Comparison with experimental data

<□ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > Ξ の Q · 1/41

Conclusions

Modeling adipocytes dynamic

Hugo Martin, Pascal Millet, Cristina Vaghi, Thierry Goudon, Frédéric Lagoutière, Benjamin Mauroy, Magali Ribot

CEMRACS 2018

August 22, 2018

<ロ > < 回 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > 2/41



2 0D model

- Spatial model
- 4 Comparison with experimental data

5 Conclusions



Past and projected future overweight rates in selected O.E.C.D. countries

Figure: Evolution of the prevalence of overweight in different countries. Source: http://www.downeyobesityreport.com



Figure: Causes of death in the United States in 2009.. Source: M. Bertucci, A. Miller, S. Jaggi, S. Wilding, Cutting the Fat on Healthcare: An Investigation of Preventive Healthcare and the Fight on Obesity, *Undergraduate Research Journal for the Human Sciences*.

- シック・ 川 ・ 山・ ・ 山・ ・ 山・ ・ 白・

5/41



2 0D model

• The biological considerations

- The mathematical model
- Mathematical analysis
- Numerical analysis
- Simulations

3 Spatial model

4 Comparison with experimental data

5 Conclusions

Comparison with experimental data

Conclusions

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=W4ax_3qFsuc

<ロト < 回 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 臣 の へ で 6/41

Comparison with experimental data

Conclusions



Figure: Development of the mesenchymal cells. Source: https://www.ahajournals.org/journal/res
 Motivation
 OD model
 Spatial model
 Comparison

Comparison with experimental data

Conclusions

The interactions between the three populations



Figure: Schematic representation of the three populations.

- シック・ 川 ・ 山・ ・ 山・ ・ 山・ ・ 白・

9/41



2 0D model

• The biological considerations

• The mathematical model

- Mathematical analysis
- Numerical analysis
- Simulations

3 Spatial model

4 Comparison with experimental data

5 Conclusions

Comparison with experimental data

Conclusions

A coupled system of ODEs and a PDE

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\mathrm{d}m}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\gamma m(t) + \alpha m(t) - \beta(\bar{r}(t))m(t), & t \ge 0, \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}p}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\gamma' p(t) + \alpha' p(t) - \beta'(\bar{r}(t))p(t) + \beta(\bar{r}(t))m(t), & t \ge 0, \\ \frac{\partial a}{\partial t}(t,r) + \partial_r(Va)(t,r) = -\gamma''a(t,r), & t > 0, r > r_*, \\ Va(t,r_*) = \beta'(\bar{r}(t))p(t), & t > 0, \\ m(0) = m_{init} \ge 0, & p(0) = p_{init} \ge 0, & a(0,r) = a_{init}(r) \ge 0. \end{cases}$$

• r_* : minimal radius of the adipocytes (experimentally) • $\bar{r}(t) = \frac{\int_{r_*}^{\infty} ra(t, r) dr}{\int_{r_*}^{\infty} a(t, r) dr}$ mean radius
 Motivation
 OD model
 Spatial model
 Comparison with experimental data
 Conclusions

Modeling of the velocity/growth rate and the retro-control

• k(t): (excess of) available lipid droplets at time t

•
$$S(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 4\pi r^2 a(t,r) \, \mathrm{d}r$$
 total surface

 No adipocytes smaller than r_{*} nor larger than r_c (experimentally)

Velocity:
$$V(t,r) = \frac{k(t)}{S(t)} \mathbb{1}_{[r_*,r_c)}(r) \ge 0$$

Modeling of the velocity/growth rate and the retro-control

• k(t): (excess of) available lipid droplets at time t

•
$$S(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 4\pi r^2 a(t,r) \, \mathrm{d}r$$
 total surface

 No adipocytes smaller than r_{*} nor larger than r_c (experimentally)

Velocity:
$$V(t,r) = \frac{k(t)}{S(t)} \mathbb{1}_{[r_*,r_c)}(r) \ge 0$$





<ロト < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 >) へ ⁽⁾ 11/41



• 0 < r_{β} , R_{β}

Figure: Typical shape for the retro-control functions β and β' .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ● のへで

12/41





2 0D model

- The biological considerations
- The mathematical model

Mathematical analysis

- Numerical analysis
- Simulations

3 Spatial model

Comparison with experimental data

Comparison with experimental data

Conclusions

Mathematical framework

a is a measure V is discontinuous Va is not defined as a distribution.

V is one-sided Lipschitz:

$$(V(t,r_1) - V(t,r_2))(r_1 - r_2) \leqslant C |r_1 - r_2|^2$$

Characteristics can be defined forward in time thanks to Filippov theory. In one dimension:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}X_t(r,s)}{\mathrm{d}t} \in \bigcap_{\substack{h>0\\N\subset\mathbb{R}:|N|=0}} Conv(V(t,(X_t(r,s)-h,X_t(r,s)+h)\backslash N)) \text{ a.e.}$$
$$X_s(r,s) = r \tag{1}$$

 $\hookrightarrow \text{ Unique Lipschitz solution defined on } [s, +\infty)$

Comparison with experimental data

Conclusions

Example



In our case $X_t(r,s) = \min(r + \int_s^t \frac{k(u)}{S(u)} du, r_c)$

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < Ξ ▶ < Ξ ▶ Ξ · の Q @ 14/41

Comparison with experimental data

Conclusions

Notion of solution

Solution in Poupaud and Rascle sense. In our case : $a \in C^0([0, +\infty), \mathcal{M} - w*)$ is a solution of

$$\partial_t a + \partial_r (Va) = -\gamma'' a$$
 (2)
 $Va(t, r_*) = f(t)$ (3)

if the Duhamel formula holds. $\forall \phi \in C^0_b([r_*, +\infty))$,

$$\int_{r_*}^{+\infty} \phi(r) \, \mathrm{d}a_t(r) = \int_{r_*}^{+\infty} \phi(X_t(0, r)) e^{-\gamma'' t} \, \mathrm{d}a_0(r)$$
$$+ \int_0^t \phi(X_t(s, r_*)) e^{-\gamma''(t-s)} f(s) \, \mathrm{d}s$$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Comparison with experimental data

Conclusions

Theoretical results

- Existence and uniqueness result based on a fixed-point method
- Necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of stationary solution(s) and (in case of existence) explicit expression
- Asymptotic behavior in some simple cases

Comparison with experimental data

Conclusions

Stationary solutions

Case
$$\gamma'' = 0$$
, $\alpha' - \gamma' - \beta'(r_*) < 0$, $\alpha - \gamma - \beta(r_*) < 0$:

$$a_t \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} \left(\int_{r_*}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d}a_0(r) + \int_0^{+\infty} \beta'(\bar{r}(s)) p(s) \mathrm{d}s \right) \delta_{r_c}$$

Case $\gamma'' > 0$ and $\alpha' - \gamma' \in \beta'([r_*, r_c])$ or $\alpha - \gamma \in \beta([r_*, r_c])$, form of the stationary distributions:

$$\bar{a} = \lambda \left(e^{-\frac{\gamma''}{v}(r-r_*)} \, \mathrm{d}r + \frac{v e^{-\frac{\gamma''}{v}(r_c-r_*)}}{\gamma''} \delta_{r_c} \right)$$

where λ and v depend on the parameters in an explicit way.

Comparison with experimental data

Conclusions

Stability of stationary solutions



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □





2 0D model

- The biological considerations
- The mathematical model
- Mathematical analysis

Numerical analysis

Simulations

3 Spatial model

Comparison with experimental data

Comparison with experimental data

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < ■ ▶ < ■ ▶ < ■ ▶ ■ ⑦ Q ⁽²⁾ 20/41

Conclusions

Discretisation of the ODEs, explicit scheme

Explicit Euler:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}m}{\mathrm{d}t} = (\alpha - \gamma)m(t) - \beta(\bar{r}(t))m(t)$$
$$\frac{m_{k+1} - m_k}{\Delta t} = (\alpha - \gamma)m_k - \beta(r_k)m_k$$
$$\Rightarrow m_{k+1} = (1 + \Delta t (\alpha - \gamma - \beta(r_k)))m_k$$

Comparison with experimental data

Conclusions

Discretisation of the ODEs, explicit scheme

Explicit Euler:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}m}{\mathrm{d}t} = (\alpha - \gamma)m(t) - \beta(\bar{r}(t))m(t)$$
$$\frac{m_{k+1} - m_k}{\Delta t} = (\alpha - \gamma)m_k - \beta(r_k)m_k$$
$$\Rightarrow m_{k+1} = (1 + \Delta t (\alpha - \gamma - \beta(r_k)))m_k$$

In the same spirit:

$$p_{k+1} = \left(1 + \Delta t \left(lpha' - \gamma' - eta'(r_k)
ight)
ight) p_k + \Delta t eta(r_k) m_k$$

Comparison with experimental data

Conclusions

Discretisation of the ODEs, explicit scheme

Explicit Euler:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}m}{\mathrm{d}t} = (\alpha - \gamma)m(t) - \beta(\bar{r}(t))m(t)$$
$$\frac{m_{k+1} - m_k}{\Delta t} = (\alpha - \gamma)m_k - \beta(r_k)m_k$$
$$\Rightarrow m_{k+1} = (1 + \Delta t (\alpha - \gamma - \beta(r_k)))m_k$$

In the same spirit:

$$p_{k+1} = (1 + \Delta t (\alpha' - \gamma' - \beta'(r_k))) p_k + \Delta t \beta(r_k) m_k$$
Conditionally stable!

Comparison with experimental data

< □ ▶ < 圕 ▶ < ≣ ▶ < ≣ ▶ Ξ · ♡ < @ 21/41

Conclusions

Discretisation of the ODEs, semi-implicit scheme

Semi-implicit Euler:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}m}{\mathrm{d}t} = (\alpha - \gamma)m(t) - \beta(\bar{r}(t))m(t)$$
$$\frac{m_{k+1} - m_k}{\Delta t} = (\alpha - \gamma)m_k - \beta(r_k)m_{k+1}$$

Comparison with experimental data

Conclusions

Discretisation of the ODEs, semi-implicit scheme

Semi-implicit Euler:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}m}{\mathrm{d}t} = (\alpha - \gamma)m(t) - \beta(\bar{r}(t))m(t)$$
$$\frac{m_{k+1} - m_k}{\Delta t} = (\alpha - \gamma)m_k - \beta(r_k)m_{k+1}$$
$$\Rightarrow m_{k+1} = \frac{1 + \Delta t(\alpha - \gamma)}{1 + \Delta t\beta(r_k)}m_k$$

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 ▶ 三 りへで 21/41

Comparison with experimental data

Conclusions

Discretisation of the ODEs, semi-implicit scheme

Semi-implicit Euler:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}m}{\mathrm{d}t} = (\alpha - \gamma)m(t) - \beta(\bar{r}(t))m(t)$$
$$\frac{m_{k+1} - m_k}{\Delta t} = (\alpha - \gamma)m_k - \beta(r_k)m_{k+1}$$
$$\Rightarrow m_{k+1} = \frac{1 + \Delta t(\alpha - \gamma)}{1 + \Delta t\beta(r_k)}m_k$$

In the same spirit:

$$p_{k+1} = \frac{1 + \Delta t (\alpha' - \gamma')}{1 + \Delta t \beta'(r_k)} p_k + \frac{\Delta t \beta(r_k)}{1 + \Delta t \beta'(r_k)} m_{k+1}$$

Unconditionally stable!

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 ▶ 三 りへで 21/41

Comparison with experimental data

Conclusions

Discretisation of the PDE, upwind scheme

Classical upwind, but for measures:

- discretisation of $[r_*, r_c]$: $(r_j)_{0 \le j \le J}$, $r_j = r_* + j\Delta r$
- time discretisation $t^k = k\Delta t$

•
$$V_j^k = V(t^k, r_j)$$

• at each point r_j , the measure a_{t^k} has approximately weight a_i^k

$$a_{t^k} \approx \sum_{j=0}^J a_j^k \delta_{r_j}$$

$$\begin{cases} a_j^{k+1} = a_j^k - \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta r} \left(V_j^k a_j^k - V_{j-1}^k a_{j-1}^k \right) - \Delta t \gamma'' a_j^k, \quad 1 < j < J, \\ V_0^k a_0^k = \beta'(r_k) p^k \end{cases}$$

◆□ ▶ < @ ▶ < E ▶ < E ▶ ○ 22/41</p>

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

23/41





2 0D model

- The biological considerations
- The mathematical model
- Mathematical analysis
- Numerical analysis
- Simulations

3 Spatial model

Comparison with experimental data

Comparison with experimental data

Conclusions

Parameters setting

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha - \gamma - \beta(\mathbf{r}) < \mathbf{0} \qquad \forall \mathbf{r} \in [\mathbf{r}_*, \mathbf{r}_c] \\ \alpha' - \gamma' - \beta'(\mathbf{r}) < \mathbf{0} \qquad \forall \mathbf{r} \in [\mathbf{r}_*, \mathbf{r}_c] \end{aligned}$$

$t \in (0, 350)$ [days]



◆□ ▶ < @ ▶ < E ▶ < E ▶ ○ 24/41</p>

Comparison with experimental data

Conclusions

Population dynamic



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ ■▶ ◆ ■ ● ● ● ○ ○ ○ 25/41

Comparison with experimental data

Conclusions

Adipocytes distribution and growth rate



୦୦^୦୦ 26/41

э







4 Comparison with experimental data

5 Conclusions

<ロト < 母 ト < 臣 ト < 臣 ト 三 の へ C 27/41



Spatial model

- s(t, x): volume fraction of the surronding material at time t and at point x.
- u(t, x): spatial velocity of the species at time t and at point x

$$\frac{\partial m}{\partial t} + \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot (\mathbf{u}\mathbf{m}) = (\alpha - \gamma - \beta(\bar{r})) \mathbf{m}$$
(4)

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot (\boldsymbol{u}\boldsymbol{p}) = (\alpha' - \gamma' - \beta'(\bar{r})) \boldsymbol{p} + \beta(\bar{r})\boldsymbol{m}$$
(5)

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial t} + \nabla_{\mathsf{x}} \cdot (us) = 0 \tag{6}$$

$$\partial_t a + \nabla_x \cdot (ua) + \partial_r (Va) = -\gamma'' a$$
 (7)

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Comparison with experimental data

Conclusions

Closure condition

Constraint on the volume fractions:

$$s(t,x) + \frac{4\pi}{3} \left(r_*^3 m(t,x) + r_*^3 p(t,x) + \int_{r_*}^{r_c} r^3 a(t,x,r) \, \mathrm{d}r \right) = 1$$

Constraint on u:

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathbf{u} = \frac{4\pi}{3} \left(r_*^3(\alpha - \gamma)\mathbf{m} + r_*^3(\alpha' - \gamma')\mathbf{p} + 3\int_{r_*}^{r_c} r^2 V \mathbf{a} \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r} \right)$$

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < ■ ▶ < ■ ▶ < ■ ▶ ■ ⑦ Q ^Q 29/41

Comparison with experimental data

Conclusions

Choice of spatial velocity u

q(t, x): pressure at time t in x

Assumption: *u* derives from *q* through a Darcy's law:

$$u(t,x) = -\nabla q(t,x)$$

Thus:

$$\Delta q = -\nabla \cdot u$$

Boundary conditions

• q = 0 on $\partial \Omega$

• Non incoming flux for *m*,*p* and *a*.







Simulations

4 Comparison with experimental data

5 Conclusions

<ロト < 母 ト < 臣 ト < 臣 ト 臣 の Q C 31/41

Comparison with experimental data

 \mathcal{K}_i

Conclusions

Numerical scheme: finite volume method

• Darcy's law: Laplace problem

$$-\Delta q = \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

$$q = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

$$\mathbf{u} = \nabla q$$

$$\overset{(x_i,y)}{\overset{(x_i,y)}$$

$$-\int_{\mathcal{K}_i} \Delta q \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathcal{K}_i} \mathrm{div}(\mathbf{u}) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} \quad \Rightarrow \quad -[\nabla q \cdot \mathbf{n}]_{\partial \mathcal{K}_i} = \int_{\mathcal{K}_i} \mathrm{div}(\mathbf{u}) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x}$$

• Transport system: explicit upwind scheme

× (x_{i+b}, y_i)



Comparison with experimental data

Conclusions

1D simulations



Comparison with experimental data

Conclusions

1D simulations

<ロ > < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > う < で 34/41

Comparison with experimental data

Conclusions

1D simulations





2 0D model

3 Spatial model

4 Comparison with experimental data

5 Conclusions

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 ▶ 三 りへで 36/41

Comparison with experimental data

Conclusions

Comparison with experimental data



<ロト < 母 ト < 臣 ト < 臣 ト 三 の へ C 37/41



2 0D model

3 Spatial model

4 Comparison with experimental data

5 Conclusions

<ロト < 母 ト < 臣 ト < 臣 ト 三 の へ C 38/41

Conclusions

Observations from the theoretical and numerical study:

- With the current configuration of parameters, the surface has the strongest influence on the dynamic of adipocytes.
- With the current parameters of the spatial model, we have two different timescales: one for the spatial displacement and one for the growth of adipocytes.

Encountered difficulties:

- The 0D and spatial models are highly sensitive to a change of initial conditions.
- Few experimental data with respect to the parameters.

Comparison with experimental data

<ロト < 回 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 臣 の Q @ 40/41

Conclusions

Perspectives

- Data calibration of the model.
- Set boundary conditions in the spatial model with a biological meaning.
- Stability of stationary solutions of the 0D model (both theoretically and numerically for a wider range of parameters).
- Adaptation of the numerical scheme to deal with discontinuous velocity.
- Adaptation of the numerical scheme for the spatial model to deal with two times scales.

Motivation 0D model

Spatial model

Comparison with experimental data

Conclusions

Thank you!!



<ロ> < 母> < 臣> < 臣> < 臣> 臣 のへで 41/41