

# Lower Bounds for the Perfect Subtree Property at Weakly Compact Cardinals

Sandra Müller

Universität Wien

September 23, 2019

Joint with Yair Hayut

15th International Luminy Workshop in Set Theory



$\kappa$ -Trees and Upper  
Bounds

A First Lower Bound  
and Sealed Trees

Below Woodin cardinals

Non-domestic Mouse  
from the  $\kappa$ -PSP

## Definition

Let  $\kappa$  be a regular cardinal. A tree  $T$  of height  $\kappa$  is called a *normal  $\kappa$ -tree* if

- each level of  $T$  has size  $< \kappa$ ,
- each level has at least one split,
- for every limit ordinal  $\alpha < \kappa$  and every branch up to  $\alpha$  there is at most one least upper bound in  $T$ , and
- for every  $t \in T$  and  $\alpha < \kappa$  above the height of  $t$ , there is some  $t'$  of level  $\alpha$  in  $T$  such that  $t <_T t'$ .

## Definition

Let  $\kappa$  be a regular cardinal. The *Branch Spectrum* of  $\kappa$  is the set

$$\mathfrak{S}_\kappa = \{ |[T]| \mid T \text{ is a normal } \kappa\text{-tree} \}.$$

# The Branch Spectrum

## Definition

Let  $\kappa$  be a regular cardinal. The *Branch Spectrum* of  $\kappa$  is the set

$$\mathfrak{S}_\kappa = \{ |[T]| \mid T \text{ is a normal } \kappa\text{-tree} \}.$$

## Examples

- $\mathfrak{S}_\omega = \{ \aleph_0, 2^{\aleph_0} \}.$

# The Branch Spectrum

## Definition

Let  $\kappa$  be a regular cardinal. The *Branch Spectrum* of  $\kappa$  is the set

$$\mathfrak{S}_\kappa = \{ |[T]| \mid T \text{ is a normal } \kappa\text{-tree} \}.$$

## Examples

- $\mathfrak{S}_\omega = \{ \aleph_0, 2^{\aleph_0} \}$ .
- For  $\kappa > \omega$ , if there are no  $\kappa$ -Kurepa trees, then  $\kappa^+ \notin \mathfrak{S}_\kappa$ .

# The Branch Spectrum

## Definition

Let  $\kappa$  be a regular cardinal. The *Branch Spectrum* of  $\kappa$  is the set

$$\mathfrak{S}_\kappa = \{ |[T]| \mid T \text{ is a normal } \kappa\text{-tree} \}.$$

## Examples

- $\mathfrak{S}_\omega = \{ \aleph_0, 2^{\aleph_0} \}$ .
- For  $\kappa > \omega$ , if there are no  $\kappa$ -Kurepa trees, then  $\kappa^+ \notin \mathfrak{S}_\kappa$ .
- For  $\kappa > \aleph_1$ , if the tree property holds at  $\kappa$ , then  $\min(\mathfrak{S}_\kappa) = \kappa$ .

# Upper Bounds

Let  $\kappa > \aleph_1$ .

Branch Spectrum	Upper bound
$\kappa^+ \notin \mathfrak{S}_\kappa$	inaccessible cardinal



# Upper Bounds

Let  $\kappa > \aleph_1$ .

Branch Spectrum	Upper bound
$\kappa^+ \notin \mathfrak{S}_\kappa$	inaccessible cardinal
$\min(\mathfrak{S}_\kappa) = \kappa$	weakly compact cardinal

# Upper Bounds

Let  $\kappa > \aleph_1$ .

Branch Spectrum	Upper bound
$\kappa^+ \notin \mathfrak{S}_\kappa$	inaccessible cardinal
$\min(\mathfrak{S}_\kappa) = \kappa$	weakly compact cardinal
$\kappa^+ \notin \mathfrak{S}_\kappa$ and $\min(\mathfrak{S}_\kappa) = \kappa$	?

# Upper Bounds

Let  $\kappa > \aleph_1$ .

Branch Spectrum	Upper bound
$\kappa^+ \notin \mathfrak{S}_\kappa$	inaccessible cardinal
$\min(\mathfrak{S}_\kappa) = \kappa$	weakly compact cardinal
$\kappa^+ \notin \mathfrak{S}_\kappa$ and $\min(\mathfrak{S}_\kappa) = \kappa$	?

The following gives an upper bound.

## Proposition

Let  $\kappa$  be  $<\mu$ -supercompact, where  $\mu$  is strongly inaccessible. Then, there is a forcing extension in which  $\kappa$  is weakly compact,  $\mathfrak{S}_\kappa = \{\kappa, \kappa^{++}\}$ .

Proof idea: Consider  $\text{Col}(\kappa, <\mu) \times \text{Add}(\kappa, \mu^+)$ .

# Upper Bounds

Let  $\kappa > \aleph_1$ .

Branch Spectrum	Upper bound
$\kappa^+ \notin \mathfrak{S}_\kappa$	inaccessible cardinal
$\min(\mathfrak{S}_\kappa) = \kappa$	weakly compact cardinal
$\kappa^+ \notin \mathfrak{S}_\kappa$ and $\min(\mathfrak{S}_\kappa) = \kappa$	?

The following gives an upper bound.

## Proposition

Let  $\kappa$  be  $<\mu$ -supercompact, where  $\mu$  is strongly inaccessible. Then, there is a forcing extension in which  $\kappa$  is weakly compact,  $\mathfrak{S}_\kappa = \{\kappa, \kappa^{++}\}$ .

Proof idea: Consider  $\text{Col}(\kappa, <\mu) \times \text{Add}(\kappa, \mu^+)$ .

## Question

Is this optimal?



$\kappa$ -Trees and Upper  
Bounds

A First Lower Bound  
and Sealed Trees

Below Woodin cardinals

Non-domestic Mouse  
from the  $\kappa$ -PSP

# A First Lower Bound and Sealed Trees

If for some weakly compact cardinal  $\kappa$ ,  $\kappa^+ \notin \mathfrak{S}_\kappa$  then  $0^\#$  exists:

# A First Lower Bound and Sealed Trees

If for some weakly compact cardinal  $\kappa$ ,  $\kappa^+ \notin \mathfrak{S}_\kappa$  then  $0^\#$  exists:

Fact (essentially Solovay)

*If  $0^\#$  does not exist then every weakly compact cardinal carries a tree with  $\kappa^+$  many branches.*

# A First Lower Bound and Sealed Trees

If for some weakly compact cardinal  $\kappa$ ,  $\kappa^+ \notin \mathfrak{S}_\kappa$  then  $0^\#$  exists:

Fact (essentially Solovay)

*If  $0^\#$  does not exist then every weakly compact cardinal carries a tree with  $\kappa^+$  many branches.*

The tree actually has the following stronger property.



# A First Lower Bound and Sealed Trees

If for some weakly compact cardinal  $\kappa$ ,  $\kappa^+ \notin \mathfrak{S}_\kappa$  then  $0^\#$  exists:

## Fact (essentially Solovay)

*If  $0^\#$  does not exist then every weakly compact cardinal carries a tree with  $\kappa^+$  many branches.*

The tree actually has the following stronger property.

## Definition

Let  $\kappa$  be a regular cardinal. A normal tree  $T$  of height  $\kappa$  is *strongly sealed* if the set of branches of  $T$  cannot be modified by set forcing that forces  $\text{cf}(\kappa) > \omega$ .

# A First Lower Bound and Sealed Trees

The tree actually has the following stronger property.

## Definition

Let  $\kappa$  be a regular cardinal. A normal tree  $T$  of height  $\kappa$  is *strongly sealed* if the set of branches of  $T$  cannot be modified by set forcing that forces  $\text{cf}(\kappa) > \omega$ .

Strongly sealed trees with  $\kappa$  many branches exist in ZFC: Take  $T \subseteq 2^{<\kappa}$  to be the tree of all  $x$  such that  $\{\alpha \in \text{dom}(x) \mid x(\alpha) = 1\}$  is finite.

# A First Lower Bound and Sealed Trees

The tree actually has the following stronger property.

## Definition

Let  $\kappa$  be a regular cardinal. A normal tree  $T$  of height  $\kappa$  is *strongly sealed* if the set of branches of  $T$  cannot be modified by set forcing that forces  $\text{cf}(\kappa) > \omega$ .

Strongly sealed trees with  $\kappa$  many branches exist in ZFC: Take  $T \subseteq 2^{<\kappa}$  to be the tree of all  $x$  such that  $\{\alpha \in \text{dom}(x) \mid x(\alpha) = 1\}$  is finite.

## Question

How about strongly sealed  $\kappa$ -trees with at least  $\kappa^+$  many branches?



$\kappa$ -Trees and Upper  
Bounds

A First Lower Bound  
and Sealed Trees

Below Woodin cardinals

Non-domestic Mouse  
from the  $\kappa$ -PSP

## Theorem (Hayut, M.)

*Let us assume that there is no inner model with a Woodin cardinal. Then for every regular cardinal  $\kappa$ , there is a strongly sealed  $\kappa$ -tree with exactly  $(\kappa^+)^K$  many branches. In particular, if  $\kappa$  is weakly compact, then there is a strongly sealed tree on  $\kappa$  with  $\kappa^+$  many branches.*

## Theorem (Hayut, M.)

*Let us assume that there is no inner model with a Woodin cardinal. Then for every regular cardinal  $\kappa$ , there is a strongly sealed  $\kappa$ -tree with exactly  $(\kappa^+)^K$  many branches. In particular, if  $\kappa$  is weakly compact, then there is a strongly sealed tree on  $\kappa$  with  $\kappa^+$  many branches.*

Proof idea:

- Construct a  $\kappa$ -tree  $T$  in  $K$  with  $||[T]|| \geq (\kappa^+)^K$ .

# Construction of the Tree

Let  $\mathbb{T}(K_{\kappa^+})$  be the following tree:

# Construction of the Tree

Let  $\mathbb{T}(K_{\kappa^+})$  be the following tree:

- **Nodes:**  $\langle \bar{M}, \bar{x} \rangle$ , where  $\bar{M} = \text{trcl}(\text{Hull}^{K_{\kappa^+}}(\rho \cup \{x\}))$  for some  $\rho < \kappa$ ,  $x \in K_{\kappa^+} \cap {}^\kappa 2$  and  $x$  collapses to  $\bar{x}$ .



# Construction of the Tree

Let  $\mathbb{T}(K_{\kappa^+})$  be the following tree:

- **Nodes:**  $\langle \bar{M}, \bar{x} \rangle$ , where  $\bar{M} = \text{trcl}(\text{Hull}^{K_{\kappa^+}}(\rho \cup \{x\}))$  for some  $\rho < \kappa$ ,  $x \in K_{\kappa^+} \cap {}^\kappa 2$  and  $x$  collapses to  $\bar{x}$ .
- **Tree order:**  $\langle M_0, x_0 \rangle \leq \langle M_1, x_1 \rangle$  if there is some ordinal  $\rho$  such that  $M_0 = \text{trcl}(\text{Hull}^{M_1}(\rho \cup \{x_1\}))$  and  $x_1$  collapses to  $x_0$ .

# Construction of the Tree

Let  $\mathbb{T}(K_{\kappa^+})$  be the following tree:

- **Nodes:**  $\langle \bar{M}, \bar{x} \rangle$ , where  $\bar{M} = \text{trcl}(\text{Hull}^{K_{\kappa^+}}(\rho \cup \{x\}))$  for some  $\rho < \kappa$ ,  $x \in K_{\kappa^+} \cap {}^\kappa 2$  and  $x$  collapses to  $\bar{x}$ .
- **Tree order:**  $\langle M_0, x_0 \rangle \leq \langle M_1, x_1 \rangle$  if there is some ordinal  $\rho$  such that  $M_0 = \text{trcl}(\text{Hull}^{M_1}(\rho \cup \{x_1\}))$  and  $x_1$  collapses to  $x_0$ .

## Claim

$\mathbb{T}(K_{\kappa^+})$  is a tree of height  $\kappa$  with at least  $(\kappa^+)^{\kappa}$  many branches.

## Theorem (Hayut, M.)

*Let us assume that there is no inner model with a Woodin cardinal. Then for every regular cardinal  $\kappa$ , there is a strongly sealed  $\kappa$ -tree with exactly  $(\kappa^+)^K$  many branches. In particular, if  $\kappa$  is weakly compact, then there is a strongly sealed tree on  $\kappa$  with  $\kappa^+$  many branches.*

Proof idea:

- Construct a  $\kappa$ -tree  $T$  in  $K$  with  $||[T]|| \geq (\kappa^+)^K$ .

## Theorem (Hayut, M.)

*Let us assume that there is no inner model with a Woodin cardinal. Then for every regular cardinal  $\kappa$ , there is a strongly sealed  $\kappa$ -tree with exactly  $(\kappa^+)^K$  many branches. In particular, if  $\kappa$  is weakly compact, then there is a strongly sealed tree on  $\kappa$  with  $\kappa^+$  many branches.*

Proof idea:

- Construct a  $\kappa$ -tree  $T$  in  $K$  with  $||T|| \geq (\kappa^+)^K$ .
- Argue that each branch in  $V$  is in fact already in  $K$ , so  $||T|| = (\kappa^+)^K$  (use maximality and universality of  $K$ ).

## Theorem (Hayut, M.)

*Let us assume that there is no inner model with a Woodin cardinal. Then for every regular cardinal  $\kappa$ , there is a strongly sealed  $\kappa$ -tree with exactly  $(\kappa^+)^K$  many branches. In particular, if  $\kappa$  is weakly compact, then there is a strongly sealed tree on  $\kappa$  with  $\kappa^+$  many branches.*

Proof idea:

- Construct a  $\kappa$ -tree  $T$  in  $K$  with  $||T|| \geq (\kappa^+)^K$ .
- Argue that each branch in  $V$  is in fact already in  $K$ , so  $||T|| = (\kappa^+)^K$  (use maximality and universality of  $K$ ).
- Use forcing absoluteness to see that  $T$  is strongly sealed.

## Theorem (Hayut, M.)

*Let us assume that there is no inner model with a Woodin cardinal. Then for every regular cardinal  $\kappa$ , there is a strongly sealed  $\kappa$ -tree with exactly  $(\kappa^+)^K$  many branches. In particular, if  $\kappa$  is weakly compact, then there is a strongly sealed tree on  $\kappa$  with  $\kappa^+$  many branches.*

Proof idea:

- Construct a  $\kappa$ -tree  $T$  in  $K$  with  $||T|| \geq (\kappa^+)^K$ .
- Argue that each branch in  $V$  is in fact already in  $K$ , so  $||T|| = (\kappa^+)^K$  (use maximality and universality of  $K$ ).
- Use forcing absoluteness to see that  $T$  is strongly sealed.
- Use covering to obtain  $(\kappa^+)^K = (\kappa^+)^V$ .

## Observation

*Strongly sealed  $\kappa$ -trees with  $\kappa^+$  many branches cannot exist in the context of a Woodin cardinal  $\delta > \kappa$ .*

## Observation

*Strongly sealed  $\kappa$ -trees with  $\kappa^+$  many branches cannot exist in the context of a Woodin cardinal  $\delta > \kappa$ .*

**Why?** Woodin's stationary tower forcing with critical point  $\kappa^+$  will introduce new branches to any  $\kappa$ -tree  $T$ , while preserving the regularity of  $\kappa$ , as well as many large cardinal properties of  $\kappa$ .



# The $\kappa$ -Perfect Subtree Property

The following lemma yields a canonical weakening of being strongly sealed.

# The $\kappa$ -Perfect Subtree Property

The following lemma yields a canonical weakening of being strongly sealed.

## Lemma (Folklore)

Let  $\kappa$  be a cardinal. The following are equivalent for a tree  $T$  of height  $\kappa$ :

- 1  $T$  has a perfect subtree.
- 2 Every set forcing that adds a fresh subset to  $\kappa$  also adds a branch to  $T$ .
- 3 There is a  $\kappa$ -closed forcing that adds a branch to  $T$ .

# The $\kappa$ -Perfect Subtree Property

The following lemma yields a canonical weakening of being strongly sealed.

## Lemma (Folklore)

Let  $\kappa$  be a cardinal. The following are equivalent for a tree  $T$  of height  $\kappa$ :

- 1  $T$  has a perfect subtree.
- 2 Every set forcing that adds a fresh subset to  $\kappa$  also adds a branch to  $T$ .
- 3 There is a  $\kappa$ -closed forcing that adds a branch to  $T$ .

## Definition

Let  $\kappa$  be an uncountable cardinal. The *Perfect Subtree Property* (PSP) for  $\kappa$  is the statement that every  $\kappa$ -tree with at least  $\kappa^+$  many branches has a perfect subtree.

# The $\kappa$ -Perfect Subtree Property

## Definition

Let  $\kappa$  be an uncountable cardinal. The *Perfect Subtree Property* (PSP) for  $\kappa$  is the statement that every  $\kappa$ -tree with at least  $\kappa^+$  many branches has a perfect subtree.

What is the consistency strength of this statement?

# The $\kappa$ -Perfect Subtree Property

## Definition

Let  $\kappa$  be an uncountable cardinal. The *Perfect Subtree Property* (PSP) for  $\kappa$  is the statement that every  $\kappa$ -tree with at least  $\kappa^+$  many branches has a perfect subtree.

What is the consistency strength of this statement?

## Proposition

Let  $\kappa$  be  $< \mu$ -supercompact, where  $\mu$  is strongly inaccessible. Then, there is a forcing extension in which  $\kappa$  is weakly compact,  $\mathfrak{S}_\kappa = \{\kappa, \kappa^{++}\}$  and the Perfect Subtree Property holds at  $\kappa$ .

Proof idea: Consider  $\text{Col}(\kappa, < \mu) \times \text{Add}(\kappa, \mu^+)$ .



$\kappa$ -Trees and Upper  
Bounds

A First Lower Bound  
and Sealed Trees

Below Woodin cardinals

Non-domestic Mouse  
from the  $\kappa$ -PSP

## Theorem (Hayut, M.)

*Let  $\kappa$  be a weakly compact cardinal and let us assume that*

- the Perfect Subtree Property holds at  $\kappa$  OR*

## Theorem (Hayut, M.)

Let  $\kappa$  be a weakly compact cardinal and let us assume that

- the Perfect Subtree Property holds at  $\kappa$  OR
- there is no  $\kappa$ -tree with exactly  $\kappa^+$  many branches.



# A Non-domestic Mouse from the $\kappa$ -PSP

## Theorem (Hayut, M.)

Let  $\kappa$  be a weakly compact cardinal and let us assume that

- the Perfect Subtree Property holds at  $\kappa$  OR
- there is no  $\kappa$ -tree with exactly  $\kappa^+$  many branches.

Then there is a non-domestic mouse. In particular, there is a model of  $\text{ZF} + \text{AD}_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

## Theorem (Hayut, M.)

Let  $\kappa$  be a weakly compact cardinal and let us assume that

- the Perfect Subtree Property holds at  $\kappa$  OR
- there is no  $\kappa$ -tree with exactly  $\kappa^+$  many branches.

Then there is a non-domestic mouse. In particular, there is a model of  $\text{ZF} + \text{AD}_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

Proof idea:

- Consider the tree  $\mathbb{T}(\mathcal{S})$  for  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(\kappa)$  the stack of mice on  $K^c \upharpoonright \kappa$  (cf. Andretta-Neeman-Steel and Jensen-Schimmerling-Schindler-Steel).

# A Non-domestic Mouse from the $\kappa$ -PSP

## Theorem (Hayut, M.)

Let  $\kappa$  be a weakly compact cardinal and let us assume that

- the Perfect Subtree Property holds at  $\kappa$  OR
- there is no  $\kappa$ -tree with exactly  $\kappa^+$  many branches.

Then there is a non-domestic mouse. In particular, there is a model of  $\text{ZF} + \text{AD}_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

Proof idea:

- Consider the tree  $\mathbb{T}(\mathcal{S})$  for  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(\kappa)$  the stack of mice on  $K^c \upharpoonright \kappa$  (cf. Andretta-Neeman-Steel and Jensen-Schimmerling-Schindler-Steel).
- $\mathbb{T}(\mathcal{S})$  has exactly  $(\kappa^+)^V$  many branches (using covering as in JSSS).

## Theorem (Hayut, M.)

Let  $\kappa$  be a weakly compact cardinal and let us assume that

- the Perfect Subtree Property holds at  $\kappa$  OR
- there is no  $\kappa$ -tree with exactly  $\kappa^+$  many branches.

Then there is a non-domestic mouse. In particular, there is a model of  $\text{ZF} + \text{AD}_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

Proof idea:

- Consider the tree  $\mathbb{T}(\mathcal{S})$  for  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(\kappa)$  the stack of mice on  $K^c \upharpoonright \kappa$  (cf. Andretta-Neeman-Steel and Jensen-Schimmerling-Schindler-Steel).
- $\mathbb{T}(\mathcal{S})$  has exactly  $(\kappa^+)^V$  many branches (using covering as in JSSS).
- $\mathbb{T}(\mathcal{S})$  does not have a perfect subtree (argue that set of branches does not change in an  $\text{Add}(\kappa, 1)$ -generic extension of  $V$ ).



$\kappa$ -Trees and Upper  
Bounds

A First Lower Bound  
and Sealed Trees

Below Woodin cardinals

Non-domestic Mouse  
from the  $\kappa$ -PSP



$\kappa$ -Trees and Upper  
Bounds

A First Lower Bound  
and Sealed Trees

Below Woodin cardinals

Non-domestic Mouse  
from the  $\kappa$ -PSP

*“Never say there is nothing beautiful in the world anymore.  
There is always something to make you wonder in the shape of a  
tree, the trembling of a leaf.”*

Albert Schweitzer