#### Barbara König Universität Duisburg-Essen

#### Joint work with

#### Paolo Baldan, Tommaso Padoan (Università di Padova) Christina Mika-Michalski (Hochschule Rhein-Waal)

#### 1 Motivation: Solving Systems of Fixpoint Equations

- Case of One Equation
- Case of Multiple Equations

#### 2 Fixpoint Games

Soundness and Completeness



We are interested in techniques for solving (systems of) fixpoint equations over a complete lattice

#### One-equation case

Solve the equation E given as  $x =_{\eta} f(x)$  where

- $f: L \to L$  is a monotone function over a complete lattice  $(L, \sqsubseteq)$
- $\eta \in \{\mu, \nu\}$ , indicating whether we are interested in the least  $(\mu)$  or greatest  $(\nu)$  fixpoint

The solution of E is denoted by sol(E)

# Applications in concurrency theory, model checking, program analysis

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

#### Solution techniques

• The Knaster-Tarski theorem guarantees the existence of least and greatest fixpoints for monotone functions

#### Solution techniques

- The Knaster-Tarski theorem guarantees the existence of least and greatest fixpoints for monotone functions
- Kleene iteration: whenever f is (co-)continuous
  - $\eta = \mu$  (least fixpoint):  $sol(E) = \bigsqcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} f^i(\bot)$

• 
$$\eta = \nu$$
 (greatest fixpoint):  $sol(E) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} f^i(\top)$ 

#### Solution techniques

- The Knaster-Tarski theorem guarantees the existence of least and greatest fixpoints for monotone functions
- Kleene iteration: whenever f is (co-)continuous
  - $\eta = \mu$  (least fixpoint):  $sol(E) = \bigsqcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} f^i(\bot)$

• 
$$\eta = \nu$$
 (greatest fixpoint):  $sol(E) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} f^i(\top)$ 

- In order to check whether  $I \sqsubseteq sol(E)$  for some  $I \in L$ :
  - $\eta = \mu$  (least fixpoint): use ranking functions
  - η = ν (greatest fixpoint): construct a postfix-point l' (l' ⊂ f(l')) such that l ⊂ l'



æ

≣⇒

< (17) × <



If f is not (co-)continuous:

 $\rightsquigarrow$  Kleene iteration over the ordinals (beyond  $\omega$ )



#### Examples

- Dataflow analysis (least or greatest fixpoint)
- Bisimilarity characterized as a greatest fixpoint
- Behavioural metric characterized a a least fixpoint
- . . .

#### System of fixpoint equations

Let *L* be a complete lattice. A system of equations *E* over *L* is of the following form, where  $f_i : L^m \to L$  are monotone functions and  $\eta_i \in \{\mu, \nu\}$ .

$$x_1 =_{\eta_1} f_1(x_1,\ldots,x_m)$$

. . .

$$x_m =_{\eta_m} f_m(x_1,\ldots,x_m)$$

#### System of fixpoint equations

Let *L* be a complete lattice. A system of equations *E* over *L* is of the following form, where  $f_i : L^m \to L$  are monotone functions and  $\eta_i \in \{\mu, \nu\}$ .

$$x_1 =_{\eta_1} f_1(x_1,\ldots,x_m)$$

$$x_m =_{\eta_m} f_m(x_1,\ldots,x_m)$$

The solution of *E*, denoted  $sol(E) \in L^m$ , is defined inductively as follows:

$$sol(\emptyset) = ()$$
  
 $sol(E) = (sol(E[x_m := s_m]), s_m)$ 

where  $s_m = \eta_m(\lambda x. f_m(sol(E[x_m := x]), x))$ 

#### Remarks:

- $E[x_m := x]$  is a system of m 1 equations that one obtains by fixing the value of  $x_m$  as x and removing the last equation.
- Intuitively we fix the value of  $x_m$  as x, solve the remaining equation systems parameterized over x and then perform a fixpoint iteration (least or greatest) with  $f_m$  over x.
- The order of the equations matters.
- The solution is a fixpoint of the equation system (one of typically many fixpoints).

< 同 > < 国 > < 国 >

#### Example: $\mu$ -calculus model checking

We consider the modal  $\mu$ -calculus with  $\Box$  ("all successor states satisfy ..."),  $\diamond$  ("some successor state satisfies ..."), least and greatest fixpoints.



 $\nu x_2.(\mu x_1.(\Diamond x_1 \lor (P \land \Diamond x_2)) \land \Box x_2)$ 

#### Example: $\mu$ -calculus model checking

We consider the modal  $\mu$ -calculus with  $\Box$  ("all successor states satisfy ..."),  $\diamond$  ("some successor state satisfies ..."), least and greatest fixpoints.



 $\nu x_2.(\mu x_1.(\Diamond x_1 \lor (P \land \Diamond x_2)) \land \Box x_2)$ 

Equations over the powerset lattice of states:

$$\begin{array}{ll} x_1 &=_{\mu} & \Diamond x_1 \cup (P \cap \Diamond x_2) \\ x_2 &=_{\nu} & x_1 \cap \Box x_2 \end{array}$$





Equations over the powerset lattice of states:

$$x_1 =_{\mu} \diamond x_1 \cup (P \cap \diamond x_2)$$

 $x_1$ : "there exists a path such that eventually P holds and  $x_2$  holds for some successor"

$$x_2 =_{\nu} x_1 \cap \Box x_2$$

 $x_2$ : "largest set such that  $x_1$  holds and all successors satisfy  $x_2$ " Combined: "from all reachable states there is a path along which P holds infinitely often"

Efficient algorithms for  $\mu$ -calculus model-checking

*n*: number of states *d*: alternation depth of formula

- Naive approach: use the definition  $\rightsquigarrow O(n^d)$
- Reduce model-checking problem to a parity game and determine whether the existential player has a winning strategy
  - Local on-the fly algorithms [Stevens, Stirling] that perform an on-the fly search for a winning strategy of the existential player (proving that a given state satisfies a formula)
  - Progress measures [Jurdzinski]  $\rightsquigarrow O(n^{\frac{d}{2}})$
  - Quasi-polynomial algorithms [Calude, Jain, Khoussainov, Bakhadyr, Li, Stephan]  $\rightsquigarrow O(n^{\lceil \log d \rceil + c})$

イロト イヨト イヨト

#### Example: lattice-valued $\mu$ -calculi

Variants: Non-boolean  $\mu$ -calculi that do not check whether a formula holds in a state, but measure the "degree" with respect to which a formula is satisfied:

 $x \models \varphi$  is replaced by  $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket \colon X \to L$ 

- Latticed μ-calculus [Kupferman, Lustig]
   → over a lattice L
- Quantitative probabilistic  $\mu$ -calculus [Huth, Kwiatkowska]  $\rightsquigarrow$  over the real interval L = [0, 1]
- Łukasiewicz μ-calculus [Mio, Simpson]
   → over the real interval L = [0, 1]

 $\rightsquigarrow$  we require methods and techniques for solving fixpoint equations over general lattices (as opposed to powerset lattices)

Aim: consider a game perspective for solving systems of fixpoint equations for general lattices

Let *E* be a system of *m* equations over a lattice *L* with basis  $B_L$  $(B_L \subseteq L \text{ such that every } l \in L \text{ can be obtained as } l = \bigsqcup B'$  where  $B' \subseteq B_L$ ).

Aim: consider a game perspective for solving systems of fixpoint equations for general lattices

Let *E* be a system of *m* equations over a lattice *L* with basis  $B_L$  $(B_L \subseteq L \text{ such that every } l \in L \text{ can be obtained as } l = \bigsqcup B'$  where  $B' \subseteq B_L$ ). Let  $sol(E) = (s_1, \ldots, s_m)$  be the solution.

- Given  $b \in B_L$ ,  $i \in \{1, ..., m\}$  the existential player ( $\exists$ , Eve) wants to prove that  $b \sqsubseteq s_i$ .
- The universal player (∀, Adam) is the adversary of ∃ and wants to show that b ⊈ s<sub>i</sub>.

Aim: consider a game perspective for solving systems of fixpoint equations for general lattices

Let *E* be a system of *m* equations over a lattice *L* with basis  $B_L$  $(B_L \subseteq L \text{ such that every } l \in L \text{ can be obtained as } l = \bigsqcup B'$  where  $B' \subseteq B_L$ ). Let  $sol(E) = (s_1, \ldots, s_m)$  be the solution.

- Given  $b \in B_L$ ,  $i \in \{1, ..., m\}$  the existential player ( $\exists$ , Eve) wants to prove that  $b \sqsubseteq s_i$ .
- The universal player (∀, Adam) is the adversary of ∃ and wants to show that b ⊈ s<sub>i</sub>.

Precursor games:

- Parity games
- Unfolding games [Venema]
  - are being played on a powerset lattice
  - single fixpoint equation

・ロト ・ 一 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ 日 ト

Fixpoint game (first version)				
Position	Player	Moves		
( <i>b</i> , <i>i</i> )	Э	$(I_1,\ldots,I_m)$ such that $b \sqsubseteq f_i(I_1,\ldots,I_m)$		
$(I_1,\ldots,I_m)$	$\forall$	$(b',j)$ such that $b'\sqsubseteq l_j$		
$b,b'\in B_L,\perp ot\in B_L,(I_1,\ldots,I_m)\in L^m$				

æ

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶

Fixpoint game (first version)				
Position	Player	Moves		
(b, i)	Э	$(I_1,\ldots,I_m)$ such that $b \sqsubseteq f_i(I_1,\ldots,I_m)$		
$(I_1,\ldots,I_m)$	$\forall$	$(b',j)$ such that $b'\sqsubseteq l_j$		
$b,b'\in B_L,\perp ot\in B_L,(I_1,\ldots,I_m)\in L^m$				

Winning condition ("parity condition")						
	Э	A				
Finite game Infinite game	$\forall$ unable to move $\eta_h = \nu$	$\exists \text{ unable to move} \\ \eta_h = \mu$				
Where $h \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ is the highest equation index occurring infinitely often.						

æ

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

We play the game on the powerset lattice  $L = \mathcal{P}(\{a, b\})$  with basis  $B_L = \{\{a\}, \{b\}\}$  for  $b = \{a\}, i = 2$ :



$$\begin{array}{ll} x_1 & =_{\mu} & \diamond x_1 \cup (P \cap \diamond x_2) = f_1(x_1, x_2) \\ x_2 & =_{\nu} & x_1 \cap \Box x_2 = f_2(x_1, x_2) \end{array}$$

Remember: the second component of the solution contains all states such that "from all reachable states there is a path along which P holds infinitely often"

#### Notation:

- Game positions (nodes) of  $\exists: \diamond$
- Game positions (nodes) of  $\forall: \Box$

э



Only minimal moves of  $\exists$  are given.

æ



Thick arrows: winning strategy of  $\exists$ 

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

æ

Is the game correct and complete for all (complete) lattices? (" $\exists$  has a winning strategy for  $(b, i) \iff b \sqsubseteq s_i$ ")

・日・ ・ ヨト・

Is the game correct and complete for all (complete) lattices? (" $\exists$  has a winning strategy for  $(b, i) \iff b \sqsubseteq s_i$ ")

#### Counterexample

$$L = \mathbb{N} \cup \{\omega\}, B_L = L \setminus \{0\}$$
  
f: L \rightarrow L, f(n) = n + 1, f(\omega) = \omega

$$x =_{\mu} f(x)$$

We play a game to check whether  $\omega$  is below the solution (= least fixpoint):

$$\omega \stackrel{\exists}{\rightsquigarrow} \omega \stackrel{\forall}{\rightsquigarrow} \omega \dots \qquad 0$$

 $\forall$  would win this game  $\ldots$  This means that something is wrong!

ω

2

In this case  $\omega \sqsubseteq \bigsqcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} f^i(0)$ , but  $\omega \not\sqsubseteq f^i(0)$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

However, in order to win,  $\exists$  has to descend in the lattice in order to reach  $\bot = 0$  and enforce a finite game. ( $\exists$  has to be able to go below the "limit ordinals" in the fixpoint iteration.)

Solution: play with basis  $B_L = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ . This forces  $\forall$  to pick some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

What are the restrictions on the basis in general?

(日本) (日本) (日本)

#### Way-below relation (definition)

Given two elements  $I, I' \in L$  we say that I is way-below I', written  $I \ll I'$  when for all directed sets  $D \subseteq L$ , if  $I' \sqsubseteq \bigsqcup D$  then there exists  $d \in D$  such that  $I \sqsubseteq d$ .

- It holds that ω ≪ ω, since ω ⊑ ∐ N, but ω is not below any element of the directed set N.
- For two sets  $Y, Y' \in \mathcal{P}(X)$  it holds that  $Y \ll Y'$  iff  $Y \subseteq Y'$  and Y finite.
- For  $x, x' \in [0, 1]$  it holds that  $x \ll x'$  iff x < x' or x = 0.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

#### Algebraic lattice (definition)

An element  $I \in L$  is compact if  $I \ll I$ . A complete lattice L is algebraic if the compact elements form a basis.

- Every powerset lattice is algebraic.
- $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\omega\}$  is algebraic.
- [0,1] is *not* algebraic. (Only 0 is compact.)

#### Algebraic lattice (definition)

An element  $l \in L$  is compact if  $l \ll l$ . A complete lattice L is algebraic if the compact elements form a basis.

- Every powerset lattice is algebraic.
- $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\omega\}$  is algebraic.
- [0,1] is *not* algebraic. (Only 0 is compact.)

Soundness and completeness of the fixpoint game (first version)

The game is

- always correct (" $\exists$  has a winning strategy for  $(b,i) \Rightarrow b \sqsubseteq s_i$ ")
- and complete ("b ⊑ s<sub>i</sub> ⇒ ∃ has a winning strategy for (b, i)") iff B<sub>L</sub> consists of compact elements (and hence L is algebraic).

#### Continuous Lattice [Scott]

A complete lattice *L* is continuous if for all  $l \in L$  it holds that  $l = \bigsqcup \{l' \in L \mid l' \ll l\}.$ 

#### Continuous Lattice [Scott]

A complete lattice *L* is continuous if for all  $l \in L$  it holds that  $l = \bigsqcup \{l' \in L \mid l' \ll l\}$ .

- Every algebraic lattice is continuous.
- [0,1] is a continuous lattice.
- The lattice to the right is not continuous:  $a \not\ll a$ , so  $\bigsqcup \{ l \in L \mid l \ll a \} = 0 \neq a$ .



Fixpoint game (second version)				
Position	Player	Moves		
(b, i)	Ξ	$(I_1,\ldots,I_m)$ such that $b \sqsubseteq f_i(I_1,\ldots,I_m)$		
$(I_1,\ldots,I_m)$	$\forall$	$(b',j)$ such that $b' \ll l_j$		
$b,b'\in B_L,\perp ot\in B_L,(I_1,\ldots,I_m)\in L^m$				

The winning conditions stay unchanged.

A ≥ ▶

**B** b

Fixpoint game (second version)				
Position	Player	Moves		
( <i>b</i> , <i>i</i> )	Э	$(I_1,\ldots,I_m)$ such that $b \sqsubseteq f_i(I_1,\ldots,I_m)$		
$(I_1,\ldots,I_m)$	$\forall$	$(b',j)$ such that $b' \ll l_j$		
$b,b'\in B_L,\perp ot\in B_L,(I_1,\ldots,I_m)\in L^m$				

The winning conditions stay unchanged.



This works also for non-continuous functions! Let  $f : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  be defined as:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2}x & \text{if } 0 \le x < \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{3}{8} + \frac{1}{2}x & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \le x \le 1 \end{cases}$$



We have  $\mu f = \frac{3}{4}$  (this fixpoint is not reachable in  $\omega$  steps, since f is not continuous)

Game:

$$\frac{3}{4} \stackrel{\exists}{\rightsquigarrow} \frac{3}{4} \stackrel{\forall}{\rightsquigarrow} \frac{3}{4} - \varepsilon \stackrel{\exists}{\rightsquigarrow} \frac{3}{4} - 2\varepsilon \rightsquigarrow \cdots \gg$$

$$\frac{5}{8} \stackrel{\exists}{\rightsquigarrow} \frac{1}{2} \stackrel{\forall}{\rightsquigarrow} \frac{1}{2} - \delta \rightsquigarrow \cdots \rightsquigarrow 0$$

$$\exists \text{ wins!}$$

Barbara König Fix

#### Further contributions

- Progress measures: computing the strategy of the existential player (global algorithm)
- Constraining the moves of the existential player via selections

 $\sim$  POPL '19

#### Further contributions

- Progress measures: computing the strategy of the existential player (global algorithm)
- Constraining the moves of the existential player via selections

ightarrow POPL '19

#### Further contributions

- Local algorithm for checking whether a lattice element is below the solution
- Integration with up-to techniques for stopping earlier
- Variant of the game: play on the powerset of the basis (sound and complete for all (complete) lattices)

#### $\rightsquigarrow$ CONCUR '20

Generalization of the quasipolynomial algorithms for parity games to finite lattices, based on fixpoint games: Daniel Hausmann, Lutz Schröder: Quasipolynomial Computation of Nested Fixpoints. TACAS '21

#### Open questions

Does the theory developed here help to compute solutions of fixpoint equations over the reals, metrics and other infinite lattices?

- initial experiments with SMT solvers
- methods for approximating the solution