))$ using ABA (dynamical operators/Bethe states)

Given a gauge transformation (α, β, ϵ fixed), the **generating function** now reads as a combination of 'dynamical' operators. $t(u) = \mathbb{K}[u, u^{-1}] \otimes AW$ is :

$$t(u) = a(u, m)\mathcal{A}^\epsilon(u, m) + d(u, m)\mathcal{D}^\epsilon(u, m) + b(u, m)\mathcal{B}^\epsilon(u, m) + c(u, m)\mathcal{C}^\epsilon(u, m)$$

In the **algebraic Bethe ansatz approach**, the idea is to start from candidates for eigenstates of $\bar{\pi}(t(u))$ of the form :

$$|\Psi_{\pm}^M(\bar{u}, m_0)\rangle = \bar{\pi}(\mathcal{B}^{\pm}(u_1, m_0 + 2(M-1)) \cdots \mathcal{B}^{\pm}(u_M, m_0))|\Omega^{\pm}\rangle$$

Then, provided we know :

→ **Action of the dynamical operators** $\{\mathcal{A}^\epsilon(u, m), \dots\}$ on the reference states $|\Omega^{\pm}\rangle$

→ **Commutation relations** between dynamical operators $\{\mathcal{A}^\epsilon(u, m), \dots\}$

one can compute the action of $\bar{\pi}(t(u))$ on the candidates for eigenstates. It reads :

$$\bar{\pi}(t(u))|\Psi_{\pm}^M(\bar{u}, m_0)\rangle = \Lambda_{\pm}^M(u; \bar{u})|\Psi_{\pm}^M(\bar{u}, m_0)\rangle + \underbrace{\sum_i E_i |\Psi_{\pm}^M(\{u, \bar{u}_i\}, m_0)\rangle}_{E_i \equiv 0 \text{ for some } \{u_i\} \text{ solving Bethe equations}}$$

$$(\bar{u}_i = \bar{u} \setminus u_i)$$

$E_i \equiv 0$ for some $\{u_i\}$ solving Bethe equations

→ Action of the dynamical operators

For some α, β , recall that one has :

$$\bar{\pi}(\mathcal{C}^\pm(u, m_0))|\Omega^\pm\rangle = 0 .$$

Lemma 3. Let α, β be fixed such that $|\Omega^\pm\rangle$ are references states. Then :

$$\bar{\pi}(\mathcal{A}^\pm(u, m_0))|\Omega^\pm\rangle = \Lambda_1^\pm(u)|\Omega^\pm\rangle ,$$

$$\bar{\pi}(\mathcal{D}^\pm(u, m_0))|\Omega^\pm\rangle = \Lambda_2^\pm(u)|\Omega^\pm\rangle ,$$

where $\Lambda_1^\pm(u), \Lambda_2^\pm(u)$ are ratios of Laurent polynomials in the variable u .

Proof : Use Leonard pair's properties.

→ Commutation relations between the dynamical operators

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}^\epsilon(u, m+2)\mathcal{B}^\epsilon(v, m) &= \mathcal{B}^\epsilon(v, m+2)\mathcal{B}^\epsilon(u, m), \\ \mathcal{A}^\epsilon(u, m+2)\mathcal{B}^\epsilon(v, m) &= f(u, v)\mathcal{B}^\epsilon(v, m)\mathcal{A}^\epsilon(u, m) \\ &\quad + g(u, v, m)\mathcal{B}^\epsilon(u, m)\mathcal{A}^\epsilon(v, m) + w(u, v, m)\mathcal{B}^\epsilon(u, m)\mathcal{D}^\epsilon(v, m), \\ \mathcal{D}^\epsilon(u, m+2)\mathcal{B}^\epsilon(v, m) &= h(u, v)\mathcal{B}^\epsilon(v, m)\mathcal{D}^\epsilon(u, m), \\ &\quad + k(u, v, m)\mathcal{B}^\epsilon(u, m)\mathcal{D}^\epsilon(v, m) + n(u, v, m)\mathcal{B}^\epsilon(u, m)\mathcal{A}^\epsilon(v, m), \\ \mathcal{C}(u, m+2)\mathcal{B}(v, m) &= \mathcal{B}(v, m-2)\mathcal{C}(u, m) \\ &\quad + q(u, v, m)\mathcal{A}(v, m)\mathcal{D}(u, m) + r(u, v, m)\mathcal{A}(u, m)\mathcal{D}(v, m) \\ &\quad + s(u, v, m)\mathcal{A}(u, m)\mathcal{A}(v, m) + x(u, v, m)\mathcal{A}(v, m)\mathcal{A}(u, m) \\ &\quad + y(u, v, m)\mathcal{D}(u, m)\mathcal{A}(v, m) + z(u, v, m)\mathcal{D}(u, m)\mathcal{D}(v, m). \end{aligned}$$

Goal : Diagonalize $\bar{\pi}(l^{AW})$.

$$t(u) = (q^2 u^2 - q^{-2} u^{-2})(u^2 - u^{-2}) \underbrace{\left(\kappa A + \kappa^* A^* + \kappa_+ [A, A^*]_q + \kappa_- [A^*, A]_q \right)}_{\equiv l^{AW}}$$

$$\bar{\pi}(t(u))|\Psi_{\pm}^M(\bar{u}, m_0)\rangle = \Lambda_{\pm}^M(u; \bar{u})|\Psi_{\pm}^M(\bar{u}, m_0)\rangle + \underbrace{\sum_i E_i |\Psi_{\pm}^M(\{u, \bar{u}_i\}, m_0)\rangle}_{E_i \equiv 0 \text{ for some } \{u_i\} \text{ solving Bethe equations}}$$

$$(\bar{u}_i = \bar{u} \setminus u_i)$$

- for
- Special cases ('a'=sp) $\kappa^* = \kappa_{\pm} = 0$ or $\kappa = \kappa_{\pm} = 0$;
 - Diagonal case ('a'=d) $\kappa_{\pm} = 0, \kappa, \kappa^* \neq 0$;
 - Generic case ('a'=g) $\kappa, \kappa^*, \kappa_{\pm} \neq 0$.

Goal : Diagonalize $\bar{\pi}(l^{AW})$.

$$t(u) = (q^2 u^2 - q^{-2} u^{-2})(u^2 - u^{-2}) \underbrace{\left(\kappa A + \kappa^* A^* + \kappa_+ [A, A^*]_q + \kappa_- [A^*, A]_q \right)}_{\equiv l^{AW}}$$

$$\bar{\pi}(t(u))|\Psi_{\pm}^M(\bar{u}, m_0)\rangle = \Lambda_{\pm}^M(u; \bar{u})|\Psi_{\pm}^M(\bar{u}, m_0)\rangle + \underbrace{\sum_i E_i |\Psi_{\pm}^M(\{u, \bar{u}_i\}, m_0)\rangle}_{E_i \equiv 0 \text{ for some } \{u_i\} \text{ solving Bethe equations}}$$

$$(\bar{u}_i = \bar{u} \setminus u_i)$$

$E_i \equiv 0$ for some $\{u_i\}$ solving Bethe equations

- for \rightarrow Special cases ('a'=sp) $\kappa^* = \kappa_{\pm} = 0$ or $\kappa = \kappa_{\pm} = 0$;
 \rightarrow Diagonal case ('a'=d) $\kappa_{\pm} = 0, \kappa, \kappa^* \neq 0$;
 \rightarrow Generic case ('a'=g) $\kappa, \kappa^*, \kappa_{\pm} \neq 0$.

Solution :

- Spectrum :** $\Lambda_{a, \pm}^M = f(\{u_1, \dots, u_M\})$
- Bethe eigenstates :**

$$|\Psi_{\pm}^M(\bar{u}, m_0)\rangle = \bar{\pi}(\mathcal{B}^{\pm}(u_1, m_0 + 2(M-1)) \cdots \mathcal{B}^{\pm}(u_M, m_0))|\Omega^{\pm}\rangle$$

- Bethe equations :**

$$P_a(U_i) = 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, \dim(\bar{V}) \quad \text{where} \quad U_i = \frac{qu_i^2 + q^{-1}u_i^{-2}}{q + q^{-1}} \quad \text{with} \quad a \in \{sp, d, g\}.$$

Special case : $\kappa^* = \kappa_{\pm} = 0$ or $\kappa = \kappa_{\pm} = 0$

Recall that $I^{AW}|_{(\kappa, \kappa^*, \kappa_+, \kappa_-)} = \kappa A + \kappa^* A^* + \kappa_+ [A, A^*]_q + \kappa_- [A^*, A]_q$

Proposition 1 :

$$\pi \left(I^{AW}|_{(\kappa, 0, 0, 0)} \right) |\Psi_{sp, -}^M(\bar{u}, m_0)\rangle = \frac{\kappa}{2} q^{\frac{1}{2}(\nu + \nu')} \left(e^{-\mu} q^{-2s+2M} + e^{\mu} q^{2s-2M} \right) |\Psi_{sp, -}^M(\bar{u}, m_0)\rangle$$

where the set $\bar{u} = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_M\}$ satisfies the Bethe equations for $i = 1, \dots, M$:

$$\prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^M \left(\frac{b(u_i / (qu_j)) b(u_i u_j)}{b(qu_i / u_j) b(q^2 u_i u_j)} \right) = \frac{\left(qe^{\mu'} u_i + q^{-1} e^{\mu} u_i^{-1} \right) \left(qe^{-\mu} u_i + q^{-1} e^{\mu'} u_i^{-1} \right) b \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}-s} v u_i \right) b \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}-s} v^{-1} u_i \right)}{\left(e^{\mu'} u_i + e^{-\mu} u_i^{-1} \right) \left(e^{\mu} u_i + e^{\mu'} u_i^{-1} \right) b \left(q^{s+\frac{1}{2}} v u_i \right) b \left(q^{s+\frac{1}{2}} v^{-1} u_i \right)}.$$

Remark :

→ Spectrum has the typical form for Leonard pairs ($\theta_M = bq^{2M} + cq^{-2M}$)

→ Similar result for the special case $\kappa = 0$ (**Proposition 1*** :

$$\pi \left(I^{AW}|_{(0, \kappa^*, 0, 0)} \right) |\Psi_{sp, +}^M(\bar{u}, m_0)\rangle = \frac{\kappa^*}{2} q^{\frac{1}{2}(\nu + \nu')} \left(e^{-\mu'} q^{2s-2M} + e^{\mu'} q^{-2s+2M} \right) |\Psi_{sp, +}^M(\bar{u}, m_0)\rangle$$

Diagonal case : $\kappa_{\pm} = 0, \kappa, \kappa^* \neq 0$

Recall that $I^{AW}|_{(\kappa, \kappa^*, \kappa_+, \kappa_-)} = \kappa A + \kappa^* A^* + \kappa_+ [A, A^*]_q + \kappa_- [A^*, A]_q$

Proposition 2 : For $\epsilon = \pm 1$, one has :

$$\bar{\pi} \left(I^{AW}|_{(\kappa, \kappa^*, 0, 0)} |\Psi_{d, \epsilon}^{2s}(\bar{u}, m_0)\rangle \right) = \Lambda_{d, \epsilon}^{2s} |\Psi_{d, \epsilon}^{2s}(\bar{u}, m_0)\rangle$$

with

$$\Lambda_{d, +}^{2s} = \kappa^* \theta_{2s}^* + \kappa e^{\mu - \mu'} b((v^2 + v^{-2})[2s]_q + 2e^{\mu'} \cosh(\mu) - q \sum_{j=1}^{2s} (qu_j^2 + q^{-1} u_j^{-2})),$$

$$\Lambda_{d, -}^{2s} = \kappa \theta_{2s} + \kappa^* e^{\mu' - \mu} c^* ((v^2 + v^{-2})[2s]_q + 2e^{\mu} \cosh(\mu') - q^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{2s} (qu_j^2 + q^{-1} u_j^{-2})),$$

where the set \bar{u} satisfies the (inhomogeneous) Bethe equations for $i = 1, \dots, 2s$:

$$\frac{b(u_i^2)}{b(qu_i^2)} (\kappa u_i + \kappa^* u_i^{-1}) \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^{2s} f(u_i, u_j) \Lambda_1^\epsilon(u_i) - q^{-\epsilon} u_i^{-2\epsilon} (q\kappa^* u_i + q^{-1} \kappa u_i^{-1}) \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^{2s} h(u_i, u_j) \Lambda_2^\epsilon(u_i) \\ + (-1)^{2s} \epsilon (q - q^{-1})^{-1} q^\epsilon \kappa^{(1+\epsilon)/2} \kappa^*{}^{(1-\epsilon)/2} \delta_d \frac{u_i^{-2\epsilon} b(u_i^2) \prod_{k=0}^{2s} b(q^{1/2+k-s} v u_i) b(q^{1/2+k-s} v^{-1} u_i)}{\prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^{2s} b(u_i u_j^{-1}) b(qu_i u_j)} = 0.$$

inhomogeneous term

Generic case : $\kappa_{\pm} \neq 0, \kappa, \kappa^* \neq 0$

Recall that $I^{AW}|_{(\kappa, \kappa^*, \kappa_+, \kappa_-)} = \kappa A + \kappa^* A^* + \kappa_+ [A, A^*]_q + \kappa_- [A^*, A]_q$

Proposition 3 : For $\epsilon = \pm 1$, one has :

$$\bar{\pi} \left(I^{AW}|_{(\kappa, \kappa^*, \kappa_+, \kappa_-)} \right) |\Psi_{g, \epsilon}^{2s}(\bar{u}, m_0)\rangle = \Lambda_{g, \epsilon}^{2s} |\Psi_{g, \epsilon}^{2s}(\bar{u}, m_0)\rangle$$

with

$$\Lambda_{g, -}^{2s} = \kappa \theta_{2s} + \kappa \theta_{2s}|_{\mu \rightarrow -\xi'} \frac{\cosh(\mu')}{\cosh(\xi)} + \left(-\frac{\kappa}{2 \cosh(\xi)} \theta_{3s+1/2}|_{\mu \rightarrow \mu - \xi'} + (-1)^{2s+1} \delta_g [2s+1]_q \right) (v^2 + v^{-2})$$

$$- \omega \frac{(\chi^{-1} \kappa_+ + \chi \kappa_-)}{(q - q^{-1})} + \left(\frac{\kappa}{2 \cosh(\xi)} (q - q^{-1}) \theta_{2s}|_{\mu \rightarrow \mu - \xi} - (-1)^{2s+1} \delta_g \right) \sum_{j=1}^{2s} (q u_j^2 + q^{-1} u_j^{-2}),$$

where the set \bar{u} satisfies the (inhomogeneous) Bethe equations for $i = 1, \dots, 2s$:

$$- \frac{b(u_i^2)}{b(q u_i^2)} \Delta_g(u_i) \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^{2s} f(u_i, u_j) \Lambda_1^\epsilon(u_i) + \Delta_g(q^{-1} u_i^{-1}) \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^{2s} h(u_i, u_j) \Lambda_2^\epsilon(u_i)$$

$$- (-1)^{2s} \delta_g (q - q^{-1})^{-1} \frac{u_i^{-\epsilon} b(u_i^2) \prod_{k=0}^{2s} b(q^{1/2+k-s} v u_i) b(q^{1/2+k-s} v^{-1} u_i)}{\prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^{2s} b(u_i u_j^{-1}) b(q u_i u_j)} = 0$$

Examples : 3-sites Heisenberg spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ chain

$$I = \kappa A + \kappa^* A^* + \kappa_+ [A, A^*]_q + \kappa_- [A^*, A]_q \quad \text{with}$$

$$A \rightarrow \Delta(C) \otimes I, \quad A^* \rightarrow I \otimes \Delta(C), \quad \text{Casimir } U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) : C = (q - q^{-1})^2 S_- S_+ + q^{2S_z + 1} + q^{-2S_z - 1}$$

$$\text{Fix } \bar{V} = \mathbb{C}^2 \Rightarrow a, b, c = x, y, z, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3, \quad \bar{\pi}(S_a) \rightarrow \sigma^a.$$

$$\frac{\bar{\pi}(I) (\kappa, \kappa^*, \kappa_+, \kappa_-)}{2(q - q^{-1})^2} = \sum_a \sum_{i,j} J_{ij}^a \sigma_i^a \sigma_j^a + \sum_{a \neq b} \sum_{i,j} K_{ij}^{ab} \sigma_i^a \sigma_j^b + \sum_{\{a,b,c\}} \sum_{i,j,k} L_{ijk}^{abc} \sigma_i^a \sigma_j^b \sigma_k^c + \sum_i b_i^z \sigma_i^z + J_0,$$

Spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ chain	Direct diagonalization (degeneracy)	Diagonalization via ABA $\Lambda_{a,+}^{2s}(s)$	Bethe roots $\{U_1, \dots, U_{2s}\}$
$\kappa = 1, \kappa^* = 1/3,$ $\kappa_{\pm} = 0$	32.5 (4) 14.4069 (2) 28.0931 (2)	32.5 (0) 14.4069 (1/2) 28.0931 (1/2)	- {-1.0344} {-1.4906}
$\kappa = -\frac{5}{4\sqrt{2}}, \kappa^* = -\frac{9}{4\sqrt{2}},$ $\kappa_+ = -\frac{2}{15}, \kappa_- = \frac{1}{60}$	-0.200512 (4) -6.25895 + 3.32745 i (2) -6.25895 - 3.32745 i (2)	-0.200512 (0) -6.25895 + 3.32745 i (1/2) -6.25895 - 3.32745 i (1/2)	- {-0.793147 - 1.40509 i} {-0.793147 + 1.40509 i}

→ Here for $s = 1/2$ only. Results for any s OK.

→ Results for arbitrary alternating spin chain j_1, j_2, j_3 OK.

→ Alternative ABA solution for the special case $\kappa = \kappa^* = 1$ [Sklyanin,88].

PROBLEM 2

Let $(\bar{\pi}, \bar{V})$ be an irreducible finite dimensional representation of the **Askey-Wilson algebra** with generators A, A^* . Denote $\bar{\pi}(A), \bar{\pi}(A^*)$ the corresponding **Leonard pair of Askey-Wilson type**.

⇒ Express the q-Racah polynomials as scalar products of Bethe states

Remark : Towards determinantal representations for q-Racah polynomials.

Strategy : **Eigenvectors** $|\theta_M\rangle, |\theta_N^*\rangle$ of Leonard pairs $\bar{\pi}(A), \bar{\pi}(A^*) \sim$
Bethe states

⇒ Compute $\langle \Psi_+^N(\bar{v}, m_0) | \Psi_-^M(\bar{u}, m_0) \rangle \sim R_M(\theta_N^*)$

⇒ Determinantal expression for q-Racah polynomials? (in progress)

q-Racah OP, Leonard pairs and scalar products in ABA

From previous results, explicit **Bethe eigenbases for Leonard pairs of AW type** are obtained. From [Zhedanov,'92, Terwilliger,'04], q-Racah polynomials \sim entries of transition matrix between Leonard pairs' eigenbases.

Idea : Compute scalar products of Bethe eigenstates

\Rightarrow Determinantal representations for q-Racah polynomials ?

Reminder : For a **Leonard pair of q-Racah type** [Terwilliger,'04], use :

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(A)|\theta_M\rangle &= \theta_M|\theta_M\rangle, \quad \pi(A^*)|\theta_M\rangle = A_{M+1,M}^*|\theta_{M+1}\rangle + A_{M,M}^*|\theta_M\rangle + A_{M-1,M}^*|\theta_{M-1}\rangle, \\ \pi(A^*)|\theta_N^*\rangle &= \theta_N^*|\theta_N^*\rangle, \quad \pi(A)|\theta_N^*\rangle = A_{N+1,N}|\theta_{N+1}^*\rangle + A_{N,N}|\theta_N^*\rangle + A_{N-1,N}|\theta_{N-1}^*\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\theta_M = bq^{2M} + cq^{-2M}, \quad \theta_M^* = b^*q^{2M} + c^*q^{-2M},$$

$$A_{M,M-1}^* = q^{2-4s} \frac{(1-q^{2M})(c-bq^{2M+4s})(b^*q^{2s-1}\zeta^{-2} + bq^{2M-2})(cq^{2s-1}\zeta^2 + c^*q^{2M-2})}{(c-bq^{4M-2})(c-bq^{4M})},$$

$$A_{M-1,M}^* = \frac{(1-q^{2M-4s-2})(c-bq^{2M-2})(c+b^*\zeta^{-2}q^{2M+2s-1})(c^* + b\zeta^2q^{2M+2s-1})}{(c-bq^{4M-4})(c-bq^{4M-2})},$$

$$A_{M,M}^* = \theta_0^* - A_{M,M+1}^* - A_{M,M-1}^*.$$

The **q-Racah polynomials** determine the entries of the transition matrices relating the eigenbases $\{|\theta_M\rangle\}_{M=0}^{2s}$, $\{|\theta_N^*\rangle\}_{N=0}^{2s}$ [Zhedanov,'92, Terwilliger,'04] ($R_0(\theta_N^*)=1$) :

$$|\theta_M\rangle = \sum_{N=0}^{2s} \langle \theta_N^* | \theta_M \rangle | \theta_N^* \rangle \quad \text{with} \quad R_M(\theta_N^*) = \frac{\langle \theta_N^* | \theta_M \rangle}{\langle \theta_N^* | \theta_0 \rangle}$$

$$R_M(\theta_N^*) = 4\phi_3 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-2M}, \frac{b}{c}q^{2M}, q^{-2N}, \frac{b^*}{c^*}q^{2N} \\ -\frac{b}{c^*}q^{2s-1}\zeta^2, -\frac{b^*}{c}q^{2s-1}\zeta^{-2}, q^{-4s}; q^2, q^2 \end{matrix} \right].$$

Scalar products and q-Racah OP : From previous results, recall the Bethe eigenstates for some $\{u_i, v_j\}$ satisfying certain Bethe equations :

$$|\Psi_-^M(\bar{u}, m_0)\rangle = \pi(\mathcal{B}^-(u_1, m_0 + 2(M-1)) \cdots \mathcal{B}^-(u_M, m_0)) |\Omega^-\rangle,$$

$$\langle \Psi_+^N(\bar{v}, m_0) | = \langle \Omega^+ | \mathcal{C}^+(v_1, m_0 + 2) \cdots \mathcal{C}^+(v_N, m_0 + 2N).$$

$$\pi(A) |\Psi_-^M(\bar{u}, m_0)\rangle = \theta_-^M |\Psi_-^M(\bar{u}, m_0)\rangle \quad \text{with} \quad \theta_-^M = \frac{1}{2} q^{\frac{1}{2}} (\nu + \nu') \left(e^{-\mu} q^{-2s+2M} + e^{\mu} q^{2s-2M} \right),$$

$$\langle \Psi_+^N(\bar{v}, m_0) | \pi(A^*) = \langle \Psi_+^N(\bar{v}, m_0) | \theta_+^{*N} \quad \text{with} \quad \theta_+^{*N} = \frac{1}{2} q^{\frac{1}{2}} (\nu + \nu') \left(e^{-\mu'} q^{2s-2N} + e^{\mu'} q^{-2s+2N} \right),$$

⇒ Compute $\langle \Psi_+^N(\bar{v}, m_0) | \Psi_-^M(\bar{u}, m_0) \rangle \sim R_M(\theta_N^*)$

⇒ Determinant expression for q-Racah polynomials? (hint : Recent works [Belliard-Slavnov,'19]) (in progress)

Comments : ABA generates q-difference operators

For each case $a \in \{sp, d, g\}$, define the **Baxter Q-polynomial** :

$$Q_M^a(U) = \prod_{j=1}^M (U - U_j) \quad \text{with} \quad U_i = \frac{qu_i^2 + q^{-1}u_i^{-2}}{q + q^{-1}}.$$

From ABA results, it is found that $Q_M^a(U)$ solves a **second-order q-difference equation**. The corresponding T-Q relations can be written :

$$(Special) \quad \pi(l(0, \kappa^*, 0, 0))Q_M^{sp}(U) = \Lambda_{sp,+}^M Q_M^{sp}(U), \quad Q_M^{sp}(U) \sim \text{AW polynom.}$$

$$(Diagonal) \quad \pi(l(\kappa, \kappa^*, 0, 0))Q_{2s}^d(U) = \Lambda_{d,+}^{2s} Q_{2s}^d(U) - \kappa \delta_d^{2s} H(U),$$

$$(Generic) \quad \pi(l(\kappa, \kappa^*, \kappa_+, \kappa_-))Q_{2s}^g(U) = \Lambda_{g,+}^{2s} Q_{2s}^g(U) - \underbrace{\kappa \delta_g^{2s} H(U)}_{\text{inhomogeneous term}}$$

$$\text{with } H(U) = \prod_{k=0}^{2s} b(q^{1/2+k-s}vu)b(q^{1/2+k-s}v^{-1}u), \quad b(x) = x - x^{-1}.$$

where the **Heun-Askey-Wilson operator** $\pi(l^{AW})$ is introduced.

\Rightarrow **Extract** $\pi(\mathbf{A}), \pi(\mathbf{A}^*), \dots$ using $l^{AW} = \kappa \mathbf{A} + \kappa^* \mathbf{A}^* + \kappa_+ [\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}^*]_q + \kappa_- [\mathbf{A}^*, \mathbf{A}]_q$

From the T-Q relations, one extracts :

Example : Denote $T_{\pm}(f(z)) = f(q^{\pm 1}z)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(A) &= q^{-1}z^{-1}\phi(z)(T_+ - 1) + q^{-1}z\phi(z^{-1})(T_- - 1) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}q \frac{\nu + \nu'}{2} e^{-\mu'} q^{2s} \left(2e^{\mu'} \cosh(\mu) - (v^2 + v^{-2})q^{-2s-1} + q^{-1}(z + z^{-1}) \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\pi(A^*) = \phi(z)(T_+ - 1) + \phi(z^{-1})(T_- - 1) + \frac{1}{2}q^{(\nu + \nu')/2} (e^{\mu'} q^{-2s} + e^{-\mu'} q^{2s})$$

and

$$\pi([A^*, A]_q) = -\frac{q^{\nu + \nu'}(q - q^{-1})}{4} \left((q + q^{-1})(z + z^{-1}) - (q^{2s+1} + q^{-2s-1})(v^2 + v^{-2}) + 4 \cosh(\mu) \cosh(\mu') \right).$$

where

$$\phi(z) = \frac{1}{2}q \frac{\nu + \nu'}{2} e^{-\mu'} q^{2s} \frac{(1 + qe^{-\mu + \mu'}z)(1 + qe^{\mu + \mu'}z)(1 - q^{-2s}v^2z)(1 - q^{-2s}v^{-2}z)}{(1 - z^2)(1 - q^2z^2)}.$$

\Rightarrow **second order q-difference operators** that satisfy the Askey-Wilson relations.

Perspective 1 : Integrable systems related with the q-Onsager algebra O_q

Definition : [Terwilliger, '99],[B, '04] The q-Onsager algebra is generated by A, A^* subject to the relations :

$$[A, [A, [A, A^*]_q]_{q^{-1}} = \rho[A, A^*] ,$$

$$[A, [A^*, [A^*, A]_q]_{q^{-1}} = \rho[A^*, A]$$

Example : L -sites open XXZ chain with generic integrable boundary conditions

$$H_{XXZ} = \sum_{k=0}^L F_k \underbrace{\bar{\pi}(I_{2k+1})}_{\text{Generalizations of HAW op.}} + F_0$$

Case $k = 0$: $I_1 \mapsto \kappa A + \kappa^* A^* + \kappa_+ [A, A^*]_q + \kappa_- [A^*, A]_q .$

\Rightarrow **Diagonalization of $I_{2k+1}, k = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$ via modified ABA ?**

Reflection algebra for O_q (known) + Tridiagonal pairs (known). ABA (OK)

Perspective 2 : Integrable systems related with higher rank AW algebras

For the **Askey-Wilson algebra**, one has the following realization :

[Granovskii-Zhedanov, '93] One has the map $AW \rightarrow U_q(sl_2) \otimes U_q(sl_2) \otimes U_q(sl_2)$.

$$\begin{aligned} A &\rightarrow \Delta(C) \otimes \mathbb{I} , \\ A^* &\rightarrow \mathbb{I} \otimes \Delta(C) . \end{aligned}$$

Recently, **higher-rank Askey-Wilson algebras** $AW(N)$ have been introduced [DeBie et al., 19], with generators $A_{12}, A_{23}, \dots, A_{N-1 N}$. One has the map $AW(N) \rightarrow U_q(sl_2) \otimes U_q(sl_2) \otimes \dots \otimes U_q(sl_2)$

$$\begin{aligned} A_{12} &\rightarrow \Delta(C) \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{I} , \\ A_{23} &\rightarrow \mathbb{I} \otimes \Delta(C) \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{I} , \\ A_{N-1 N} &\rightarrow \mathbb{I} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes \Delta(C) \end{aligned}$$

Consider the element : $I_N = \kappa_1 A_{12} + \kappa_2 A_{23} + \dots + \kappa_{N-1} A_{N-1 N}$

⇒ **Diagonalization of I_N via modified ABA ?**

∃ **Reflection algebra using connection with generalized q -Onsager algebras ?**

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!!!