## Models of emergent networks

#### P. Degond

Department of Mathematics Imperial College London United Kingdom

pdegond@imperial.ac.uk (see http://sites.google.com/site/degond/)



- 1. Introduction
- 2. Ant trail networks
- 3. Blood capillary network
- 4. Fiber networks in tissues
- 5. Conclusion



## 1. Introduction



#### Complex network features

Large size







Plasticity





Goal: model evolving networks ex: ant trails, sheep trails, ...





## 2. Ant trail networks

#### with E. Boissard, S. Motsch (ASU)

Boissard, D., Motsch, J. Math. Biol., 66 (2013), pp. 1267-1301.



Motion on a pre-existing trail

Cellular automata

[John et al, JTB 04; Nishinari etal, Physica A 06]

Decision-making between trails ODE's [Reid et al, J. Exp. Biol 11]

Zero-dimensional models

Trail density [Edelstein-Keshet, JMB 94]

Lattice or cellular automata models

[Watmough & EK, JTB 95; Rauch et al Phys. Lett. A 95;

Schweitzer et al, Biosystems 97; Vincent etal JMB 04]

**Emergent networks** 







6

## **Trail-laying**



## Main model assumptions

Pheromones are directed quantities

Segment connecting two consecutive phero deposits

- Two-particle species: ants and trails
- ants move at constant speed
- ants subject to random direction changes
- ants interact with neigboring trails through alignment
- ants deposit trails at a constant rate
- trails evaporate at a constant rate

#### Goal:

Individual-Based model  $\rightarrow$  observe emergence of trails kinetic and hydrodynamic models

↑ Pierre Degond

## Trail statistics

Trails defined by clustering relation

 $P_i \sim P_j \iff |X_i - X_j| \le r \text{ and } |\sin(\omega_i, \omega_j)| \le s$ 

r and s ad-hoc parameters;  $P_i$  refers to ants or trail elements closed into equivalence relation by transitivity trail = equivalence class of this relation

Trails size statistics

 $p_t(S) =$  proba that a particle  $P_i$ belongs to a cluster of size S



Two trails at  $t = 2000 \, s$ 40 100 60 х







### Emergence of trails: phase transition

#### Mean trail size $\langle S \rangle = \sum_{S} S p_t(S)$

Abrupt increase when trail recruitment freq. increases

phase transition





1 ()

#### Trail width

From lateral decay of two-point correlation  $f_2$ 

trails are thinner with more trail recruitment

less random jumps

| $\lambda_p$ | $r_0 (\mathrm{cm})$ | $\lambda_r$ | $r_0$ (cm) |
|-------------|---------------------|-------------|------------|
| 3           | 2.796               | 0           | 2.532      |
| 2           | 3.181               | 1           | 2.964      |
| 1           | 4.350               | 2           | 3.181      |
|             |                     | 3           | 3.345      |



## 3. Blood capillary network

with P. Aceves-Sanchez, B. Aymard (Nice), D. Peurichard (INRIA Paris), L. Casteilla & A. Lorsignol (Stromalab, Toulouse), P. Kennel & F. Plouraboué (Fluid Mech. Toulouse) in preparation

## Context

Goal:

Explore possible mechanisms of vascular and capilary networks self-organization in tissues

Motivations:

Tissue regeneration

Tissue engineering

Tumors

Diseases



## Related problem: tumor angiogenesis

Mechanism:





13

## Models of vasculo/angio-genesis

Cell-based models Capilary = sequence of endothelial cells Model endothelial cell migration

Cell-based models with blood flow coupling Chaplain & coworkers, Maini & coworkers Travasso et al, PlosOne 2011 Tang et al, Plos One 2014

14

Cell-based models with ECM (cellular Potts model) Bauer et al, PlosCB 2009 Daub & Merks, Bull. Math. Biol. 2013



# Models of vasculo/angio-genesis (II)

Network-based models Schneider et al, Medical Image Analysis 2012 Secomb et al, PlosCB 2013

Macroscopic models

Orme & Chaplain, Math. Comput. Mod. 96 Billy et al, JTB 2009

Hu & Cai, PRL 2013

Haskovec et al, Nonlinear Anal 2016







15

↑ Pierre Degond



## Observations

Very detailed modelling of the background biology
Pro: good quantitative agreement
Con: difficult to disentangle influences of ≠ phenomena

Cell-based approaches suffer from directional bias unless very fine grid is used

Network-based approaches are complex to implement need to keep track of the connectivity

# Our approach

Look for "minimal model" with as few agents and phenomena as possible blood / interstitial fluid oxygen (as a surrogate for any kind of nutrient) capillaries No growth factor included

Capillaries ≡ discrete directional entities ~ network-based models √ without connectivity constraint "Fuzzy" connectivity by averaging

Inspired from ant-trail formation model [Boissard, D., Motsch, JMB 13]



## Model features

Two-dimensional No obstruction to extend it to 3D

One discrete agent type: capillary segments Fixed length  ${\cal L}$ 

Two continuum fields Blood/interstitial fluid pressure p(x,t)Oxygen / nutrient concentration  $\rho(x,t)$ 

 $\downarrow$ 

18

#### Equations: continuum fields

Blood/interstitial fluid satisfies Darcy's law

$$u = -K\nabla p, \qquad \nabla \cdot u = 0$$

u(x,t): fluid velocity K = K(x,t): hydraulic conductivity matrix Bndry cond: Dirichlet, Neumann, periodic ...

Oxygen concentration: convection diffusion eq.

$$\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho u) - \nabla \cdot (D \frac{\rho}{\rho + \rho^*} \nabla \rho) = -\beta(\rho)\rho$$

Convection by fluid velocity uDiffusion with diffusivity tensor  $D(x,t)\frac{\rho}{\rho+\rho^*}$ Consumption by tissue at rate  $\beta(\rho)$  (e.g. Menten-Michaelis)

 $\downarrow$ 

19

# Capillary dynamics

20

Capillary = discrete segment represented by Position  $X_i \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , Direction  $\omega_i \in \mathbb{S}^1$ 

Capillary creation: of capillary  $(X, \omega)$ : Poisson process branching in direction of  $O_2$ -gradient  $\omega = \nabla \rho / |\nabla \rho|(X)$ at X where  $O_2$  concentration gradient  $\nabla_x \rho(X)$  large but  $O_2$  concentration  $\rho(X)$  not too large

reinforcement with flow direction  $\omega = u/|u|(X)$ 

at X where flow  $(\rho u)(X)$  neither too small nor too large

branching off in direction  $\perp$  to flow  $\omega = u^{\perp}/|u|(X)$ 

at X where maximal wall shear stress i.e. leading eigenvalue of  $(\nabla_x u + (\nabla_x u)^T)(X)$  is large

Capillary destruction: increases with square of hydraulic conductivity  $K(X) \Rightarrow$  large capillary density penalized

Pierre Degond

Emergent networks

## Capillary / blood - $O_2$ coupling

Capillary / Blood coupling Through hydraulic conductivity matrix

$$K(x,t) = k_h \mathsf{Id} + \sum_{j \text{ s.t. } |X_j - x| \le L} \kappa \ \omega_j \otimes \omega_j$$

Capillary / Oxygen coupling Through diffusivity matrix

$$D(x,t) = \Delta_h \mathsf{Id} + \sum_{j \text{ s.t. } |X_j - x| \leq L} \Delta \ \omega_j \otimes \omega_j$$

 $\downarrow$ 

2-

## Some remarks

Presence of a capilary segment at a point enhances hydraulic conductivity in its direction favors flow in its direction is reinforced by new capillary creation

Leads to larger vessels:

Formed of several nearby parallel capilaries but size limited by capillary removal at high capillary density

Large blood flow in vessels:

induces Oxygen gradients across vessels

increases wall shear stress

both trigger splitting / sprouting

The topology at junctions:

becomes an emergent property, not hardwired in the model

## Numerical methods

Blood flow (Darcy's eq.)

 $Q^1$ -conforming finite element on square mesh

Oxygen concentration (convection-diffusion eq.) Particle method

Diffusion velocity method [D. Mustieles, SISC 1990]

$$\rho(x,t) \approx \rho^N(x,t) = \sum_{i=1}^N m_i \delta(x - Y_i(t)),$$

 $m_i = \mathsf{Const}$ 

$$\dot{Y}_i(t) = \left(u - D\frac{\nabla\rho_h^N}{\rho_h^N + \rho^*}\right)(Y_i(t), t)$$

 $\rho_h^N$  is a smoothing of  $\rho^N$  using a kernel  $W_h(x)$ :

$$\rho_h^N(x,t) = \sum_{i=1}^N m_i W_h(x - Y_i(t))$$

Pierre Degond

## Oxygen particle & capillary management 24

#### Oxygen particles

Introduced through artery wall with constant uniform weight Removed randomly according to consumption rate  $\beta$ Removed when reaching the vein wall

Capillary creation during time step  $\Delta t$ Fix a maximal number  $N_c$  of new capillaries to create pick creation location X randomly with uniform proba Compute creation rate  $\nu_c(X)$  at location X Create capillary at X with proba  $1 - e^{-S\nu_c\Delta t}$ with  $S = \text{Surface(Domain)}/N_c$ 

Capillary removal

Remove randomly with proba  $1 - e^{\nu_d \Delta t}$ 

## Simulation conditions



$$\begin{array}{ll} p_A = 37.7 \ {\rm mmHg}; & p_V = 14.6 \ {\rm mmHg} \\ \beta = {\rm Hill \ function \ with \ } \beta_{{\rm sat}} = 0.01 \ {\rm mn}^{-1} \ \mu {\rm m}^{-2} \\ k_h = 400 \ \mu {\rm m}^2 {\rm mn}^{-1} {\rm mmHg}^{-1}; & \Delta_h = 10 \ \mu {\rm m}^2 {\rm mn}^{-1} \end{array}$$

Parameters: estimated

 $\begin{array}{ll} \rho_0 \mbox{ reference value; } & \rho_s = \rho_0; & \rho^* = \rho_0/10 \\ \kappa = 200 \, k_h; & \Delta = 20 \Delta_h \\ \mbox{ capillary length} = 15 \, \mu \mbox{m; width} = 4 \, \mu \mbox{m; } N_c = 2 \, 10^5 / \Delta t \\ \nu_c^* = 0.05 \, \mu \mbox{m}^{-2} \mbox{mn}^{-1}; & \nu_f^* = 0.01 \, \mu \mbox{m}^{-2} \mbox{mn}^{-1} \\ \nu_d^* = 0.3 \ \mbox{mn}^{-1} \end{array}$ 

## Results







#### Salient features:

Emergent vessel formation Sponteneous sprouting & branching



#### **Statistics**



Branching angle / Segment length statistics

1



### 4. Fiber networks in tissues

Go to next file

## 4. Conclusion

# Conclusion

New "fuzzy network" technique

Discrete items carry directional information

Connectivity recovered by local averaging

Suitable for

Dynamic topology

Evolving networks

Emergent networks

Simple modelling allows for

Coarse-graining into macroscopic model

Macro models for cross-linking fibers [M3AS 2015]