

Singularities in Fluids

Peter Constantin
Princeton University

CIRM, Marseille, December 2018

In this talk

- ▶ kinematics of hypersurfaces. (Unpublished, cca 1987).
- ▶ slender jet and shallow water: blow up = pinchoff (with T. Drivas, H. Nguyen, F. Pasqualotto).

In this talk

- ▶ kinematics of hypersurfaces. (Unpublished, cca 1987).
- ▶ slender jet and shallow water: blow up = pinchoff (with T. Drivas, H. Nguyen, F. Pasqualotto). an old (1993) conjecture, proved.

In this talk

- ▶ kinematics of hypersurfaces. (Unpublished, cca 1987).
- ▶ slender jet and shallow water: blow up = pinchoff (with T. Drivas, H. Nguyen, F. Pasqualotto). an old (1993) conjecture, proved.
- ▶ Hele-Shaw neck model pinchoff (with T. Elgindi, H. Nguyen, V. Vicol).

In this talk

- ▶ kinematics of hypersurfaces. (Unpublished, cca 1987).
- ▶ slender jet and shallow water: blow up = pinchoff (with T. Drivas, H. Nguyen, F. Pasqualotto). an old (1993) conjecture, proved.
- ▶ Hele-Shaw neck model pinchoff (with T. Elgindi, H. Nguyen, V. Vicol).(an old (1993) computation, revisited theoretically).

In this talk

- ▶ kinematics of hypersurfaces. (Unpublished, cca 1987).
- ▶ slender jet and shallow water: blow up = pinchoff (with T. Drivas, H. Nguyen, F. Pasqualotto). an old (1993) conjecture, proved.
- ▶ Hele-Shaw neck model pinchoff (with T. Elgindi, H. Nguyen, V. Vicol).(an old (1993) computation, revisited theoretically).
- ▶ inviscid limit with vortex sheet data (with H. Nusenzveig-Lopes, M. Lopes, V. Vicol)

Hypersurfaces of Evolution

We consider a time dependent immersed hypersurface f in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} which satisfies an evolution equation

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = \nu,$$

Hypersurfaces of Evolution

We consider a time dependent immersed hypersurface f in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} which satisfies an evolution equation

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = \nu,$$

locally given by $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$f : D \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{d+1}.$$

Hypersurfaces of Evolution

We consider a time dependent immersed hypersurface f in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} which satisfies an evolution equation

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = \nu,$$

locally given by $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$f : D \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{d+1}.$$

We compute the evolution of geometric quantities, such as the first and second fundamental forms, curvatures, area and volume enclosed.

Hypersurfaces of Evolution

We consider a time dependent immersed hypersurface f in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} which satisfies an evolution equation

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = \nu,$$

locally given by $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$f : D \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{d+1}.$$

We compute the evolution of geometric quantities, such as the first and second fundamental forms, curvatures, area and volume enclosed. ν could be time dependent and also related to the surface.

Hypersurfaces of Evolution

We consider a time dependent immersed hypersurface f in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} which satisfies an evolution equation

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = v,$$

locally given by $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$f : D \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{d+1}.$$

We compute the evolution of geometric quantities, such as the first and second fundamental forms, curvatures, area and volume enclosed. v could be time dependent and also related to the surface.

The scalar product in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} will be denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Usual derivatives with respect to the parameters in D are denoted by subscripts preceded by a comma; covariant derivatives by subscripts preceded by a semicolon. Thus the coefficients of the first fundamental form I , are

$$g_{ij} = \langle f_{,i}, f_{,j} \rangle, \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, \dots, d.$$

Hypersurfaces of Evolution

We consider a time dependent immersed hypersurface f in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} which satisfies an evolution equation

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = v,$$

locally given by $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$f : D \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{d+1}.$$

We compute the evolution of geometric quantities, such as the first and second fundamental forms, curvatures, area and volume enclosed. v could be time dependent and also related to the surface.

The scalar product in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} will be denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Usual derivatives with respect to the parameters in D are denoted by subscripts preceded by a comma; covariant derivatives by subscripts preceded by a semicolon. Thus the coefficients of the first fundamental form I , are

$$g_{ij} = \langle f_{,i}, f_{,j} \rangle, \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, \dots, d.$$

The surface is assumed to be orientable, exterior normal is n . The vectors $\{n, f_{,1}, \dots, f_{,d}\}$ computed at any $\alpha \in D$ form a basis of \mathbb{R}^{d+1} .

Velocity decomposition, evolution of normal

$$v = an + b^j f_{,j}$$

$$a = \langle n, v \rangle, \quad b^j = g^{jk} \langle f_{,k}, v \rangle$$

Velocity decomposition, evolution of normal

$$v = an + b^j f_{,j}$$

$$a = \langle n, v \rangle, \quad b^j = g^{jk} \langle f_{,k}, v \rangle$$

where g^{jk} are the coefficients of the inverse matrix I^{-1} of I . The coefficients b^j determine a tangent vector b to f .

Velocity decomposition, evolution of normal

$$v = an + b^j f_j$$

$$a = \langle n, v \rangle, \quad b^j = g^{jk} \langle f_k, v \rangle$$

where g^{jk} are the coefficients of the inverse matrix I^{-1} of I . The coefficients b^j determine a tangent vector b to f . Because n is a unit vector, $\partial_t n$ is a linear combination of f_j . Moreover, $\langle f_k, n \rangle = 0$, so $\langle f_k, \frac{\partial n}{\partial t} \rangle = - \langle \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial t}, n \rangle$. Also,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f_{,k} = a_{,k} n + a n_{,k} + b^j_{,k} f_j + b^j f_{,jk},$$

and we obtain the evolution of n :

Velocity decomposition, evolution of normal

$$v = an + b^j f_j$$

$$a = \langle n, v \rangle, \quad b^j = g^{jk} \langle f_k, v \rangle$$

where g^{jk} are the coefficients of the inverse matrix I^{-1} of I . The coefficients b^j determine a tangent vector b to f . Because n is a unit vector, $\partial_t n$ is a linear combination of f_j . Moreover, $\langle f_k, n \rangle = 0$, so $\langle f_k, \frac{\partial n}{\partial t} \rangle = - \langle \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial t}, n \rangle$. Also,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f_{,k} = a_{,k} n + a n_{,k} + b^j_{,k} f_j + b^j f_{,jk},$$

and we obtain the evolution of n :

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} = -g^{ik} f_i (a_{,k} + b^l h_{lk})$$

Velocity decomposition, evolution of normal

$$v = an + b^j f_{,j}$$

$$a = \langle n, v \rangle, \quad b^j = g^{jk} \langle f_{,k}, v \rangle$$

where g^{jk} are the coefficients of the inverse matrix I^{-1} of I . **The coefficients b^j determine a tangent vector b to f .** Because n is a unit vector, $\partial_t n$ is a linear combination of $f_{,j}$. Moreover, $\langle f_{,k}, n \rangle = 0$, so $\langle f_{,k}, \frac{\partial n}{\partial t} \rangle = - \langle \frac{\partial f_{,k}}{\partial t}, n \rangle$. Also,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f_{,k} = a_{,k} n + a n_{,k} + b^j_{,k} f_{,j} + b^j f_{,jk},$$

and we obtain the evolution of n :

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} = -g^{ik} f_{,i} (a_{,k} + b^l h_{lk})$$

where h_{jk} are the coefficients of the second fundamental form II :

$$h_{jk} = \langle f_{,jk}, n \rangle = - \langle f_{,j}, n_{,k} \rangle$$

Evolution of first and second fundamental forms

Recall $\langle f_{,pi}, f_{,j} \rangle = [pi, j]$, the Christoffel symbols of the second kind $\Gamma_{pi}^r = g^{rj}[pi, j]$ and

$$b_{;i}^r = b_{,i}^r + \Gamma_{pi}^r b^p,$$

the covariant gradient of the tangent vector b . We obtain after calculations:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} I = -2aII + I\nabla b + (\nabla b)^* I$$

where $(\nabla b)^*$ is the transposed of $\nabla b = (b_{;i}^r)$

Evolution of first and second fundamental forms

Recall $\langle f_{,pi}, f_{,j} \rangle = [p_i, j]$, the Christoffel symbols of the second kind $\Gamma_{pi}^r = g^{rj}[p_i, j]$ and

$$b_{;i}^r = b_{,i}^r + \Gamma_{pi}^r b^p,$$

the covariant gradient of the tangent vector b . We obtain after calculations:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} I = -2a_{ll} + I \nabla b + (\nabla b)^* I$$

where $(\nabla b)^*$ is the transposed of $\nabla b = (b_{;i}^j)$ and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} II = \nabla \nabla a - a II (I^{-1}) II + L_b(II)$$

where $\nabla \nabla a$ is the matrix:

$$a_{,kl} = a_{,kl} - \Gamma_{kl}^p a_{,p}.$$

and where $L_b(II)$ is the Lie derivative of II given by

$$(L_b(II))_{kl} = b^j h_{kl,j} + b_{,k}^j h_{jl} + b_{,l}^j h_{jk}.$$

Evolution of the Weingarten map, volume element

The Weingarten map is $W = I^{-1} II$:

$$w_k^j = g^{jp} h_{pk}.$$

Evolution of the Weingarten map, volume element

The Weingarten map is $W = I^{-1} II$:

$$w_k^j = g^{jp} h_{pk}.$$

After calculations:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} W = aW^2 + I^{-1} \nabla \nabla a + L_b(W)$$

where the Lie derivative of W , $L_b(W)$ is

$$(L_b(W))^i_j = b^k W_{j,k}^i + W_k^i b_{,j}^k - W_j^k b_{,k}^i.$$

Evolution of the Weingarten map, volume element

The Weingarten map is $W = I^{-1} II$:

$$w_k^j = g^{jp} h_{pk}.$$

After calculations:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} W = aW^2 + I^{-1} \nabla \nabla a + L_b(W)$$

where the Lie derivative of W , $L_b(W)$ is

$$(L_b(W))^i_j = b^k W_{j,k}^i + W_k^i b_{,j}^k - W_j^k b_{,k}^i.$$

The curvatures are the invariants of W .

Evolution of the Weingarten map, volume element

The Weingarten map is $W = I^{-1} //$:

$$w_k^j = g^{jp} h_{pk}.$$

After calculations:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} W = aW^2 + I^{-1} \nabla \nabla a + L_b(W)$$

where the Lie derivative of W , $L_b(W)$ is

$$(L_b(W))^i_j = b^k W_{j,k}^i + W_k^i b_{,j}^k - W_j^k b_{,k}^i.$$

The curvatures are the invariants of W . Denoting by g the determinant of I we obtain from the evolution of I

Evolution of the Weingarten map, volume element

The Weingarten map is $W = I^{-1} II$:

$$w_k^j = g^{jp} h_{pk}.$$

After calculations:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} W = aW^2 + I^{-1} \nabla \nabla a + L_b(W)$$

where the Lie derivative of W , $L_b(W)$ is

$$(L_b(W))^i_j = b^k W_{j,k}^i + W_k^i b_{,j}^k - W_j^k b_{,k}^i.$$

The curvatures are the invariants of W . Denoting by g the determinant of I we obtain from the evolution of I

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sqrt{g} = (-adH + \nabla \cdot b) \sqrt{g}$$

where the divergence and mean curvature are

$$\nabla \cdot b = b_{,j}^j$$

$$H = \frac{1}{d} \text{Trace } W.$$

Note that immersions persist as immersions ($g \neq 0$) as long as the evolution is smooth.

Total area, mean curvature

The total area

$$A = \int \sqrt{g} d\alpha = \int_f dS$$

satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dt} A = -d \int aH \sqrt{g} d\alpha = -d \int_f aH dS.$$

Total area, mean curvature

The total area

$$A = \int \sqrt{g} d\alpha = \int_f dS$$

satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dt} A = -d \int aH \sqrt{g} d\alpha = -d \int_f aH dS.$$

If the surface f encloses a bounded region Ω in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} then the volume V of this region evolves according to

$$\frac{d}{dt} V = \int a \sqrt{g} d\alpha = \int_f a dS.$$

Total area, mean curvature

The total area

$$A = \int \sqrt{g} d\alpha = \int_f dS$$

satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dt} A = -d \int a H \sqrt{g} d\alpha = -d \int_f a H dS.$$

If the surface f encloses a bounded region Ω in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} then the volume V of this region evolves according to

$$\frac{d}{dt} V = \int a \sqrt{g} d\alpha = \int_f a dS.$$

Using

$$\text{Trace } l^{-1} \nabla \nabla a = \Delta_f(a)$$

and taking the trace of the evolution of the Weingarten map we obtain the equation for H

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} H = \frac{1}{d} \left(a \text{Trace}(W^2) + \Delta_f(a) \right) + b^j H_j.$$

Example: $d=2$, surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3

The determinant of W is the Gauss curvature K .

Example: $d=2$, surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3

The determinant of W is the Gauss curvature K . The equation for the mean curvature becomes

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} H = (2H^2 - K)a + \frac{1}{2} \Delta_f(a) + b^j H_j$$

Example: $d=2$, surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3

The determinant of W is the Gauss curvature K . The equation for the mean curvature becomes

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} H = (2H^2 - K)a + \frac{1}{2} \Delta_f(a) + b^j H_j$$

and the equation for the Gauss curvature is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} K = 2aHK + \text{Trace} \left(\widetilde{W}(I^{-1} \nabla \nabla a + L_b(W)) \right)$$

where $\widetilde{W} = (\text{Trace } W)\text{Id} - W$.

Example: $d=2$, surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3

The determinant of W is the Gauss curvature K . The equation for the mean curvature becomes

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} H = (2H^2 - K)a + \frac{1}{2} \Delta_f(a) + b^j H_j$$

and the equation for the Gauss curvature is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} K = 2aHK + \text{Trace} \left(\widetilde{W}(I^{-1} \nabla \nabla a + L_b(W)) \right)$$

where $\widetilde{W} = (\text{Trace } W)\text{Id} - W$. We note

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (K\sqrt{g}) &= \sqrt{g} \left[\text{Trace} \left(\widetilde{W}(I^{-1} \nabla \nabla a + L_b(W)) \right) + Kb^j_j \right] \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha^j} \left(\sqrt{g} g^{jj} \widetilde{W}_j^k \frac{\partial a}{\partial \alpha^k} + b^j K \sqrt{g} \right) \end{aligned}$$

verifies the time independence of the Gauss-Bonnet formula $\int_f K dS = \chi(f)$.

Example: $d=1$, plane curves.

We write $f(\alpha) = z(\alpha)$. Usual differentiation with respect to the only variable (other than time) is denoted by a prime. The Weingarten matrix is simply the curvature κ of the curve z . The Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_f is the second derivative with respect to arclength. We obtain:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \kappa = a\kappa^2 + \frac{d^2}{ds^2} a + b\kappa'$$

where $\frac{d}{ds} = |z'|^{-1} \frac{d}{d\alpha}$.

Example: $d=1$, plane curves.

We write $f(\alpha) = z(\alpha)$. Usual differentiation with respect to the only variable (other than time) is denoted by a prime. The Weingarten matrix is simply the curvature κ of the curve z . The Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_f is the second derivative with respect to arclength. We obtain:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \kappa = a\kappa^2 + \frac{d^2}{ds^2} a + b\kappa'$$

where $\frac{d}{ds} = |z'|^{-1} \frac{d}{d\alpha}$. The equation for the volume element $\sqrt{g} = |z'|$ becomes:

$$\frac{d}{dt} |z'| = -a\kappa |z'| + (b|z'|)'$$

Example: $d=1$, plane curves.

We write $f(\alpha) = z(\alpha)$. Usual differentiation with respect to the only variable (other than time) is denoted by a prime. The Weingarten matrix is simply the curvature κ of the curve z . The Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_f is the second derivative with respect to arclength. We obtain:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \kappa = a\kappa^2 + \frac{d^2}{ds^2} a + b\kappa'$$

where $\frac{d}{ds} = |z'|^{-1} \frac{d}{d\alpha}$. The equation for the volume element $\sqrt{g} = |z'|$ becomes:

$$\frac{d}{dt} |z'| = -a\kappa |z'| + (b|z'|)'$$

We note that the time invariance of the rotation number $\int_f \kappa ds$ follows:

Example: $d=1$, plane curves.

We write $f(\alpha) = z(\alpha)$. Usual differentiation with respect to the only variable (other than time) is denoted by a prime. The Weingarten matrix is simply the curvature κ of the curve z . The Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_f is the second derivative with respect to arclength. We obtain:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \kappa = a\kappa^2 + \frac{d^2}{ds^2} a + b\kappa'$$

where $\frac{d}{ds} = |z'|^{-1} \frac{d}{d\alpha}$. The equation for the volume element $\sqrt{g} = |z'|$ becomes:

$$\frac{d}{dt} |z'| = -a\kappa |z'| + (b|z'|)'$$

We note that the time invariance of the rotation number $\int_f \kappa ds$ follows: the quantity $q = \kappa |z'|$ obeys the conservation law

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} q = (|z'|^{-1} a' + bq)'$$

Examples: geometric evolution, $d=1$

Geometric evolution is local, v depends locally on f .

Examples: geometric evolution, $d=1$

Geometric evolution is local, v depends locally on f . 1) Unit normal speed, $a = 1$, $b = 0$.

Examples: geometric evolution, $d=1$

Geometric evolution is local, v depends locally on f . 1) Unit normal speed, $a = 1$, $b = 0$. Curvature equation:

$$\kappa_t = \kappa^2.$$

Examples: geometric evolution, $d=1$

Geometric evolution is local, v depends locally on f . 1) Unit normal speed, $a = 1$, $b = 0$. Curvature equation:

$$\kappa_t = \kappa^2.$$

2) Evolution by curvature: $a = \kappa$, $b = 0$.

Examples: geometric evolution, $d=1$

Geometric evolution is local, v depends locally on f . 1) Unit normal speed, $a = 1$, $b = 0$. Curvature equation:

$$\kappa_t = \kappa^2.$$

2) Evolution by curvature: $a = \kappa$, $b = 0$. Curvature equation

$$\partial_t \kappa = \kappa^3 + \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \kappa$$

semilinear heat equation. Self-similar blow up, finite time extinction:
 $\frac{d}{dt} A = - \int_f \kappa^2 ds$, $\int_f \kappa ds = 1$, Schwartz:

$$1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} A^2 \leq 0.$$

Examples: geometric evolution, $d=1$

Geometric evolution is local, v depends locally on f . 1) Unit normal speed, $a = 1$, $b = 0$. Curvature equation:

$$\kappa_t = \kappa^2.$$

2) Evolution by curvature: $a = \kappa$, $b = 0$. Curvature equation

$$\partial_t \kappa = \kappa^3 + \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \kappa$$

semilinear heat equation. Self-similar blow up, finite time extinction:
 $\frac{d}{dt} A = - \int_f \kappa^2 ds$, $\int_f \kappa ds = 1$, Schwartz:

$$1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} A^2 \leq 0.$$

3) Evolution by arclength derivative of curvature: $a = \kappa_s$, $b = 0$.

Length (A) is conserved $\frac{d}{dt} A = 0$. Curvature equation= modified KdV:

$$\partial_t \kappa = \kappa^2 \kappa_s + \frac{d^3}{ds^3} \kappa$$

Does not blow up, completely integrable.

Examples $d=1$: incompressible fluid evolution

If v is a fluid velocity, $v(x, y, t) = \nabla^\perp \Psi(x, y, t)$ and $f = z(\alpha, t)$, then

Examples $d=1$: incompressible fluid evolution

If v is a fluid velocity, $v(x, y, t) = \nabla^\perp \Psi(x, y, t)$ and $f = z(\alpha, t)$, then

$$a(\alpha, t) = \nabla^\perp \Psi \cdot n = -\frac{1}{|z'(\alpha, t)|} \partial_\alpha (\Psi(z(\alpha, t), t))$$

Examples $d=1$: incompressible fluid evolution

If v is a fluid velocity, $v(x, y, t) = \nabla^\perp \Psi(x, y, t)$ and $f = z(\alpha, t)$, then

$$a(\alpha, t) = \nabla^\perp \Psi \cdot n = -\frac{1}{|z'(\alpha, t)|} \partial_\alpha (\Psi(z(\alpha, t), t))$$

and

$$b(\alpha, t) = \frac{1}{|z'(\alpha, t)|} n \cdot \nabla \Psi(x, y, t)|_{(x,y)=z(\alpha,t)}$$

The fluid obeys equations (Navier-Stokes, Euler, Hele-Shaw, Boussinesq, SQG, porous medium, etc). If the interface is passively carried, then a and b are given.

Examples $d=1$: incompressible fluid evolution

If v is a fluid velocity, $v(x, y, t) = \nabla^\perp \Psi(x, y, t)$ and $f = z(\alpha, t)$, then

$$a(\alpha, t) = \nabla^\perp \Psi \cdot n = -\frac{1}{|z'(\alpha, t)|} \partial_\alpha (\Psi(z(\alpha, t), t))$$

and

$$b(\alpha, t) = \frac{1}{|z'(\alpha, t)|} n \cdot \nabla \Psi(x, y, t)|_{(x,y)=z(\alpha,t)}$$

The fluid obeys equations (Navier-Stokes, Euler, Hele-Shaw, Boussinesq, SQG, porous medium, etc). If the interface is passively carried, then a and b are given. However, if the interface is dynamic, i.e. it influences the fluid, then the equations become nonlocal via stress balances at the interface.

Examples $d=1$: incompressible fluid evolution

If v is a fluid velocity, $v(x, y, t) = \nabla^\perp \Psi(x, y, t)$ and $f = z(\alpha, t)$, then

$$a(\alpha, t) = \nabla^\perp \Psi \cdot n = -\frac{1}{|z'(\alpha, t)|} \partial_\alpha (\Psi(z(\alpha, t), t))$$

and

$$b(\alpha, t) = \frac{1}{|z'(\alpha, t)|} n \cdot \nabla \Psi(x, y, t)|_{(x,y)=z(\alpha,t)}$$

The fluid obeys equations (Navier-Stokes, Euler, Hele-Shaw, Boussinesq, SQG, porous medium, etc). If the interface is passively carried, then a and b are given. However, if the interface is dynamic, i.e. it influences the fluid, then the equations become nonlocal via stress balances at the interface. **Simplest example: Hele-Shaw.** $v = \nabla p$. The fluid domain Ω is bounded by the curve $f = z(\alpha, t)$.

Examples $d=1$: incompressible fluid evolution

If v is a fluid velocity, $v(x, y, t) = \nabla^\perp \Psi(x, y, t)$ and $f = z(\alpha, t)$, then

$$a(\alpha, t) = \nabla^\perp \Psi \cdot n = -\frac{1}{|z'(\alpha, t)|} \partial_\alpha (\Psi(z(\alpha, t), t))$$

and

$$b(\alpha, t) = \frac{1}{|z'(\alpha, t)|} n \cdot \nabla \Psi(x, y, t)|_{(x,y)=z(\alpha,t)}$$

The fluid obeys equations (Navier-Stokes, Euler, Hele-Shaw, Boussinesq, SQG, porous medium, etc). If the interface is passively carried, then a and b are given. However, if the interface is dynamic, i.e. it influences the fluid, then the equations become nonlocal via stress balances at the interface. **Simplest example: Hele-Shaw.**

$v = \nabla p$. The fluid domain Ω is bounded by the curve $f = z(\alpha, t)$. Irrotational flow, $\Delta p = 0$, and stress balance $p = \gamma \kappa$ at the interface.

Examples $d=1$: incompressible fluid evolution

If v is a fluid velocity, $v(x, y, t) = \nabla^\perp \Psi(x, y, t)$ and $f = z(\alpha, t)$, then

$$a(\alpha, t) = \nabla^\perp \Psi \cdot n = -\frac{1}{|z'(\alpha, t)|} \partial_\alpha (\Psi(z(\alpha, t), t))$$

and

$$b(\alpha, t) = \frac{1}{|z'(\alpha, t)|} n \cdot \nabla \Psi(x, y, t)|_{(x,y)=z(\alpha,t)}$$

The fluid obeys equations (Navier-Stokes, Euler, Hele-Shaw, Boussinesq, SQG, porous medium, etc). If the interface is passively carried, then a and b are given. However, if the interface is dynamic, i.e. it influences the fluid, then the equations become nonlocal via stress balances at the interface. **Simplest example: Hele-Shaw.**

$v = \nabla p$. The fluid domain Ω is bounded by the curve $f = z(\alpha, t)$. Irrotational flow, $\Delta p = 0$, and stress balance $p = \gamma \kappa$ at the interface. $\gamma = 0$ ill-posed. $\gamma > 0$, large data problem is open.

$$a = n \cdot \nabla p(x, y, t)|_{(x,y)=z(\alpha,t)}$$

is the Dirichlet-to-Neumann of $\gamma \kappa$.

Example, $d = 1$: Irrotational inviscid flow

Irrotational 2d Euler flow. Then $v = \nabla\Phi$. Let Ω be the fluid domain and let $f = \partial\Omega$. Bernoulli:

$$\partial_t\Phi + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla\Phi|^2 + p = 0$$

in the fluid region Ω . At the interface

$$p = \gamma\kappa$$

Example, $d = 1$: Irrotational inviscid flow

Irrotational 2d Euler flow. Then $v = \nabla\Phi$. Let Ω be the fluid domain and let $f = \partial\Omega$. Bernoulli:

$$\partial_t\Phi + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla\Phi|^2 + p = 0$$

in the fluid region Ω . At the interface

$$p = \gamma\kappa$$

Computing

$$a(\alpha, t) = n \cdot \nabla\Phi(x, y, t)|_{(x,y)=z(\alpha,t)}$$

$$b(\alpha, t) = \frac{1}{|z'(\alpha, t)|^2} \partial_\alpha(\Phi(z(\alpha, t), t))$$

The normal derivative $a = \Lambda\phi$, Dirichlet-to-Neumann, $\phi = \Phi|_f$. If $\gamma = 0$ problem can be ill posed (Ebin). If $\gamma > 0$, pinchoff computed (Day-Hinch-Lister), but problem largely open.

Slender jets

Axisymmetric Navier-Stokes without swirl, with surface tension and gravity. Variables r, x . Interface:

$$r = h(x, t)$$

Boundary conditions:

$$\left(p\mathbb{I} - \nu \left(\nabla \mathbf{v} + \nabla \mathbf{v}^T \right) \right) \cdot \mathbf{n} = \gamma H \mathbf{n}$$

Assume: slender jet, i.e. distances across r much smaller than along x . Eggers-Dupont '94: systematic derivation of equations for $h(x, t)$ and axial velocity $u(x, t)$

$$\partial_t h + u \partial_x h = -\frac{1}{2} h \partial_x u,$$

$$\partial_t u + u \partial_x u + \gamma \partial_x \left(\frac{1}{h} \right) = 3\nu \frac{\partial_x (h^2 \partial_x u)}{h^2} - g,$$

Finite time pinchoff, matching experiments (Nagel et al). Viscous forces cannot be neglected at pinchoff. Irrotationality fails.

Compressible degenerate viscous flow, and active potentials

$$\partial_t \rho + \partial_x(u\rho) = 0,$$

$$\partial_t(\rho u) + \partial_x(\rho u^2) = -\partial_x p(\rho) + \partial_x(\mu(\rho)\partial_x u) + \rho f$$

$$(\rho, u)|_{t=0} = (\rho_0, u_0)$$

Compressible degenerate viscous flow, and active potentials

$$\partial_t \rho + \partial_x(u\rho) = 0,$$

$$\partial_t(\rho u) + \partial_x(\rho u^2) = -\partial_x p(\rho) + \partial_x(\mu(\rho)\partial_x u) + \rho f$$

$$(\rho, u)|_{t=0} = (\rho_0, u_0)$$

with constitutive laws

$$p(\rho) = c_p \rho^\gamma, \quad \mu(\rho) = c_\mu \rho^\alpha, \quad c_p \neq 0, \quad c_\mu > 0.$$

Compressible degenerate viscous flow, and active potentials

$$\partial_t \rho + \partial_x(u\rho) = 0,$$

$$\partial_t(\rho u) + \partial_x(\rho u^2) = -\partial_x p(\rho) + \partial_x(\mu(\rho)\partial_x u) + \rho f$$

$$(\rho, u)|_{t=0} = (\rho_0, u_0)$$

with constitutive laws

$$p(\rho) = c_p \rho^\gamma, \quad \mu(\rho) = c_\mu \rho^\alpha, \quad c_p \neq 0, \quad c_\mu > 0.$$

Contain: viscous shallow water:

$$p(\rho) = \frac{g}{2}\rho^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(\rho) = 4\nu\rho,$$

Compressible degenerate viscous flow, and active potentials

$$\partial_t \rho + \partial_x(u\rho) = 0,$$

$$\partial_t(\rho u) + \partial_x(\rho u^2) = -\partial_x p(\rho) + \partial_x(\mu(\rho)\partial_x u) + \rho f$$

$$(\rho, u)|_{t=0} = (\rho_0, u_0)$$

with constitutive laws

$$p(\rho) = c_p \rho^\gamma, \quad \mu(\rho) = c_\mu \rho^\alpha, \quad c_p \neq 0, \quad c_\mu > 0.$$

Contain: viscous shallow water:

$$p(\rho) = \frac{g}{2}\rho^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(\rho) = 4\nu\rho,$$

and Eggers-Dupont equations, with $\rho = h^2$ and

$$p(\rho) = -\gamma\sqrt{\rho} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(\rho) = 3\nu\rho.$$

Note negative pressure law!

Compressible degenerate viscous flow, and active potentials

$$\partial_t \rho + \partial_x(u\rho) = 0,$$

$$\partial_t(\rho u) + \partial_x(\rho u^2) = -\partial_x p(\rho) + \partial_x(\mu(\rho)\partial_x u) + \rho f$$

$$(\rho, u)|_{t=0} = (\rho_0, u_0)$$

with constitutive laws

$$p(\rho) = c_p \rho^\gamma, \quad \mu(\rho) = c_\mu \rho^\alpha, \quad c_p \neq 0, \quad c_\mu > 0.$$

Contain: viscous shallow water:

$$p(\rho) = \frac{g}{2}\rho^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(\rho) = 4\nu\rho,$$

and Eggers-Dupont equations, with $\rho = h^2$ and

$$p(\rho) = -\gamma\sqrt{\rho} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(\rho) = 3\nu\rho.$$

Note negative pressure law! Note $\gamma \neq \gamma!$

No singularity without pinchoff

Let $\mathbb{T} = [0, 1]$. We consider periodic boundary conditions.

Theorem

(Drivas, Nguyen, Pasqualotto, C, '18). Let f be smooth enough,

$$f \in L^2(0, T; H^{k-1}(\mathbb{T})),$$

$k \geq 3$, $T > 0$. Assume either one of

A) $c_p > 0$ and $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$, $\gamma \neq 1$, $\gamma \geq \alpha - \frac{1}{2}$ (covering viscous shallow water)

No singularity without pinchoff

Let $\mathbb{T} = [0, 1]$. We consider periodic boundary conditions.

Theorem

(Drivas, Nguyen, Pasqualotto, C, '18). Let f be smooth enough,

$$f \in L^2(0, T; H^{k-1}(\mathbb{T})),$$

$k \geq 3$, $T > 0$. Assume either one of

A) $c_p > 0$ and $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$, $\gamma \neq 1$, $\gamma \geq \alpha - \frac{1}{2}$ (covering viscous shallow water) or

B) $c_p < 0$ and $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha \leq \frac{3}{2}$, $\gamma < 1$, $0 < \gamma \leq \alpha$ (covering Eggers-Dupont equations).

Then solutions (u, ρ) on $[0, T^*)$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{T \in [0, T^*)} \|\rho\|_{L^\infty(0, T; H^k)} + \sup_{T \in [0, T^*)} \|u\|_{L^\infty(0, T; H^k)} \\ & + \sup_{T \in [0, T^*)} \|u\|_{L^2(0, T; H^{k+1})} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

and can be uniquely continued past T^* if

$$\inf_{t \in [0, T^*)} \min_{x \in \mathbb{T}} \rho(x, t) > 0.$$

Elements of the proof

The proof is technical and uses higher energy methods building on:
Energy

$$\mathbf{e} := \frac{1}{2} \rho |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \pi(\rho), \quad \pi(\rho) = \rho \int_{\bar{\rho}}^{\rho} \frac{p(s)}{s^2} ds.$$

Elements of the proof

The proof is technical and uses higher energy methods building on:
Energy

$$e := \frac{1}{2}\rho|u|^2 + \pi(\rho), \quad \pi(\rho) = \rho \int_{\bar{\rho}}^{\rho} \frac{p(s)}{s^2} ds.$$

balance,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{T}} e(x, t) dx = - \int_{\mathbb{T}} \mu(\rho) |\partial_x u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}} f \rho u dx,$$

Elements of the proof

The proof is technical and uses higher energy methods building on:
Energy

$$e := \frac{1}{2}\rho|u|^2 + \pi(\rho), \quad \pi(\rho) = \rho \int_{\bar{\rho}}^{\rho} \frac{p(s)}{s^2} ds.$$

balance,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{T}} e(x, t) dx = - \int_{\mathbb{T}} \mu(\rho) |\partial_x u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}} f \rho u dx,$$

Bresch-Desjardins entropy

$$s := \frac{\rho}{2} \left| u + \frac{\partial_x \rho}{\rho^2} \mu(\rho) \right|^2 + \pi(\rho).$$

Elements of the proof

The proof is technical and uses higher energy methods building on:
Energy

$$e := \frac{1}{2}\rho|u|^2 + \pi(\rho), \quad \pi(\rho) = \rho \int_{\bar{\rho}}^{\rho} \frac{p(s)}{s^2} ds.$$

balance,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{T}} e(x, t) dx = - \int_{\mathbb{T}} \mu(\rho) |\partial_x u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}} f \rho u dx,$$

Bresch-Desjardins entropy

$$s := \frac{\rho}{2} \left| u + \frac{\partial_x \rho}{\rho^2} \mu(\rho) \right|^2 + \pi(\rho).$$

balance

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{T}} s(x, t) dx = - \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\partial_x \rho|^2 \mu(\rho) \frac{p'(\rho)}{\rho^2} dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}} f \rho \left(u + \frac{\partial_x \rho}{\rho^2} \mu(\rho) \right) dx$$

and

The active potential

$$w = -p(\rho) + \mu(\rho)\partial_x u.$$

If $f = 0$ the force balance equation is

$$\rho D_t u = \partial_x w,$$

hence the name.

The active potential

$$w = -p(\rho) + \mu(\rho)\partial_x u.$$

If $f = 0$ the force balance equation is

$$\rho D_t u = \partial_x w,$$

hence the name. The active potential obeys a nonlinear heat equation with nondegenerate or less degenerate diffusivity $\frac{\mu(\rho)}{\rho}$ than the momentum equation.

The active potential

$$w = -p(\rho) + \mu(\rho)\partial_x u.$$

If $f = 0$ the force balance equation is

$$\rho D_t u = \partial_x w,$$

hence the name. The active potential obeys a nonlinear heat equation with nondegenerate or less degenerate diffusivity $\frac{\mu(\rho)}{\rho}$ than the momentum equation. Bounds for the norms of the active potential are obtained using energy estimates, and used to close higher energy estimates for the momentum and density.

Hele-Shaw

Two dimensional potential flow with surface tension. $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, $u = \nabla p$,
 $f = \partial\Omega$, with

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta p &= 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ p &= \gamma\kappa & \text{at } f\end{aligned}$$

Hele-Shaw

Two dimensional potential flow with surface tension. $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, $u = \nabla p$,
 $f = \partial\Omega$, with

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta p &= 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ p &= \gamma\kappa & \text{at } f\end{aligned}$$

V = area of Ω , A = length of $\partial\Omega$.

Hele-Shaw

Two dimensional potential flow with surface tension. $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, $u = \nabla p$,
 $f = \partial\Omega$, with

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta p &= 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ p &= \gamma\kappa & \text{at } f\end{aligned}$$

V = area of Ω , A = length of $\partial\Omega$. From previous general kinematics:

$$\frac{d}{dt}V = \int_f a dS$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt}A = - \int_f a\kappa ds.$$

Hele-Shaw

Two dimensional potential flow with surface tension. $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, $u = \nabla p$,
 $f = \partial\Omega$, with

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta p &= 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ p &= \gamma\kappa & \text{at } f\end{aligned}$$

V = area of Ω , A = length of $\partial\Omega$. From previous general kinematics:

$$\frac{d}{dt}V = \int_f a dS$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt}A = - \int_f a\kappa ds.$$

But

$$a = \frac{\partial p}{\partial n}$$

Hele-Shaw

Two dimensional potential flow with surface tension. $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, $u = \nabla p$,
 $f = \partial\Omega$, with

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta p &= 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ p &= \gamma\kappa & \text{at } f\end{aligned}$$

V = area of Ω , A = length of $\partial\Omega$. From previous general kinematics:

$$\frac{d}{dt}V = \int_f a dS$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt}A = - \int_f a\kappa ds.$$

But

$$a = \frac{\partial p}{\partial n}$$

so

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial p}{\partial n} dS = 0$$

and

$$\frac{dA}{dt} = -\frac{1}{\gamma} \int_{\partial\Omega} p \frac{\partial p}{\partial n} dS = -\frac{1}{\gamma} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla p|^2 dx < 0$$

Hele-Shaw neck model

Area constant, length decreases: Disks stable (M. Pugh thesis),

Hele-Shaw neck model

Area constant, length decreases: Disks stable (M. Pugh thesis), but a dumbbell? Math: open problem. Thin neck forms.

Hele-Shaw neck model

Area constant, length decreases: Disks stable (M. Pugh thesis), but a dumbbell? Math: open problem. Thin neck forms. Model (C-Dupont-Goldstein-Kadanoff-Shelley-Zhou) 1993, using lubrication approximation: put x along the neck and neglect y .

Hele-Shaw neck model

Area constant, length decreases: Disks stable (M. Pugh thesis), but a dumbbell? Math: open problem. Thin neck forms. Model (C-Dupont-Goldstein-Kadanoff-Shelley-Zhou) 1993, using lubrication approximation: put x along the neck and neglect y .

$$\begin{cases} u = p_x, \\ p = \kappa = h_{xx}, \\ \partial_t h + \partial_x(hu) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Hele-Shaw neck model

Area constant, length decreases: Disks stable (M. Pugh thesis), but a dumbbell? Math: open problem. Thin neck forms. Model (C-Dupont-Goldstein-Kadanoff-Shelley-Zhou) 1993, using lubrication approximation: put x along the neck and neglect y .

$$\begin{cases} u = p_x, \\ p = \kappa = h_{xx}, \\ \partial_t h + \partial_x(hu) = 0 \end{cases}$$

which is

$$\partial_t h + \partial_x(h\partial_x^3 h) = 0$$

for $x \in (-1, 1) = I$ and $t \geq 0$.

Hele-Shaw neck model

Area constant, length decreases: Disks stable (M. Pugh thesis), but a dumbbell? Math: open problem. Thin neck forms. Model (C-Dupont-Goldstein-Kadanoff-Shelley-Zhou) 1993, using lubrication approximation: put x along the neck and neglect y .

$$\begin{cases} u = p_x, \\ p = \kappa = h_{xx}, \\ \partial_t h + \partial_x(hu) = 0 \end{cases}$$

which is

$$\partial_t h + \partial_x(h\partial_x^3 h) = 0$$

for $x \in (-1, 1) = I$ and $t \geq 0$. Boundary conditions:

$$h(\pm 1, t) = 1, \quad \partial_x^2 h(\pm 1, t) = P > 0.$$

Hele-Shaw neck model

Area constant, length decreases: Disks stable (M. Pugh thesis), but a dumbbell? Math: open problem. Thin neck forms. Model (C-Dupont-Goldstein-Kadanoff-Shelley-Zhou) 1993, using lubrication approximation: put x along the neck and neglect y .

$$\begin{cases} u = p_x, \\ p = \kappa = h_{xx}, \\ \partial_t h + \partial_x(hu) = 0 \end{cases}$$

which is

$$\partial_t h + \partial_x(h\partial_x^3 h) = 0$$

for $x \in (-1, 1) = I$ and $t \geq 0$. Boundary conditions:

$$h(\pm 1, t) = 1, \quad \partial_x^2 h(\pm 1, t) = P > 0.$$

Computations showed self-similar behavior with infinite time pinchoff. Other data lead to finite time pinchoff.

Energy dissipation, steady states

The energy

$$E(h) = \frac{1}{2} \int_I |\partial_x h(x)|^2 dx + P \int_I h(x) dx$$

decays on solutions

$$\frac{d}{dt} E(h(t)) = -D(h(t))$$

where

$$D(h) = \int_I h(x) |\partial_x^3 h(x)|^2 dx.$$

Energy dissipation, steady states

The energy

$$E(h) = \frac{1}{2} \int_I |\partial_x h(x)|^2 dx + P \int_I h(x) dx$$

decays on solutions

$$\frac{d}{dt} E(h(t)) = -D(h(t))$$

where

$$D(h) = \int_I h(x) |\partial_x^3 h(x)|^2 dx.$$

The steady solutions:

$$h_P(x) = \frac{P}{2}(x^2 - 1) + 1,$$

if $P \leq 2$ and

$$h_P(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{P}{2}(|x| - x_P)^2, & \text{for } x_P \leq |x| \leq 1, \\ 0, & \text{for } |x| < x_P \end{cases}$$

for $P > 2$, with $x_P = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{P}}$.

Weak solutions, uniqueness and variational characterization

$$\partial_x(h\partial_x^3 h) = \partial_x^2(h\partial_x^2 h - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x h)^2).$$

Weak solutions, uniqueness and variational characterization

$$\partial_x(h\partial_x^3 h) = \partial_x^2(h\partial_x^2 h - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x h)^2).$$

Theorem

(CENV '17) The equation has global weak solutions $h(t)$ which are nonnegative, belong to C^2 near the boundary, satisfy the boundary conditions, and are in $L^2([0, T], H^2(I))$.

Weak solutions, uniqueness and variational characterization

$$\partial_x(h\partial_x^3 h) = \partial_x^2(h\partial_x^2 h - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x h)^2).$$

Theorem

(CENV '17) The equation has global weak solutions $h(t)$ which are nonnegative, belong to C^2 near the boundary, satisfy the boundary conditions, and are in $L^2([0, T], H^2(I))$.

Theorem

(CENV '17) If $h \geq 0$ and $h \in H^1(I)$ with $h(\pm 1) = 1$ then

$$E(h) \geq E(h_P).$$

Moreover, $E(h) = E(h_P)$ if and only if $h = h_P$.

Weak solutions, uniqueness and variational characterization

$$\partial_x(h\partial_x^3 h) = \partial_x^2(h\partial_x^2 h - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x h)^2).$$

Theorem

(CENV '17) The equation has global weak solutions $h(t)$ which are nonnegative, belong to C^2 near the boundary, satisfy the boundary conditions, and are in $L^2([0, T], H^2(I))$.

Theorem

(CENV '17) If $h \geq 0$ and $h \in H^1(I)$ with $h(\pm 1) = 1$ then

$$E(h) \geq E(h_P).$$

Moreover, $E(h) = E(h_P)$ if and only if $h = h_P$.

Let h_n be a sequence of nonnegative $H^3(I)$ functions satisfying the boundary conditions, which are uniformly bounded in $H^1(I)$ and satisfy $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D(h_n) = 0$. Then h_n converge weakly in $H^1(I)$ to h_P and strongly in $H^3_{loc}(\{x \mid h_P(x) > 0\})$.

Pinchoff

Theorem

(CENV) 1. If $P < 2$ then h_P is asymptotically stable in $H^1(I)$:

$$\|h(t) - h_P\|_{H^1(I)} \leq C \|h_0 - h_P\|_{H^1(I)} e^{-ct}$$

for $\|h_0 - h_P\|_{H^1(I)} \leq \delta$. Moreover $h(t)$ converge to h_P in $H^3(I)$.

Pinchoff

Theorem

(CENV) **1.** If $P < 2$ then h_P is asymptotically stable in $H^1(I)$:

$$\|h(t) - h_P\|_{H^1(I)} \leq C \|h_0 - h_P\|_{H^1(I)} e^{-ct}$$

for $\|h_0 - h_P\|_{H^1(I)} \leq \delta$. Moreover $h(t)$ converge to h_P in $H^3(I)$.

2. If $P \geq 2$, then starting from positive $h_0 \in H^3(I)$ the solution pinches off in finite time or in infinite time. If the pinchoff is in infinite time then there exists a sequence of times $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ such that $h(t_n)$ converges to h_P weakly in $H^1(I)$ and in $H^3_{loc}(\{x \mid h_P(x) > 0\})$.

Local existence, blow up= pinchoff

Let

$$X(T) = L^\infty([0, T]; H^3(I)) \cap L^2([0, T]; H^5(I))$$

Local existence, blow up= pinchoff

Let

$$X(T) = L^\infty([0, T]; H^3(I)) \cap L^2([0, T]; H^5(I))$$

Theorem

(CENV '17) Let $h_0 \in H^3(I)$ be a positive initial datum, satisfying the boundary conditions. Let $m(0) = \min_I h_0(x) > 0$. There exists a positive time $T > 0$ depending only on P , $\|h_0\|_{H^3(I)}$ and $m(0)$ such that the problem has a unique solution $h \in X(T)$ which satisfies
 $m(T) = \inf_{I \times [0, T]} h(x, t) > 0$.

Local existence, blow up= pinchoff

Let

$$X(T) = L^\infty([0, T]; H^3(I)) \cap L^2([0, T]; H^5(I))$$

Theorem

(CENV '17) Let $h_0 \in H^3(I)$ be a positive initial datum, satisfying the boundary conditions. Let $m(0) = \min_I h_0(x) > 0$. There exists a positive time $T > 0$ depending only on P , $\|h_0\|_{H^3(I)}$ and $m(0)$ such that the problem has a unique solution $h \in X(T)$ which satisfies $m(T) = \inf_{I \times [0, T]} h(x, t) > 0$. Moreover,

$$\|h\|_{X(T)} \leq \mathcal{F}(m(T)^{-1}, \|h_0\|_{H^3(I)})$$

holds with \mathcal{F} a continuous increasing function depending only on P .

Local existence, blow up= pinchoff

Let

$$X(T) = L^\infty([0, T]; H^3(I)) \cap L^2([0, T]; H^5(I))$$

Theorem

(CENV '17) Let $h_0 \in H^3(I)$ be a positive initial datum, satisfying the boundary conditions. Let $m(0) = \min_I h_0(x) > 0$. There exists a positive time $T > 0$ depending only on P , $\|h_0\|_{H^3(I)}$ and $m(0)$ such that the problem has a unique solution $h \in X(T)$ which satisfies $m(T) = \inf_{I \times [0, T]} h(x, t) > 0$. Moreover,

$$\|h\|_{X(T)} \leq \mathcal{F}(m(T)^{-1}, \|h_0\|_{H^3(I)})$$

holds with \mathcal{F} a continuous increasing function depending only on P .

Blow up requires $m(T) = 0$.

Local existence, blow up= pinchoff

Let

$$X(T) = L^\infty([0, T]; H^3(I)) \cap L^2([0, T]; H^5(I))$$

Theorem

(CENV '17) Let $h_0 \in H^3(I)$ be a positive initial datum, satisfying the boundary conditions. Let $m(0) = \min_I h_0(x) > 0$. There exists a positive time $T > 0$ depending only on P , $\|h_0\|_{H^3(I)}$ and $m(0)$ such that the problem has a unique solution $h \in X(T)$ which satisfies $m(T) = \inf_{I \times [0, T]} h(x, t) > 0$. Moreover,

$$\|h\|_{X(T)} \leq \mathcal{F}(m(T)^{-1}, \|h_0\|_{H^3(I)})$$

holds with \mathcal{F} a continuous increasing function depending only on P .

Blow up requires $m(T) = 0$. There exists a constant C such that

$$\int_0^T D(h(t)) dt \leq C(\|h_0\|_{H^3(I)} + 1)$$

Local existence, blow up= pinchoff

Let

$$X(T) = L^\infty([0, T]; H^3(I)) \cap L^2([0, T]; H^5(I))$$

Theorem

(CENV '17) Let $h_0 \in H^3(I)$ be a positive initial datum, satisfying the boundary conditions. Let $m(0) = \min_I h_0(x) > 0$. There exists a positive time $T > 0$ depending only on P , $\|h_0\|_{H^3(I)}$ and $m(0)$ such that the problem has a unique solution $h \in X(T)$ which satisfies $m(T) = \inf_{I \times [0, T]} h(x, t) > 0$. Moreover,

$$\|h\|_{X(T)} \leq \mathcal{F}(m(T)^{-1}, \|h_0\|_{H^3(I)})$$

holds with \mathcal{F} a continuous increasing function depending only on P .

Blow up requires $m(T) = 0$. There exists a constant C such that

$$\int_0^T D(h(t)) dt \leq C(\|h_0\|_{H^3(I)} + 1)$$

so $T = \infty$ triggers convergence to h_P .

Elements of proof, I

For the proof of convergence to h_P of a sequence h_n which is bounded in $H^1(I)$ and whose dissipation $D(h_n)$ converges to zero:

Elements of proof, I

For the proof of convergence to h_P of a sequence h_n which is bounded in $H^1(I)$ and whose dissipation $D(h_n)$ converges to zero: From the H^1 a priori bounds there is enough compactness to deduce that the sequence converges weakly in $H^1(I)$ and strongly in $C(I)$ to a nonnegative continuous function $h_\infty(x)$.

Elements of proof, I

For the proof of convergence to h_P of a sequence h_n which is bounded in $H^1(I)$ and whose dissipation $D(h_n)$ converges to zero: From the H^1 a priori bounds there is enough compactness to deduce that the sequence converges weakly in $H^1(I)$ and strongly in $C(I)$ to a nonnegative continuous function $h_\infty(x)$. This function is necessarily a parabola or a line segment on each interval where it does not vanish (from the vanishing of the dissipation).

Elements of proof, I

For the proof of convergence to h_P of a sequence h_n which is bounded in $H^1(I)$ and whose dissipation $D(h_n)$ converges to zero: From the H^1 a priori bounds there is enough compactness to deduce that the sequence converges weakly in $H^1(I)$ and strongly in $C(I)$ to a nonnegative continuous function $h_\infty(x)$. This function is necessarily a parabola or a line segment on each interval where it does not vanish (from the vanishing of the dissipation). An argument shows that because h_∞ is the vanishing dissipation limit of functions which are nonnegative at satisfy $h_{\pm 1} = 1$, it follows that it has to touch down at zero angle whenever it touches down. This then is used to imply that $h_\infty = h_P$.

Elements of the proof II

Linear problem

$$\partial_t h + \partial_x(g\partial_x^3 h) = 0$$

with the same boundary conditions ($h(\pm 1, t) = 1$, $\partial_x^2 h(\pm 1, t) = P$).

Take $m_g = \inf_{I \times [0, T]} g(x, t) > 0$.

Elements of the proof II

Linear problem

$$\partial_t h + \partial_x(g \partial_x^3 h) = 0$$

with the same boundary conditions ($h(\pm 1, t) = 1$, $\partial_x^2 h(\pm 1, t) = P$).

Take $m_g = \inf_{I \times [0, T]} g(x, t) > 0$. Obtain bounds of the form

$$\|h\|_{X(T)} \leq \mathcal{F}(m_g^{-1}, \|g\|_{L^\infty(I; H^2(I))}, \|\partial_t g\|_{L^1(I; L^\infty(I))}, \|h_0\|_{H^3(I)})$$

Elements of the proof II

Linear problem

$$\partial_t h + \partial_x(g \partial_x^3 h) = 0$$

with the same boundary conditions ($h(\pm 1, t) = 1$, $\partial_x^2 h(\pm 1, t) = P$).

Take $m_g = \inf_{I \times [0, T]} g(x, t) > 0$. Obtain bounds of the form

$$\|h\|_{X(T)} \leq \mathcal{F}(m_g^{-1}, \|g\|_{L^\infty(I; H^2(I))}, \|\partial_t g\|_{L^1(I; L^\infty(I))}, \|h_0\|_{H^3(I)})$$

The active potential

$$w = g \partial_x^3 h$$

obeys

$$w_t = -g \partial_x^4 w + \frac{\partial_t g}{g} w$$

with selfadjoint Neumann-Neumann boundary conditions

$\partial_x w(\pm 1, t) = \partial_x^3 w(\pm 1, t) = 0$ which follow from the boundary conditions for $\partial_t h$.

Elements of the proof II

Linear problem

$$\partial_t h + \partial_x(g \partial_x^3 h) = 0$$

with the same boundary conditions ($h(\pm 1, t) = 1$, $\partial_x^2 h(\pm 1, t) = P$).
Take $m_g = \inf_{I \times [0, T]} g(x, t) > 0$. Obtain bounds of the form

$$\|h\|_{X(T)} \leq \mathcal{F}(m_g^{-1}, \|g\|_{L^\infty(I; H^2(I))}, \|\partial_t g\|_{L^1(I; L^\infty(I))}, \|h_0\|_{H^3(I)})$$

The active potential

$$w = g \partial_x^3 h$$

obeys

$$w_t = -g \partial_x^4 w + \frac{\partial_t g}{g} w$$

with selfadjoint Neumann-Neumann boundary conditions
 $\partial_x w(\pm 1, t) = \partial_x^3 w(\pm 1, t) = 0$ which follow from the boundary conditions for $\partial_t h$. The active potential has therefore very good energy bounds, if $g > 0$ and $\partial_t g$ is not too bad. Approximations, bootstraps, high energy bounds...

Elements of the proof II

Linear problem

$$\partial_t h + \partial_x(g \partial_x^3 h) = 0$$

with the same boundary conditions ($h(\pm 1, t) = 1$, $\partial_x^2 h(\pm 1, t) = P$).
Take $m_g = \inf_{I \times [0, T]} g(x, t) > 0$. Obtain bounds of the form

$$\|h\|_{X(T)} \leq \mathcal{F}(m_g^{-1}, \|g\|_{L^\infty(I; H^2(I))}, \|\partial_t g\|_{L^1(I; L^\infty(I))}, \|h_0\|_{H^3(I)})$$

The active potential

$$w = g \partial_x^3 h$$

obeys

$$w_t = -g \partial_x^4 w + \frac{\partial_t g}{g} w$$

with selfadjoint Neumann-Neumann boundary conditions
 $\partial_x w(\pm 1, t) = \partial_x^3 w(\pm 1, t) = 0$ which follow from the boundary conditions for $\partial_t h$. The active potential has therefore very good energy bounds, if $g > 0$ and $\partial_t g$ is not too bad. Approximations, bootstraps, high energy bounds...

Inviscid limit

Incompressible Navier-Stokes for $u = u^{NS} = S^{NS}(t)u_0$:

$$\partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u - \nu \Delta u + \nabla p = f, \quad \nabla \cdot u = 0,$$

Inviscid limit

Incompressible Navier-Stokes for $u = u^{NS} = S^{NS}(t)u_0$:

$$\partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u - \nu \Delta u + \nabla p = f, \quad \nabla \cdot u = 0,$$

and incompressible Euler for $v = u^E = S^E(t)u_0$:

$$\partial_t v + v \cdot \nabla v + \nabla p = f, \quad \nabla \cdot v = 0.$$

Inviscid limit

Incompressible Navier-Stokes for $u = u^{NS} = S^{NS}(t)u_0$:

$$\partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u - \nu \Delta u + \nabla p = f, \quad \nabla \cdot u = 0,$$

and incompressible Euler for $v = u^E = S^E(t)u_0$:

$$\partial_t v + v \cdot \nabla v + \nabla p = f, \quad \nabla \cdot v = 0.$$

Boundary conditions: Navier-Stokes:

$$u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$

Inviscid limit

Incompressible Navier-Stokes for $u = u^{NS} = S^{NS}(t)u_0$:

$$\partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u - \nu \Delta u + \nabla p = f, \quad \nabla \cdot u = 0,$$

and incompressible Euler for $v = u^E = S^E(t)u_0$:

$$\partial_t v + v \cdot \nabla v + \nabla p = f, \quad \nabla \cdot v = 0.$$

Boundary conditions: Navier-Stokes:

$$u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$

Euler:

$$v|_{\partial\Omega} \cdot n = 0$$

Inviscid limit

Incompressible Navier-Stokes for $u = u^{NS} = S^{NS}(t)u_0$:

$$\partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u - \nu \Delta u + \nabla p = f, \quad \nabla \cdot u = 0,$$

and incompressible Euler for $v = u^E = S^E(t)u_0$:

$$\partial_t v + v \cdot \nabla v + \nabla p = f, \quad \nabla \cdot v = 0.$$

Boundary conditions: Navier-Stokes:

$$u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$

Euler:

$$v|_{\partial\Omega} \cdot n = 0$$

Reynolds number

$$Re = \frac{UL}{\nu} \rightarrow \infty$$

Inviscid limit

Incompressible Navier-Stokes for $u = u^{NS} = S^{NS}(t)u_0$:

$$\partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u - \nu \Delta u + \nabla p = f, \quad \nabla \cdot u = 0,$$

and incompressible Euler for $v = u^E = S^E(t)u_0$:

$$\partial_t v + v \cdot \nabla v + \nabla p = f, \quad \nabla \cdot v = 0.$$

Boundary conditions: Navier-Stokes:

$$u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$

Euler:

$$v|_{\partial\Omega} \cdot n = 0$$

Reynolds number

$$Re = \frac{UL}{\nu} \rightarrow \infty$$

$U = LT^{-1}$, L , T length and time scales.

Inviscid limit

Incompressible Navier-Stokes for $u = u^{NS} = S^{NS}(t)u_0$:

$$\partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u - \nu \Delta u + \nabla p = f, \quad \nabla \cdot u = 0,$$

and incompressible Euler for $v = u^E = S^E(t)u_0$:

$$\partial_t v + v \cdot \nabla v + \nabla p = f, \quad \nabla \cdot v = 0.$$

Boundary conditions: Navier-Stokes:

$$u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$

Euler:

$$v|_{\partial\Omega} \cdot n = 0$$

Reynolds number

$$Re = \frac{UL}{\nu} \rightarrow \infty$$

$U = LT^{-1}$, L, T length and time scales. ν -kinematic viscosity.

3D result

Theorem

(Vicol, C, '17) Let u_n be a sequence of weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations

$$\partial_t u_n + u_n \cdot \nabla u_n - \nu_n \Delta u_n + \nabla p_n = f_n$$

in bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, with $\nabla \cdot u_n = 0$, and f_n bounded in $L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$, converging weakly to f , with $u_n(0)$ divergence-free and bounded in $L^2(\Omega)$ and $\nu_n \rightarrow 0$.

3D result

Theorem

(Vicol, C, '17) Let u_n be a sequence of weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations

$$\partial_t u_n + u_n \cdot \nabla u_n - \nu_n \Delta u_n + \nabla p_n = f_n$$

in bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, with $\nabla \cdot u_n = 0$, and f_n bounded in $L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$, converging weakly to f , with $u_n(0)$ divergence-free and bounded in $L^2(\Omega)$ and $\nu_n \rightarrow 0$. Assume that for any $K \subset\subset \Omega$ there exists a constant E_K , and a constant $\zeta_2 > 0$ such that

$$\sup_n \int_0^T \int_K |u_n(x+y, t) - u_n(x, t)|^2 dx dt \leq E_K |y|^{\zeta_2}$$

holds for $|y| < \text{dist}(K, \partial\Omega)$ in the inertial range

$$|y| \geq \eta(n), \quad \text{with} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta(n) = 0.$$

3D result

Theorem

(Vicol, C, '17) Let u_n be a sequence of weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations

$$\partial_t u_n + u_n \cdot \nabla u_n - \nu_n \Delta u_n + \nabla p_n = f_n$$

in bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, with $\nabla \cdot u_n = 0$, and f_n bounded in $L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$, converging weakly to f , with $u_n(0)$ divergence-free and bounded in $L^2(\Omega)$ and $\nu_n \rightarrow 0$. Assume that for any $K \subset\subset \Omega$ there exists a constant E_K , and a constant $\zeta_2 > 0$ such that

$$\sup_n \int_0^T \int_K |u_n(x+y, t) - u_n(x, t)|^2 dx dt \leq E_K |y|^{\zeta_2}$$

holds for $|y| < \text{dist}(K, \partial\Omega)$ in the inertial range

$$|y| \geq \eta(n), \quad \text{with} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta(n) = 0.$$

Let $u_n(t)$ converge weakly in $L^2(\Omega)$ to $u_\infty(t)$ for almost all $t \in (0, T)$. Then u_∞ is a weak solution of the Euler equations.

Remarks

1. The result can be proved for suitable weak solutions in exterior domains as well.

Remarks

1. The result can be proved for suitable weak solutions in exterior domains as well.
2. Obviously, the scaling assumption does not imply regularity, because it is L^2 and also limited to y bounded away from zero. Also, the exact Kolmogorov form of $\eta(n)$ is not needed. All that is used is that $\eta(n)$ converges to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Remarks

1. The result can be proved for suitable weak solutions in exterior domains as well.
2. Obviously, the scaling assumption does not imply regularity, because it is L^2 and also limited to y bounded away from zero. Also, the exact Kolmogorov form of $\eta(n)$ is not needed. All that is used is that $\eta(n)$ converges to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
3. It is possible to remove the assumption of almost all time $L^2(\Omega)$ convergence, and replace it with the weak convergence in $L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$, (Theo Drivas, Huy Nguyen, '18).

Remarks

1. The result can be proved for suitable weak solutions in exterior domains as well.
2. Obviously, the scaling assumption does not imply regularity, because it is L^2 and also limited to y bounded away from zero. Also, the exact Kolmogorov form of $\eta(n)$ is not needed. All that is used is that $\eta(n)$ converges to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
3. It is possible to remove the assumption of almost all time $L^2(\Omega)$ convergence, and replace it with the weak convergence in $L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$, (Theo Drivas, Huy Nguyen, '18).
4. The result means that any reasonable turbulence scaling assumptions away from boundaries imply weak Euler limit.

2D Result

Theorem

(Vicol, C, '17) Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a bounded open set with smooth boundary. Let u_n be a sequence of solutions of Navier-Stokes equations with viscosities $\nu_n \rightarrow 0$, driven by forces $f_n \in H^1(\Omega)$ that are uniformly bounded in $H^1(\Omega)$ and converge weakly in $H^1(\Omega)$ to f . We take divergence free initial data $u_n(0)$ belonging to $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and uniformly bounded in $L^2(\Omega)$. Assume that for any $K \subset\subset \Omega$,

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_K |\omega_n|^2 dx \leq \varepsilon_K$$

uniformly in n .

2D Result

Theorem

(Vicol, C, '17) Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a bounded open set with smooth boundary. Let u_n be a sequence of solutions of Navier-Stokes equations with viscosities $\nu_n \rightarrow 0$, driven by forces $f_n \in H^1(\Omega)$ that are uniformly bounded in $H^1(\Omega)$ and converge weakly in $H^1(\Omega)$ to f . We take divergence free initial data $u_n(0)$ belonging to $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and uniformly bounded in $L^2(\Omega)$. Assume that for any $K \subset\subset \Omega$,

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_K |\omega_n|^2 dx \leq \varepsilon_K$$

uniformly in n . Then any weak limit u_∞ in $L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ of the sequence u_n , is a weak solution of the Euler equations

$$\partial_t \omega_\infty + u_\infty \cdot \nabla \omega_\infty = g = \nabla^\perp \cdot f$$

with $\omega_\infty = \nabla^\perp \cdot u_\infty$.

2D result, continued

The solution has bounded energy,

$$u_\infty \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)).$$

and for any compact $K \subset\subset \Omega$,

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_K |\omega_\infty(x, t)|^2 dx \leq \mathcal{E}_K$$

holds.

2D result, continued

The solution has bounded energy,

$$u_\infty \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)).$$

and for any compact $K \subset\subset \Omega$,

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_K |\omega_\infty(x, t)|^2 dx \leq \mathcal{E}_K$$

holds.

Remarks.

1. Condition on ω can be relaxed to: local interior means of $|\omega|$ on balls vanish uniformly with the radius of the ball. (work with Vicol, Nussenzveig and Lopes-Filho, see next slide).

2D result, continued

The solution has bounded energy,

$$u_\infty \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)).$$

and for any compact $K \subset\subset \Omega$,

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_K |\omega_\infty(x, t)|^2 dx \leq \varepsilon_K$$

holds.

Remarks.

1. Condition on ω can be relaxed to: local interior means of $|\omega|$ on balls vanish uniformly with the radius of the ball. (work with Vicol, Nussenzveig and Lopes-Filho, see next slide).
2. Condition of uniform local interior enstrophy bound follows from uniform gradient bounds $\sup_t \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2$ for

$$\partial_t \phi + u \cdot \nabla \phi + \nu \Delta \phi = 0,$$

with final condition

$$\phi(\tau) = \mathbf{1}_K.$$

Stochastic interpretation.

2D with vortex sheet data

Theorem

(Nussenzveig, Lopes, Vicol, C, 2018) Let ν_n be positive numbers such that $\nu_n \rightarrow 0$. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary. Let $\{u_0^n\}_n \subset L^2(\Omega)$ and let $u^n \in L^\infty((0, T); L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2((0, T); H_0^1(\Omega))$ solve 2DNSE with viscosity $\nu = \nu_n$, no slip boundary condition and initial data u_0^n . Let $\omega^n = \omega^n(t, \cdot) = \nabla^\perp \cdot u^n(t, \cdot)$. Let u^∞ be such that $u^n \rightharpoonup u^\infty$ weak- $*$ $L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$.

2D with vortex sheet data

Theorem

(Nussenzveig, Lopes, Vicol, C, 2018) Let ν_n be positive numbers such that $\nu_n \rightarrow 0$. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary. Let $\{u_0^n\}_n \subset L^2(\Omega)$ and let $u^n \in L^\infty((0, T); L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2((0, T); H_0^1(\Omega))$ solve 2DNSE with viscosity $\nu = \nu_n$, no slip boundary condition and initial data u_0^n . Let $\omega^n = \omega^n(t, \cdot) = \nabla^\perp \cdot u^n(t, \cdot)$. Let u^∞ be such that $u^n \rightharpoonup u^\infty$ weak-* $L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$. Assume:

1. $\{\omega^n\} \subset L^\infty((0, T); L^1_{loc}(\Omega))$ and, for each $K \subset\subset \Omega$, there exists $C_K > 0$ so that

$$\sup_n \sup_{t \in (0, T)} \|\omega^n(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1(K)} \leq C_K < \infty;$$

2. For any $K \subset\subset \Omega$ we have

$$\sup_n \int_0^T \left(\sup_{x \in K} \int_{B(x; r) \cap \Omega} |\omega^n(t, y)| dy \right) dt \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow 0.$$

2D with vortex sheet data

Theorem

(Nussenzveig, Lopes, Vicol, C, 2018) Let ν_n be positive numbers such that $\nu_n \rightarrow 0$. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary. Let $\{u_0^n\}_n \subset L^2(\Omega)$ and let $u^n \in L^\infty((0, T); L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2((0, T); H_0^1(\Omega))$ solve 2DNSE with viscosity $\nu = \nu_n$, no slip boundary condition and initial data u_0^n . Let $\omega^n = \omega^n(t, \cdot) = \nabla^\perp \cdot u^n(t, \cdot)$. Let u^∞ be such that $u^n \rightharpoonup u^\infty$ weak- $*$ $L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$. Assume:

1. $\{\omega^n\} \subset L^\infty((0, T); L_{loc}^1(\Omega))$ and, for each $K \subset\subset \Omega$, there exists $C_K > 0$ so that

$$\sup_n \sup_{t \in (0, T)} \|\omega^n(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1(K)} \leq C_K < \infty;$$

2. For any $K \subset\subset \Omega$ we have

$$\sup_n \int_0^T \left(\sup_{x \in K} \int_{B(x; r) \cap \Omega} |\omega^n(t, y)| dy \right) dt \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow 0.$$

Then u^∞ is a weak solution of the incompressible Euler equations.

Idea of proof for 2D with vortex sheet

Weak distributional solution of 2D Euler, in our case gives in vorticity:

$$\omega \in L^\infty(0, T; H^{-1}(\Omega) \cap M_{loc}(\Omega))$$

where $M_{loc}(\Omega)$ are signed measures with uniform local mass.

Idea of proof for 2D with vortex sheet

Weak distributional solution of 2D Euler, in our case gives in vorticity:

$$\omega \in L^\infty(0, T; H^{-1}(\Omega) \cap M_{loc}(\Omega))$$

where $M_{loc}(\Omega)$ are signed measures with uniform local mass. The equation

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_0^T \int_\Omega \partial_t \phi(x, t) \omega(x, t) dx dt \\ &+ \int_0^T \int_\Omega \int_\Omega H_\phi(x, y, t) \chi(x) \omega(x, t) \chi(y) \omega(y, t) dx dy dt \\ &+ \int_0^T \int_\Omega \int_\Omega K(x, y) (1 - \chi(y)) \chi(x) \cdot \nabla \phi(x, t) \omega(x, t) \omega(y, t) dx dy dt \end{aligned}$$

holds with the Schochet symmetrized

Idea of proof for 2D with vortex sheet

Weak distributional solution of 2D Euler, in our case gives in vorticity:

$$\omega \in L^\infty(0, T; H^{-1}(\Omega) \cap M_{loc}(\Omega))$$

where $M_{loc}(\Omega)$ are signed measures with uniform local mass. The equation

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_0^T \int_\Omega \partial_t \phi(x, t) \omega(x, t) dx dt \\ &+ \int_0^T \int_\Omega \int_\Omega H_\phi(x, y, t) \chi(x) \omega(x, t) \chi(y) \omega(y, t) dx dy dt \\ &+ \int_0^T \int_\Omega \int_\Omega K(x, y) (1 - \chi(y)) \chi(x) \cdot \nabla \phi(x, t) \omega(x, t) \omega(y, t) dx dy dt \end{aligned}$$

holds with the Schochet symmetrized

$$H_\phi(x, y, t) = \frac{1}{2} (K(x, y) \nabla \phi(x, t) + K(y, x) \nabla \phi(y, t))$$

and $K(x, y) = \nabla_x^\perp G_\Omega(x, y)$ the Biot-Savart kernel and $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ identically one on the support of ϕ .

Idea of proof for 2D with vortex sheet

Weak distributional solution of 2D Euler, in our case gives in vorticity:

$$\omega \in L^\infty(0, T; H^{-1}(\Omega) \cap M_{loc}(\Omega))$$

where $M_{loc}(\Omega)$ are signed measures with uniform local mass. The equation

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_0^T \int_\Omega \partial_t \phi(x, t) \omega(x, t) dx dt \\ &+ \int_0^T \int_\Omega \int_\Omega H_\phi(x, y, t) \chi(x) \omega(x, t) \chi(y) \omega(y, t) dx dy dt \\ &+ \int_0^T \int_\Omega \int_\Omega K(x, y) (1 - \chi(y)) \chi(x) \cdot \nabla \phi(x, t) \omega(x, t) \omega(y, t) dx dy dt \end{aligned}$$

holds with the Schochet symmetrized

$$H_\phi(x, y, t) = \frac{1}{2} (K(x, y) \nabla \phi(x, t) + K(y, x) \nabla \phi(y, t))$$

and $K(x, y) = \nabla_x^\perp G_\Omega(x, y)$ the Biot-Savart kernel and $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ identically one on the support of ϕ . Now uniform bound: (Schochet for \mathbb{R}^2 , Iftimie-Nussenzveig-Lopes for Ω):

$$|H_\phi(x, y, t)| \leq C_\Omega(\phi).$$

Idea of proof for 2D with vortex sheet

Weak distributional solution of 2D Euler, in our case gives in vorticity:

$$\omega \in L^\infty(0, T; H^{-1}(\Omega) \cap M_{loc}(\Omega))$$

where $M_{loc}(\Omega)$ are signed measures with uniform local mass. The equation

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_0^T \int_\Omega \partial_t \phi(x, t) \omega(x, t) dx dt \\ &+ \int_0^T \int_\Omega \int_\Omega H_\phi(x, y, t) \chi(x) \omega(x, t) \chi(y) \omega(y, t) dx dy dt \\ &+ \int_0^T \int_\Omega \int_\Omega K(x, y) (1 - \chi(y)) \chi(x) \cdot \nabla \phi(x, t) \omega(x, t) \omega(y, t) dx dy dt \end{aligned}$$

holds with the Schochet symmetrized

$$H_\phi(x, y, t) = \frac{1}{2} (K(x, y) \nabla \phi(x, t) + K(y, x) \nabla \phi(y, t))$$

and $K(x, y) = \nabla_x^\perp G_\Omega(x, y)$ the Biot-Savart kernel and $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ identically one on the support of ϕ . Now uniform bound: (Schochet for \mathbb{R}^2 , Iftimie-Nussenzveig-Lopes for Ω):

$$|H_\phi(x, y, t)| \leq C_\Omega(\phi).$$

The assumption takes care of the diagonal, only place where we do not have continuity in H_ϕ .

Concluding Remarks

- ▶ Drop and slender jet pinchoff are highly nonlinear, nonlocal problems with geometric flavor, but not geometric problems. They are largely open.

Concluding Remarks

- ▶ Drop and slender jet pinchoff are highly nonlinear, nonlocal problems with geometric flavor, but not geometric problems. They are largely open.
- ▶ Vanishing of the dissipation rate follows from weak convergence in $L^2(\Omega)$ for all times (only) if the Euler equation limit is conservative. We proved results of emergence of weak, possibly dissipative solutions of Euler equations in 3D if the ensemble of Navier-Stokes solutions obeys a local-in-space but uniform in the ensemble second order structure function scaling from above. In two dimensions, we proved the emergence of weak solutions from arbitrary families of strong solutions of Navier-Stokes equations with uniform interior local vorticity measure bounds which allow the formation of vortex sheets in the limit.