

Shrinkage estimation of mean for complex multivariate normal distribution with unknown covariance when $p > n$

Yoshiko KONNO

collaborated with Satomi SEITA

Japan Women's University

Video Remote Presentation

Mathematical Methods of Modern Statistics 2

15–19 June 2020

1 Preliminaries : Notation and setup of Problem

- Notation
- Problem
- The Moore-Penrose generalized inverse

2 Some results

2 Remark to the results : difference between real and complex cases

2 Summary of talk and references

- (1) Let $n, p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\min(n, p) \geq 2$.
- (2) For a matrix \mathbf{A} with complex entries, \mathbf{A}^* stands for a complex transpose conjugate of \mathbf{A} .
- (3) A $p \times p$ matrix \mathbf{C} is Hermitian if $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C}^*$. $\mathbf{Herm}^+(p, \mathbb{C})$ stands for the set of all positive definite Hermitian matrices.
- (4) Let \mathbf{Z} , a \mathbb{C}^p -values random vector, follow a multivariate complex normal distribution with a mean vector $\theta \in \mathbb{C}^p$ and a covariance matrix $\Sigma \in \mathbf{Herm}^+(p, \mathbb{C})$, i.e., $\mathbf{Z} \sim \mathbb{C}N_p(\theta, \Sigma)$.
- (5) \mathbf{Z} and \mathbf{S} are independently distributed.
- (6) A $p \times p$ semi-positive definite Hermitian matrix \mathbf{S} (not necessarily nonsingular) follow a complex Wishart distribution with the degrees of freedom n and a scale matrix Σ , i.e., $\mathbf{S} \sim \mathbb{C}W_p(n, \Sigma)$.
- (7) $\hat{\theta} = \hat{\theta}(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{S})$ is an estimator for θ .
- (8) $\mathbb{E}[\cdot]$ stands for the expectation with respect to the joint distribution of (\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{S}) .

- 1 When the covariance matrix Σ is unknown and a sample size n is smaller than the dimension of the mean vector, we consider a problem of estimating the unknown mean vector θ based on observation (\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{S}) under an invariant loss function.
- 2 This setup is a complex analogue of the problem of estimating mean vector of a multivariate real normal distribution, consider by Chételat and Wells (Ann. Statist., 2012).

An invariant loss function and its risk function

(1) A loss function is given by

$$L(\theta, \hat{\theta} | \Sigma) = (\hat{\theta} - \theta)^* \Sigma^{-1} (\hat{\theta} - \theta). \quad (1)$$

(2) The risk function is denoted by $R(\theta, \hat{\theta} | \Sigma) = \mathbb{E}[L(\theta, \hat{\theta} | \Sigma)]$.

Comparison of estimators

An estimator $\hat{\theta}_1$ is better than another estimator $\hat{\theta}_2$ if

$$R(\theta, \hat{\theta}_1 | \Sigma) \leq R(\theta, \hat{\theta}_2 | \Sigma) \quad \text{for } \forall (\theta, \Sigma) \in \mathbb{C}^p \times \text{Herm}^+(p, \mathbb{C}),$$

and

$$R(\theta_0, \hat{\theta}_1 | \Sigma_0) < R(\theta_0, \hat{\theta}_2 | \Sigma_0) \quad \text{for } \exists (\theta_0, \Sigma_0) \in \mathbb{C}^p \times \text{Herm}^+(p, \mathbb{C}).$$

Note that \mathbf{S} is nonsingular if $n \geq p$ and singular if $p > n$. We focus on the situation of $p > n$, i.e., the case that \mathbf{S} is singular. To derive a shrinkage estimator, we use the Moore-Penrose inverse of \mathbf{S} .

Definition of the Moore-Penrose generalized inverse

For an $m \times n$ complex matrix \mathbf{A} , $n \times m$ complex matrix \mathbf{A}^\dagger is Moore-Penrose generalized inverse of \mathbf{S} if following conditions

(i)~(iv) are satisfied:

(i) $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^\dagger\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}$;

(ii) $\mathbf{A}^\dagger\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^\dagger = \mathbf{A}^\dagger$ (reflective condition);

(iii) $(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^\dagger)^* = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^\dagger$ (minimum least squared condition);

(iv) $(\mathbf{A}^\dagger\mathbf{A})^* = \mathbf{A}^\dagger\mathbf{A}$ (minimum norm condition).

Remark For any $m \times n$ matrices \mathbf{A} , Moore-Penrose generalized inverse of \mathbf{A} exists uniquely.

First note that the maximum likelihood estimator of θ is $\hat{\theta}_0 = \mathbf{Z}$ which is minimax with respect to the loss function (1).

Following the idea due to Chételat and Wells (Ann. Statist., 2012), we consider a class of estimators below. We consider the following class of estimators

Baranchik-like estimators

For bounded and differentiable functions $r : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, we define Baranchik-like estimators as

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\theta}_r &= \left(I_p - \frac{r(F)}{F} \mathbf{S}\mathbf{S}^\dagger \right) \mathbf{Z} \\ &= \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{S}^\perp} \mathbf{Z} + \left(1 - \frac{r(F)}{F} \right) \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{Z},\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

where I_p is a p -th identity matrix, $F = \mathbf{Z}^* \mathbf{S}^\dagger \mathbf{Z}$, $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{S}} = \mathbf{S}\mathbf{S}^\dagger$ and $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{S}^\perp} = I_p - \mathbf{S}\mathbf{S}^\dagger$.

Remark Since Σ is positive-definite, Note that $P(F > 0) = 1$.

Remark $P_S = \mathbf{S}\mathbf{S}^\dagger$ and $P_{S^\perp} = I_p - \mathbf{S}\mathbf{S}^\dagger$ are projections to the space spanned by the columns of \mathbf{S} and the orthogonally complementant to its space, respectively.

Theorem 1

Let $\min(n, p) \geq 2$, $n \neq p$. If the function r in (2) satisfies the following conditions

- (i) $0 \leq r \leq \frac{2(\min(n, p) - 1)}{n + p - 2 \min(n, p) + 2}$;
 - (ii) r is nondecreasing;
 - (iii) r' , the derivative of r , is bounded,
- the estimator (2) is minimax.

Idea of Proof.

We proved it almost in the same way as that in Chételat and Wells (Ann. Statist., 2012). There are three ingredients to prove the result:

- 1 Stein's identity for the multivariate complex normal,
- 2 Haff and Stein's identity for nonsingular complex Wishart distribution (see Konno(2009, JMVA)),
- 3 Derivative to the Moore-Penrose inverse.

Example: the James-Stein like estimators

Corollary 1. the James-Stein estimator

Let $p > n \geq 2$ and put $r = \frac{n-1}{p-n+2}$. Then the conditions (i)~(iii) in the main theorem are satisfied.

Then the James-Stein-like estimator is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\theta}_{JSL} &= \left(I_p - \frac{p-1}{(n-p+2)F} \mathbf{SS}^\dagger \right) \mathbf{Z} \\ &= (I_p - \mathbf{SS}^\dagger) \mathbf{Z} + \left(1 - \frac{p-1}{(n-p+2)F} \right) \mathbf{SS}^\dagger \mathbf{Z}\end{aligned}$$

is better than $\hat{\theta}_0$.

Corollary 2. the James-Stein estimator

Let $n > p \geq 2$ and put $r = \frac{p-1}{n-p+2}$. Then the conditions (i)~(iii) in the main theorem are satisfied and the James-Stein estimator is given by

$$\hat{\theta}_{JSL} = \left(I_p - \frac{p-1}{(n-p+2)F} \right) Z; \quad F = Z^* S^{-1} Z, \quad (3)$$

is better than $\hat{\theta}_0$.

Further improvement over the Baranchik-like estimators $\hat{\theta}_r$

For a real number \mathbf{b} , let $\mathbf{b}_+ = \max(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{0})$. Consider the estimator in the following:

$$\hat{\theta}_{r+} = (I_p - \mathbf{S}\mathbf{S}^\dagger)\mathbf{Z} + \left(1 - \frac{r(F)}{F}\right)_+ \mathbf{S}\mathbf{S}^\dagger \mathbf{Z} \quad (4)$$

Theorem 2

Let $\min(n, p) \geq 2$. If

$$R(\theta, \hat{\theta}_r | \Sigma) < \infty \text{ and } P(\hat{\theta}_{r+} \neq \hat{\theta}_r) > 0$$

for $\forall(\theta, \Sigma) \in \mathbb{C}^p \times \mathbf{Herm}^+(\mathbf{p})$, then the estimator $\hat{\theta}_{r+}$ is better than $\hat{\theta}_r$.

Two examples: An improvement over the Baranchik-like estimators $\hat{\theta}_r$

Corollary. 3

When $n > p \geq 2$, the positive-part estimator

$\hat{\theta}_{JS+} = \left(1 - \frac{p-1}{(n-p+2)F}\right)_+ \mathbf{Z}$ is better than the James-Stein estimator $\hat{\theta}_{JS}$.

Corollary. 4

When $p > n \geq 2$, the positive-part estimator

$\hat{\theta}_{JSL+} = (I_p - \mathbf{S}\mathbf{S}^\dagger)\mathbf{Z} + \left(1 - \frac{n-1}{(p-n+2)F}\right)_+ \mathbf{S}\mathbf{S}^\dagger \mathbf{Z}$ is better than the James-Stein-like estimator $\hat{\theta}_{JSL}$.

Real case

Let $\min(n, p) \geq 3$. Let $\mathbf{X} \sim \mathbb{R}N_p(\theta, \Sigma)$ and $\mathbf{S} \sim \mathbb{R}W_p(n, \Sigma)$ independently. If the following three conditions (i) ~ (iii) are satisfied, estimators $\hat{\theta}_r = (I_p - \frac{r(F)}{F} \mathbf{S}\mathbf{S}^\dagger) \mathbf{X}$ are better than $\hat{\theta}_0 = \mathbf{X}$.

(i) $0 < r < \frac{2(\min(n, p) - 2)}{n + p - 2\min(n, p) + 3}$; (ii) r is nondecreasing; (iii) r' is bounded, where $r : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and $F = \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{S}^\dagger \mathbf{X} > 0$.

Complex case

Let $\min(n, p) \geq 2$. Let $\mathbf{Z} \sim \mathbb{C}N_p(\theta, \Sigma)$ and $\mathbf{S} \sim \mathbb{C}W_p(n, \Sigma)$, independently. If the following three conditions (i) ~ (iii) are satisfied, estimators $\hat{\theta}_r = (I_p - \frac{r(F)}{F} \mathbf{S}\mathbf{S}^\dagger) \mathbf{Z}$ are better than $\hat{\theta}_0 = \mathbf{Z}$.

(i) $0 < r < \frac{2(\min(n, p) - 1)}{n + p - 2\min(n, p) + 2}$; (ii) r is nondecreasing; (iii) r' is bounded, where $r : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and $F = \mathbf{Z}^* \mathbf{S}^\dagger \mathbf{Z} > 0$.

Summary of the talk

- 1** We proposed Baranchik-type shrinkage estimators for a complex mean vector of the multivariate complex normal distributions when the sample size n is smaller than the dimension of mean vector p .
- 2** Minimality is proved via using the integration-by-parts formulae, so-called Stein's identity for a complex normal distribution and Haff-Stein's identity for nonsingular complex Wishart distributions
- 3** We proved that the positive-part estimator works well.