

# Hamiltonian reduction for affine Grassmannian slices

Joel Kamnitzer

University of Toronto

December 13, 2020

# Affine Grassmannian slices

We study affine Grassmannian slices for a semisimple group  $G$ .

- $Gr = G[t, t^{-1}]/G[t]$ , the affine Grassmannian.
- For any coweight  $\mu$ , a point  $t^\mu \in Gr$
- For  $\lambda$  dominant,

$$Gr^\lambda = G^\vee[[t]]t^\lambda, \quad \overline{Gr}^\lambda = \cup_{\mu \leq \lambda} Gr^\mu$$

- For  $\mu$  dominant,

$$\mathcal{W}_\mu = G_1^\vee[t^{-1}]t^\mu, \quad \overline{\mathcal{W}}_\mu^\lambda = \overline{Gr}^\lambda \cap \mathcal{W}_\mu$$
$$G_1[t^{-1}] = \ker(G[t, t^{-1}] \rightarrow G)$$

- For any coweight  $\mu$ ,  $S^\mu = N_-[t, t^{-1}]t^\mu$

## Example

If  $G = SL_n$ ,  $\lambda = n\omega_1$ ,  $\mu = 0$ , then  $\overline{\mathcal{W}}_\mu^\lambda = \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{sl}_n}$

# Properties of these slices

These results are due to Braverman-Finkelberg-Nakajima and K-Webster-Weekes-Yacobi.

## Theorem

- 1  $\overline{\mathcal{W}}_\mu^\lambda$  is an affine Poisson variety with symplectic singularities, with symplectic leaves  $\mathcal{W}_\mu^\nu$  for  $\mu \leq \nu \leq \lambda$ .
- 2  $T$  acts on  $\overline{\mathcal{W}}_\mu^\lambda$  with fixed point  $t^\mu$  and attracting locus  $\overline{Gr}^\lambda \cap S^\mu$ .
- 3 There is an integrable system  $\Psi : \overline{\mathcal{W}}_\mu^\lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{\rho(\lambda-\mu)}$
- 4  $\overline{\mathcal{W}}_\mu^\lambda$  is the Coulomb branch of a quiver gauge theory.
- 5 The quantization  $\overline{\mathcal{W}}_\mu^\lambda$  is a truncated shifted Yangian.
- 6  $H_{top}(\overline{Gr}^\lambda \cap S^\mu) = V(\lambda)_\mu$ ,  $H_{top}(\Psi^{-1}(0)) = (\mathbb{C}[N] \otimes V(\lambda))_\mu$

If  $\mu$  is not dominant, then  $\overline{\mathcal{W}}_\mu^\lambda$  requires a more complicated definition, due to Bullimore-Dimofte-Gaiotto.

$$\mathcal{W}_\mu = U_1[t^{-1}]T_1[t^{-1}]t^\mu U_{-,1}[t^{-1}] \subset G_1[t, t^{-1}] \quad (1)$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{W}}_\mu^\lambda = \overline{G[t]t^\lambda G[t]} \cap \mathcal{W}_\mu \quad (2)$$

We can even take  $\lambda = 0$  and get

$$\overline{\mathcal{W}}_{-\nu}^0 = \{ \text{based maps } \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow G/B \text{ of degree } \nu \}$$

Simplest case

$$\overline{\mathcal{W}}_{-\alpha_i}^0 = T^*\mathbb{C}^\times \quad Y_{-\alpha_i}^0 = D(\mathbb{C}^\times)$$

## Theorem [BFN, KWWY, Muthiah, Krylov, Zhou]

- 1  $\overline{\mathcal{W}}_\mu^\lambda$  is an affine Poisson variety with symplectic singularities, the symplectic leaves are  $\mathcal{W}_\mu^\nu$  for  $\mu \leq \nu \leq \lambda$ .
- 2  $T$  acts on  $\overline{\mathcal{W}}_\mu^\lambda$  with fixed point  $t^\mu$  and attracting locus  $\overline{Gr}^\lambda \cap S^\mu$ . (If  $V(\lambda)_\mu \neq 0$ .)
- 3 There is an integrable system  $\Psi : \overline{\mathcal{W}}_\mu^\lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{\rho(\lambda-\mu)}$
- 4  $\overline{\mathcal{W}}_\mu^\lambda$  is the Coulomb branch of a quiver gauge theory.
- 5 The quantization  $\overline{\mathcal{W}}_\mu^\lambda$  is a truncated shifted Yangian. (No longer a subquotient of the Yangian)
- 6  $H_{top}(\overline{Gr}^\lambda \cap S^\mu) = V(\lambda)_\mu$ ,  $H_{top}(\Psi^{-1}(0)) = (\mathbb{C}[N] \otimes V(\lambda))_\mu$

# Gelfand-Tsetlin and category $\mathcal{O}$ modules

The integrable system  $\Psi : \overline{\mathcal{W}}_\mu^\lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{\rho(\lambda-\mu)}$  quantizes to a polynomial subalgebra of  $Y_\mu^\lambda$ , which we call the *GT* subalgebra.

## Example

$G = SL_n$ ,  $Y_0^{n\omega_1} = U\mathfrak{sl}_n/Z_+$  which contains the Gelfand-Tsetlin subalgebra generated by all  $Z(U\mathfrak{sl}_k)$ , for  $k = 2, \dots, n-1$ .

We study modules for  $Y_\mu^\lambda$  on which the *GT*-subalgebra acts locally finitely and we study category  $\mathcal{O}$  for  $Y_\mu^\lambda$ . To describe these modules we use the KLRW algebra  $T_\mu^\lambda$  and its quotient  ${}_-T_\mu^\lambda$ .

## Theorem [K-Tingley-Webster-Weekes-Yacobi]

There are equivalences

$$Y_\mu^\lambda\text{-GT mod} \cong T_\mu^\lambda\text{-mod}$$

$$Y_\mu^\lambda\text{-}\mathcal{O}\text{mod} \cong {}_-T_\mu^\lambda\text{-mod}$$

## Corollary

*We can compute the number of simple Gelfand-Tsetlin modules for  $U\mathfrak{sl}_n$ , solving an open problem studied by Futorny, . . . .*

Using *transport de structure*, we obtain get a categorical action on categories of modules for truncated shifted Yagnians.

## Corollary

*For each simple  $\alpha_i$ , we have a functor*

$$E_i : Y_\mu^\lambda\text{-GTmod} \rightarrow Y_{\mu+\alpha_i}^\lambda\text{-GTmod}$$

*categorifying the representation  $\mathbb{C}[N] \otimes V(\lambda)$ .*

## Question

How can we describe these functors without using this equivalence?

# Multiplication and Comultiplication

To relate  $Y_\mu^\lambda$  and  $Y_{\mu+\alpha_i}^\lambda$ , we will use multiplication maps

$$\mathcal{W}_{\mu_1} \times \mathcal{W}_{\mu_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{\mu_1+\mu_2}$$

and comultiplication maps

$$Y_{\mu_1+\mu_2} \rightarrow Y_{\mu_1} \otimes Y_{\mu_2}$$

introduced by Finkelberg-K-Pham-Rybnikov-Weekes.

We also will use a  $\mathbb{G}_a$  action on  $\mathcal{W}_\mu$  defined by  $a \cdot g = x_i(a)g$ .  
This action is Hamiltonian with moment map  $\Phi_i : \mathcal{W}_\mu \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .



## Theorem [K-Pham-Weekes]

- 1 The multiplication gives an isomorphism

$$\overline{W}_{\mu+\alpha_i}^\lambda \times \overline{W}_{-\alpha_i}^0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \Phi_i^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^\times) \subset \overline{W}_\mu^\lambda$$

- 2 There is an isomorphism

$$\overline{W}_\mu^\lambda //_1 \mathbb{G}_a \cong \overline{W}_{\mu+\alpha_i}^\lambda$$

- 3 The comultiplication gives an isomorphism

$$Y_{\mu+\alpha_i}^\lambda \otimes Y_{-\alpha_i}^0 \cong Y_\mu^\lambda[\Phi_i^{-1}]$$

Unfortunately, this theorem does not seem to lead to our desired functor, since this isomorphism does not preserve the integrable system.

# Higgs and Coulomb branches

$G$  a complex reductive group,  $V$  a representation of  $G$ .  
Physicists define a gauge theory from  $G$ ,  $V$  and two spaces.

- Higgs branch

$$T^*V // G = \mu^{-1}(0)/G$$

- Coulomb branch, defined by Braverman-Finkelberg-Nakajima

$$M_C(G, V) = \text{Spec } H_* (\text{Maps} (D \cup_{D^\times} D, [V/G]))$$

We also get a quantization  $\mathcal{A}(G, V)$  of the Coulomb branch by taking  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -equivariant homology.

# Quiver gauge theories

Fix a semisimple group  $G_Q$  and  $\lambda, \mu$  as before.

Write  $\lambda - \mu = \sum_i v_i \alpha_i$  and  $\lambda = \sum_i w_i \omega_i$

$$V = \bigoplus_{i \rightarrow j} \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{v_i}, \mathbb{C}^{v_j}) \oplus \bigoplus_i \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{v_i}, \mathbb{C}^{w_i})$$

where the first sum ranges over edges in the Dynkin quiver of  $G_Q$ .  
The Higgs branch  $T^*V // G$  is a Nakajima quiver variety, where  $G = \prod GL_{v_i}$ .

Theorem (B-F-K-Kodera-N-W-W)

*The Coulomb branch for this gauge theory is  $\overline{\mathcal{W}}_\mu^\lambda$  and we have*

$$\mathcal{A}(G, V) \cong Y_\mu^\lambda$$

# Parabolic restriction for Coulomb branches

Fix  $G, V$  as before, and choose any  $\xi : \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow T \subset G$ . Let  $L_\xi$  be the centralizer of the image.

We would like to relate

$$\mathcal{A}(G, V) \text{ and } \mathcal{A}(L_\xi, V^\xi)$$

**Theorem (KWWY)**

*There is a functor*

$$\mathcal{A}(G, V)\text{-GTmod} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(L_\xi, V^\xi)\text{-GTmod}$$

defined by a more complicated version of Hamiltonian reduction.

# Application to affine Grassmannian slices

Choose  $G, V$  as above with  $M_c(G, V) = \overline{W}_\mu^\lambda$ . Choose  $\xi : \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow G = \prod GL_{V_i}$  using the first fundamental coweight for  $GL_{V_i}$ .

$$L_\xi = \prod_{j \neq i} GL_{V_j} \times GL_{V_i-1} \times \mathbb{C}^\times$$

$$M_C(L_\xi, V^\xi) = \overline{W}_{\mu+\alpha_i}^\lambda \times \overline{W}_{-\alpha_i}^0$$

## Theorem

This leads to a functor  $Y_\mu^\lambda\text{-GTmod} \rightarrow Y_{\mu+\alpha_i}^\lambda\text{-GTmod}$  fitting into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_\mu^\lambda\text{-GTmod} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & T_\mu^\lambda\text{-mod} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow E_i \\ Y_{\mu+\alpha_i}^\lambda\text{-GTmod} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & T_{\mu+\alpha_i}^\lambda\text{-mod} \end{array}$$