

Discrepancy and energy minimization on the sphere

Dmitriy Bilyk
University of Minnesota

6th international conference
on uniform distribution theory
UDT 2018

CIRM, FRANCE

coauthors:

F. Dai, A. Glazyrin, R. Matzke, J. Park, S. Steinerberger, O. Vlasiuk

October 2, 2018

Spherical cap discrepancy

For $x \in \mathbb{S}^d$, $t \in [-1, 1]$ define spherical caps:

$$C(x, t) = \{y \in \mathbb{S}^d : \langle x, y \rangle \geq t\}.$$

For a finite set $Z = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_N\} \subset \mathbb{S}^d$ define

$$D_{cap}(Z) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{S}^d, t \in [-1, 1]} \left| \frac{\#(Z \cap C(x, t))}{N} - \sigma(C(x, t)) \right|.$$

Theorem (Beck, '84)

There exists constants $c_d, C_d > 0$ such that

$$c_d N^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2d}} \leq \inf_{\#Z=N} D_{cap}(Z) \leq C_d N^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2d}} \sqrt{\log N}.$$

Spherical caps: L^2 discrepancy

Define the spherical cap L^2 discrepancy

$$D_{cap,L^2}(Z) = \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^d} \int_{-1}^1 \left| \frac{\#(Z \cap C(x,t))}{N} - \sigma(C(x,t)) \right|^2 dt d\sigma(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Theorem (Beck, '84)

There exists constants $c_d, C_d > 0$ such that

$$c_d N^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2d}} \leq \inf_{\#Z=N} D_{cap,L^2}(Z) \leq C_d N^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2d}}.$$

Spherical cap discrepancy: refinement of lower bound

Theorem (Beck, '84)

For any $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_N\} \subset \mathbb{S}^d$

$$D_{cap}(Z) \gtrsim N^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2d}}.$$

Spherical cap discrepancy: refinement of lower bound

Theorem (Beck, '84)

For any $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_N\} \subset \mathbb{S}^d$

$$D_{\text{cap}}(Z) \gtrsim N^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2d}}.$$

Theorem (DB, Dai, Steinerberger, '18)

For any $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_N\} \subset \mathbb{S}^d$

$$D_{\text{cap}}(Z) \gtrsim N^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2d}} \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \frac{1}{(1 + N^{1/d} \|z_i - z_j\|)^{d+1}} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Let $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_N\} \subset \mathbb{S}^d$ and let $F : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Discrete energy:

$$E_F(Z) = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N F(z_i \cdot z_j)$$

Questions:

- What are the minimizing configurations?
- Almost minimizers?
- Lower bounds?

Energy integral

Let μ be a Borel probability measure on \mathbb{S}^d .

Energy integral

$$I_F(\mu) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} F(x \cdot y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y).$$

i.e. $E_F(Z) = I_F\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum \delta_{z_i}\right)$

Questions:

- What are the minimizers?
- Is σ a minimizer?
- Is it unique?

Positive definite functions on the sphere

Lemma

For a function $F \in C[-1, 1]$ the following are equivalent:

- i F is *positive definite on \mathbb{S}^d* , i.e. for any set of points $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_N\} \subset \mathbb{S}^d$, the matrix $[F(z_i \cdot z_j)]_{i,j=1}^N$ is positive semidefinite
- ii Gegenbauer coefficients of F are non-negative, i.e.

$$\widehat{F}(n, \lambda) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0.$$

- iii For any signed measure $\mu \in \mathcal{B}$ the energy integral is non-negative: $I_F(\mu) \geq 0$.
- iv There exists a function $f \in L_{w_\lambda}^2[-1, 1]$ such that

$$F(x \cdot y) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} f(x \cdot z) f(z \cdot y) d\sigma(z), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{S}^d.$$

Lemma

Let $F \in C[-1, 1]$.

- The energy $I_F(\mu)$ is minimized by σ
if and only if

$$\widehat{F}(n, \lambda) \geq 0 \text{ for all } n \geq 1,$$

i.e. F is positive definite up to a constant term.

Lemma

Let $F \in C[-1, 1]$.

- The energy $I_F(\mu)$ is minimized by σ
if and only if
 $\widehat{F}(n, \lambda) \geq 0$ for all $n \geq 1$,
i.e. F is positive definite up to a constant term.
- The energy $I_F(\mu)$ is uniquely minimized by σ
if and only if
 $\widehat{F}(n, \lambda) > 0$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Discrepancy + energy: Stolarsky Invariance Principle

Spherical cap L^2 discrepancy

$$D_{cap,L^2}(Z) = \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^d} \int_{-1}^1 \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{1}_{C(x,t)}(z_j) - \sigma(C(x,t)) \right|^2 dt d\sigma(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Discrepancy + energy: Stolarsky Invariance Principle

Spherical cap L^2 discrepancy

$$D_{cap,L^2}(Z) = \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^d} \int_{-1}^1 \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{1}_{C(x,t)}(z_j) - \sigma(C(x,t)) \right|^2 dt d\sigma(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Theorem (Stolarsky invariance principle)

For any finite set $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_N\} \subset \mathbb{S}^d$

$$c_d D_{cap,L^2}^2(Z) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} \|x - y\| d\sigma(x) d\sigma(y) - \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \|z_i - z_j\|.$$

Discrepancy + energy: Stolarsky Invariance Principle

Spherical cap L^2 discrepancy

$$D_{cap,L^2}(Z) = \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^d} \int_{-1}^1 \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{1}_{C(x,t)}(z_j) - \sigma(C(x,t)) \right|^2 dt d\sigma(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Theorem (Stolarsky invariance principle)

For any finite set $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_N\} \subset \mathbb{S}^d$

$$c_d D_{cap,L^2}^2(Z) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} \|x - y\| d\sigma(x) d\sigma(y) - \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \|z_i - z_j\|.$$

Proofs:

- Stolarsky '73, Brauchart, Dick '12, DB, Dai, Matzke '18.

Positive definite functions on the sphere

Lemma

For a function $F \in C[-1, 1]$ the following are equivalent:

- i F is positive definite on \mathbb{S}^d .
- ii Gegenbauer coefficients of F are non-negative, i.e.

$$\widehat{F}(n, \lambda) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0.$$

- iii For any signed measure $\mu \in \mathcal{B}$ the energy integral is non-negative: $I_F(\mu) \geq 0$.
- iv There exists a function $f \in L^2_{w_\lambda}[-1, 1]$ such that

$$F(x \cdot y) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} f(x \cdot z) f(z \cdot y) d\sigma(z), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{S}^d.$$

i.e. F is the spherical convolution of f with itself.

$$\widehat{f}(n, \lambda)^2 = \widehat{F}(n, \lambda)$$

Generalized Stolarsky principle

Define the L^2 discrepancy of a Borel probability measure μ w.r.t. the function $f : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$D_{L^2, f}^2(\mu) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} \left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} f(x \cdot y) d\mu(y) - \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} f(x \cdot y) d\sigma(y) \right|^2 d\sigma(x).$$

Generalized Stolarsky principle

Define the L^2 discrepancy of a Borel probability measure μ w.r.t. the function $f : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$D_{L^2, f}^2(\mu) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} \left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} f(x \cdot y) d(\mu - \sigma)(y) \right|^2 d\sigma(x).$$

Generalized Stolarsky principle

Define the L^2 discrepancy of a Borel probability measure μ w.r.t. the function $f : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$D_{L^2, f}^2(\mu) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} \left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} f(x \cdot y) d(\mu - \sigma)(y) \right|^2 d\sigma(x).$$

Theorem (DB, R. Matzke, F. Dai, '18)

Generalized Stolarsky principle:

Let F be positive definite and f as in (iv), then

$$I_F(\mu) - I_F(\sigma) = D_{L^2, f}^2(\mu).$$

Generalized Stolarsky principle

Define the L^2 discrepancy of a Borel probability measure μ w.r.t. the function $f : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$D_{L^2, f}^2(\mu) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} \left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} f(x \cdot y) d(\mu - \sigma)(y) \right|^2 d\sigma(x).$$

Theorem (DB, R. Matzke, F. Dai, '18)

Generalized Stolarsky principle:

Let F be positive definite and f as in (iv), then

$$I_F(\mu) - I_F(\sigma) = D_{L^2, f}^2(\mu).$$

- Important ingredient: $I_F(\mu) - I_F(\sigma) = I_F(\mu - \sigma)$.

Generalized Stolarsky principle

Define the L^2 discrepancy of a Borel probability measure μ w.r.t. the function $f : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$D_{L^2, f}^2(\mu) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} \left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} f(x \cdot y) d(\mu - \sigma)(y) \right|^2 d\sigma(x).$$

Theorem (DB, R. Matzke, F. Dai, '18)

Generalized Stolarsky principle:

Let F be positive definite and f as in (iv), then

$$I_F(\mu) - I_F(\sigma) = D_{L^2, f}^2(\mu).$$

- Important ingredient: $I_F(\mu) - I_F(\sigma) = I_F(\mu - \sigma)$.
- Arbitrary compact metric spaces (DB, O. Vlasiuk '18)

Theorem (DB, F. Dai, '17)

Assume that F is positive definite and f as in (iv).

Let $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_N\} \subset \mathbb{S}^d$ and $\mu = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{z_i}$.

■ Upper bound:

$$\inf_{\#Z=N} D_{L^2, f}^2(\mu) \lesssim \frac{1}{N} \max_{0 \leq \theta \lesssim N^{-\frac{1}{d}}} (F(1) - F(\cos \theta)).$$

Theorem (DB, F. Dai, '17)

Assume that F is positive definite and f as in (iv).

Let $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_N\} \subset \mathbb{S}^d$ and $\mu = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{z_i}$.

- Upper bound:

$$\inf_{\#Z=N} D_{L^2, f}^2(\mu) \lesssim \frac{1}{N} \max_{0 \leq \theta \lesssim N^{-\frac{1}{d}}} (F(1) - F(\cos \theta)).$$

- Lower bound:

$$\inf_{\#Z=N} D_{L^2, f}^2(\mu) \gtrsim \min_{1 \leq k \lesssim N^{1/d}} \widehat{F}(k, \lambda).$$

Stolarsky principle for hemispheres

Theorem (DB, Dai, Matzke '18, Skriganov '18)

$$D_{L^2, \text{hemisphere}}^2(Z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^d} \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} d(x, y) d\sigma(x) d\sigma(y) - \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i, j=1}^N d(z_i, z_j) \right),$$

where $d(x, y) = \arccos(x \cdot y)$ is the geodesic distance.

Stolarsky principle for hemispheres

Theorem (DB, Dai, Matzke '18, Skriganov '18)

$$D_{L^2, \text{hemisphere}}^2(Z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N d(z_i, z_j) \right),$$

where $d(x, y) = \arccos(x \cdot y)$ is the geodesic distance.

Stolarsky principle for hemispheres

Theorem (DB, Dai, Matzke '18, Skriganov '18)

$$D_{L^2, \text{hemisphere}}^2(Z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N d(z_i, z_j) \right),$$

where $d(x, y) = \arccos(x \cdot y)$ is the geodesic distance.

Corollary (DB, Dai, Matzke '18)

For any $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_N\} \subset \mathbb{S}^d$

$$\frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N d(z_i, z_j) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$$

with equality if and only if Z is symmetric.

(This solves a 1959 conjecture of Fejes Tóth.)



Another conjecture of Fejes Tóth

Conjecture (Sum of acute angles)

Let $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_N\} \subset \mathbb{S}^d$ and define

$$F(x \cdot y) = \min \{ \arccos(x \cdot y), \pi - \arccos(x \cdot y) \} = \arccos |x \cdot y|,$$

i.e. the acute angle between the lines through $x, y \in \mathbb{S}^d$.

Another conjecture of Fejes Tóth

Conjecture (Sum of acute angles)

Let $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_N\} \subset \mathbb{S}^d$ and define

$$F(x \cdot y) = \min \{ \arccos(x \cdot y), \pi - \arccos(x \cdot y) \} = \arccos |x \cdot y|,$$

i.e. the acute angle between the lines through $x, y \in \mathbb{S}^d$.

- The discrete energy $E_F(Z) = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N F(z_i \cdot z_j)$ is maximized by the set $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_N\} \subset \mathbb{S}^d$ with $z_i = e_{i \bmod (d+1)}$.

Another conjecture of Fejes Tóth

Conjecture (Sum of acute angles)

Let $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_N\} \subset \mathbb{S}^d$ and define

$$F(x \cdot y) = \min \{ \arccos(x \cdot y), \pi - \arccos(x \cdot y) \} = \arccos |x \cdot y|,$$

i.e. the acute angle between the lines through $x, y \in \mathbb{S}^d$.

- The discrete energy $E_F(Z) = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N F(z_i \cdot z_j)$ is maximized by the set $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_N\} \subset \mathbb{S}^d$ with $z_i = e_{i \bmod (d+1)}$.

- $\max I_F(\mu) = I_F(\nu_{ONB}) = \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot \frac{d}{d+1}$, where

$$\nu_{ONB} = \frac{1}{d+1} \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} \delta_{e_i}$$

Acute angles: known results

- Known on \mathbb{S}^1

Acute angles: known results

- Known on \mathbb{S}^1
- Fodor, Vigh, Zarnocz, '16: On \mathbb{S}^2 for $\mu = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{z_i}$

$$I_F(\mu) \leq \frac{3\pi}{8}.$$

Acute angles: known results

- Known on \mathbb{S}^1

- Fodor, Vigh, Zarnocz, '16: On \mathbb{S}^2 for $\mu = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{z_i}$

$$I_F(\mu) \leq \frac{3\pi}{8}.$$

- DB, R. Matzke, '18: On \mathbb{S}^d for general μ

$$I_F(\mu) \leq \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{69}{50(d+1)}.$$

Acute angles: known results

- Known on \mathbb{S}^1

- Fodor, Vigh, Zarnocz, '16: On \mathbb{S}^2 for $\mu = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{z_i}$

$$I_F(\mu) \leq \frac{3\pi}{8}.$$

- DB, R. Matzke, '18: On \mathbb{S}^d for general μ

$$I_F(\mu) \leq \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{69}{50(d+1)}.$$

- In particular, on \mathbb{S}^2 :

$$I_F(\mu) \leq \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{69}{150} = 1.110796\dots < \frac{3\pi}{8} = 1.178097\dots$$

Acute angles: known results

- Known on \mathbb{S}^1

- Fodor, Vigh, Zarnocz, '16: On \mathbb{S}^2 for $\mu = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{z_i}$

$$I_F(\mu) \leq \frac{3\pi}{8}.$$

- DB, R. Matzke, '18: On \mathbb{S}^d for general μ

$$I_F(\mu) \leq \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{69}{50(d+1)}.$$

- In particular, on \mathbb{S}^2 :

$$I_F(\mu) \leq \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{69}{150} = 1.110796\dots < \frac{3\pi}{8} = 1.178097\dots$$

- Conjectured maximum in $d = 2$ is $\frac{\pi}{3} = 1.047198\dots$

Frame energy

- $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_N\} \subset \mathbb{S}^d$ is a **tight frame** iff there exists $A > 0$ such that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$

$$\sum_k |\langle x, z_k \rangle|^2 = A \|x\|^2,$$

or, equivalently,

$$x = \frac{1}{A} \sum \langle x, z_k \rangle z_k.$$

Frame energy

- $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_N\} \subset \mathbb{S}^d$ is a **tight frame** iff there exists $A > 0$ such that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$

$$\sum_k |\langle x, z_k \rangle|^2 = A \|x\|^2,$$

or, equivalently,

$$x = \frac{1}{A} \sum \langle x, z_k \rangle z_k.$$

Theorem (Benedetto, Fickus, '03)

A set $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_N\} \subset \mathbb{S}^d$, $N \geq d + 1$, is a tight frame in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} if and only if Z is a local minimizer of the frame potential:

$$F(Z) = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N |\langle z_i, z_j \rangle|^2.$$

Let $F(t) = |t|^2$. Then for each Borel probability measure μ on \mathbb{S}^d

$$I_F(\mu) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} |x \cdot y|^2 d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \geq \frac{1}{d+1},$$

and the equality is achieved iff μ is an isotropic measure, i.e.

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^d} x_i x_j d\mu(x) = c \delta_{i,j}.$$

Let $F(t) = |t|^2$. Then for each Borel probability measure μ on \mathbb{S}^d

$$I_F(\mu) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} |x \cdot y|^2 d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \geq \frac{1}{d+1},$$

and the equality is achieved iff μ is an isotropic measure, i.e.

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^d} x_i x_j d\mu(x) = c \delta_{i,j}.$$

Thus global minimizers include, in particular:

- discrete tight frames of any cardinality,
- including orthonormal bases or regular simplex,
- normalized surface measure σ .

p -Frame energy

Let $F(t) = |t|^p$ with $p > 0$. Consider the p -frame energy:

$$I_p(\mu) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} |x \cdot y|^p d\mu_x d\mu_y$$

Minimizers:

Let $F(t) = |t|^p$ with $p > 0$. Consider the p -frame energy:

$$I_p(\mu) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} |x \cdot y|^p d\mu_x d\mu_y$$

Minimizers:

- $p = 2$: “frame energy” (Benedetto, Fickus)

Let $F(t) = |t|^p$ with $p > 0$. Consider the p -frame energy:

$$I_p(\mu) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} |x \cdot y|^p d\mu_x d\mu_y$$

Minimizers:

- $p = 2$: “frame energy” (Benedetto, Fickus)
 - “tight frames” (incl. ONB, simplex)

Let $F(t) = |t|^p$ with $p > 0$. Consider the p -frame energy:

$$I_p(\mu) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} |x \cdot y|^p d\mu_x d\mu_y$$

Minimizers:

- $p = 2$: “frame energy” (Benedetto, Fickus)
 - “tight frames” (incl. ONB, simplex)
 - σ (and all isotropic μ).

Let $F(t) = |t|^p$ with $p > 0$. Consider the p -frame energy:

$$I_p(\mu) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} |x \cdot y|^p d\mu_x d\mu_y$$

Minimizers:

- $p = 2$: “frame energy” (Benedetto, Fickus)
 - “tight frames” (incl. ONB, simplex)
 - σ (and all isotropic μ).
- $0 < p < 2$: ONB (but **not** σ or other frames)

Let $F(t) = |t|^p$ with $p > 0$. Consider the p -frame energy:

$$I_p(\mu) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} |x \cdot y|^p d\mu_x d\mu_y$$

Minimizers:

- $p = 2$: “frame energy” (Benedetto, Fickus)
 - “tight frames” (incl. ONB, simplex)
 - σ (and all isotropic μ).
- $0 < p < 2$: ONB (but **not** σ or other frames)
- $p > 2$, $p = 2k$ even integer (Ehler, Okoudjo)
 - σ (but **not** ONB)

Let $F(t) = |t|^p$ with $p > 0$. Consider the p -frame energy:

$$I_p(\mu) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} |x \cdot y|^p d\mu_x d\mu_y$$

Minimizers:

- $p = 2$: “frame energy” (Benedetto, Fickus)
 - “tight frames” (incl. ONB, simplex)
 - σ (and all isotropic μ).
- $0 < p < 2$: ONB (but **not** σ or other frames)
- $p > 2$, $p = 2k$ even integer (Ehler, Okoudjo)
 - σ (but **not** ONB)
 - discrete “spherical designs”

Spherical designs

A set $\{p_1, \dots, p_N\} \subset \mathbb{S}^d$ is called a **spherical design** of strength t iff

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N f(p_i) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} f(x) d\sigma(x)$$

for any polynomial f of degree at most t .

Spherical designs

A set $\{p_1, \dots, p_N\} \subset \mathbb{S}^d$ is called a **spherical design** of strength t iff

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N f(p_i) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} f(x) d\sigma(x)$$

for any polynomial f of degree at most t .

Bondarenko, Radchenko, Viazovska, '11 (settling the conjecture of Korevaar and Meyers):

For any $N \geq c_d t^d$, there exists a spherical t -design with N points.

Let $F(t) = |t|^p$ with $p > 0$. Consider the p -frame energy:

$$I_p(\mu) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} |x \cdot y|^p d\mu_x d\mu_y$$

Minimizers:

- $p = 2$: “frame energy” (Benedetto, Fickus)
 - “tight frames” (incl. ONB, simplex)
 - σ (and all isotropic μ).
- $0 < p < 2$: ONB (but **not** σ or other frames)
- $p > 2$, $p = 2k$ even integer:
 - σ (but **not** ONB)
 - discrete “spherical designs”
- $p > 2$, but $p \neq 2k$: ??? (**not** σ , **not** ONB)

“Tight” designs

Following Cohn–Kumar and Cohn-Kumar–Minton, we say that a symmetric set $\{z_1, \dots, z_N\} \subset \mathbb{S}^d$ is a *tight design* if

- it is a $(2m - 1)$ -design
- inner products between points $z_i \cdot z_j$ take $m + 1$ values,

i.e. a design of *high* degree with *few* distances.

Some known tight designs

n	N	M	$m + 1$	configuration
n	n	3	3	cross polytope
2	$N \geq 2$	$N - 1$	$\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor + 1$	regular polygon
3	12	5	4	icosahedron
8	240	7	5	E_8 root system
24	196,560	11	7	Leech Lattice minimal vectors

... and some others...

$n = d + 1$ is the ambient dimension

M is the strength of the design

Main result

Theorem (DB, A. Glazyrin, R. Matzke, J. Park, O. Vlasiuk, 2018)

If $\{z_1, \dots, z_N\}$ is tight design with parameter m , then the measure

$$\mu = \frac{1}{N} \sum \delta_{z_i}$$

is a global minimizer of the p -frame energy for every $p \in (2m - 4, 2m - 2)$.

Moreover, any other minimizer is a discrete measure with the same distribution of absolute values of inner products.

Examples

- on \mathbb{S}^1 : a regular $(2n + 4)$ -gon is a minimizer of $I_p(\mu)$ for $p \in (2n, 2n + 2)$.

Examples

- on \mathbb{S}^1 : a regular $(2n + 4)$ -gon is a minimizer of $I_p(\mu)$ for $p \in (2n, 2n + 2)$.
- on \mathbb{S}^2 : a regular icosahedron is a minimizer of $I_p(\mu)$ for $p \in (2, 4)$.

Examples

- on \mathbb{S}^1 : a regular $(2n + 4)$ -gon is a minimizer of $I_p(\mu)$ for $p \in (2n, 2n + 2)$.
- on \mathbb{S}^2 : a regular icosahedron is a minimizer of $I_p(\mu)$ for $p \in (2, 4)$.
- on \mathbb{S}^7 : root system of E_8 lattice is a minimizer of $I_p(\mu)$ for $p \in (4, 6)$.

Examples

- on \mathbb{S}^1 : a regular $(2n + 4)$ -gon is a minimizer of $I_p(\mu)$ for $p \in (2n, 2n + 2)$.
- on \mathbb{S}^2 : a regular icosahedron is a minimizer of $I_p(\mu)$ for $p \in (2, 4)$.
- on \mathbb{S}^7 : root system of E_8 lattice is a minimizer of $I_p(\mu)$ for $p \in (4, 6)$.
- on \mathbb{S}^{23} : shortest vectors of Leech lattice form a minimizer of $I_p(\mu)$ for $p \in (8, 10)$.

Examples

- on \mathbb{S}^1 : a regular $(2n + 4)$ -gon is a minimizer of $I_p(\mu)$ for $p \in (2n, 2n + 2)$.
- on \mathbb{S}^2 : a regular icosahedron is a minimizer of $I_p(\mu)$ for $p \in (2, 4)$.
- on \mathbb{S}^7 : root system of E_8 lattice is a minimizer of $I_p(\mu)$ for $p \in (4, 6)$.
- on \mathbb{S}^{23} : shortest vectors of Leech lattice form a minimizer of $I_p(\mu)$ for $p \in (8, 10)$.
- a maximal ETF (equiangular tight frame), whenever it exists, is minimizer of $I_p(\mu)$ for $p \in (2, 4)$.
- etc.

Argument

“Linear programming” method:
(Yudin; Delsarte; Cohn–Kumar...)

Argument

“Linear programming” method:
(Yudin; Delsarte; Cohn–Kumar...)

on \mathbb{S}^2 : a regular icosahedron is a minimizer of $I_p(\mu)$ for
 $p \in (2, 4)$.

Argument

“Linear programming” method:
(Yudin; Delsarte; Cohn–Kumar...)

on \mathbb{S}^2 : a regular icosahedron is a minimizer of $I_p(\mu)$ for
 $p \in (2, 4)$.

- 5-design

“Linear programming” method:
(Yudin; Delsarte; Cohn–Kumar...)

on \mathbb{S}^2 : a regular icosahedron is a minimizer of $I_p(\mu)$ for $p \in (2, 4)$.

- 5-design
- inner products: $\pm 1, \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}$.

“Linear programming” method:
(Yudin; Delsarte; Cohn–Kumar...)

on \mathbb{S}^2 : a regular icosahedron is a minimizer of $I_p(\mu)$ for
 $p \in (2, 4)$.

- 5-design
- inner products: $\pm 1, \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}$.

$$I_F(\mu) \geq I_H(\mu)$$

$$\text{since } F = |t|^p \geq H$$

“Linear programming” method:
(Yudin; Delsarte; Cohn–Kumar...)

on \mathbb{S}^2 : a regular icosahedron is a minimizer of $I_p(\mu)$ for
 $p \in (2, 4)$.

- 5-design
- inner products: $\pm 1, \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}$.

$$\begin{aligned} I_F(\mu) &\geq I_H(\mu) \\ &\geq I_H(\sigma) \end{aligned}$$

since $F = |t|^p \geq H$
since H is p.d.

“Linear programming” method:
(Yudin; Delsarte; Cohn–Kumar...)

on \mathbb{S}^2 : a regular icosahedron is a minimizer of $I_p(\mu)$ for
 $p \in (2, 4)$.

- 5-design
- inner products: $\pm 1, \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}$.

$$I_F(\mu) \geq I_H(\mu)$$

$$\geq I_H(\sigma)$$

$$= I_H(\mu_{\text{icosahedron}})$$

since $F = |t|^p \geq H$

since H is p.d.

spherical design

“Linear programming” method:
(Yudin; Delsarte; Cohn–Kumar...)

on \mathbb{S}^2 : a regular icosahedron is a minimizer of $I_p(\mu)$ for $p \in (2, 4)$.

- 5-design
- inner products: $\pm 1, \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}$.

$$I_F(\mu) \geq I_H(\mu)$$

$$\geq I_H(\sigma)$$

$$= I_H(\mu_{\text{icosahedron}})$$

$$= I_F(\mu_{\text{icosahedron}})$$

$$\text{since } F = |t|^p \geq H$$

since H is p.d.

spherical design

$$\text{since } F(z_i \cdot z_j) = H(z_i \cdot z_j).$$