

Milnor's Question on Transfinite Invariants

Jae Choon Cha
(joint with Kent Orr)

Knotted embeddings in dimensions 3 and 4

February 2018, CIRM

(1)

Suppose $\pi/\pi_k \cong F/F_k$, and consider:

$$\frac{\mathbb{F}}{\mathbb{F}_k} \xrightarrow{\cong} F/F_k \xleftarrow{\text{Magnus}} \mathbb{Z}\langle X_1, \dots, X_m \rangle / \deg \geq k \quad \begin{matrix} \text{formal power series} \\ \text{in non-commuting } X_i \end{matrix}$$

$$x_i \longmapsto 1 + X_i$$

$$x_i^{-1} \longmapsto 1 - X_i + X_i^2 - \dots$$

Milnor's invariant of length k is defined by

$\bar{\mu}_L(i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{k-1} j) :=$ coefficient of $x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_{k-1}}$ in the expansion
 $(1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}, j \leq m)$ of the j^{th} longitude λ_j .

Theorem (Milnor) Let L be a link with $\pi = \pi_1(S^3 - L)$

- (i) When $\mathbb{F}/\pi_k \cong \mathbb{F}/\mathbb{F}_k$, Milnor invariants of length k are well-defined, and
(ii) all Milnor invariants of length k vanish iff $\mathbb{F}/\pi_{k+1} \cong \mathbb{F}/\mathbb{F}_{k+1}$.

That is, Milnor invariants inductively determine whether the link group has the same lower central quotients as the trivial link.

A quick review: Milnor's link invariants

1957 "Isotopy of links"
 1954 "Link groups"

(2)

Lower central series of a group G :

$G_1 := G$, $G_{k+1} := [G, G_k]$ where $[H, K] = \text{subgroup generated by}$
 $[a, b] := ab\bar{a}^{-1}\bar{b}^{-1}$, $a \in H, b \in K$

Suppose $L \subset S^3$ is a link with m components, and

$F = F\langle x_1, \dots, x_m \rangle$ is a free group of rank m .

Theorem (Milnor '57)

A meridean homomorphism $F \rightarrow \pi_1 = \pi_1(S^3 - L)$ induces
 $x_i \mapsto i^{\text{th}} \text{ meridean}$

$\pi/\pi_k \cong F/\langle F_k, [w_1, x_1], \dots, [w_m, x_m] \rangle$ where w_i corresponds to the i^{th} longitude $\lambda_i \in \pi$
 $(\Rightarrow \pi/\pi_k \cong F/F_k \text{ iff } \lambda_i \in \pi_{k-1} \forall i.)$

Theorem (Stallings) Milnor invariants are concordance invariants.

More generally, if two links L, L' have homology cobordant exteriors, then $\bar{\mu}_L(i_1 \dots i_{k-1}, j)$ is well-defined iff so is $\bar{\mu}_{L'}(i_1 \dots i_{k-1}, j)$, and whenever they are defined, they are equal.

3-manifolds M and M' with $\partial M \cong \partial M'$ are homology cobordant (ZH-cob.)

If \exists 4-manifold W s.t. $\partial W = M \sqcup -N$, $H_*(M; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_*(W; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_*(M'; \mathbb{Z})$

Stallings' Theorem If $\pi \rightarrow G$ induces \cong on H_1 , and surjection on H_2 , then it induces $\pi/\pi_k \cong G/G_r$ for $k < \infty$.

Consequence : $f: X \rightarrow Y$ induces $H_*(X) \cong H_*(Y)$

$\Rightarrow f_* : \pi_1 X \rightarrow \pi_1 Y$ induces \cong on H_1 , \Rightarrow on H_2

$$\Rightarrow \pi_1 X / (\pi_1 X)_e \cong \pi_1 Y / (\pi_1 Y)_e$$

A question of Milnor (1957)

(5)

Find a method of attacking the transfinite lower central quotients of the fundamental group and extracting information from it.

Definition: transfinite lower central series of a group G
(defined for arbitrary ordinals)

$$G_1 := G, \quad G_\kappa := \begin{cases} [G, G_{\kappa-1}] & \text{if } \kappa \text{ is a discrete ordinal,} \\ \bigcap_{\lambda < \kappa} G_\lambda & \text{if } \kappa \text{ is a limit ordinal.} \end{cases}$$

Among earlier attempts toward transfinite Milnor (-type) invariants:

Orr: link invariant using nilpotent completion $\varprojlim F/F_\kappa$

Levine: link invariant using "algebraic closures".

Both are unknown to be nontrivial or not!

Our goal: develop a transfinite version of Milnor invariants
for 3-manifolds, with the two key features:

- determination of lower central quotients
- invariance under $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{H}$ -cobordism

First observation:

while $\pi_1(-)/\pi_1(-)_k$ is invariant under $\{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{H}\text{-cobordism}\}$ for $k < \omega$,
(due to Stallings' theorem)

$\pi_1(-)/\pi_1(-)_k$ is not for an infinite ordinal κ in general.

e.g. Hillman: \exists slice link L which is not a "homology boundary link",
i.e. $\pi := \pi_1(S^3 - L)$ does not admit a surjection onto F .

For $\omega :=$ first infinite ordinal, $F_\omega = \bigcap_{\kappa < \omega} F_\kappa = \{1\}$, and so
 π/π_ω cannot surject onto $F/F_\omega = F$.

Homology localization (of groups)

(7)

In general, localization can be defined for a given collection Ω of morphisms in a category \mathcal{C} . (e.g. $\mathcal{C} = \text{Grp}$)

$Z \in \mathcal{C}$ is local if $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ whenever $f \in \Omega$, g arbitrary
 $\begin{array}{ccc} g \downarrow & \swarrow & \exists \text{ unique} \\ Z & & \end{array}$

A functor $E: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ together with $z: \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow E$ is a localization if

(i) $E(G)$ is local for every $G \in \mathcal{C}$

(ii) $G \xrightarrow{z_G} E(G)$ whenever Z is local and g is arbitrary.

$\begin{array}{ccc} g \downarrow & \swarrow & \exists \text{ unique} \\ Z & & \end{array}$ " $G \xrightarrow{z_G} E(G)$ is universal (initial) among
morphisms into local objects"

Bousfield: $H\mathbb{Z}$ -localization

$$\Omega = \{\phi: G \rightarrow \Gamma \mid \phi_x \text{ is } \cong \text{ on } H_1(-; \mathbb{Z}), \text{ onto on } H_2(-; \mathbb{Z})\}$$

Vogel / Levine: localization through f.p. groups (suitable for $LC S^3$)

$$\Omega = \{\phi: G \rightarrow \Gamma \mid G, \Gamma \text{ are f.p., } \phi(G) \text{ normally generates } \Gamma, \phi_x \text{ is } \cong \text{ on } H_1, \text{ onto on } H_2\}$$

We will use a modified version (suitable for $H\mathbb{Z}$ -cobordism):

$$\underline{C}: \Omega = \{\phi: G \rightarrow \Gamma \mid G, \Gamma \text{ are f.p., } \phi_x \cong \text{ on } H_1, \text{ onto on } H_2\}$$

We denote $\hat{G} :=$ localization of G w.r.t. this Ω .

Proposition [Levine, C.] For every S.p. group G , there is a sequence (9)

$$G = G(1) \rightarrow G(2) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow G(i) \rightarrow \dots$$

with each $G(i) \rightarrow G(i+1)$ in Ω
s.t. $\hat{G} = \varinjlim G(i)$.

Some properties:

① $\phi: G \rightarrow \Gamma$ is in $\Omega \Rightarrow \hat{G} \cong \hat{\Gamma}$

[Consequence: $f: X \rightarrow Y$, X, Y finite complex, $H_1(X) \xrightarrow{f_*} H_1(Y)$]
 $\Rightarrow \widehat{\pi_1(X)} \cong \widehat{\pi_1(Y)}$

② For finite k and S.p. G , $\hat{G}/\hat{G}_k \cong G/G_k$.

Proof: Each finite composition $G = P_0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_i$ induces $H_1 \cong$,
 $\Rightarrow G \rightarrow \hat{G} = \varinjlim P_i$ induces $H_1 \cong, H_2$ onto H_2 onto
 $\Rightarrow G/G_k \cong \hat{G}/\hat{G}_k$ for finite k , by Stallings

Properties: ① $f: X \rightarrow Y$ induces \cong on $H_1 \Rightarrow \widehat{\pi_1 X} \cong \widehat{\pi_1 Y}$ (10)

② $G/G_k \cong \hat{G}/\hat{G}_k$ for finite k

So, for an arbitrary ordinal κ , \hat{G}/\hat{G}_κ is ~~the~~ "correct"
transfinite generalization of the finite lower central quotients G/G_κ
which is useful for homology cobordism invariance.

From now on, the "transfinite lower central quotient" of G
designates \hat{G}/\hat{G}_κ .

Known localizations:

(1) G is abelian $\Rightarrow \hat{G} = G$

Proof: $A \xrightarrow{f \in \Omega} B$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & f & \\ A & \downarrow & B \\ & H_1 A & \xrightarrow{\cong} H_1 B \\ G & \xrightarrow{\quad} & H_1 G \end{array}$$

(2) G is nilpotent $\Rightarrow \hat{G} = G$

proof: replace $H_1(-)$ by $(-)/(-)_k$ for some large k , and invoke Stallings.

In general: Understanding / computing \hat{G} is hard.

e.g. For links L with $\widehat{\pi_1(S^3 - L)}$ (longitude $\rightarrow 1$)
Levine defined $\hat{\Theta}(L) \in H_3(\hat{F})$.

Question: $H_3(\hat{F}) \neq 0$?

Main Results: transfinite Milnor invariants
for 3-manifolds

(13)

Recall that Milnor's link invariants inductively compare lower central quotients of the link group with that of the trivial link ($= F$).

Fix a closed 3-manifold Y , and let $\pi := \pi_1(Y)$.

Fix an ordinal κ .

Suppose M is another closed 3-manifold with $\pi := \pi_1(M)$,

$$\text{which admits an isomorphism } f: \widehat{\pi}/\widehat{\pi}_{\kappa} \cong \widehat{\pi}/\widehat{\pi}_{\kappa}.$$

Definition. $\Theta_{\kappa}(M) := \text{image of the fundamental class } [M] \text{ under}$

$$H_3(M) \rightarrow H_3(\pi) \rightarrow H_3(\widehat{\pi}) \rightarrow H_3(\widehat{\pi}/\widehat{\pi}_{\kappa}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_3(\widehat{\pi}/\widehat{\pi}_{\kappa}).$$

This depends on the choice of f ;

$\Theta_{\kappa}(M)$ in $H_3(\widehat{\pi}/\widehat{\pi}_{\kappa})/\text{Aut}(\widehat{\pi}/\widehat{\pi}_{\kappa})$ is independent of f .

c.f. Orr's homotopy invariant
(of links) $\Theta_{\kappa}(L) \in \pi_3(\text{mapping cone of } BF \rightarrow BF_{\kappa})$

Definition. $\Theta_{\kappa}(M) := \text{image of the fundamental class } [M] \text{ under}$

$$H_3(M) \rightarrow H_3(\pi) \rightarrow H_3(\widehat{\pi}) \rightarrow H_3(\widehat{\pi}/\widehat{\pi}_{\kappa}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_3(\widehat{\pi}/\widehat{\pi}_{\kappa}).$$

Theorem A. $\Theta_{\kappa}(M)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}H$ -cobordism invariant.

More precisely: if M and N are $\mathbb{Z}H$ -cob., then

$$(i) \widehat{\pi_1 M}/\widehat{\pi_1 M}_{\kappa} \cong \widehat{\pi_1 N}/\widehat{\pi_1 N}_{\kappa} \quad (\Rightarrow \Theta_{\kappa}(M) \text{ is defined iff so is } \Theta_{\kappa}(N))$$

using $\widehat{\pi_1 M}/\widehat{\pi_1 M}_{\kappa} \cong \widehat{\pi_1 N}/\widehat{\pi_1 N}_{\kappa} \cong \widehat{\pi}/\widehat{\pi}_{\kappa}$

$$(ii) \Theta_{\kappa}(M) = \Theta_{\kappa}(N) \text{ whenever defined.}$$

Proof: If W is a $\mathbb{Z}H$ -cob., i.e. $\partial W = M \cup -N$, $H_*(M) \cong H_*(W) \cong H_*(N)$

$$\Rightarrow \pi_1 M \rightarrow \pi_1 W \leftarrow \pi_1 N \quad \Rightarrow \quad \partial[W] = [M] - [N] = 0$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \downarrow \qquad \downarrow \quad \quad \quad \Rightarrow [M] = [N] \text{ in } H_3(\widehat{\pi}/\widehat{\pi}_{\kappa}).$$

$$\widehat{\pi_1 M} \cong \widehat{\pi_1 W} \cong \widehat{\pi_1 N} \quad \quad \quad \Theta_{\kappa}(M) \quad \Theta_{\kappa}(N) \quad \quad \parallel$$

$$\widehat{\pi_1 M}/\widehat{\pi_1 M}_{\kappa} \cong \widehat{\pi_1 W}/\widehat{\pi_1 W}_{\kappa} \cong \widehat{\pi_1 N}/\widehat{\pi_1 N}_{\kappa} \cong \widehat{\pi}/\widehat{\pi}_{\kappa}$$

(15)

Definition. For M with $\pi := \pi_1 M$ satisfying $\widehat{\pi}/\widehat{\pi}_{\kappa} \cong \widehat{\pi}/\widehat{\pi}_{\kappa}$,

$\Theta_{\kappa}(M) := \text{image of the fundamental class } [M] \text{ under}$

$$H_3(M) \rightarrow H_3(\pi) \rightarrow H_3(\widehat{\pi}) \rightarrow H_3(\widehat{\pi}/\widehat{\pi}_{\kappa}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_3(\widehat{\pi}/\widehat{\pi}_{\kappa}).$$

In general, not all classes in $H_3(\widehat{\pi}/\widehat{\pi}_{\kappa})$ are realized in this way.

Definition (Realizable classes)

$$\mathcal{R}_{\kappa}(\Gamma) := \{ \theta \in H_3(\widehat{\pi}/\widehat{\pi}_{\kappa}) \mid \exists M^3 \text{ with } \widehat{\pi_1 M}/\widehat{\pi_1 M}_{\kappa} \cong \widehat{\pi}/\widehat{\pi}_{\kappa} \text{ s.t. } \Theta_{\kappa}(M) = \theta \}$$

$\mathcal{R}_{\kappa}(\Gamma) \subset H_3(\widehat{\pi}/\widehat{\pi}_{\kappa})$ is not necessarily a subgroup.

(e.g. $H_1(\Gamma)$ is not torsion free $\Rightarrow 0 \notin \mathcal{R}_{\kappa}(\Gamma)$.)

$$\widehat{\pi}/\widehat{\pi}_{\kappa+1} \rightarrow \widehat{\pi}/\widehat{\pi}_{\kappa} \text{ induces } \mathcal{R}_{\kappa+1}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{\kappa}(\Gamma).$$

Say $\theta \in \mathcal{R}_{\kappa}(\Gamma)$ vanishes in $\text{Coker}\{\mathcal{R}_{\kappa+1}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{\kappa}(\Gamma)\}$ if $\theta \in \text{image}(\mathcal{R}_{\kappa+1}(\Gamma))$

(16)

Theorem B (Determination of transfinite lower central quotients)

Suppose M has $\pi = \pi_1 M$, $\widehat{\pi}/\widehat{\pi}_{\kappa} \cong \widehat{\pi}/\widehat{\pi}_{\kappa}$, κ an arbitrary ordinal. Then the following are equivalent:

- ① There exists a lift $\widehat{\pi}/\widehat{\pi}_{\kappa+1} \cong \widehat{\pi}/\widehat{\pi}_{\kappa+1}$, which is an isomorphism.
- ② $\Theta_{\kappa}(M)$ vanishes in $\text{Coker}\{\mathcal{R}_{\kappa+1}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{\kappa}(\Gamma)\}$.
- ③ There is a bordism W over $\widehat{\pi}/\widehat{\pi}_{\kappa}$ s.t. $\partial W = M \cup -N$, $\widehat{\pi_1 N}/\widehat{\pi_1 N}_{\kappa+1} \cong \widehat{\pi}/\widehat{\pi}_{\kappa+1}$ and $\pi = \pi_1 M \rightarrow \pi_1 W \leftarrow \pi_1 N$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \widehat{\pi}/\widehat{\pi}_{\kappa} & \cong & \widehat{\pi_1 N}/\widehat{\pi_1 N}_{\kappa+1} \\ \cong \downarrow & \exists & \cong \downarrow \\ \widehat{\pi}/\widehat{\pi}_{\kappa} & \xleftarrow{\text{pr.}} & \widehat{\pi}/\widehat{\pi}_{\kappa+1} \end{array} .$$

Consequently, $\widehat{\pi}/\widehat{\pi}_{\kappa+1} \cong \widehat{\pi}/\widehat{\pi}_{\kappa+1}$ iff $\Theta_{\kappa}(M) = 0$ in $\text{Coker}\{\mathcal{R}_{\kappa+1}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{R}_{\kappa}(\Gamma)}{\text{Aut}(\widehat{\pi}/\widehat{\pi}_{\kappa})}\}$

(17) Consider towers of transfinite lower central quotients of 3-manifold groups:

$$\widehat{\pi_1 M} / \widehat{\pi_1 M}_{\kappa+1}$$

Let $\mathcal{E}_{\kappa+1}(\Gamma) = \{ \text{height } \kappa+1 \text{ towers of 3-manifolds whose height } \leq \kappa \text{ subtower is identified with that of } \Gamma \}$

$$= \{ M \text{ equipped with } \widehat{\pi_1 M} / \widehat{\pi_1 M}_\kappa \cong \widehat{\pi_1 \Gamma} / \widehat{\pi_1 \Gamma}_\kappa \} / \sim$$

$$\widehat{\pi_1 M} / \widehat{\pi_1 M}_3 = \pi_1 M / (\pi_1 M)_3$$

$$\widehat{\pi_1 M} / \widehat{\pi_1 M}_2 = \pi_1 M / (\pi_1 M)_2 = H_1(M)$$

$$\text{where } M \sim N \text{ iff } \widehat{\pi_1 M} / \widehat{\pi_1 M}_{\kappa+1} \xrightarrow[\cong]{\exists \text{ lift}} \widehat{\pi_1 N} / \widehat{\pi_1 N}_{\kappa+1}$$

$$\widehat{\pi_1 M} / \widehat{\pi_1 M}_\kappa \cong \widehat{\pi_1 \Gamma} / \widehat{\pi_1 \Gamma}_\kappa \cong \widehat{\pi_1 N} / \widehat{\pi_1 N}_{\kappa+1}$$

Define an equivalence relation \sim on $\mathcal{R}_\kappa(\Gamma)$ by

$$\theta \sim \theta' \text{ iff } \theta' \in \text{Im}\{ \mathcal{R}_{\kappa+1}(\pi_1 M) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_\kappa(\pi_1 M) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{R}_\kappa(\Gamma) \}$$

when M with $\widehat{\pi_1 M} / \widehat{\pi_1 M}_\kappa \cong \widehat{\pi_1 \Gamma} / \widehat{\pi_1 \Gamma}_\kappa$ satisfies $\theta = \theta_\kappa(M)$.

Corollary of Theorem B: $\mathcal{E}_{\kappa+1}(\Gamma) \xrightarrow[\text{bij.}]{\sim} \mathcal{R}_\kappa(\Gamma) / \sim$

(18) So, the transfinite lower central quotients of 3-manifold groups are understood in terms of $\mathcal{R}_\kappa(\Gamma)$.

Theorem C (Characterization of realizable classes)

Suppose Γ is a f.p. group, $\theta \in H_3(\widehat{\Gamma} / \widehat{\Gamma}_\kappa)$, $\kappa \geq 2$.

Then θ lies in $\mathcal{R}_\kappa(\Gamma)$ iff the following hold:

(a) The cap product $\cap \theta: tH^2(\widehat{\Gamma} / \widehat{\Gamma}_\kappa) \rightarrow tH_1(\widehat{\Gamma} / \widehat{\Gamma}_\kappa)$ is an isomorphism

$$tH_1(\Gamma)$$

(b) $\cap \theta: H^1(\widehat{\Gamma} / \widehat{\Gamma}_\kappa) \rightarrow H_2(\widehat{\Gamma} / \widehat{\Gamma}_\kappa) / \text{Ker}\{ H_2(\widehat{\Gamma} / \widehat{\Gamma}_\kappa) \rightarrow H_2(\widehat{\Gamma} / \widehat{\Gamma}_\lambda) \}$ is surjective for all $\lambda < \kappa$.

(when κ is a discrete ordinal, it suffices to check for $\lambda = \kappa-1$.)

For finite κ (for which $\widehat{\Gamma} / \widehat{\Gamma}_\kappa \cong \Gamma / \Gamma_\kappa$ automatically),

Theorem C was shown earlier by Turaev.

(19) Theorem C said: $\theta \in \mathcal{R}_\kappa(\Gamma) \subset H_3(\widehat{\Gamma} / \widehat{\Gamma}_\kappa)$ iff

(a) $\cap \theta: tH^2(\widehat{\Gamma} / \widehat{\Gamma}_\kappa) \rightarrow tH_1(\widehat{\Gamma} / \widehat{\Gamma}_\kappa)$ is \cong , and

(b) $\cap \theta: H^1(\widehat{\Gamma} / \widehat{\Gamma}_\kappa) \rightarrow H_2(\widehat{\Gamma} / \widehat{\Gamma}_\kappa) / \text{Ker}\{ H_2(\widehat{\Gamma} / \widehat{\Gamma}_\kappa) \rightarrow H_2(\widehat{\Gamma} / \widehat{\Gamma}_\lambda) \}$ for all $\lambda < \kappa$.

e.g. $\Gamma = F\langle x_1, \dots, x_m \rangle$ free group, $\kappa < \infty$:

$$tH^2(F / F_\kappa) \xrightarrow[\text{UCT}]{\cong} \text{Ext}^1(tH_1(F / F_\kappa), \mathbb{Z}) = \text{Ext}(\underbrace{tH_1(F)}_{\cong}, \mathbb{Z}) = 0 \Rightarrow (a)$$

$$H_2(F / F_\kappa) = F_\kappa / F_{\kappa+1} \rightarrow H_2(F / F_{\kappa+1}) = F_{\kappa+1} / F_\kappa \text{ is zero} \Rightarrow (b)$$

$\therefore \mathcal{R}_\kappa(F) = H_3(F / F_\kappa)$, and $\text{Coker}\{ \mathcal{R}_{\kappa+1}(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_\kappa(F) \}$ is an abelian group.

Consequence: let $L \subset S^3$ a link, $M_L := 0$ -framed surgery for L .

Then for finite κ , $\theta_\kappa(M_L) \in \text{Coker}$ is equal to Orr's $\theta_\kappa(L) \in \text{Coker}$, and so equivalent to the classical Milnor invariants of length $\kappa+1$.

This shows that our invariants generalize Milnor's.

(20) A torus bundle group

$$\text{let } Y := T^2 \times [0,1] / \{ h(x), 0 \} \sim (x, 1) \text{ where } h = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma := \pi_1(Y) &= \langle x, y, t \mid [x, y] = 1, t x t^{-1} = x^{-1}, t y t^{-1} = y^{-1} \rangle \\ &= (\mathbb{Z}^2)^2 \times \mathbb{Z}, \quad \mathbb{Z}^2 := \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}] / \langle t+1 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Exercise: } H_1(\Gamma) = (\mathbb{Z}_2)^2 \times \mathbb{Z}, \quad H_2(\Gamma) = H_2(T^2) = \mathbb{Z}.$$

↑ ↑
gen. by x, y t

Enlarge Γ by adding r^{th} roots u, v of x, y ($r = \text{odd}$):

$$\Gamma \hookrightarrow \Gamma(r) := \langle u, v, s \mid [u^r, v^r] = 1, [u, v] \text{ is central}, s u s^{-1} = u^{-1}, s v s^{-1} = v^{-1} \rangle$$

$x \mapsto u^r$ Observe: if r is odd, $H_1(\Gamma) \cong H_1(\Gamma(r))$ since $r \cdot u = u \pmod{2}$
 $y \mapsto v^r$
 $t \mapsto s$ Furthermore, $H_2(\Gamma) \cong H_2(\Gamma(r))$!!!

So, Γ and $\Gamma(r)$ have the same homology localization: $\widehat{\Gamma} \cong \widehat{\Gamma(r)}$.

Theorem [C.-Orr] $\widehat{\Gamma} = \varinjlim_{r: \text{odd}} \Gamma(r)$

(proof uses the notion of "localization of modules".)

$$\Gamma(r) = \langle u, v, s \mid [u^r, v^r] = 1, [u, v] \text{ central}, s u s^{-1} = u^r, s v s^{-1} = v^r \rangle$$

$$\rightsquigarrow 1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/\frac{r^2}{r^2}\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \Gamma(r) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}^t)^2 \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 1 \quad (r: \text{odd})$$

$$\begin{matrix} & u, v \\ \parallel & \downarrow \\ (\frac{1}{r^2}\mathbb{Z})/\mathbb{Z} & (\frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}^t)^2 \times \mathbb{Z} \end{matrix}$$

take colimit
 $r \rightarrow \infty$

$$\text{where } \mathbb{Z}_{(2)} = \left\{ \frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q} \mid b \text{ is odd} \right\}.$$

In particular, $\widehat{\Gamma}$ contains torsion of any given odd order.

- Full computation of: • $H_3(\widehat{\Gamma}/\widehat{\Gamma}_\kappa)$
 (for every κ) • $R_\kappa(\Gamma) \subset H_3(\widehat{\Gamma}/\widehat{\Gamma}_\kappa)$ (by computing cap products)
 • $\text{Coker}\{R_{\kappa+1}(\Gamma) \rightarrow R_\kappa(\Gamma)\}$ i.e. image of $R_{\kappa+1}(\Gamma)$

$$\text{For finite } \kappa: H_3(\Gamma/\Gamma_\kappa) = (\mathbb{Z}/2^{\kappa-1})^4$$

$$R_\kappa(\Gamma) = \begin{cases} (\mathbb{Z}/2^{\kappa-1})^3 \times \{\pm 1\} & \text{if } \kappa \geq 3 \\ \{(a, b, c, d) \mid ac + bd \equiv 1 \pmod{2}\} & \text{if } \kappa = 2 \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Image of } R_{\kappa+1}(\Gamma) = (2 \cdot \mathbb{Z}/2^{\kappa-1})^3 \times \{\pm 1\}$$

$\therefore \text{Coker}\{R_{\kappa+1}(\Gamma) \rightarrow R_\kappa(\Gamma)\}$ is nontrivial (and finite!)

$$\text{For } M^3 \text{ with } \pi_1(M)/\pi_1(M)_\kappa \cong \Gamma/\Gamma_\kappa, \exists \text{ lift } \pi_1(M)/\pi_1(M)_{\kappa+1} \cong \Gamma/\Gamma_{\kappa+1}$$

iff $\Theta_\kappa(M) = 0$ in Coker .

(21)

Transfinite case:

$$\text{For } \kappa = \omega: H_3(\widehat{\Gamma}/\widehat{\Gamma}_\omega) = \mathbb{Z} = \left\{ \frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q} \mid b \text{ odd} \right\}$$

$$R_\omega(\Gamma) = \left\{ \frac{a}{b} \mid a, b \text{ odd} \right\}$$

$$\text{Image of } R_{\omega+1}(\Gamma) = \{\pm 1\}$$

$\therefore \text{Coker}\{R_{\omega+1}(\Gamma) \rightarrow R_\omega(\Gamma)\}$ is large!

For M with $\widehat{\pi_1 M}/\widehat{\pi_1 M}_\omega \cong \widehat{\Gamma}/\widehat{\Gamma}_\omega$, \exists lift $\widehat{\pi_1 M}/\widehat{\pi_1 M}_{\omega+1} \cong \widehat{\Gamma}/\widehat{\Gamma}_{\omega+1}$
 iff $\Theta_\omega(M) = 0$ in the cokernel.

\therefore The transfinite invariant Θ_ω detects existence of M s.t.

$$\widehat{\pi_1 M}/\widehat{\pi_1 M}_\omega \cong \widehat{\Gamma}/\widehat{\Gamma}_\omega \text{ but } \widehat{\pi_1 M}/\widehat{\pi_1 M}_{\omega+1} \not\cong \widehat{\Gamma}/\widehat{\Gamma}_{\omega+1}$$

(22)

For $\kappa \geq \omega+1$: we have $\widehat{\Gamma}_{\omega+1} = \widehat{\Gamma}_{\omega+2} = \dots = \{\pm 1\}$, and so $\widehat{\Gamma}/\widehat{\Gamma}_\kappa = \widehat{\Gamma}/\widehat{\Gamma}_{\omega+1} (= \widehat{\Gamma})$.

There is no nontrivial transfinite Milnor invariant for $\kappa \geq \omega+1$ (in case of our Γ)

$$\text{In fact, } H_3(\widehat{\Gamma}/\widehat{\Gamma}_\kappa) = H_3(\widehat{\Gamma}) = (\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}/\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{Z}$$

$$R_\kappa(\Gamma) = (\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}/\mathbb{Z}) \times \{\pm 1\} = \text{image of } R_{\kappa+1}(\Gamma).$$

Though, $\Theta_\kappa(M)$ detects non- $\mathbb{Z}H$ -cob. 3-manifolds with $\widehat{\pi_1 M}/\widehat{\pi_1 M}_\kappa \cong \widehat{\Gamma}$.

(23)