

On the cubic-quintic Schrödinger equation

Rémi Carles

CNRS & Univ Rennes

Based on a joint work with
Christof Sparber (Univ. Illinois)



Cubic Schrödinger equation in 2D

$$i\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u = \lambda|u|^2u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

↪ Appears in various physical contexts: optics, superfluids, BEC, etc.

↪ Often, cubic nonlinearity stems from Taylor expansion: $f(|u|^2)u$.

Conserved quantities:

Mass: $M = \|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2,$

Angular momentum: $J = \operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \bar{u}(t, x) \nabla u(t, x) dx,$

Energy: $E = \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 + \lambda \|u(t)\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^d)}^4.$

↪ The sign of λ plays a role at the level of the energy... but not only.

Cubic Schrödinger equation in 2D

$$i\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u = \lambda|u|^2u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

↪ Appears in various physical contexts: optics, superfluids, BEC, etc.

↪ Often, cubic nonlinearity stems from Taylor expansion: $f(|u|^2)u$.

Conserved quantities:

Mass: $M = \|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2,$

Angular momentum: $J = \text{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \bar{u}(t, x) \nabla u(t, x) dx,$

Energy: $E = \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 + \lambda \|u(t)\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^d)}^4.$

↪ The sign of λ plays a role at the level of the energy... but not only.

Cubic Schrödinger equation in 2D

$$i\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u = \lambda|u|^2u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

↪ Appears in various physical contexts: optics, superfluids, BEC, etc.

↪ Often, cubic nonlinearity stems from Taylor expansion: $f(|u|^2)u$.

Conserved quantities:

Mass: $M = \|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2,$

Angular momentum: $J = \operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \bar{u}(t, x) \nabla u(t, x) dx,$

Energy: $E = \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 + \lambda \|u(t)\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^d)}^4.$

↪ The sign of λ plays a role at the level of the energy... but not only.

Cubic Schrödinger equation in 2D

$$i\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u = \lambda|u|^2u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

↪ Appears in various physical contexts: optics, superfluids, BEC, etc.

↪ Often, cubic nonlinearity stems from Taylor expansion: $f(|u|^2)u$.

Conserved quantities:

$$\text{Mass: } M = \|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2,$$

$$\text{Angular momentum: } J = \text{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \bar{u}(t, x) \nabla u(t, x) dx,$$

$$\text{Energy: } E = \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 + \lambda \|u(t)\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^d)}^4.$$

↪ The sign of λ plays a role at the level of the energy... but not only.

Cubic Schrödinger equation in 2D

$$i\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u = \lambda|u|^2u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

↪ Appears in various physical contexts: optics, superfluids, BEC, etc.

↪ Often, cubic nonlinearity stems from Taylor expansion: $f(|u|^2)u$.

Conserved quantities:

$$\text{Mass: } M = \|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2,$$

$$\text{Angular momentum: } J = \text{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \bar{u}(t, x) \nabla u(t, x) dx,$$

$$\text{Energy: } E = \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 + \lambda \|u(t)\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^d)}^4.$$

↪ The sign of λ plays a role at the level of the energy... but not only.

$$i\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u = \lambda|u|^2u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

$$M = \|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2, \quad E = \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 + \lambda\|u(t)\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^d)}^4.$$

Impose $u|_{t=0} = u_0$.

- $d = 1$: $u_0 \in L^2 \rightsquigarrow u \in C(\mathbb{R}; L^2)$, higher regularity propagated (Tsutsumi 1987).
- $d = 2$: $u_0 \in L^2, \lambda > 0 \rightsquigarrow u \in C(\mathbb{R}; L^2)$, higher regularity propagated (Dodson 2015).
- $d = 3$: $u_0 \in H^1, \lambda > 0 \rightsquigarrow u \in C(\mathbb{R}; H^1)$, higher regularity propagated (Ginibre & Velo 1979).

If $\lambda < 0$ and $d \geq 2$, finite time blow-up is possible.

$$i\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u = \lambda|u|^2u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

$$M = \|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2, \quad E = \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 + \lambda\|u(t)\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^d)}^4.$$

Impose $u|_{t=0} = u_0$.

- $d = 1$: $u_0 \in L^2 \rightsquigarrow u \in C(\mathbb{R}; L^2)$, higher regularity propagated (Tsutsumi 1987).
- $d = 2$: $u_0 \in L^2, \lambda > 0 \rightsquigarrow u \in C(\mathbb{R}; L^2)$, higher regularity propagated (Dodson 2015).
- $d = 3$: $u_0 \in H^1, \lambda > 0 \rightsquigarrow u \in C(\mathbb{R}; H^1)$, higher regularity propagated (Ginibre & Velo 1979).

If $\lambda < 0$ and $d \geq 2$, finite time blow-up is possible.

$$i\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u = -|u|^2 u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad u|_{t=0} = u_0.$$
$$E = \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 - \|u(t)\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^d)}^4.$$

Theorem (Zhakharov 1972, Glassey 1977)

Suppose $d \geq 2$ and $u_0 \in H^1 \cap \mathcal{F}(H^1)$. If $E < 0$, then

$$\exists T_{\pm} > 0, \quad \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \pm T_{\pm}} \infty.$$

Proof.

The map $t \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^2 |u(t, x)|^2 dx$ is C^2 as long as u is H^1 , and

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^2 |u(t, x)|^2 dx \leq 2E.$$

Finite time blow up (continued)

$$i\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u = -|u|^2 u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad u|_{t=0} = u_0.$$
$$E = \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 - \|u(t)\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^d)}^4.$$

Gagliardo-Nirenberg:

$$\|u\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^d)}^4 \leq C \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{4-d} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^d.$$

↪ No blow-up if $d = 1$.

↪ No blow-up if $d = 2$ and $\|u_0\|_{L^2} \ll 1$.

↪ No blow-up if $d = 3$ and $\|u_0\|_{H^1} \ll 1$.

Finite time blow up (continued)

$$i\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u = -|u|^2 u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad u|_{t=0} = u_0.$$
$$E = \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 - \|u(t)\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^d)}^4.$$

Gagliardo-Nirenberg:

$$\|u\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^d)}^4 \leq C \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{4-d} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^d.$$

↪ No blow-up if $d = 1$.

↪ No blow-up if $d = 2$ and $\|u_0\|_{L^2} \ll 1$.

↪ No blow-up if $d = 3$ and $\|u_0\|_{H^1} \ll 1$.

Finite time blow up (continued)

$$i\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u = -|u|^2 u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad u|_{t=0} = u_0.$$
$$E = \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 - \|u(t)\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^d)}^4.$$

Gagliardo-Nirenberg:

$$\|u\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^d)}^4 \leq C \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{4-d} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^d.$$

- ↪ No blow-up if $d = 1$.
- ↪ No blow-up if $d = 2$ and $\|u_0\|_{L^2} \ll 1$.
- ↪ No blow-up if $d = 3$ and $\|u_0\|_{H^1} \ll 1$.

$$E = \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 - \|u(t)\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^2)}^4.$$

$$\|u\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^2)}^4 \leq C \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2.$$

Best constant? [M. Weinstein 1983](#),

$$\|u\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^2)}^4 \leq \left(\frac{\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}}{\|Q\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}} \right)^2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2,$$

where Q is the unique positive, radial solution to

$$-\frac{1}{2}\Delta Q + Q = Q^3, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

\rightsquigarrow If $\|u_0\|_{L^2} < \|Q\|_{L^2}$, GWP.

\rightsquigarrow If $\|u_0\|_{L^2} \geq \|Q\|_{L^2}$, blow-up may happen. ([M. Weinstein](#), [Merle](#), [Merle-Raphaël](#), etc.)

$$E = \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 - \|u(t)\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^2)}^4.$$

$$\|u\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^2)}^4 \leq C \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2.$$

Best constant? **M. Weinstein 1983**,

$$\|u\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^2)}^4 \leq \left(\frac{\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}}{\|Q\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}} \right)^2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2,$$

where Q is the unique positive, radial solution to

$$-\frac{1}{2}\Delta Q + Q = Q^3, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

\rightsquigarrow If $\|u_0\|_{L^2} < \|Q\|_{L^2}$, GWP.

\rightsquigarrow If $\|u_0\|_{L^2} \geq \|Q\|_{L^2}$, blow-up may happen. (M. Weinstein, Merle, Merle-Raphaël, etc.)

$$E = \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 - \|u(t)\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^2)}^4.$$

$$\|u\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^2)}^4 \leq C \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2.$$

Best constant? [M. Weinstein 1983](#),

$$\|u\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^2)}^4 \leq \left(\frac{\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}}{\|Q\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}} \right)^2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2,$$

where Q is the unique positive, radial solution to

$$-\frac{1}{2}\Delta Q + Q = Q^3, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

\rightsquigarrow If $\|u_0\|_{L^2} < \|Q\|_{L^2}$, GWP.

\rightsquigarrow If $\|u_0\|_{L^2} \geq \|Q\|_{L^2}$, blow-up may happen. ([M. Weinstein](#), [Merle](#), [Merle-Raphaël](#), etc.)

$$i\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u = -|u|^2 u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Special solution $u(t, x) = e^{i\omega t}\phi(x)$:

$$-\frac{1}{2}\Delta\phi + \omega\phi = |\phi|^2\phi.$$

A priori estimates (**Pohozaev identities**):

$$\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla\phi\|_{L^2}^2 + \omega\|\phi\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\phi\|_{L^4}^4 = 0 \quad (\text{multiplier } \bar{\phi}),$$

$$\omega\|\phi\|_{L^2}^2 = \frac{1}{2}\|\phi\|_{L^4}^4 \quad (\text{multiplier } x \cdot \nabla\bar{\phi}).$$

\rightsquigarrow Nec. $\omega > 0$. Conversely, $\exists H^1$ solution if $\omega > 0$, with exponential decay.

\rightsquigarrow Any solution satisfies $E(\phi) = 0$: **instability by blow-up**.

$$i\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u = -|u|^2 u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Special solution $u(t, x) = e^{i\omega t}\phi(x)$:

$$-\frac{1}{2}\Delta\phi + \omega\phi = |\phi|^2\phi.$$

A priori estimates (**Pohozaev identities**):

$$\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla\phi\|_{L^2}^2 + \omega\|\phi\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\phi\|_{L^4}^4 = 0 \quad (\text{multiplier } \bar{\phi}),$$

$$\omega\|\phi\|_{L^2}^2 = \frac{1}{2}\|\phi\|_{L^4}^4 \quad (\text{multiplier } x \cdot \nabla\bar{\phi}).$$

\rightsquigarrow Nec. $\omega > 0$. Conversely, $\exists H^1$ solution if $\omega > 0$, with exponential decay.

\rightsquigarrow Any solution satisfies $E(\phi) = 0$: **instability by blow-up**.

Cubic-quintic Schrödinger equation

$$i\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u = -|u|^2u + |u|^4u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

$$E = \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|u(t)\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^d)}^4 + \frac{1}{3}\|u(t)\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^d)}^6.$$

↪ Defocusing quintic term: stabilize 2D and 3D solitons (optics, BEC)..?

↪ GWP in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, for $d \leq 3$ (X. Zhang 2006 for $d = 3$).

↪ **Caution:** two notions of orbital stability!

↪ In 1D, explicit solitary waves, for $0 < \omega < \frac{3}{16}$,

$$\phi(x) = 2 \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{16\omega}{3}} \cosh(2x\sqrt{2\omega})}}.$$

Cubic-quintic Schrödinger equation

$$i\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u = -|u|^2u + |u|^4u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

$$E = \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|u(t)\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^d)}^4 + \frac{1}{3}\|u(t)\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^d)}^6.$$

↪ Defocusing quintic term: stabilize 2D and 3D solitons (optics, BEC)..?

↪ GWP in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, for $d \leq 3$ (X. Zhang 2006 for $d = 3$).

↪ **Caution:** two notions of orbital stability!

↪ In 1D, explicit solitary waves, for $0 < \omega < \frac{3}{16}$,

$$\phi(x) = 2 \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{16\omega}{3}} \cosh(2x\sqrt{2\omega})}}.$$

Cubic-quintic Schrödinger equation

$$i\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u = -|u|^2 u + |u|^4 u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

$$E = \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|u(t)\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^d)}^4 + \frac{1}{3}\|u(t)\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^d)}^6.$$

↪ Defocusing quintic term: stabilize 2D and 3D solitons (optics, BEC)..?

↪ GWP in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, for $d \leq 3$ (X. Zhang 2006 for $d = 3$).

↪ **Caution:** two notions of orbital stability!

↪ In 1D, explicit solitary waves, for $0 < \omega < \frac{3}{16}$,

$$\phi(x) = 2 \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{16\omega}{3} \cosh(2x\sqrt{2\omega})}}}$$

Cubic-quintic Schrödinger equation

$$i\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u = -|u|^2 u + |u|^4 u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

$$E = \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|u(t)\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^d)}^4 + \frac{1}{3}\|u(t)\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^d)}^6.$$

- ↪ Defocusing quintic term: stabilize 2D and 3D solitons (optics, BEC)..?
- ↪ GWP in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, for $d \leq 3$ (X. Zhang 2006 for $d = 3$).
- ↪ **Caution:** two notions of orbital stability!
- ↪ In 1D, explicit solitary waves, for $0 < \omega < \frac{3}{16}$,

$$\phi(x) = 2 \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{16\omega}{3}} \cosh(2x\sqrt{2\omega})}}.$$

2D cubic-quintic case: small mass dispersion

$$i\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u = -|u|^2 u + |u|^4 u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad u|_{t=0} = u_0.$$

Theorem

Let $d = 2$ and $u_0 \in \Sigma = \{f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2), x \mapsto xu_0(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)\}$. If $\|u_0\|_{L^2} \leq \|Q\|_{L^2}$, then u is asymptotically linear,

$$\exists u_{\pm} \in \Sigma, \quad \|e^{-i\frac{t}{2}\Delta} u(t) - u_{\pm}\|_{\Sigma} \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} 0.$$

\rightsquigarrow Not surprising if $\|u_0\|_{L^2} < \|Q\|_{L^2}$: [X. Cheng 2019](#), in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

\rightsquigarrow **Hint**: virial computation.

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 |u(t, x)|^2 dx = 2E(u) + \frac{4}{3} \|u(t)\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^2)}^6 \geq 2E(u_0) \geq \frac{2}{3} \|u_0\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^2)}^6.$$

2D cubic-quintic case: small mass dispersion

$$i\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u = -|u|^2 u + |u|^4 u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad u|_{t=0} = u_0.$$

Theorem

Let $d = 2$ and $u_0 \in \Sigma = \{f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2), x \mapsto xu_0(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)\}$. If $\|u_0\|_{L^2} \leq \|Q\|_{L^2}$, then u is asymptotically linear,

$$\exists u_{\pm} \in \Sigma, \quad \|e^{-i\frac{t}{2}\Delta} u(t) - u_{\pm}\|_{\Sigma} \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} 0.$$

\rightsquigarrow Not surprising if $\|u_0\|_{L^2} < \|Q\|_{L^2}$: [X. Cheng 2019](#), in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

\rightsquigarrow **Hint:** virial computation.

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 |u(t, x)|^2 dx = 2E(u) + \frac{4}{3} \|u(t)\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^2)}^6 \geq 2E(u_0) \geq \frac{2}{3} \|u_0\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^2)}^6.$$

Dispersion: Strichartz estimates

2D admissible pairs: $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{2}{r} = 1$, $2 < q \leq \infty$.

$$\|e^{i\frac{t}{2}\Delta} f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}; L^r(\mathbb{R}^2))} \leq C_q \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)},$$
$$\left\| \int_0^t e^{i\frac{t-s}{2}\Delta} F(s) ds \right\|_{L^{q_1}(I; L^{r_1}(\mathbb{R}^2))} \leq C_{q_1, q_2} \|F\|_{L^{q_2'}(I; L^{r_2'}(\mathbb{R}^2))}.$$

LWP & GWP: we know that $u \in L_{loc}^q(\mathbb{R}; L^r(\mathbb{R}^2))$.

Asymptotically linear behavior: prove $u \in L^q(\mathbb{R}; L^r(\mathbb{R}^2))$.

\rightsquigarrow Classically obtained thanks to:

- Bootstrap argument (small data).
- A priori estimates:
 - Pseudo-conformal conservation law.
 - Morawetz estimates.

Dispersion: Strichartz estimates

2D admissible pairs: $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{2}{r} = 1$, $2 < q \leq \infty$.

$$\|e^{i\frac{t}{2}\Delta} f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}; L^r(\mathbb{R}^2))} \leq C_q \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)},$$

$$\left\| \int_0^t e^{i\frac{t-s}{2}\Delta} F(s) ds \right\|_{L^{q_1}(I; L^{r_1}(\mathbb{R}^2))} \leq C_{q_1, q_2} \|F\|_{L^{q_2'}(I; L^{r_2'}(\mathbb{R}^2))}.$$

LWP & GWP: we know that $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^q(\mathbb{R}; L^r(\mathbb{R}^2))$.

Asymptotically linear behavior: prove $u \in L^q(\mathbb{R}; L^r(\mathbb{R}^2))$.

\rightsquigarrow Classically obtained thanks to:

- Bootstrap argument (small data).
- A priori estimates:
 - Pseudo-conformal conservation law.
 - Morawetz estimates.

Dispersion: Strichartz estimates

2D admissible pairs: $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{2}{r} = 1$, $2 < q \leq \infty$.

$$\|e^{i\frac{t}{2}\Delta} f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}; L^r(\mathbb{R}^2))} \leq C_q \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)},$$
$$\left\| \int_0^t e^{i\frac{t-s}{2}\Delta} F(s) ds \right\|_{L^{q_1}(I; L^{r_1}(\mathbb{R}^2))} \leq C_{q_1, q_2} \|F\|_{L^{q_2'}(I; L^{r_2'}(\mathbb{R}^2))}.$$

LWP & GWP: we know that $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^q(\mathbb{R}; L^r(\mathbb{R}^2))$.

Asymptotically linear behavior: prove $u \in L^q(\mathbb{R}; L^r(\mathbb{R}^2))$.

\rightsquigarrow Classically obtained thanks to:

- Bootstrap argument (small data).
- A priori estimates:
 - Pseudo-conformal conservation law.
 - Morawetz estimates.

Dispersion: Strichartz estimates

2D admissible pairs: $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{2}{r} = 1$, $2 < q \leq \infty$.

$$\|e^{i\frac{t}{2}\Delta} f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}; L^r(\mathbb{R}^2))} \leq C_q \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)},$$
$$\left\| \int_0^t e^{i\frac{t-s}{2}\Delta} F(s) ds \right\|_{L^{q_1}(I; L^{r_1}(\mathbb{R}^2))} \leq C_{q_1, q_2} \|F\|_{L^{q_2'}(I; L^{r_2'}(\mathbb{R}^2))}.$$

LWP & GWP: we know that $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^q(\mathbb{R}; L^r(\mathbb{R}^2))$.

Asymptotically linear behavior: prove $u \in L^q(\mathbb{R}; L^r(\mathbb{R}^2))$.

\rightsquigarrow Classically obtained thanks to:

- Bootstrap argument (small data).
- A priori estimates:
 - Pseudo-conformal conservation law.
 - Morawetz estimates.

Pseudo-conformal conservation law

$$i \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta u = -|u|^2 u + |u|^4 u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad u|_{t=0} = u_0.$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \|(x + it\nabla)u\|_{L^2}^2}_{=: J(t)u} - \frac{t^2}{2} \|u\|_{L^4}^4 + \frac{t^2}{3} \|u\|_{L^6}^6 \right) = -\frac{2t}{3} \|u\|_{L^6}^6.$$

Standard factorization: $J(t)u = it e^{i|x|^2/(2t)} \nabla \left(u e^{-i|x|^2/(2t)} \right)$.

Sharp Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality:

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^2)}^4 \leq \frac{1}{t^2} \left(\frac{\|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}}{\|Q\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}} \right)^2 \|(x + it\nabla)u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2.$$

\rightsquigarrow If $\|u_0\|_{L^2}^2 < \|Q\|_{L^2}^2$, then $Ju \in L_t^\infty L_x^2$: OK.

Pseudo-conformal conservation law

$$i \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta u = -|u|^2 u + |u|^4 u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad u|_{t=0} = u_0.$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \| (x + it\nabla) u \|_{L^2}^2}_{=: J(t)u} - \frac{t^2}{2} \| u \|_{L^4}^4 + \frac{t^2}{3} \| u \|_{L^6}^6 \right) = -\frac{2t}{3} \| u \|_{L^6}^6.$$

Standard factorization: $J(t)u = it e^{i|x|^2/(2t)} \nabla \left(u e^{-i|x|^2/(2t)} \right)$.

Sharp Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality:

$$\| u(t) \|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^2)}^4 \leq \frac{1}{t^2} \left(\frac{\| u(t) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}}{\| Q \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}} \right)^2 \| (x + it\nabla) u \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2.$$

\rightsquigarrow If $\| u_0 \|_{L^2}^2 < \| Q \|_{L^2}^2$, then $Ju \in L_t^\infty L_x^2$: OK.

Pseudo-conformal conservation law

$$i \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta u = -|u|^2 u + |u|^4 u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad u|_{t=0} = u_0.$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \| (x + it\nabla) u \|_{L^2}^2}_{=: J(t)u} - \frac{t^2}{2} \| u \|_{L^4}^4 + \frac{t^2}{3} \| u \|_{L^6}^6 \right) = -\frac{2t}{3} \| u \|_{L^6}^6.$$

Standard factorization: $J(t)u = it e^{i|x|^2/(2t)} \nabla \left(u e^{-i|x|^2/(2t)} \right)$.

Sharp Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality:

$$\| u(t) \|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^2)}^4 \leq \frac{1}{t^2} \left(\frac{\| u(t) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}}{\| Q \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}} \right)^2 \| (x + it\nabla) u \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2.$$

\rightsquigarrow If $\| u_0 \|_{L^2}^2 < \| Q \|_{L^2}^2$, then $Ju \in L_t^\infty L_x^2$: OK.

$$i\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u = -|u|^2 u + |u|^4 u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad u|_{t=0} = u_0.$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{2} \|(x + it\nabla)u\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{t^2}{2} \|u\|_{L^4}^4 + \frac{t^2}{3} \|u\|_{L^6}^6 \right) = -\frac{2t}{3} \|u\|_{L^6}^6.$$

If $\|u_0\|_{L^2} = \|Q\|_{L^2}$, we just have

$$\|(x + it\nabla)u\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{t^2}{2} \|u\|_{L^4}^4 + \frac{t^2}{3} \|u\|_{L^6}^6 \geq \frac{t^2}{3} \|u\|_{L^6}^6.$$

We infer $\|u\|_{L^6}^6 \lesssim \frac{1}{1+t^2}$: we **cannot** assert $u \in L_t^3 L_x^6$ (Strichartz).

Remark

$$i\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u = -|u|^2 u + |u|^3 u : \|u\|_{L^5}^5 \lesssim \frac{1}{1+t^2} \rightsquigarrow u \in L_t^{10/3} L_x^5!$$

\rightsquigarrow Way out: conformal transform and rigidity properties for the mass-critical blow-up.

$$i\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u = -|u|^2 u + |u|^4 u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad u|_{t=0} = u_0.$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{2} \|(x + it\nabla)u\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{t^2}{2} \|u\|_{L^4}^4 + \frac{t^2}{3} \|u\|_{L^6}^6 \right) = -\frac{2t}{3} \|u\|_{L^6}^6.$$

If $\|u_0\|_{L^2} = \|Q\|_{L^2}$, we just have

$$\|(x + it\nabla)u\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{t^2}{2} \|u\|_{L^4}^4 + \frac{t^2}{3} \|u\|_{L^6}^6 \geq \frac{t^2}{3} \|u\|_{L^6}^6.$$

We infer $\|u\|_{L^6}^6 \lesssim \frac{1}{1+t^2}$: we **cannot** assert $u \in L_t^3 L_x^6$ (Strichartz).

Remark

$$i\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u = -|u|^2 u + |u|^3 u : \|u\|_{L^5}^5 \lesssim \frac{1}{1+t^2} \rightsquigarrow u \in L_t^{10/3} L_x^5!$$

\rightsquigarrow Way out: conformal transform and rigidity properties for the mass-critical blow-up.

$$i\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u = -|u|^2 u + |u|^4 u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad u|_{t=0} = u_0.$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{2} \|(x + it\nabla)u\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{t^2}{2} \|u\|_{L^4}^4 + \frac{t^2}{3} \|u\|_{L^6}^6 \right) = -\frac{2t}{3} \|u\|_{L^6}^6.$$

If $\|u_0\|_{L^2} = \|Q\|_{L^2}$, we just have

$$\|(x + it\nabla)u\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{t^2}{2} \|u\|_{L^4}^4 + \frac{t^2}{3} \|u\|_{L^6}^6 \geq \frac{t^2}{3} \|u\|_{L^6}^6.$$

We infer $\|u\|_{L^6}^6 \lesssim \frac{1}{1+t^2}$: we **cannot** assert $u \in L_t^3 L_x^6$ (Strichartz).

Remark

$$i\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u = -|u|^2 u + |u|^3 u : \|u\|_{L^5}^5 \lesssim \frac{1}{1+t^2} \rightsquigarrow u \in L_t^{10/3} L_x^5!$$

\rightsquigarrow Way out: conformal transform and rigidity properties for the mass-critical blow-up.

Conformal transform

$$\psi(t, x) = \frac{1}{t} u \left(\frac{-1}{t}, \frac{x}{t} \right) e^{i|x|^2/(2t)}, \quad t \neq 0.$$

Problem at infinite time for u = problem at $t = 0$ for ψ .

$$i\partial_t \psi + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \psi = -|\psi|^2 \psi + t^2 |\psi|^4 \psi.$$

By assumption, $\|\psi(t)\|_{L^2} = \|u_0\|_{L^2} = \|Q\|_{L^2}$. It suffices to show $Ju \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_t; L^2(\mathbb{R}^2))$ (stronger property than what we need). We argue by contradiction: Suppose on the contrary that

$$\|J(t_n)u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \infty \quad \text{for some } t_n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This is equivalent to:

$$\|\nabla \psi(\tau_n, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} = \left\| J \left(\frac{-1}{\tau_n} \right) u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \infty, \quad \tau_n := \frac{-1}{t_n} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0^-.$$

that is, finite time blow-up, *with the mass of the ground state*.

Conformal transform

$$\psi(t, x) = \frac{1}{t} u \left(\frac{-1}{t}, \frac{x}{t} \right) e^{i|x|^2/(2t)}, \quad t \neq 0.$$

Problem at infinite time for u = problem at $t = 0$ for ψ .

$$i\partial_t \psi + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \psi = -|\psi|^2 \psi + t^2 |\psi|^4 \psi.$$

By assumption, $\|\psi(t)\|_{L^2} = \|u_0\|_{L^2} = \|Q\|_{L^2}$. It suffices to show $Ju \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_t; L^2(\mathbb{R}^2))$ (stronger property than what we need). We argue by contradiction: Suppose on the contrary that

$$\|J(t_n)u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \infty \quad \text{for some } t_n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This is equivalent to:

$$\|\nabla \psi(\tau_n, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} = \left\| J \left(\frac{-1}{\tau_n} \right) u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \infty, \quad \tau_n := \frac{-1}{t_n} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0^-.$$

that is, finite time blow-up, *with the mass of the ground state*.

Conformal transform

$$\psi(t, x) = \frac{1}{t} u \left(\frac{-1}{t}, \frac{x}{t} \right) e^{i|x|^2/(2t)}, \quad t \neq 0.$$

Problem at infinite time for u = problem at $t = 0$ for ψ .

$$i\partial_t \psi + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \psi = -|\psi|^2 \psi + t^2 |\psi|^4 \psi.$$

By assumption, $\|\psi(t)\|_{L^2} = \|u_0\|_{L^2} = \|Q\|_{L^2}$. It suffices to show $Ju \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_t; L^2(\mathbb{R}^2))$ (stronger property than what we need). We argue by contradiction: Suppose on the contrary that

$$\|J(t_n)u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \infty \quad \text{for some } t_n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This is equivalent to:

$$\|\nabla \psi(\tau_n, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} = \left\| J \left(\frac{-1}{\tau_n} \right) u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \infty, \quad \tau_n := \frac{-1}{t_n} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0^-.$$

that is, finite time blow-up, *with the mass of the ground state*.

Conformal transform

$$\psi(t, x) = \frac{1}{t} u \left(\frac{-1}{t}, \frac{x}{t} \right) e^{i|x|^2/(2t)}, \quad t \neq 0.$$

Problem at infinite time for u = problem at $t = 0$ for ψ .

$$i\partial_t \psi + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \psi = -|\psi|^2 \psi + t^2 |\psi|^4 \psi.$$

By assumption, $\|\psi(t)\|_{L^2} = \|u_0\|_{L^2} = \|Q\|_{L^2}$. It suffices to show $Ju \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_t; L^2(\mathbb{R}^2))$ (stronger property than what we need). We argue by contradiction: Suppose on the contrary that

$$\|J(t_n)u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \infty \quad \text{for some } t_n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This is equivalent to:

$$\|\nabla \psi(\tau_n, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} = \left\| J \left(\frac{-1}{\tau_n} \right) u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \infty, \quad \tau_n := \frac{-1}{t_n} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0^-.$$

that is, finite time blow-up, *with the mass of the ground state*.

Based on the approach of [Keraani-Hmidi](#) (rewriting [Merle's](#) proof),

$$\rho_n e^{i\theta_n} \psi(\tau_n, \rho_n x + x_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} Q(x) \text{ in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

We then show:

- $(x_n)_n$ is bounded, because $\int |x|^2 |\psi(t, x)|^2 dx \lesssim 1$, hence $x_{n'} \rightarrow \underline{x}$,
- A priori estimate (based on virial and [V. Banica's](#) trick):
 $\int |x - \underline{x}|^2 |\psi(t, x)|^2 dx \lesssim t^2$,
- Hence (uncertainty principle) $\|\nabla \psi(t)\|_{L^2} \gtrsim \frac{1}{t}$.

Therefore, $|\rho_n| \lesssim |\tau_n|$, and we obtain a contradiction with the a priori property $\|u(t)\|_{L^6}^6 \lesssim \frac{1}{1+t^2}$.

Stability of solitary waves: two notions

$$-\frac{1}{2}\Delta\phi + \omega\phi - |\phi|^2\phi + |\phi|^4\phi = 0, \quad \phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}.$$

Definition (First notion)

Action: $S(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla\phi\|_{L^2}^2 + \omega\|\phi\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|\phi\|_{L^4}^4 + \frac{1}{3}\|\phi\|_{L^6}^6 = E + \omega M.$

Ground state: $S(\phi) \leq S(\varphi)$ for any solution φ .

The standing wave $e^{i\omega t}\phi(x)$ is **orbitally stable** in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, if $\forall \varepsilon > 0$,
 $\exists \delta > 0$,

$$\|u_0 - \phi\|_{H^1} \leq \delta \implies \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \inf_{\substack{\theta \in \mathbb{R} \\ y \in \mathbb{R}^d}} \left\| u(t, \cdot) - e^{i\theta}\phi(\cdot - y) \right\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Otherwise, the standing wave is said to be unstable.

Galilean invariance: $\tilde{u}(t, x) = e^{iv \cdot x - i|v|^2 t/2} e^{i\omega t} \phi(x - vt)$ solution, $\forall v \in \mathbb{R}^d$.
 $\|u(0) - \tilde{u}(0)\|_{H^1} \lesssim |v|$, but $\|u(t) - \tilde{u}(t)\|_{H^1} \geq \|\phi\|_{H^1}$ for $t \gg 1$.

Stability of solitary waves: two notions

Definition (Second notion)

For $\rho > 0$, denote $\Gamma(\rho) = \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d), M(u) = \rho\}$, and assume that the minimization problem

$$(1) \quad u \in \Gamma(\rho), \quad E(u) = \inf\{E(v) ; v \in \Gamma(\rho)\}$$

has a solution. Denote by $\mathcal{E}(\rho)$ the set of such solutions. We say that solitary waves are $\mathcal{E}(\rho)$ -orbitally stable, if $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$,

$$\inf_{\phi \in \mathcal{E}(\rho)} \|u_0 - \phi\|_{H^1} \leq \delta \implies \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \inf_{\phi \in \mathcal{E}(\rho)} \|u(t) - \phi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Lagrange: an element of $\mathcal{E}(\rho)$ solves

$$-\frac{1}{2}\Delta\phi + \omega\phi - |\phi|^2\phi + |\phi|^4\phi = 0, \quad \phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$$

for some $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$.

Stability of solitary waves

For a given ω , uniqueness results are available for a large class of nonlinearities (positive, radial solutions).

However, it is not known in general:

- Does a ground state belong to $\mathcal{E}(\rho)$, where ρ denotes its mass? In particular, it is not even clear that the first notion is stronger than the second.
- If solitary waves are $\mathcal{E}(\rho)$ -orbitally stable, and if the ground state belongs to $\mathcal{E}(\rho)$ but is unstable, what is the nature of the instability?

When the nonlinearity is **homogeneous**, the two notions are known to be equivalent, and instability well understood (blow-up or dispersion).

Stability of solitary waves

For a given ω , uniqueness results are available for a large class of nonlinearities (positive, radial solutions).

However, it is not known in general:

- Does a ground state belong to $\mathcal{E}(\rho)$, where ρ denotes its mass? In particular, it is not even clear that the first notion is stronger than the second.
- If solitary waves are $\mathcal{E}(\rho)$ -orbitally stable, and if the ground state belongs to $\mathcal{E}(\rho)$ but is unstable, what is the nature of the instability?

When the nonlinearity is **homogeneous**, the two notions are known to be equivalent, and instability well understood (blow-up or dispersion).

Two methods of proof:

- **Cazenave-Lions 1982**: based on concentration-compactness property. **Second notion.**
- **Grillakis-Shatah-Strauss 1987**, after **M. Weinstein** : coercivity of the action. **First notion.** Typically, up to spectral assumptions (of the linearized operator about the ground state),
 - If $\frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \|\phi\|_{L^2}^2 > 0$, then orbital stability holds.
 - If $\frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \|\phi\|_{L^2}^2 < 0$, then instability holds.

Theorem

Let $d = 2$. For all $\omega \in]0, \frac{3}{16}[$, \exists solution $u(t, x) = e^{i\omega t} \phi(x)$.

- 1 For any $M > \|Q\|_{L^2}^2$, \exists a ground state such that $\|\phi\|_{L^2}^2 = M$.
- 2 The ground state solution is unique, up to translation and multiplication by $e^{i\theta}$, for constant $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remark

In 3D, existence for the same range of ω , minimal mass not explicit: [Killip, Oh, Pocovnicu, Viřan 2017](#).

Ground state

$$-\frac{1}{2}\Delta\phi - |\phi|^2\phi + |\phi|^4\phi + \omega\phi = 0.$$

\rightsquigarrow Pohozaev: necessarily, $\omega > 0$.

Berestycki-Gallouët-Kavian 1983: $F(s) = \frac{1}{4}s^4 - \frac{1}{6}s^6$.

Existence+exponential decay for $0 < \omega < \omega_*$,

$$\omega_* = \sup \left\{ \omega > 0; \quad \frac{\omega}{2}s^2 - F(s) < 0 \text{ for some } s > 0 \right\}.$$

Direct computation: $\omega_* = 3/16$.

Uniqueness of positive radial ground state: J. Jang 2010.

A consequence of Pohozaev:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\phi|^6 = \frac{3(\gamma - 1)}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla\phi|^2, \quad \gamma := \frac{\|\phi\|_{L^4}^4}{\|\nabla\phi\|_{L^2}^2}.$$

$\rightsquigarrow \gamma > 1$, hence (sharp Gagliardo-nirenberg inequality) $\|\phi\|_{L^4} > \|\phi\|_{L^2}$.

$$-\frac{1}{2}\Delta\phi - |\phi|^2\phi + |\phi|^4\phi + \omega\phi = 0.$$

\rightsquigarrow Pohozaev: necessarily, $\omega > 0$.

Berestycki-Gallouët-Kavian 1983: $F(s) = \frac{1}{4}s^4 - \frac{1}{6}s^6$.

Existence+exponential decay for $0 < \omega < \omega_*$,

$$\omega^* = \sup \left\{ \omega > 0; \frac{\omega}{2}s^2 - F(s) < 0 \text{ for some } s > 0 \right\}.$$

Direct computation: $\omega_* = 3/16$.

Uniqueness of positive radial ground state: J. Jang 2010.

A consequence of Pohozaev:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\phi|^6 = \frac{3(\gamma - 1)}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla\phi|^2, \quad \gamma := \frac{\|\phi\|_{L^4}^4}{\|\nabla\phi\|_{L^2}^2}.$$

$\rightsquigarrow \gamma > 1$, hence (sharp Gagliardo-nirenberg inequality) $\|\phi\|_{L^4} > \|\phi\|_{L^2}$.

$$-\frac{1}{2}\Delta\phi - |\phi|^2\phi + |\phi|^4\phi + \omega\phi = 0.$$

\rightsquigarrow Pohozaev: necessarily, $\omega > 0$.

Berestycki-Gallouët-Kavian 1983: $F(s) = \frac{1}{4}s^4 - \frac{1}{6}s^6$.

Existence+exponential decay for $0 < \omega < \omega_*$,

$$\omega^* = \sup \left\{ \omega > 0; \quad \frac{\omega}{2}s^2 - F(s) < 0 \text{ for some } s > 0 \right\}.$$

Direct computation: $\omega_* = 3/16$.

Uniqueness of positive radial ground state: J. Jang 2010.

A consequence of Pohozaev:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\phi|^6 = \frac{3(\gamma - 1)}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla\phi|^2, \quad \gamma := \frac{\|\phi\|_{L^4}^4}{\|\nabla\phi\|_{L^2}^2}.$$

$\rightsquigarrow \gamma > 1$, hence (sharp Gagliardo-nirenberg inequality) $\|\phi\|_{L^4} > \|\phi\|_{L^2}$.

$$-\frac{1}{2}\Delta\phi - |\phi|^2\phi + |\phi|^4\phi + \omega\phi = 0.$$

\rightsquigarrow Pohozaev: necessarily, $\omega > 0$.

Berestycki-Gallouët-Kavian 1983: $F(s) = \frac{1}{4}s^4 - \frac{1}{6}s^6$.

Existence+exponential decay for $0 < \omega < \omega_*$,

$$\omega^* = \sup \left\{ \omega > 0; \frac{\omega}{2}s^2 - F(s) < 0 \text{ for some } s > 0 \right\}.$$

Direct computation: $\omega_* = 3/16$.

Uniqueness of positive radial ground state: J. Jang 2010.

A consequence of Pohozaev:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\phi|^6 = \frac{3(\gamma - 1)}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla\phi|^2, \quad \gamma := \frac{\|\phi\|_{L^4}^4}{\|\nabla\phi\|_{L^2}^2}.$$

$\rightsquigarrow \gamma > 1$, hence (sharp Gagliardo-nirenberg inequality) $\|\phi\|_{L^4} > \|\phi\|_{L^2}^2$.

$$-\frac{1}{2}\Delta\phi - |\phi|^2\phi + |\phi|^4\phi + \omega\phi = 0.$$

\rightsquigarrow Pohozaev: necessarily, $\omega > 0$.

Berestycki-Gallouët-Kavian 1983: $F(s) = \frac{1}{4}s^4 - \frac{1}{6}s^6$.

Existence+exponential decay for $0 < \omega < \omega_*$,

$$\omega^* = \sup \left\{ \omega > 0; \quad \frac{\omega}{2}s^2 - F(s) < 0 \text{ for some } s > 0 \right\}.$$

Direct computation: $\omega_* = 3/16$.

Uniqueness of positive radial ground state: J. Jang 2010.

A consequence of Pohozaev:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\phi|^6 = \frac{3(\gamma - 1)}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla\phi|^2, \quad \gamma := \frac{\|\phi\|_{L^4}^4}{\|\nabla\phi\|_{L^2}^2}.$$

$\rightsquigarrow \gamma > 1$, hence (sharp Gagliardo-nirenberg inequality) $\|\phi\|_{L^2} > \|Q\|_{L^2}$.

Asymptotic $\omega \rightarrow 0$

To prove that $\|\phi_\omega\|_{L^2} - \|Q\|_{L^2} > 0$ is arbitrarily small, let

$$\psi_\omega(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega}} \phi_\omega\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{\omega}}\right).$$

Regular limit $\omega \rightarrow 0$ in terms of ψ :

$$-\frac{1}{2}\Delta\psi_\omega + \psi_\omega - \psi_\omega^3 + \omega\psi_\omega^5 = 0,$$

One can check:

- $\omega \mapsto \phi_\omega$ is analytic.
- $\psi_\omega \rightarrow Q$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ as $\omega \rightarrow 0$.
- $\|\phi_\omega\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} = \|\psi_\omega\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}$.

Remark

As $\omega \rightarrow 3/16$, $\|\phi_\omega\|_{L^2} \rightarrow \infty$: otherwise, bounded in H^1 (Pohozaev) + radial + definition of ω_* $\implies \|\phi_\omega\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0$.

Orbital stability

In 1D, orbital stability of ground states (first notion): [Ohta 1995](#), thanks to an explicit formula derived by [Iliev & Kirchev 1993](#).

In 2D, for $\rho > \|Q\|_{L^2}^2$, solitary wave are $\mathcal{E}(\rho)$ - orbitally stable. First,

$$\inf \{E(u); u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2), M(u) = \rho\} < 0.$$

$$u_\lambda(x) = \lambda u(\lambda x) : \quad E(u_\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 - \|u\|_{L^4}^4 + \frac{2}{3} \lambda^2 \|u\|_{L^6}^6 \right).$$

Pick $u \in H^1$ so that $\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 - \|u\|_{L^4}^4 < 0$, e.g. $u = \left(\frac{\rho}{M(Q)}\right)^{1/2} Q$.

Then, use scaling in space to rule out dichotomy in concentration compactness.

Orbital stability

In 1D, orbital stability of ground states (first notion): [Ohta 1995](#), thanks to an explicit formula derived by [Iliev & Kirchev 1993](#).

In 2D, for $\rho > \|Q\|_{L^2}^2$, solitary wave are $\mathcal{E}(\rho)$ - orbitally stable. First,

$$\inf \{E(u); u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2), M(u) = \rho\} < 0.$$

$$u_\lambda(x) = \lambda u(\lambda x) : E(u_\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 - \|u\|_{L^4}^4 + \frac{2}{3} \lambda^2 \|u\|_{L^6}^6 \right).$$

Pick $u \in H^1$ so that $\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 - \|u\|_{L^4}^4 < 0$, e.g. $u = \left(\frac{\rho}{M(Q)}\right)^{1/2} Q$.
Then, use scaling in space to rule out dichotomy in concentration compactness.

Orbital stability

In 1D, orbital stability of ground states (first notion): [Ohta 1995](#), thanks to an explicit formula derived by [Iliev & Kirchev 1993](#).

In 2D, for $\rho > \|Q\|_{L^2}^2$, solitary wave are $\mathcal{E}(\rho)$ - orbitally stable. First,

$$\inf \{E(u); u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2), M(u) = \rho\} < 0.$$

$$u_\lambda(x) = \lambda u(\lambda x) : \quad E(u_\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 - \|u\|_{L^4}^4 + \frac{2}{3} \lambda^2 \|u\|_{L^6}^6 \right).$$

Pick $u \in H^1$ so that $\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 - \|u\|_{L^4}^4 < 0$, e.g. $u = \left(\frac{\rho}{M(Q)}\right)^{1/2} Q$.

Then, use scaling in space to rule out dichotomy in concentration compactness.

Orbital stability

In 1D, orbital stability of ground states (first notion): [Ohta 1995](#), thanks to an explicit formula derived by [Iliev & Kirchev 1993](#).

In 2D, for $\rho > \|Q\|_{L^2}^2$, solitary wave are $\mathcal{E}(\rho)$ - orbitally stable. First,

$$\inf \{E(u); u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2), M(u) = \rho\} < 0.$$

$$u_\lambda(x) = \lambda u(\lambda x) : \quad E(u_\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 - \|u\|_{L^4}^4 + \frac{2}{3} \lambda^2 \|u\|_{L^6}^6 \right).$$

Pick $u \in H^1$ so that $\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 - \|u\|_{L^4}^4 < 0$, e.g. $u = \left(\frac{\rho}{M(Q)}\right)^{1/2} Q$.
Then, use scaling in space to rule out dichotomy in concentration compactness.

Extensions and (more) open questions

- 2D case: it is expected that ground states are orbitally stable (GSS+numerical simulation, see e.g. [Lewin-Rota Nodari 2020](#)).
- 3D case:
 - Solitary wave are $\mathcal{E}(\rho)$ -orbitally stable for ρ sufficiently large.
 - There exists $0 < \omega_0 < \frac{3}{16}$ such that for $0 < \omega < \omega_0$, ϕ_ω is unstable.
 - There exists $\omega_0 \leq \omega_1 < \frac{3}{16}$ such that for all $\omega_1 < \omega < \frac{3}{16}$, ϕ_ω is orbitally stable.
 - Conjecture (from numerics, [Killip, Oh, Pocovnicu, Viřan 2017](#), [Lewin-Rota Nodari 2020](#)): $\omega_0 = \omega_1$.
 - Nature of the instability?
- What if we add an external potential, e.g. harmonic?

$$-\frac{1}{2}\Delta\phi + \frac{|x|^2}{2}\phi - |\phi|^2\phi + |\phi|^4\phi + \omega\phi = 0.$$

$\mathcal{E}(\rho)$ for ρ sufficiently large: OK. Range for ω ? Ground state?