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## What is it?

It is a binary operation on collections of subsets of a linearly ordered set.
$(X, \mathcal{C})$, where $X=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}, \mathcal{C}$ is a collection of subsets of $X$
Given $W_{1}=\left(X, \mathcal{C}_{1}\right), W_{2}=\left(X, \mathcal{C}_{2}\right)$, their concatenation is $W_{1} \curlyvee W_{2}=$ ( $X, \mathcal{D}$ ), where
$\mathcal{D}=\left\{C_{1} \cup C_{2}: C_{1} \in \mathcal{C}_{1}, C_{2} \in \mathcal{C}_{2}\right.$, and the last element of $C_{1}$ is the first element of $\left.C_{2}\right\}$.

It is a construction method for various combinatorial objects.

## an example

| $A$ | $B$ | $C$ | $D$ | $E$ | $F$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | $*$ | $*$ | $\{A, B\}$ |
| $*$ | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | $*$ | $\{B, D\}$ |
| $*$ | $*$ | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | $\{D, E\}$ |

two circuits: $\{A, B, D, E\},\left\{A, B, C^{*}, D^{*}\right\}$

## Where did it come from?

Las Vergnas extensions of oriented matroids
a Theorem of Las Vergnas: Unions of orientable matroids are orientable.

Lawrence and Weinberg: a union operation on oriented matroids that reduces to concatenation in the case of uniform oriented matroids

## some of its relatives

ladder path matroids (Bonin and coauthors)

Richter-Gebert's connected sum operation

## results relating to it

contractibility of realization space
(Mnev spectacularly showed this is not the case in general.)
few mutations: Richter-Gebert's construction
the Klee-Walkup counterexample to the Hirsch conjecture for unbounded polyhedra
(Santos has dispensed with the harder problem, the bounded case.)

Montejano and Ramirez Alfonsin and a conjecture of Roudneff

## an example

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
A & B & C & D & E & F & \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & * & * & \{A, B\} \\
* & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & * & \{B, D\} \\
* & * & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & \{D, E\}
\end{array}
$$

two circuits: $\{A, B, D, E\},\left\{A, B, C^{*}, D^{*}\right\}$

> more examples (yielding well-known polytopes and oriented matroids)
$W=(X, \mathcal{C})$, where: $X=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\} ; \mathcal{C}=$ the set of 2-element subsets $\left\{x_{i}, x_{j}\right\}$ of $X$, for which exactly one of $i, j$ is odd.

Then, combinatorially, $W \curlyvee W \curlyvee \ldots \curlyvee W$ ( $n-r$ terms) is the set of facet complements of the cyclic $d$-polytope with $n$ vertices.

Similarly: alternating oriented matroids

## combinatorial pseudomanifolds, $(X, \mathcal{C})$

(where $\mathcal{C}$ is the set of complements of facets)

If $C \in \mathcal{C}$ and $p \in X \backslash C$ then there is a unique $D \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $p \in D \subseteq C \cup\{p\}$.

Easy: If $W_{1}$ and $W_{2}$ are pseudomanifolds then so is $W_{1} \curlyvee W_{2}$.

## uniform oriented matroids of rank $r$

$\left(E, \mathcal{C},{ }^{*}\right)$, where
$E=\left\{x_{1}, x_{1}^{*}, \ldots, x_{n}, x_{n}^{*}\right\} \quad$ and for $S \subseteq E, S^{*}=\left\{x^{*}: x \in S\right\}$.
$\mathcal{C}$ is a collection of subsets $C$ of $E$, each having $r+1$ elements, such that for each set $\bar{C} \subseteq E$ with $\bar{C}^{*}=C$ and $|\bar{C}|=2(r+1)$, there is a unique pair of circuits $C$ and $C^{*}$ contained in $\bar{C}$.

For each set $E_{0} \subseteq E$ such that $E_{0} \cap E_{0}^{*}=\emptyset$ and $E_{0} \cup E_{0}^{*}=E$, with $\mathcal{C}_{0}=\left\{C \in \mathcal{C}: C \subseteq E_{0}\right\},\left(E_{0}, \mathcal{C}_{0}\right)$ is a pseudomanifold.

## preservation

If $W_{1}$ and $W_{2}$ are combinatorial pseudomanifolds, so is $W_{1} \curlyvee W_{2}$.

If $W_{1}$ and $W_{2}$ are combinatorial types of simplicial polytopes, so is $W_{1} \curlyvee W_{2}$.

If $W_{1}$ and $W_{2}$ are uniform oriented matroids (as above), so is $W_{1} \curlyvee W_{2}$.

If $W_{1}$ and $W_{2}$ are realizable uniform oriented matroids, so is $W_{1} \curlyvee W_{2}$.

## concatenation of rank 1 uniform oriented matroids

$$
\Gamma \text { and } \Phi
$$

| $u_{1}$ | $u_{2}$ | $u_{3}$ | $u_{4}$ | $u_{5}$ | $u_{6}$ | $u_{7}$ | $u_{8}$ | $u_{9}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 |
| 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 |


| $v_{1}$ | $v_{2}$ | $v_{3}$ | $v_{4}$ | $v_{5}$ | $v_{6}$ | $v_{7}$ | $v_{8}$ | $v_{9}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $u_{6}$ | $u_{2}$ | $u_{5}$ | $u_{3}$ | $u_{4}$ | $u_{7}$ | $u_{1}$ | $u_{8}$ | $u_{9}$ |
| $u_{9}$ | $u_{8}$ | $u_{7}$ | $u_{1}$ | $u_{4}$ | $u_{3}$ | $u_{5}$ | $u_{2}$ | $u_{6}$ |

## some questions

Does the Hirsch conjecture hold for oriented matroid polytopes from $\Phi$ ?

Characterize the classes $\Gamma$ and $\Phi$ by excluded minors.

What are the mutation count matrices (or $f$-vectors) for elements of $\Gamma$ and $\Phi$ ?

What about Roudneff's conjecture, for $\Phi$ ?

