



Emmerging spatial structures drive pathogen evolution in coevolving plant-pathogen systems Julien Papaïx, Jeremy Burdon, Christian Lannou, Peter Thrall



CIRM 2020

12 feb. 2020



Importance of spatial and temporal heterogeneities in environmental conditions in determining the coexistence and evolutionary trajectory of populations and species.

In homogeneous conditions, when species interact, migration rates of one of them affect the heterogeneity of selection on the other:  $\Rightarrow$  the spatial scale at which species interact is important in determining coevolutionary trajectories.

How the geographical structure of coevolution may shape spatial patterns of variation in the coevolving species ?





General considerations

**Stochastic and discrete-time SEI metapopulation model** in which plant and pathogen populations are inter-connected via dispersal of propagules.

The **host is composed by two fixed genotypes** with no mutations between them but the **pathogen evolves gradually** by small steps. Pathogen adaptation on the two host genotypes is constrained by a gaussian trade-off.

Infected plants do not produce seeds anymore.

Genetic interaction between the host and the pathogen impacts **only the infection efficacy**.

Seeds and spores that fail to establish are removed which implicitly imposes a **cost of dispersal**.





**Dispersal:** seeds migrate from population *i* to population *j* with probability  $\mu_{ij}^H$  computed from a Weibull function (~ Multi(nb seeds,  $[\mu_{i1}^H...])$ ),

**Establishment:** seeds get established in population *i* with probability  $\pi_i^H = 1 - \sum_h (S_{ih} + E_{ih} + Iih) / K_i \ (\sim \text{Bin}(\text{nb seeds } i, \pi_i^H)),$ 

**Survival:** S plants die with probability  $d^H$  (~ Bin(nb plants  $i, d^H$ )).



- ◀ ⊑ ▶ ◀ ≣ ▶ ◀ ≣ ▶ ◀ 🖻 ▶ ◀ = ▶



**Dispersal:** seeds migrate from population *i* to population *j* with probability  $\mu_{ij}^{H}$  computed from a Weibull function (~ Multi(nb seeds,  $[\mu_{i1}^{H}...])$ ),

**Establishment:** seeds get established in population *i* with probability  $\pi_i^H = 1 - \sum_h (S_{ih} + E_{ih} + Iih) / K_i \ (\sim Bin(nb seeds i, \pi_i^H)),$ 

**Survival:** S plants die with probability  $d^H$  (~ Bin(nb plants  $i, d^H$ )).



- ◀ ◘ ▶ ◀ ፸ ▶ ◀ ፸ ▶ ◀ ₫ ▶ ◀ □ ▶ .



**Dispersal:** seeds migrate from population *i* to population *j* with probability  $\mu_{ij}^{H}$  computed from a Weibull function (~ Multi(nb seeds, [ $\mu_{i1}^{H}$ ...])),

**Establishment:** seeds get established in population *i* with probability  $\pi_i^H = 1 - \sum_h (S_{ih} + E_{ih} + Iih) / K_i \ (\sim \text{Bin}(\text{nb seeds } i, \pi_i^H)),$ 

**Survival:** S plants die with probability  $d^H$  (~ Bin(nb plants  $i, d^H$ )).



**CIRM 2020** 

- ◀◻▶◀@▶◀콜▶◀콜▶ 볼 ∽੧♡



**Dispersal:** seeds migrate from population *i* to population *j* with probability  $\mu_{ij}^{H}$  computed from a Weibull function (~ Multi(nb seeds,  $[\mu_{i1}^{H}...])$ ),

**Establishment:** seeds get established in population *i* with probability  $\pi_i^H = 1 - \sum_h (S_{ih} + E_{ih} + Iih) / K_i \ (\sim \text{Bin}(\text{nb seeds } i, \pi_i^H)),$ 

**Survival:** S plants die with probability  $d^H$  (~ Bin(nb plants  $i, d^H$ )).





### **Latency:** E plants remain latent during au days (~ Bin(nb E, 1 - $e^{-1/\tau}$ )),

**Reproduction:** I plants produce  $r^P$  spores per day during T days after which they are removed (~ Pois( $r^P$ ), ~ Bin(nb I,  $1 - e^{-1/T}$ )),

**Mutation:** Spores can mutate from genotype p to genotype p' with probability  $m_{pp'}$  (~ Multi(nb spores,  $[m_{p1}...]$ )),

**Dispersal:** Spores migrate from population *i* to population *j* with probability  $\mu_{ij}^P$  computed from a Weibull function (~ Multi(nb spores, [ $\mu_{i1}^P$ ...])),

**Contamination:** Spores contaminate a S plant with probability  $\pi_i^P(x)$ , where x is the proportion of S plants and  $\pi^P(.)$  can take different shapes (~ Bin(nb S  $i, \pi_i^P(x))$ ),

**Infection:** Contaminated plants become infected (E) with a probability  $e_{ph}$ , the infection efficacy (~ Bin(nb S cont,  $e_{ph}$ )).





**Latency:** E plants remain latent during  $\tau$  days ( $\sim$  Bin(nb E,  $1 - e^{-1/\tau}$ )), **Reproduction:** I plants produce  $r^P$  spores per day during T days after which they are removed ( $\sim$  Pois( $r^P$ ),  $\sim$  Bin(nb I,  $1 - e^{-1/\tau}$ )),

**Mutation:** Spores can mutate from genotype p to genotype p' with probability  $m_{pp'}$  (~ Multi(nb spores,  $[m_{p1}...]$ )),

**Dispersal:** Spores migrate from population *i* to population *j* with probability  $\mu_{ij}^P$  computed from a Weibull function (~ Multi(nb spores, [ $\mu_{i1}^P$ ...])),

**Contamination:** Spores contaminate a S plant with probability  $\pi_i^P(x)$ , where x is the proportion of S plants and  $\pi^P(.)$  can take different shapes (~ Bin(nb S  $i, \pi_i^P(x))$ ),

**Infection:** Contaminated plants become infected (E) with a probability  $e_{ph}$ , the infection efficacy (~ Bin(nb S cont,  $e_{ph}$ )).





**Latency:** E plants remain latent during  $\tau$  days (~ Bin(nb E, 1 -  $e^{-1/\tau}$ )), **Reproduction:** I plants produce  $r^P$  spores per day during T days after

which they are removed ( $\sim \text{Pois}(r^P)$ ,  $\sim \text{Bin}(\text{nb I}, 1 - e^{-1/T})$ ),

**Mutation:** Spores can mutate from genotype p to genotype p' with probability  $m_{pp'}$  (~ Multi(nb spores,  $[m_{p1}...]$ )),

**Dispersal:** Spores migrate from population *i* to population *j* with probability  $\mu_{ij}^P$  computed from a Weibull function (~ Multi(nb spores, [ $\mu_{i1}^P$ ...])),

**Contamination:** Spores contaminate a S plant with probability  $\pi_i^P(x)$ , where x is the proportion of S plants and  $\pi^P(.)$  can take different shapes (~ Bin(nb S  $i, \pi_i^P(x))$ ),

**Infection:** Contaminated plants become infected (E) with a probability  $e_{ph}$ , the infection efficacy (~ Bin(nb S cont,  $e_{ph}$ )).





**Latency:** E plants remain latent during  $\tau$  days (~ Bin(nb E, 1 -  $e^{-1/\tau}$ )),

**Reproduction:** I plants produce  $r^P$  spores per day during T days after which they are removed ( $\sim Pois(r^P)$ ,  $\sim Bin(nb I, 1 - e^{-1/T})$ ),

**Mutation:** Spores can mutate from genotype p to genotype p' with probability  $m_{pp'}$  (~ Multi(nb spores,  $[m_{p1}...]$ )),

**Dispersal:** Spores migrate from population *i* to population *j* with probability  $\mu_{ij}^P$  computed from a Weibull function (~ Multi(nb spores,  $[\mu_{i1}^P...])$ ),

**Contamination:** Spores contaminate a S plant with probability  $\pi_i^P(x)$ , where x is the proportion of S plants and  $\pi^P(.)$  can take different shapes (~ Bin(nb S  $i, \pi_i^P(x))$ ),

**Infection:** Contaminated plants become infected (E) with a probability  $e_{ph}$ , the infection efficacy (~ Bin(nb S cont,  $e_{ph}$ )).





**Latency:** E plants remain latent during  $\tau$  days (~ Bin(nb E, 1 -  $e^{-1/\tau}$ )),

**Reproduction:** I plants produce  $r^P$  spores per day during T days after which they are removed ( $\sim Pois(r^P)$ ,  $\sim Bin(nb I, 1 - e^{-1/T})$ ),

**Mutation:** Spores can mutate from genotype p to genotype p' with probability  $m_{pp'}$  (~ Multi(nb spores,  $[m_{p1}...]$ )),

**Dispersal:** Spores migrate from population *i* to population *j* with probability  $\mu_{ij}^P$  computed from a Weibull function (~ Multi(nb spores, [ $\mu_{i1}^P$ ...])),

**Contamination:** Spores contaminate a S plant with probability  $\pi_i^P(x)$ , where x is the proportion of S plants and  $\pi^P(.)$  can take different shapes (~ Bin(nb S  $i, \pi_i^P(x))$ ),

**Infection:** Contaminated plants become infected (E) with a probability  $e_{ph}$ , the infection efficacy (~ Bin(nb S cont,  $e_{ph}$ )).





**Latency:** E plants remain latent during  $\tau$  days (~ Bin(nb E, 1 -  $e^{-1/\tau}$ )),

**Reproduction:** I plants produce  $r^P$  spores per day during T days after which they are removed ( $\sim Pois(r^P)$ ,  $\sim Bin(nb I, 1 - e^{-1/T})$ ),

**Mutation:** Spores can mutate from genotype p to genotype p' with probability  $m_{pp'}$  (~ Multi(nb spores,  $[m_{p1}...]$ )),

**Dispersal:** Spores migrate from population *i* to population *j* with probability  $\mu_{ij}^P$  computed from a Weibull function (~ Multi(nb spores,  $[\mu_{i1}^P...])$ ),

**Contamination:** Spores contaminate a S plant with probability  $\pi_i^P(x)$ , where x is the proportion of S plants and  $\pi^P(.)$  can take different shapes (~ Bin(nb S  $i, \pi_i^P(x))$ ),

**Infection:** Contaminated plants become infected (E) with a probability  $e_{ph}$ , the infection efficacy (~ Bin(nb S cont,  $e_{ph}$ )).









12 feb. 2020



The metapopulation consists of a network of N = 50 populations that covers a total proportion q of the environment.

Populations are located randomly via a homogeneous Poisson point process with surfaces drawn from a log-normal distribution.

Environmental conditions are homogeneous.





#### - ◀ ◘ ▶ ◀ ₫ ▶ ◀ ₫ ▶ ↓ ₫ = ∽ � � �

# Simulation experiment

### Parameters of interest:

- ▶ host and pathogen mean dispersal distances  $(\mu_0^H \text{ and } \mu_0^P)$
- trade-off shape (β)

**CIRM 2020** 

The convergence was checked on a subset of simulations.

The system started with the two host genotypes present in all patches and with the pathogen population composed of a unique genotype with generalist features.

Sensitivity to  $r^P$ , T,  $\tau$ ,  $\pi(.)$ , b and q was assessed with no qualitative consequences.



### Outputs

Host spatial structure

- 1. Global metapopulation synchrony: mean correlation among global and local dynamics.
- 2. Synchrony as a function of distance: spatial spline centred correlogram among local population dynamics.





### Outputs

### Pathogen evolutionary trajectories

- 1. Coexistence among genetic clusters: number of stable genetic clusters that persisted.
- 2. Level of specialisation of genetic clusters: median of the infection efficacy over genotypes and time.
- 3. Efficacy range: (2.5%, 97.5%) quantile interval of the infection efficacy over genotypes and time.





#### Global synchrony in the host metapopulation dynamics





**CIRM 2020** 

12 feb. 2020

#### Global synchrony in the host metapopulation dynamics





**CIRM 2020** 

12 feb. 2020

#### Global synchrony in the host metapopulation dynamics





#### - **↓ □ ▶ ↓ ∄ ▶ ↓ ミ ▶** ↓ ミ → りへ(

12 feb. 2020

Synchrony among host populations as a function of distance





- ◀ ◘ ▶ ◀ 🗗 ▶ ◀ 🖻 ▶ 🔺 🖻 → 곗 ۹ ()~

12 feb. 2020

#### An example







**CIRM 2020** 

12 feb. 2020

#### An example









CIRM 2020

12 feb. 2020

16 / 26

### Results: coexistence among pathogen genetic clusters Global persistence





12 feb. 2020

### Results: coexistence among pathogen genetic clusters Dynamics and spatial repartition





CIRM 2020

12 feb. 2020

18 / 26

### Results: coexistence among pathogen genetic clusters

Dynamics and spatial repartition



19 / 26

**CIRM 2020** 

12 feb. 2020

# Results: coexistence among pathogen genetic clusters

Dynamics and spatial repartition





#### - ◀◻▶◀♬▶◀ॾ▶◀ॾ▶ 둘 ∽੧੧♡

12 feb. 2020

# Results: charateristics of the specialists

#### Effect of pathogen dispersal





#### - **↓ □ ▶ ↓ ∃ ▶ ↓ ∃ ▶ ↓ ∃ →** ∽ **へ** ⊙ **へ** ⊙

12 feb. 2020

# Results: charateristics of the specialists

Effect of host dispersal





#### - ◀◻▶◀♬▶◀ె₽▶◀ె₽ ∽੧♡

12 feb. 2020

### Results: charateristics of the generalist

#### Effect of dispersal on variability





#### · < □ > < ⊡ > < ⊑ > < ⊑ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

12 feb. 2020

# Results: charateristics of the generalist

An example





CIRM 2020

12 feb. 2020

24 / 26



### Key results:

- high level of pathogen diversity can evolve,
- specialisation level depends on dispersal,
- higher host dispersal can lead to higher pathogen specialisation

Spatial structure of the host population and synchrony among host populations explained by host and pathogen dispersal clearly relate to pathogen metapopulation diversity and pathogen specialisation.





### MERCI !



#### **∢□▶∢@▶**∢≧▶∢≧⊁

12 feb. 2020