

Results on set mappings

Péter Komjáth
Eötvös U. Budapest

15th International Workshop on Set Theory,
Luminy, 26 September 2019

András Hajnal
(05/13/1931–07/30/2016)

A *set mapping* is $F : \kappa \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\kappa)$ for some infinite cardinal κ .

A set $A \subseteq \kappa$ is *free* if $y \notin F(u)$ for $u \in A$,
 $y \in A - \{u\}$.

Paul Turán asked in 1934, if $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [\mathbb{R}]^{<\omega}$ does there exist an infinite free set.

Fundamental Theorem on Set Mappings.

(Hajnal) If $\kappa > \mu$, $F : \kappa \rightarrow [\kappa]^{<\mu}$ then there is a free set of size κ .

Theorem. (Bagemihl) If f is a set mapping on \mathbb{R} with $f(x)$ nowhere dense for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ then there is an everywhere dense free set.

If $\kappa^{<\kappa} = \kappa$, let \mathbb{R}_κ be the set of all nonconstant $f : \kappa \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ with no last 0.

Order \mathbb{R}_κ lexicographically, then we have the notions of nowhere dense, everywhere dense, etc.

Theorem. (Bagemihl) (GCH) If f is a set mapping on \mathbb{R}_κ with $f(x)$ nwd for $x \in \mathbb{R}_\kappa$, then there is a free set of cardinality κ .

Theorem. (\aleph_1 , with a little help from S.) ($\aleph_1^{<\aleph_1} = \aleph_1$)
If f is a set mapping on \mathbb{R}_{\aleph_1} with $f(x)$ nwd for
 $x \in \mathbb{R}_{\aleph_1}$, then there is an everywhere dense free set.

A *set mapping* is $F : [\kappa]^r \rightarrow [\kappa]^{<\mu}$ for some finite r , infinite cardinals κ and μ .

A set $A \subseteq \kappa$ is *free* if $y \notin F(u)$ for $u \in [A]^r$, $y \in A - u$.

Theorem. (Erdős–Hajnal) If $F : [\exp_{r-1}(\kappa)^+]^r \rightarrow [\exp_{r-1}(\kappa)^+]^{<\kappa}$ is a set mapping, then there is a free set of cardinality κ^+ .

Theorem. (Kuratowski) If $F : [\omega_n]^n \rightarrow [\omega_n]^{<\omega}$ is a set mapping, then there is a free set of size $n + 1$.

Theorem. (Sierpiński) There is a set mapping $F : [\omega_{n-1}]^n \rightarrow [\omega_{n-1}]^{<\omega}$ with no free set of size $n + 1$.

Theorem. (Hajnal–Máté) If $f : [\omega_2]^2 \rightarrow [\omega_2]^{<\omega}$, then there are arbitrarily large finite free sets.

Theorem. (Hajnal) If $f : [\omega_3]^3 \rightarrow [\omega_3]^{<\omega}$, then there are arbitrarily large finite free sets.

$t_0 = 5$, $t_1 = 7$, t_{n+1} is the least number that
 $t_{n+1} \rightarrow (t_n, 7)^5$.

Theorem. (Komjáth–Shelah) It is consistent that there is a set mapping $f : [\omega_n]^4 \rightarrow [\omega_n]^{<\omega}$ with no free set of cardinality t_n .

s_n is the minimum number such that $s_n \rightarrow (5)_{3^n}^3$.
Roughly a triple exponential.

Theorem. (S. Mohsenipour, S. Shelah) It is consistent that there is a set mapping $F : [\omega_n]^4 \rightarrow [\omega_n]^\omega$ with no free set of size s_n .

Theorem. (Gillibert) If $F : [\omega_n]^n \rightarrow [\omega_n]^{<\omega}$ is a set mapping, then there is a free set of size $n + 2$.

Theorem. (Gillibert–Wehrung) If

$F : [\omega_n]^r \rightarrow [\omega_n]^{<\omega}$ is a set mapping, then there is a free set of size

$$2^{\lfloor \frac{1}{2} (1 - \frac{1}{2^r})^{-\frac{n+1}{2^r}} \rfloor}.$$

For $r = 4$, this is about $2^{1.016^n}$.

Theorem. (Hajnal–Máté) Let $F : [\omega_2]^2 \rightarrow [\omega_2]^{<\omega}$ be a set mapping

(a) if $\beta < f(\alpha, \beta)$ ($\alpha < \beta < \omega_2$), then there is a free set of size \aleph_2 ;

(b) if $f(\alpha, \beta) \subseteq (\alpha, \beta)$ ($\alpha < \beta < \omega_2$), then there is an infinite free set.

Definition. If λ is an infinite cardinal, $1 \leq r < \omega$, we call a set mapping $f : [\lambda]^r \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\lambda)$ of order $(\mu_0, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_r)$, if the following holds. For every $s \in [\lambda]^r$ with increasing enumeration $s = \{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{r-1}\}$ we have

$$|f(s) \cap \alpha_0| < \mu_0,$$

$$|f(s) \cap (\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1})| < \mu_{i+1} \quad (i < r - 1), \text{ and}$$

$$|f(s) \cap (\alpha_{r-1}, \lambda)| < \mu_r.$$

Theorem. (GCH) Assume that $0 < r < \omega$, $\lambda = \kappa^{+r}$. Let $f : [\lambda]^r \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\lambda)$ be a set mapping of order $(\kappa, \kappa^+, \kappa^{++}, \dots, \kappa^{+r})$. Then there is a free set of order type $\kappa^+ + r - 1$.

Theorem. If $1 \leq r < \omega$ and κ is infinite, then there is a set mapping $f_r : [\kappa^{+r}]^r \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\kappa^{+r})$ of order $(0, \kappa^+, \kappa^{++}, \dots, \kappa^{+r-1}, 0)$, with no free set of order type $\kappa^+ + r$.

Theorem. If $1 \leq r < \omega$, κ is infinite, then there is a set mapping $f : [\kappa^{+r}]^r \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\kappa^{+r})$ of order $(\kappa^+, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ such that f has no free set of order type

$$\begin{cases} 2, & (r = 1) \\ \omega, & (r = 2) \\ \omega_{r-3} + 1, & (3 \leq r < \omega). \end{cases}$$

Two methods of decomposing vector spaces into the union of countably many parts each omitting some configuration.

Theorem. (Rado) Each vector space over \mathbb{Q} is the union of ctbly many pieces, each omitting a 3-AP.

Proof. Let V be a vector space over \mathbb{Q} , and $B = \{b_i : i \in I\}$ a basis with I ordered. If $x \in V$ write as

$$x = \lambda_1 b_{i_1} + \cdots + \lambda_n b_{i_n}$$

where $i_1 < \cdots < i_n$. Let $\langle \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \rangle$ be the color of x .

Assume that x, y, z get the same color $\langle \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \rangle$
and $x + z = 2y$.

Then

$$x = \lambda_1 b_{i_1^x} + \dots + \lambda_n b_{i_n^x}, \text{ where } i_1^x < \dots < i_n^x,$$

$$y = \lambda_1 b_{i_1^y} + \dots + \lambda_n b_{i_n^y}, \text{ where } i_1^y < \dots < i_n^y,$$

$$z = \lambda_1 b_{i_1^z} + \dots + \lambda_n b_{i_n^z}, \text{ where } i_1^z < \dots < i_n^z.$$

Let $i = \min\{i_1^x, i_1^y, i_1^z\}$. Then the coefficients of x, y, z in b_i are 0 or λ_1 , one of them is λ_1 and they form a 3-AP. This is only possible, if all are equal to λ_1 and so $i_1^x = i_1^y = i_1^z$.
Proceed to i_2^x, i_2^y, i_2^z , etc. Eventually, $x = y = z$.

Definition. If S is a set, \mathcal{H} is a set system on S , then the coloring number of \mathcal{H} is countable, $\text{Col}(\mathcal{H}) \leq \omega$, if there is a well ordering $<$ of S such that for each $x \in S$, x is the largest element of finitely many sets in \mathcal{H} .

If a Rado-type proof gives that for some vector space V and configuration system \mathcal{H} on V , V is the union of countably many parts omitting configurations in \mathcal{H} , do we have $\text{Col}(\mathcal{H}) \leq \omega$?

Theorem. If V is a vector space over \mathbb{Q} , $|V| = \aleph_n$, then there is a well ordering such that each element is the last member of only finitely many arithmetic progressions of length $n + 1$. Consequently, there is a set mapping $f : V \rightarrow [V]^{<\omega}$ with no free arithmetic progression of length $n + 1$.

Theorem. If V is a vector space over \mathbb{Q} with $|V| = \aleph_{n-1}$, $f : V \rightarrow [V]^{<\omega}$ is a set mapping, then there is a free arithmetic progression of length n .

Some old Erdős–Hajnal problems

Problem 1. Is the following consistent? GCH plus if $f : [\omega_2]^3 \rightarrow \omega_2$ then there is an uncountable free set.

Problem 2. Is the following consistent? GCH plus if $f : [\omega_3]^3 \rightarrow [\omega_3]^{<\omega}$ then there is an uncountable free set.

Thank you for your patience!