

Kostka-Foulkes polynomials in type C_n

Jacinta Torres
Karlsruhe Institut for Technology
(jt. with Thomas Gerber)

Representations in Lie Theory and Interactions | CIRM Luminy

5-9 November, 2018

From weight multiplicities...

Let \mathfrak{g} be a complex, simple Lie algebra and $V(\lambda)$ be a finite-dimensional, irreducible representation.

From weight multiplicities...

Let \mathfrak{g} be a complex, simple Lie algebra and $V(\lambda)$ be a finite-dimensional, irreducible representation. Then

$$V(\lambda) \cong \bigoplus_{\mu \leq \lambda} V(\lambda)_\mu, \text{ and if } K_{\lambda, \mu} = \dim(V(\lambda)_\mu),$$

$$K_{\lambda, \mu} = \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{l(w)} \mathcal{P}(w(\lambda + \rho) - (\mu + \rho)),$$

where:

$$\prod_{\alpha \text{ positive root}} \frac{1}{1 - x^\alpha} = \sum_{\beta} \mathcal{P}(\beta) x^\beta,$$

and the sum runs over all positive integral combinations of positive roots.

... to their q -analogues

Lusztig's q -analogues of weight multiplicities are given by:

$$K_{\lambda,\mu}(q) = \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{l(w)} \mathcal{P}_q(w(\lambda + \rho) - (\mu + \rho))$$

where \mathcal{P}_q is a q -analogue satisfying:

$$\prod_{\alpha \text{ positive root}} \frac{1}{1 - qx^\alpha} = \sum_{\beta} \mathcal{P}_q(\beta) x^\beta.$$

In particular, $K_{\lambda,\mu}(1) = K_{\lambda,\mu}$.

Properties

- It was shown by Kato that the polynomials $K_{\lambda,\mu}(q)$ are Kostka-Foulkes polynomials defined by:

$$s_{\lambda} = \sum_{\mu \text{ dominant}} K_{\lambda,\mu}(q) P_{\mu}(x; q)$$

where s_{λ} is a Weyl character and p_{μ} a Hall-Littlewood polynomial (a.k.a. Macdonald spherical function).

- They are affine Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials, so their coefficients are positive, but in general, there is no positive, closed, combinatorial formula to describe them...

...except in type A_{n-1} , that is when $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$:

In this case Lascoux-Schutzenberger found a statistic

$$\text{ch} : \text{SSYT}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$$

on semistandard Young tableaux called **charge** which gives the following formula:

$$K_{\lambda, \mu}(q) = \sum_{T \in \text{SSYT}_n(\lambda, \mu)} q^{\text{ch}(T)}.$$

The charge of a semistandard Young tableau

- Define a graph structure on $SSYT_n$ by setting $T \rightarrow T'$ whenever there exists a word u and a letter $x \neq 1$ such that

$$\text{word}(T) \equiv xu \text{ and } \text{word}(T') \equiv ux.$$

where \equiv denotes plactic equivalence on words.

The charge of a semistandard Young tableau

- Define a graph structure on $SSYT_n$ by setting $T \rightarrow T'$ whenever there exists a word u and a letter $x \neq 1$ such that

$$\text{word}(T) \equiv xu \text{ and } \text{word}(T') \equiv ux.$$

where \equiv denotes plactic equivalence on words.

- If the shape of T' is a row, it cannot be obtained from some T in this way.

The charge of a semistandard Young tableau

- Define a graph structure on $SSYT_n$ by setting $T \rightarrow T'$ whenever there exists a word u and a letter $x \neq 1$ such that

$$\text{word}(T) \equiv xu \text{ and } \text{word}(T') \equiv ux.$$

where \equiv denotes plactic equivalence on words.

- If the shape of T' is a row, it cannot be obtained from some T in this way.
- Fix a weight μ , and let T_μ be the unique tableau with row shape and content/weight μ . Then, all paths joining a tableau T of weight μ to T_μ have the same length (and there exists at least one) n_T . Then

$$\text{ch}(T) := \sum_i (i-1)\mu_i - n_T.$$

Type C_n

From now on, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$. Semistandard Young tableaux are replaced by Kashiwara-Nakashima tableaux KN_n , which are semistandard Young tableaux on the ordered alphabet

$$\mathcal{C}_n = \{ \bar{n} < \cdots < \bar{1} < 1 < \dots < n \}$$

satisfying certain conditions.

- Lecouvey has defined a cyclage algorithm and with it a directed graph structure on the set

$$\text{KN} = \bigcup_{n>0} \text{KN}_n$$

in such a way that all sinks are columns of weight zero, and such that, for every $T \in \text{KN}$, there always exists a finite path to a unique sink C_T , and all paths from T to C_T have the same length n_T .

- Lecouvey has defined a cyclage algorithm and with it a directed graph structure on the set

$$\text{KN} = \bigcup_{n>0} \text{KN}_n$$

in such a way that all sinks are columns of weight zero, and such that, for every $T \in \text{KN}$, there always exists a finite path to a unique sink C_T , and all paths from T to C_T have the same length n_T .

- Let C be a column of weight zero. Define

$$\text{ch}_n(C) := 2 \sum_{i \in E_C} (n - i),$$

where

$$E_C = \{i \geq 1 \mid i \in C, i + 1 \notin C\}.$$

- Lecouvey has defined a cyclage algorithm and with it a directed graph structure on the set

$$KN = \bigcup_{n>0} KN_n$$

in such a way that all sinks are columns of weight zero, and such that, for every $T \in KN$, there always exists a finite path to a unique sink C_T , and all paths from T to C_T have the same length n_T .

- Let C be a column of weight zero. Define

$$\text{ch}_n(C) := 2 \sum_{i \in E_C} (n - i),$$

where

$$E_C = \{i \geq 1 \mid i \in C, i + 1 \notin C\}.$$

- Let $T \in KN_n$. Then

$$\text{ch}_n(T) := \text{ch}_n(C_T) + n_T.$$

Conjecture (Lecouvey)

Let $\text{KN}_n(\lambda, \mu)$ denote the set of Kashiwara-Nakashima tableaux of shape λ and weight μ . The following formula holds:

$$K_{\lambda, \mu}(q) = \sum_{T \in \text{KN}_n(\lambda, \mu)} q^{\text{ch}_n(T)}.$$

In recent work, Lecouvey-Lenart have proven this conjecture for columns of weight zero.

Theorem (Gerber-T.)

Lecouvey's conjecture is true for rows of weight zero. Moreover, for $T \in \text{KN}_n((2r), 0)$, given by

$$T = \boxed{\bar{i}_r \mid \dots \mid \bar{i}_1 \mid i_1 \mid \dots \mid i_r}$$

for positive integers $i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_r$, we have

$$\text{ch}_n(T) = r + 2 \sum_{k=1}^r (n - i_k).$$

Theorem (Gerber-T.)

Lecouvey's conjecture is true for rows of weight zero. Moreover, for $T \in \text{KN}_n((2r), 0)$, given by

$$T = \boxed{\bar{i}_r \mid \dots \mid \bar{i}_1 \mid i_1 \mid \dots \mid i_r}$$

for positive integers $i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_r$, we have

$$\text{ch}_n(T) = r + 2 \sum_{k=1}^r (n - i_k).$$

Thank you for your attention!