The sum of digits in two different bases

Thomas Stoll

(IECL, Université de Lorraine)

Joint work with R. de la Bretèche and G. Tenenbaum

Work supported by the ANR-FWF project "MuDeRa".





Introduction and Main result Tool I : Binomial recentering Tool II : Exponential sums and discrepancy







Context - Sum of Digits

- It is generally conjectured that the base *a* and base *b* expansions of integers are statistically independent (provided *a* and *b* are multiplicatively independent).
- Also, it is natural to expect a phenomenon of dependancy for an infinity of exceptional integers.

For $a \ge 2$ and $n = \sum_{i \ge 0} \varepsilon_i a^i$ denote

$$s_a(n) = \sum_{i\geq 0} \varepsilon_i$$

the sum of digits in base *a* of the integer *n*.

Comparing the sum of digits in two different bases

Stewart (1980) (improving on Senge & Strauss (1970)) : If $\log a / \log b$ is irrational then

$$s_a(n) + s_b(n) > rac{\log \log n}{\log \log \log n + C} - 1,$$

for all n > 25 and some effectively computable C = C(a, b).

Comparing the sum of digits in two different bases

Stewart (1980) (improving on Senge & Strauss (1970)) : If $\log a / \log b$ is irrational then

$$s_a(n) + s_b(n) > rac{\log \log n}{\log \log \log n + C} - 1,$$

for all n > 25 and some effectively computable C = C(a, b).

Example :

et $s_3(n) = s_2(n) = 21$.

Comparing the sum of digits in two different bases

Stewart (1980) (improving on Senge & Strauss (1970)) : If $\log a / \log b$ is irrational then

$$s_a(n) + s_b(n) > rac{\log \log n}{\log \log \log n + C} - 1,$$

for all n > 25 and some effectively computable C = C(a, b).

Example :

et $s_3(n) = s_2(n) = 21$.

Question : Are there infinitely many *n* such that $s_3(n) = s_2(n)$?

Introduction and Main result

Tool I : Binomial recentering Tool II : Exponential sums and discrepancy

$s_3(n)/s_2(n)$ for $n \leq 10^6$



sb versus sa

For almost all *n*, we have

$$s_b(n) \sim rac{b-1}{2\log b}\log n, \qquad s_a(n) \sim rac{a-1}{2\log a}\log n.$$

Let

$$au_0= au_0(a,b):=rac{(b-1)\log a}{(a-1)\log b}.$$

If $\tau = \tau_0$ then $s_b(n) \sim \tau s_a(n)$ evidently holds on a subset of \mathbb{N} of density one.

For $s_3(n) \sim \tau_0 s_2(n)$ we have $\tau_0 = (\log 4) / \log 3 \approx 1.26186$ for a subset of density one.

Motivation

Theorem (Deshouillers, Habsieger, Landreau, Laishram (2017))

We have

$$\#\{n \le x : |s_3(n) - s_2(n)| \le 0.14572 \log n\} \gg x^{0.970359}$$

Note that $(1/\log 3 - 1/\log 4) = 0.188...$

Motivation

Theorem (Deshouillers, Habsieger, Landreau, Laishram (2017))

We have

$$\#\{n \le x : |s_3(n) - s_2(n)| \le 0.14572 \log n\} \gg x^{0.970359}$$

Note that $(1/\log 3 - 1/\log 4) = 0.188...$

Question : Are there infinitely many *n* such that $s_3(n) \sim s_2(n)$?

Motivation

Theorem (Deshouillers, Habsieger, Landreau, Laishram (2017))

We have

 $\#\{n \le x : |s_3(n) - s_2(n)| \le 0.14572 \log n\} \gg x^{0.970359}.$

Note that $(1/\log 3 - 1/\log 4) = 0.188...$

Question : Are there infinitely many *n* such that $s_3(n) \sim s_2(n)$?

Question : Are there infinitely many *n* such that $s_a(n) \sim s_b(n)$?

Remark : In the case where $a^k = b^\ell$ with k, ℓ some positive integers, the problem is trivial since it is sufficient to consider those n with digits 0 and 1 in base a^k for which we have $s_a(n) = s_b(n)$.

Theorem (Deshouillers, Habsieger, Landreau, Laishram (2017))

We have

 $\#\{n \le x : |s_3(n) - s_2(n)| \le 0.14572 \log n\} \gg x^{0.970359}.$

Note that $(1/\log 3 - 1/\log 4) = 0.188...$

Question : Are there infinitely many *n* such that $s_3(n) \sim s_2(n)$?

Question : Are there infinitely many *n* such that $s_a(n) \sim s_b(n)$?

Remark : In the case where $a^k = b^\ell$ with k, ℓ some positive integers, the problem is trivial since it is sufficient to consider those n with digits 0 and 1 in base a^k for which we have $s_a(n) = s_b(n)$.

Question : What about the accumulation points of $\{s_b(n)/s_a(n)\}_n$?

Main result

Theorem (R. de la Bretèche, TS, G. Tenenbaum (2018+))

Let $\tau > 0$, $a, b \ge 2$ mult. indep., and $c < c_0(a, b; \tau)$. There are $\gg x^c$ positive integers $n \le x$ such that

$$s_b(n) \sim \tau s_a(n).$$

More precisely, if γ is an irrationality exponent of $(\log a)/\log b$, then

$$s_b(n) = \tau s_a(n) \left\{ 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{(\log n)^{\sigma/\gamma}}\right) \right\}$$
(1)

for all $\sigma \in]0, \Lambda/(6M^3 \log M)[$ for $M = \max(a, b)$ and some explicit $\Lambda = \Lambda(\tau_0; \tau)$.

Moreover, for all $\tau \neq \tau_0$, there is an exponent $d_0(\tau) = d_0(a, b; \tau) < 1$ such that (2) is realized by $\ll x^{d_0(\tau)+o(1)}$ positive integers $n \leq x$.

Main result

Theorem (R. de la Bretèche, TS, G. Tenenbaum (2018+))

Let $\tau > 0$, $a, b \ge 2$ mult. indep., and $c < c_0(a, b; \tau)$. There are $\gg x^c$ positive integers $n \le x$ such that

$$s_b(n) \sim \tau s_a(n).$$

More precisely, if γ is an irrationality exponent of $(\log a)/\log b$, then

$$s_b(n) = \tau s_a(n) \left\{ 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{(\log n)^{\sigma/\gamma}}\right) \right\}$$
(1)

for all $\sigma \in]0, \Lambda/(6M^3 \log M)[$ for $M = \max(a, b)$ and some explicit $\Lambda = \Lambda(\tau_0; \tau)$.

Moreover, for all $\tau \neq \tau_0$, there is an exponent $d_0(\tau) = d_0(a, b; \tau) < 1$ such that (2) is realized by $\ll x^{d_0(\tau)+o(1)}$ positive integers $n \leq x$.

For $s_3(n) \sim s_2(n)$ we have $c_0 \approx 0.94996$ and $d_0 \approx 0.993702$.

Irrationality exponent : The proof only needs that $\vartheta := (\log a)/\log b$ has a finite irrationality exponent. This is always the case (Baker, 1972) if the quotient is irrational, i.e. there exists $\gamma \ge 2$ such that

$$\left|artheta-rac{r}{q}
ight|\ggrac{1}{q^{\gamma}}\qquadig(q\geqslant1,\,(r,q)=1ig).$$

Wu et Wang (2014) have shown that $\gamma = 5.117$ is admissible for $\vartheta := (\log 2) / \log 3$ (sharpening a result of Salikhov (2007)).

Binomial recentering

Let \mathcal{M}_k be the set of integers $m \leq N_k := b^k - 1$ such that its base b expansion only contains the digits 0 and b - 1. For $\varrho \in]0, 1[$ we define a probability measure on \mathcal{M}_k via

$$\mathbb{P}(m) = r_k(m) := \varrho^{s_b(m)/(b-1)} (1-\varrho)^{k-s_b(m)/(b-1)},$$

such that

$$\sum_{m\in\mathcal{M}_k}\mathbb{P}(m)=\sum_{0\leqslant j\leqslant k}\binom{k}{j}\varrho^j(1-\varrho)^{k-j}=1.$$

We have

$$\mathbb{V}(s_b) = \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}_k} r_k(m) \{ s_b(m) - \varrho(b-1)k \}^2 = \varrho(1-\varrho)k(b-1)^2,$$

such that

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(|s_b-arrho(b-1)k|>T\sqrt{k}\Big)\leqslant rac{arrho(1-arrho)(b-1)^2}{T^2} \qquad (T\geqslant 1).$$

We show that the base *a* expansion of the elements of \mathcal{M}_k are simply normal up to an exceptional set \mathcal{E}_k with probability tending to 0 as $k \to \infty$. This implies

$$s_a(m) \sim rac{a-1}{2\log a} \log N_k \qquad (m \in \mathcal{M}_k \setminus \mathcal{E}_k, \ k o \infty).$$

This will imply (choosing appropriately $\rho = \rho(a, b; \tau)$)

$$\mathbb{P}(s_b \sim au s_a) = 1 + o(1), \qquad (k o \infty).$$

Introduction and Main result Tool I : Binomial recentering Tool II : Exponential sums and discrepancy

Exponential sums

Let
$$e(u) := e^{2\pi i u}$$
 and set

$$\sigma_h(m,n) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{1 \leqslant \nu \leqslant n} \mathsf{e}\Big(\frac{hm}{a^{\nu}}\Big) \qquad (m \in \mathcal{M}_k, \ n \geqslant 1, h \in \mathbb{Z})$$

and

$$\Delta_n(m) := \frac{1}{H+1} + \sum_{1 \leqslant h \leqslant H} \frac{|\sigma_h(m,n)|}{h}$$

Erdős-Turán inequality : For $I \subset [0,1]$ we have

$$\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{\substack{1\leqslant\nu\leqslant n\\\{m/a^{\nu}\}\in I}}1-|I|\right|\ll\Delta_n(m)\qquad(m\in\mathcal{M}_k,\ n\geqslant 1).$$

We choose $n := \lfloor \log N_k / \log a \rfloor$ and therefore have

$$s_a(m) = \frac{1}{2}(a-1)n + O(n\Delta_n(m)) = \frac{a-1}{2\log a}\log N_k + O(n\Delta_n(m)).$$

It remains to show that $\Delta_n(m) \to 0$ for $k \to \infty$ for almost all $m \in \mathcal{M}_k$. For $H \geqslant 1$,

$$\mathbb{E}(\Delta_n) \leqslant rac{1}{H+1} + \sum_{1\leqslant h \leqslant H} rac{1}{h} \mathbb{E}ig(|\sigma_h(m,n)| ig) \leqslant rac{1}{H} + \sum_{1\leqslant h \leqslant H} rac{1}{h} M_h(n),$$

where

$$M_h(n)^2 = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{\mu,\nu \leqslant n} e^{-8\varrho(1-\varrho)S_h(\mu,\nu)}$$

where

$$\mathcal{S}_h(\mu,
u) = \sum_{0\leqslant j\leqslant k} \left\|h(b-1)b^j\left(rac{1}{a^
u}-rac{1}{a^\mu}
ight)
ight\|^2.$$

We observe that

$$S_h(\mu,
u) \geqslant S_h^*(\mu,
u) := \sum_{L < j \leqslant \kappa + L} \|b^j lpha\|^2, \qquad L = \lfloor \log h / \log b
floor$$

where

$$\alpha(h,\mu,\nu) := (b-1)b^{\left\{\frac{\log h}{\log b}\right\} - \left\{\nu \frac{\log a}{\log b}\right\}} \left(1 - 1/a^{\mu-\nu}\right).$$

Then (assuming $b \geq 3$), we have

$$S^*_{\hbar}(\mu,
u) \geq rac{1}{b^2} \#\{ ext{digits} = 1 ext{ in } lpha ext{ with index in } [L+1, L+\kappa] \}.$$

A combinatorial inspection of the intervals of reals $\beta \in [0, (b-1)b]$ then shows that

$$S_h^*(\mu,
u) \geq rac{\kappa}{2b^3}$$

with the possible exception of $\{\nu \frac{\log a}{\log b}\}$ lying in a subset of [0, 1] of small measure. The number of exceptional integer ν can be bounded with the discrepancy of $(\{\nu \frac{\log a}{\log b}\})_{1 \le \nu \le n}$.

This finally gives

$$M_h(n)^2 \ll \mathrm{e}^{-4\varrho(1-\varrho)\kappa/b^3} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} + \mathrm{e}^{-\kappa/15b} + hb^{\kappa}\mathrm{e}^{-\kappa/15b}D_n,$$

where D_n is the discrepancy of $\{\nu\vartheta\}_{\nu=1}^n$ with $\vartheta = \log a / \log b$.

Now,

$$D_n \ll rac{1}{q} + rac{q}{n}$$
 $\left(n \ge 1, |\vartheta - r/q| \le 2/q^2\right)$

and with the Dirichlet approximation theorem, we get $D_n \ll n^{-1/\gamma}$ where γ is an irrationality exponent of ϑ . It remains to choose κ accordingly to conclude.

Main result

Theorem (R. de la Bretèche, TS, G. Tenenbaum (2018+))

Let $\tau > 0$, $a, b \ge 2$, and $c < c_0(a, b; \tau)$. There are $\gg x^c$ positive integers $n \le x$ such that

 $s_b(n) \sim \tau s_a(n).$

More precisely, if γ is an irrationality exponent of $(\log a)/\log b$, then

$$s_b(n) = \tau s_a(n) \left\{ 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{(\log n)^{\sigma/\gamma}}\right) \right\}$$
(2)

for all $\sigma \in]0, \Lambda/(6M^3 \log M)[$ for $M = \max(a, b)$ and some explicit $\Lambda = \Lambda(\tau_0; \tau)$.

Moreover, for all $\tau \neq \tau_0$, there is an exponent $d_0(\tau) = d_0(a, b; \tau) < 1$ such that (2) is realized by $\ll x^{d_0(\tau)+o(1)}$ positive integers $n \leq x$.

Main result

Theorem (R. de la Bretèche, TS, G. Tenenbaum (2018+))

Let $\tau > 0$, $a, b \ge 2$, and $c < c_0(a, b; \tau)$. There are $\gg x^c$ positive integers $n \le x$ such that

 $s_b(n) \sim \tau s_a(n).$

More precisely, if γ is an irrationality exponent of $(\log a)/\log b$, then

$$s_b(n) = \tau s_a(n) \left\{ 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{(\log n)^{\sigma/\gamma}}\right) \right\}$$
(2)

for all $\sigma \in]0, \Lambda/(6M^3 \log M)[$ for $M = \max(a, b)$ and some explicit $\Lambda = \Lambda(\tau_0; \tau)$.

Moreover, for all $\tau \neq \tau_0$, there is an exponent $d_0(\tau) = d_0(a, b; \tau) < 1$ such that (2) is realized by $\ll x^{d_0(\tau)+o(1)}$ positive integers $n \leq x$.

Thank you !