

Categorification of \mathbb{Z} -modular data associated to complex reflection groups

Abel Lacabanne

IMAG - Université de Montpellier

Representation in Lie Theory and Interactions
8 november 2018

Definition

A \mathbb{N} -modular datum (resp. \mathbb{Z} -modular datum) is a quadruple (I, S, T, i_0) where

Definition

A \mathbb{N} -modular datum (resp. \mathbb{Z} -modular datum) is a quadruple (I, S, T, i_0) where

- I is a finite set,

Definition

A \mathbb{N} -modular datum (resp. \mathbb{Z} -modular datum) is a quadruple (I, S, T, i_0) where

- I is a finite set,
- $S \in M_I(\mathbb{C})$,

Definition

A \mathbb{N} -modular datum (resp. \mathbb{Z} -modular datum) is a quadruple (I, S, T, i_0) where

- I is a finite set,
- $S \in M_I(\mathbb{C})$,
- $T \in M_I(\mathbb{C})$ is a diagonal matrix,

Definition

A \mathbb{N} -modular datum (resp. \mathbb{Z} -modular datum) is a quadruple (I, S, T, i_0) where

- I is a finite set,
- $S \in M_I(\mathbb{C})$,
- $T \in M_I(\mathbb{C})$ is a diagonal matrix,
- i_0 is a distinguished element in I , called special,

Definition

A \mathbb{N} -modular datum (resp. \mathbb{Z} -modular datum) is a quadruple (I, S, T, i_0) where

- I is a finite set,
- $S \in M_I(\mathbb{C})$,
- $T \in M_I(\mathbb{C})$ is a diagonal matrix,
- i_0 is a distinguished element in I , called special,

such that

(M1) for all $i \in I$, $S_{i_0, i} \neq 0$,

Definition

A \mathbb{N} -modular datum (resp. \mathbb{Z} -modular datum) is a quadruple (I, S, T, i_0) where

- I is a finite set,
- $S \in M_I(\mathbb{C})$,
- $T \in M_I(\mathbb{C})$ is a diagonal matrix,
- i_0 is a distinguished element in I , called special,

such that

(M1) for all $i \in I$, $S_{i_0, i} \neq 0$,

(M2) S is symmetric and unitary,

Definition

A \mathbb{N} -modular datum (resp. \mathbb{Z} -modular datum) is a quadruple (I, S, T, i_0) where

- I is a finite set,
- $S \in M_I(\mathbb{C})$,
- $T \in M_I(\mathbb{C})$ is a diagonal matrix,
- i_0 is a distinguished element in I , called special,

such that

(M1) for all $i \in I$, $S_{i_0, i} \neq 0$,

(M2) S is symmetric and unitary,

(M3) $S^4 = 1$, $[S^2, T] = 1$ and $(ST)^3 = 1$,

Definition

A \mathbb{N} -modular datum (resp. \mathbb{Z} -modular datum) is a quadruple (I, S, T, i_0) where

- I is a finite set,
- $S \in M_I(\mathbb{C})$,
- $T \in M_I(\mathbb{C})$ is a diagonal matrix,
- i_0 is a distinguished element in I , called special,

such that

(M1) for all $i \in I$, $S_{i_0, i} \neq 0$,

(M2) S is symmetric and unitary,

(M3) $S^4 = 1$, $[S^2, T] = 1$ and $(ST)^3 = 1$,

(M4) for all $i, j, k \in I$,

$$N_{i,j}^k := \sum_{l \in I} \frac{S_{l,i} S_{l,j} \overline{S_{l,k}}}{S_{l,i_0}} \in \mathbb{N} \text{ (resp. } \mathbb{Z}\text{)}.$$

Fusion algebra

The integers $(N_{i,j}^k)_{i,j,k \in I}$ are the structure constants of a free associative \mathbb{Z} -algebra of rank $|I|$, called fusion algebra associated with the modular data:

Fusion algebra

The integers $(N_{i,j}^k)_{i,j,k \in I}$ are the structure constants of a free associative \mathbb{Z} -algebra of rank $|I|$, called fusion algebra associated with the modular data:

$$A = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}X_i \quad X_i \cdot X_j = \sum_{k \in I} N_{i,j}^k X_k.$$

Fusion algebra

The integers $(N_{i,j}^k)_{i,j,k \in I}$ are the structure constants of a free associative \mathbb{Z} -algebra of rank $|I|$, called fusion algebra associated with the modular data:

$$A = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}X_i \quad X_i \cdot X_j = \sum_{k \in I} N_{i,j}^k X_k.$$

Question

How to construct modular data?

Fusion algebra

The integers $(N_{i,j}^k)_{i,j,k \in I}$ are the structure constants of a free associative \mathbb{Z} -algebra of rank $|I|$, called fusion algebra associated with the modular data:

$$A = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z} X_i \quad X_i \cdot X_j = \sum_{k \in I} N_{i,j}^k X_k.$$

Question

How to construct modular data?

A categorical answer

Using monoidal categories with extra structures.

A first example: modular categories

Let \mathcal{C} be an \mathbb{C} -linear abelian category. We suppose moreover that \mathcal{C} is

A first example: modular categories

Let \mathcal{C} be an \mathbb{C} -linear abelian category. We suppose moreover that \mathcal{C} is

- monoidal: \otimes the tensor product, $\mathbf{1}$ unit object and \otimes bilinear on morphism,
- rigid: existence of left duals X^* and right duals *X ,
- artinian, with a finite number of simple objects, $\text{End}(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbb{C}$,

A first example: modular categories

Let \mathcal{C} be an \mathbb{C} -linear abelian category. We suppose moreover that \mathcal{C} is

- monoidal: \otimes the tensor product, $\mathbf{1}$ unit object and \otimes bilinear on morphism,
- rigid: existence of left duals X^* and right duals *X ,
- artinian, with a finite number of simple objects, $\text{End}(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbb{C}$,
- semisimple,

A first example: modular categories

Let \mathcal{C} be an \mathbb{C} -linear abelian category. We suppose moreover that \mathcal{C} is

- monoidal: \otimes the tensor product, $\mathbf{1}$ unit object and \otimes bilinear on morphism,
- rigid: existence of left duals X^* and right duals *X ,
- artinian, with a finite number of simple objects, $\text{End}(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbb{C}$,
- semisimple,
- pivotal: there exists a natural isomorphism $a_X: X \rightarrow X^{**}$ such that $a_{X \otimes Y} = a_X \otimes a_Y$,

A first example: modular categories

Let \mathcal{C} be an \mathbb{C} -linear abelian category. We suppose moreover that \mathcal{C} is

- monoidal: \otimes the tensor product, $\mathbf{1}$ unit object and \otimes bilinear on morphism,
- rigid: existence of left duals X^* and right duals *X ,
- artinian, with a finite number of simple objects, $\text{End}(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbb{C}$,
- semisimple,
- pivotal: there exists a natural isomorphism $a_X: X \rightarrow X^{**}$ such that $a_{X \otimes Y} = a_X \otimes a_Y$,
- braided: there exists a binatural isomorphism $c_{X,Y}: X \otimes Y \rightarrow Y \otimes X$ satisfying some axioms (hexagons).

A first example: modular categories

Let \mathcal{C} be an \mathbb{C} -linear abelian category. We suppose moreover that \mathcal{C} is

- monoidal: \otimes the tensor product, $\mathbf{1}$ unit object and \otimes bilinear on morphism,
- rigid: existence of left duals X^* and right duals *X ,
- artinian, with a finite number of simple objects, $\text{End}(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbb{C}$,
- semisimple,
- pivotal: there exists a natural isomorphism $a_X: X \rightarrow X^{**}$ such that $a_{X \otimes Y} = a_X \otimes a_Y$,
- braided: there exists a binatural isomorphism $c_{X,Y}: X \otimes Y \rightarrow Y \otimes X$ satisfying some axioms (hexagons).

pivotal structure

\rightsquigarrow two quantum traces tr^\pm and two quantum dimensions dim^\pm .

A first example: modular categories

Let \mathcal{C} be an \mathbb{C} -linear abelian category. We suppose moreover that \mathcal{C} is

- monoidal: \otimes the tensor product, $\mathbf{1}$ unit object and \otimes bilinear on morphism,
- rigid: existence of left duals X^* and right duals *X ,
- artinian, with a finite number of simple objects, $\text{End}(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbb{C}$,
- semisimple,
- pivotal: there exists a natural isomorphism $a_X: X \rightarrow X^{**}$ such that $a_{X \otimes Y} = a_X \otimes a_Y$,
- braided: there exists a binatural isomorphism $c_{X,Y}: X \otimes Y \rightarrow Y \otimes X$ satisfying some axioms (hexagons).

pivotal structure

\rightsquigarrow two quantum traces tr^\pm and two quantum dimensions dim^\pm .

braiding + pivotal structure

\rightsquigarrow twist $\theta_X: X \rightarrow X$ such that $\theta_{X \otimes Y} = \theta_X \otimes \theta_Y \circ c_{Y,X} \circ c_{X,Y}$.

Definition

The symmetric center of \mathcal{C} is the full subcategory of \mathcal{C} with objects X such that

$$\forall Y, c_{Y,X} \circ c_{X,Y} = \text{id}.$$

Definition

The symmetric center of \mathcal{C} is the full subcategory of \mathcal{C} with objects X such that

$$\forall Y, c_{Y,X} \circ c_{X,Y} = \text{id}.$$

It is a symmetric tensor category.

Definition

The symmetric center of \mathcal{C} is the full subcategory of \mathcal{C} with objects X such that

$$\forall Y, c_{Y,X} \circ c_{X,Y} = \text{id}.$$

It is a symmetric tensor category.

Definition

\mathcal{C} is non-degenerate if the symmetric center is generated by $\mathbf{1}$.

Theorem (Turaev)

Suppose that \mathcal{C} is non-degenerate.

- $I = \text{lrr}(\mathcal{C})$,
- $S_{X,Y} = \lambda \text{tr}_{X \otimes Y}^+(c_{Y,X} \circ c_{X,Y})$,
- $T_{X,Y} = \delta_{X,Y} \theta_X$,
- $i_0 = \mathbf{1}$

is a \mathbb{N} -modular datum.

Non-degenerate categories

Theorem (Turaev)

Suppose that \mathcal{C} is non-degenerate.

- $I = \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$,
- $S_{X,Y} = \lambda \text{tr}_{X \otimes Y}^+(c_{Y,X} \circ c_{X,Y})$,
- $T_{X,Y} = \delta_{X,Y} \theta_X$,
- $i_0 = \mathbf{1}$

is a \mathbb{N} -modular datum.

The associated fusion algebra is $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$, the Grothendieck ring of \mathcal{C} : $N_{X,Y}^Z$ is the multiplicity of Z in $X \otimes Y$.

Non-degenerate categories

Theorem (Turaev)

Suppose that \mathcal{C} is non-degenerate.

- $I = \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$,
- $S_{X,Y} = \lambda \text{tr}_{X \otimes Y}^+(c_{Y,X} \circ c_{X,Y})$,
- $T_{X,Y} = \delta_{X,Y} \theta_X$,
- $i_0 = \mathbf{1}$

is a \mathbb{N} -modular datum.

The associated fusion algebra is $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$, the Grothendieck ring of \mathcal{C} : $N_{X,Y}^Z$ is the multiplicity of Z in $X \otimes Y$.

Question

What is a categorical version of \mathbb{Z} -modular data?

Slightly degenerate categories

Suppose that the symmetric center of \mathcal{C} is equal to sVect , the braided category of finite dimensional superspaces: \mathcal{C} is *slightly degenerate*.

Slightly degenerate categories

Suppose that the symmetric center of \mathcal{C} is equal to sVect , the braided category of finite dimensional superspaces: \mathcal{C} is *slightly degenerate*.

Denote by ε the simple object in \mathcal{C} corresponding to the one-dimensional purely odd superspace.

Slightly degenerate categories

Suppose that the symmetric center of \mathcal{C} is equal to sVect , the braided category of finite dimensional superspaces: \mathcal{C} is *slightly degenerate*.

Denote by ε the simple object in \mathcal{C} corresponding to the one-dimensional purely odd superspace.

Hypothesis

The positive and negative quantum dimensions of ε are equal to -1

Slightly degenerate categories

Suppose that the symmetric center of \mathcal{C} is equal to sVect , the braided category of finite dimensional superspaces: \mathcal{C} is *slightly degenerate*.

Denote by ε the simple object in \mathcal{C} corresponding to the one-dimensional purely odd superspace.

Hypothesis

The positive and negative quantum dimensions of ε are equal to -1 ($\implies \theta_\varepsilon = 1$).

Slightly degenerate categories

Suppose that the symmetric center of \mathcal{C} is equal to sVect , the braided category of finite dimensional superspaces: \mathcal{C} is *slightly degenerate*.

Denote by ε the simple object in \mathcal{C} corresponding to the one-dimensional purely odd superspace.

Hypothesis

The positive and negative quantum dimensions of ε are equal to -1 ($\implies \theta_\varepsilon = 1$).

The matrices S and T defined before are

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{S} & -\mathbf{S} \\ -\mathbf{S} & \mathbf{S} \end{pmatrix} \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{T} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{T} \end{pmatrix}.$$

\mathbb{Z} -modular data from slightly degenerate categories

Tensorization by ε induces an action of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ on $\text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$ without fixed points

\rightsquigarrow choose J a system of representatives such that $\mathbf{1} \in J$.

\mathbb{Z} -modular data from slightly degenerate categories

Tensorization by ε induces an action of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ on $\text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$ without fixed points

\rightsquigarrow choose J a system of representatives such that $\mathbf{1} \in J$.

Theorem (L.)

Suppose that \mathcal{C} is slightly degenerate and that ε is of dimension -1 .

- $I = J$,
- $\mathbf{S}_{X,Y} = \gamma \text{tr}_{X \otimes Y}^+(c_{Y,X} \circ c_{X,Y})$,
- $\mathbf{T}_{X,Y} = \delta_{X,Y} \theta_X$,
- $i_0 = \mathbf{1}$

is a \mathbb{Z} -modular datum.

\mathbb{Z} -modular data from slightly degenerate categories

Tensorization by ε induces an action of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ on $\text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$ without fixed points

\rightsquigarrow choose J a system of representatives such that $\mathbf{1} \in J$.

Theorem (L.)

Suppose that \mathcal{C} is slightly degenerate and that ε is of dimension -1 .

- $I = J$,
- $\mathbf{S}_{X,Y} = \gamma \text{tr}_{X \otimes Y}^+(c_{Y,X} \circ c_{X,Y})$,
- $\mathbf{T}_{X,Y} = \delta_{X,Y} \theta_X$,
- $i_0 = \mathbf{1}$

is a \mathbb{Z} -modular datum.

The fusion algebra is $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})/([\varepsilon] + [\mathbf{1}])$, the structure constants are

$$sN_{X,Y}^{\mathbb{Z}} = N_{X,Y}^{\mathbb{Z}} - N_{X,Y}^{\varepsilon \otimes \mathbb{Z}}.$$

Some motivations: finite groups of Lie type

$q = p^\alpha$ with p prime,

Some motivations: finite groups of Lie type

$q = p^\alpha$ with p prime, G a reductive group over $\mathbb{F} = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ and $F: G \rightarrow G$ be a Frobenius endomorphism. Suppose that the \mathbb{F}_q -structure is split.

Some motivations: finite groups of Lie type

$q = p^\alpha$ with p prime, G a reductive group over $\mathbb{F} = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ and $F: G \rightarrow G$ be a Frobenius endomorphism. Suppose that the \mathbb{F}_q -structure is split.

Deligne-Lusztig: definition of unipotent characters of G^F ,

Some motivations: finite groups of Lie type

$q = p^\alpha$ with p prime, G a reductive group over $\mathbb{F} = \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ and $F: G \rightarrow G$ be a Frobenius endomorphism. Suppose that the \mathbb{F}_q -structure is split.

Deligne-Lusztig: definition of unipotent characters of G^F ,

Lusztig: classification of unipotent characters, partition into families.

Some motivations: finite groups of Lie type

$q = p^\alpha$ with p prime, G a reductive group over $\mathbb{F} = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ and $F: G \rightarrow G$ be a Frobenius endomorphism. Suppose that the \mathbb{F}_q -structure is split.

Deligne-Lusztig: definition of unipotent characters of G^F ,

Lusztig: classification of unipotent characters, partition into families.

A \mathbb{N} -modular datum $(\mathcal{F}, S(\mathcal{F}), T(\mathcal{F}), \rho_{\text{sp}})$ is associated with each family \mathcal{F} of unipotent characters of G^F .

A hope? Spetses

Crucial observation (Lusztig, Broué-Malle-Michel, 1993)

Nearly nothing does depend on q , and we should be able to reconstruct everything just using the Weyl group W of G .

A hope? Spetses

Crucial observation (Lusztig, Broué-Malle-Michel, 1993)

Nearly nothing does depend on q , and we should be able to reconstruct everything just using the Weyl group W of G .

Hope (Spetses)

Do the same thing with W a Coxeter group? a complex reflection group?

A hope? Spetses

Crucial observation (Lusztig, Broué-Malle-Michel, 1993)

Nearly nothing does depend on q , and we should be able to reconstruct everything just using the Weyl group W of G .

Hope (Spetses)

Do the same thing with W a Coxeter group? a complex reflection group?

- Lusztig: Coxeter groups of type H_3 , H_4 (except for one family) and dihedral groups,

A hope? Spetses

Crucial observation (Lusztig, Broué-Malle-Michel, 1993)

Nearly nothing does depend on q , and we should be able to reconstruct everything just using the Weyl group W of G .

Hope (Spetses)

Do the same thing with W a Coxeter group? a complex reflection group?

- Lusztig: Coxeter groups of type H_3 , H_4 (except for one family) and dihedral groups,
- Malle: $G(d, 1, n)$ and $G(d, d, n)$,

A hope? Spetses

Crucial observation (Lusztig, Broué-Malle-Michel, 1993)

Nearly nothing does depend on q , and we should be able to reconstruct everything just using the Weyl group W of G .

Hope (Spetses)

Do the same thing with W a Coxeter group? a complex reflection group?

- Lusztig: Coxeter groups of type H_3 , H_4 (except for one family) and dihedral groups,
- Malle: $G(d, 1, n)$ and $G(d, d, n)$,
- Broué-Malle-Michel: some exceptional complex reflection groups.

The group $G(d, 1, n)$

$W = G(d, 1, n)$. There is an extension of Lusztig's combinatorics of symbols in type B describing unipotent characters of $G(d, 1, n)$

\rightsquigarrow families of unipotent characters, Fourier matrices, eigenvalues of the Frobenius.

The group $G(d, 1, n)$

$W = G(d, 1, n)$. There is an extension of Lusztig's combinatorics of symbols in type B describing unipotent characters of $G(d, 1, n)$

↔ families of unipotent characters, Fourier matrices, eigenvalues of the Frobenius.

Theorem (Malle, Cuntz)

Let \mathcal{F} be a family of unipotent characters of $G(d, 1, n)$, $S(\mathcal{F})$ its Fourier matrix and $T(\mathcal{F})$ the diagonal matrix of eigenvalues of the Frobenius. There is $f_{\text{sp}} \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $(\mathcal{F}, S(\mathcal{F}), T(\mathcal{F}), f_{\text{sp}})$ is a \mathbb{Z} -modular datum.

The group $G(d, 1, n)$

$W = G(d, 1, n)$. There is an extension of Lusztig's combinatorics of symbols in type B describing unipotent characters of $G(d, 1, n)$

\rightsquigarrow families of unipotent characters, Fourier matrices, eigenvalues of the Frobenius.

Theorem (Malle, Cuntz)

Let \mathcal{F} be a family of unipotent characters of $G(d, 1, n)$, $S(\mathcal{F})$ its Fourier matrix and $T(\mathcal{F})$ the diagonal matrix of eigenvalues of the Frobenius. There is $f_{\text{sp}} \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $(\mathcal{F}, S(\mathcal{F}), T(\mathcal{F}), f_{\text{sp}})$ is a \mathbb{Z} -modular datum.

Question

Is there a categorification of these data?

A family of $G(d, 1, n(n+1)/2)$

n, d be an integers with $d \geq n+1$. There is a family \mathcal{F} with $d^{n-1} \binom{d}{n+1}$ unipotent characters.

A family of $G(d, 1, n(n+1)/2)$

n, d be an integers with $d \geq n + 1$. There is a family \mathcal{F} with $d^{n-1} \binom{d}{n+1}$ unipotent characters.

Theorem (Bonnafé-Rouquier)

If $n = 1$, a categorification of the \mathbb{Z} -modular datum associated with \mathcal{F} is given by a quotient of the stable category of modules over the Drinfeld double of the Taft algebra.

A family of $G(d, 1, n(n+1)/2)$

n, d be an integers with $d \geq n+1$. There is a family \mathcal{F} with $d^{n-1} \binom{d}{n+1}$ unipotent characters.

Theorem (Bonnafé-Rouquier)

If $n = 1$, a categorification of the \mathbb{Z} -modular datum associated with \mathcal{F} is given by a quotient of the stable category of modules over the Drinfeld double of the Taft algebra.

Now we generalize this result for any n , in the framework of slightly degenerate categories.

Drinfeld double of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})^{\geq 0}$

Consider \mathcal{D}_q the Drinfeld double of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})^{\geq 0}$:

Drinfeld double of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})^{\geq 0}$

Consider \mathcal{D}_q the Drinfeld double of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})^{\geq 0}$: it is the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -algebra generated by $K_i^{\pm 1}, L_i^{\pm 1}, E_i, F_i$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$ with relations

Drinfeld double of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})^{\geq 0}$

Consider \mathcal{D}_q the Drinfeld double of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})^{\geq 0}$: it is the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -algebra generated by $K_i^{\pm 1}, L_i^{\pm 1}, E_i, F_i$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$ with relations

$$K_i K_j = K_j K_i,$$

$$K_i K_i^{-1} = 1 = K_i^{-1} K_i,$$

$$L_i L_j = L_j L_i,$$

$$L_i L_i^{-1} = 1 = L_i^{-1} L_i,$$

$$K_i L_j = L_j K_i,$$

Drinfeld double of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})^{\geq 0}$

Consider \mathcal{D}_q the Drinfeld double of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})^{\geq 0}$: it is the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -algebra generated by $K_i^{\pm 1}, L_i^{\pm 1}, E_i, F_i$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$ with relations

$$K_i K_j = K_j K_i,$$

$$K_i K_i^{-1} = 1 = K_i^{-1} K_i,$$

$$L_i L_j = L_j L_i,$$

$$L_i L_i^{-1} = 1 = L_i^{-1} L_i,$$

$$K_i L_j = L_j K_i,$$

$$K_i E_j = q^{-\delta_{i,j-1} + 2\delta_{i,j} - \delta_{i,j+1}} E_j K_i,$$

$$K_i F_j = q^{\delta_{i,j-1} - 2\delta_{i,j} + \delta_{i,j+1}} F_j K_i,$$

$$L_i E_j = q^{-\delta_{i,j-1} + 2\delta_{i,j} - \delta_{i,j+1}} E_j L_i,$$

$$L_i F_j = q^{\delta_{i,j-1} - 2\delta_{i,j} + \delta_{i,j+1}} F_j L_i,$$

Drinfeld double of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})^{\geq 0}$

Consider \mathcal{D}_q the Drinfeld double of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})^{\geq 0}$: it is the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -algebra generated by $K_i^{\pm 1}, L_i^{\pm 1}, E_i, F_i$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$ with relations

$$K_i K_j = K_j K_i, \quad K_i K_i^{-1} = 1 = K_i^{-1} K_i,$$

$$L_i L_j = L_j L_i, \quad L_i L_i^{-1} = 1 = L_i^{-1} L_i,$$

$$K_i L_j = L_j K_i,$$

$$K_i E_j = q^{-\delta_{i,j-1} + 2\delta_{i,j} - \delta_{i,j+1}} E_j K_i, \quad K_i F_j = q^{\delta_{i,j-1} - 2\delta_{i,j} + \delta_{i,j+1}} F_j K_i,$$

$$L_i E_j = q^{-\delta_{i,j-1} + 2\delta_{i,j} - \delta_{i,j+1}} E_j L_i, \quad L_i F_j = q^{\delta_{i,j-1} - 2\delta_{i,j} + \delta_{i,j+1}} F_j L_i,$$

+ other relations (quantum Serre relations, ...)

Drinfeld double of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})^{\geq 0}$

Consider \mathcal{D}_q the Drinfeld double of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})^{\geq 0}$: it is the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -algebra generated by $K_i^{\pm 1}, L_i^{\pm 1}, E_i, F_i$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$ with relations

$$K_i K_j = K_j K_i, \quad K_i K_i^{-1} = 1 = K_i^{-1} K_i,$$

$$L_i L_j = L_j L_i, \quad L_i L_i^{-1} = 1 = L_i^{-1} L_i,$$

$$K_i L_j = L_j K_i,$$

$$K_i E_j = q^{-\delta_{i,j-1} + 2\delta_{i,j} - \delta_{i,j+1}} E_j K_i, \quad K_i F_j = q^{\delta_{i,j-1} - 2\delta_{i,j} + \delta_{i,j+1}} F_j K_i,$$

$$L_i E_j = q^{-\delta_{i,j-1} + 2\delta_{i,j} - \delta_{i,j+1}} E_j L_i, \quad L_i F_j = q^{\delta_{i,j-1} - 2\delta_{i,j} + \delta_{i,j+1}} F_j L_i,$$

+ other relations (quantum Serre relations, ...)

$z_i = K_i L_i^{-1}$ is central and $\mathcal{D}_q / (z_i - 1)_{1 \leq i \leq n} \simeq \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})$.

Representations of \mathcal{D}_q

- $\Phi \subset V$ the root system of type A_n ,
- $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ a set of simple roots,
- $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ a symmetric bilinear form such that $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = 2$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi$.

Representations of \mathcal{D}_q

- $\Phi \subset V$ the root system of type A_n ,
- $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ a set of simple roots,
- $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ a symmetric bilinear form such that $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = 2$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi$.
- $Q = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$ the root lattice
- $P = \{\lambda \in V \mid \forall \alpha \in \Phi, \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ the weight lattice,
- $P^+ = \{\lambda \in V \mid \forall \alpha \in \Phi, \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \in \mathbb{N}\}$ the cone of dominant weights.

Representations of \mathcal{D}_q

- $\Phi \subset V$ the root system of type A_n ,
- $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ a set of simple roots,
- $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ a symmetric bilinear form such that $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = 2$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi$.
- $Q = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$ the root lattice
- $P = \{\lambda \in V \mid \forall \alpha \in \Phi, \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ the weight lattice,
- $P^+ = \{\lambda \in V \mid \forall \alpha \in \Phi, \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \in \mathbb{N}\}$ the cone of dominant weights.

\mathcal{C}_q the category of finite dimensional \mathcal{D}_q -modules M such that

$$M = \bigoplus_{(\lambda, \mu) \in P \times P} M_{(\lambda, \mu)},$$

Representations of \mathcal{D}_q

- $\Phi \subset V$ the root system of type A_n ,
- $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ a set of simple roots,
- $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ a symmetric bilinear form such that $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = 2$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi$.
- $Q = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$ the root lattice
- $P = \{\lambda \in V \mid \forall \alpha \in \Phi, \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ the weight lattice,
- $P^+ = \{\lambda \in V \mid \forall \alpha \in \Phi, \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \in \mathbb{N}\}$ the cone of dominant weights.

\mathcal{C}_q the category of finite dimensional \mathcal{D}_q -modules M such that

$$M = \bigoplus_{(\lambda, \mu) \in P \times P} M_{(\lambda, \mu)},$$

where $M_{(\lambda, \mu)}$ is the weight space of weight (λ, μ) :

$$M_{(\lambda, \mu)} = \{m \in M \mid \forall 1 \leq i \leq n, K_i \cdot m = q^{\langle \lambda, \alpha_i \rangle} m, L_i \cdot m = q^{\langle \mu, \alpha_i \rangle} m\}.$$

Fact

For each $M \in \mathcal{C}_q$, $z_i = K_i L_i^{-1}$ acts by a power of q^2 .

Representations of \mathcal{D}_q

Fact

For each $M \in \mathcal{C}_q$, $z_i = K_i L_i^{-1}$ acts by a power of q^2 .

Proposition

The category \mathcal{C}_q is semisimple and there is a bijection

$$\{(\lambda, \mu) \in P \times P \mid \lambda + \mu \in 2P^+\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{isomorphism classes of} \\ \text{simple objects in } \mathcal{C}_q \end{array} \right\}$$

$$(\lambda, \mu) \longmapsto L(\lambda, \mu)$$

Similarly to the algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})$, there is a Hopf algebra structure on \mathcal{D}_q

Similarly to the algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})$, there is a Hopf algebra structure on \mathcal{D}_q
 \rightsquigarrow monoidal structure on \mathcal{C}_q and left and right duality

Similarly to the algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})$, there is a Hopf algebra structure on \mathcal{D}_q
 \rightsquigarrow monoidal structure on \mathcal{C}_q and left and right duality and many pivotal structures

Similarly to the algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})$, there is a Hopf algebra structure on \mathcal{D}_q
 \rightsquigarrow monoidal structure on \mathcal{C}_q and left and right duality and many pivotal structures

There also is a quasi-R-matrix in (a completion of) $\mathcal{D}_q \otimes \mathcal{D}_q$

Extra structures on \mathcal{C}_q

Similarly to the algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})$, there is a Hopf algebra structure on \mathcal{D}_q
 \rightsquigarrow monoidal structure on \mathcal{C}_q and left and right duality and many pivotal structures

There also is a quasi-R-matrix in (a completion of) $\mathcal{D}_q \otimes \mathcal{D}_q$
 \rightsquigarrow braiding on \mathcal{C}_q .

Extra structures on \mathcal{C}_q

Similarly to the algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})$, there is a Hopf algebra structure on \mathcal{D}_q
 \rightsquigarrow monoidal structure on \mathcal{C}_q and left and right duality and many pivotal structures

There also is a quasi-R-matrix in (a completion of) $\mathcal{D}_q \otimes \mathcal{D}_q$
 \rightsquigarrow braiding on \mathcal{C}_q .

Problem

There is an infinite numbers of simple objects in \mathcal{C}_q ...

Extra structures on \mathcal{C}_q

Similarly to the algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})$, there is a Hopf algebra structure on \mathcal{D}_q
 \rightsquigarrow monoidal structure on \mathcal{C}_q and left and right duality and many pivotal structures

There also is a quasi-R-matrix in (a completion of) $\mathcal{D}_q \otimes \mathcal{D}_q$
 \rightsquigarrow braiding on \mathcal{C}_q .

Problem

There is an infinite numbers of simple objects in \mathcal{C}_q ...

Working with q a root of unity will truncate this category.

A version of \mathcal{D}_q at a root of unity

There are many versions of quantum groups at root of unity. Following Lusztig, we define $\mathcal{D}_q^{\text{res}}$ as a certain sub- $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ -algebra of \mathcal{D}_q .

A version of \mathcal{D}_q at a root of unity

There are many versions of quantum groups at root of unity. Following Lusztig, we define $\mathcal{D}_q^{\text{res}}$ as a certain sub- $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ -algebra of \mathcal{D}_q .

In this algebra, we have some elements $\begin{bmatrix} K_i; c \\ t \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} L_i; c \\ t \end{bmatrix}$ for $c \in \mathbb{Z}$,
 $t \in \mathbb{N}$

A version of \mathcal{D}_q at a root of unity

There are many versions of quantum groups at root of unity. Following Lusztig, we define $\mathcal{D}_q^{\text{res}}$ as a certain sub- $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ -algebra of \mathcal{D}_q .

In this algebra, we have some elements $\begin{bmatrix} K_i; c \\ t \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} L_i; c \\ t \end{bmatrix}$ for $c \in \mathbb{Z}$, $t \in \mathbb{N}$ e.g.:

$$\begin{bmatrix} K_i; c \\ t \end{bmatrix} = \prod_{r=1}^t \frac{q^{c-r+1} K_i - q^{-c+r-1} K_i^{-1}}{q^r - q^{-r}}$$

A version of \mathcal{D}_q at a root of unity

There are many versions of quantum groups at root of unity. Following Lusztig, we define $\mathcal{D}_q^{\text{res}}$ as a certain sub- $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ -algebra of \mathcal{D}_q .

In this algebra, we have some elements $\begin{bmatrix} K_i; c \\ t \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} L_i; c \\ t \end{bmatrix}$ for $c \in \mathbb{Z}$, $t \in \mathbb{N}$ e.g.:

$$\begin{bmatrix} K_i; c \\ t \end{bmatrix} = \prod_{r=1}^t \frac{q^{c-r+1} K_i - q^{-c+r-1} K_i^{-1}}{q^r - q^{-r}}$$

Let $\xi = \exp\left(\frac{i\pi}{d}\right)$ and

$$\mathcal{D}_\xi = \mathcal{D}_q^{\text{res}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]} \mathbb{C}_\xi.$$

A version of \mathcal{D}_q at a root of unity

There are many versions of quantum groups at root of unity. Following Lusztig, we define $\mathcal{D}_q^{\text{res}}$ as a certain sub- $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ -algebra of \mathcal{D}_q .

In this algebra, we have some elements $\begin{bmatrix} K_i; c \\ t \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} L_i; c \\ t \end{bmatrix}$ for $c \in \mathbb{Z}$, $t \in \mathbb{N}$ e.g.:

$$\begin{bmatrix} K_i; c \\ t \end{bmatrix} = \prod_{r=1}^t \frac{q^{c-r+1} K_i - q^{-c+r-1} K_i^{-1}}{q^r - q^{-r}}$$

Let $\xi = \exp\left(\frac{i\pi}{d}\right)$ and

$$\mathcal{D}_\xi = \mathcal{D}_q^{\text{res}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]} \mathbb{C}_\xi.$$

Note that $\begin{bmatrix} K_i; 0 \\ d \end{bmatrix}$ exists in \mathcal{D}_ξ but its definition above makes no sense in \mathcal{D}_ξ .

A version of \mathcal{D}_q at a root of unity

There are many versions of quantum groups at root of unity. Following Lusztig, we define $\mathcal{D}_q^{\text{res}}$ as a certain sub- $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ -algebra of \mathcal{D}_q .

In this algebra, we have some elements $\begin{bmatrix} K_i; c \\ t \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} L_i; c \\ t \end{bmatrix}$ for $c \in \mathbb{Z}$, $t \in \mathbb{N}$ e.g.:

$$\begin{bmatrix} K_i; c \\ t \end{bmatrix} = \prod_{r=1}^t \frac{q^{c-r+1} K_i - q^{-c+r-1} K_i^{-1}}{q^r - q^{-r}}$$

Let $\xi = \exp\left(\frac{i\pi}{d}\right)$ and

$$\mathcal{D}_\xi = \mathcal{D}_q^{\text{res}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]} \mathbb{C}_\xi.$$

Note that $\begin{bmatrix} K_i; 0 \\ d \end{bmatrix}$ exists in \mathcal{D}_ξ but its definition above makes no sense in \mathcal{D}_ξ .

The algebra \mathcal{D}_ξ is still a Hopf algebra and has a quasi- R -matrix.

Representation theory of \mathcal{D}_ξ

Let \mathcal{C}_ξ be the category of finite dimensional \mathcal{D}_ξ -modules M such that

$$M = \bigoplus_{(\lambda, \mu) \in P \times P} M_{(\lambda, \mu)},$$

Representation theory of \mathcal{D}_ξ

Let \mathcal{C}_ξ be the category of finite dimensional \mathcal{D}_ξ -modules M such that

$$M = \bigoplus_{(\lambda, \mu) \in P \times P} M_{(\lambda, \mu)},$$

where $M_{(\lambda, \mu)}$ is the weight space of weight (λ, μ) :

$$M_{(\lambda, \mu)} = \left\{ m \in \left| \forall 1 \leq i \leq n, K_i \cdot m = \xi^{\langle \lambda, \alpha_i \rangle} m, L_i \cdot m = \xi^{\langle \mu, \alpha_i \rangle} m, \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \begin{aligned} \left[\begin{array}{c} K_i; 0 \\ d \end{array} \right] \cdot m &= \left[\begin{array}{c} \langle \lambda, \alpha_i \rangle \\ d \end{array} \right]_\xi m, \left[\begin{array}{c} L_i; 0 \\ d \end{array} \right] m = \left[\begin{array}{c} \langle \mu, \alpha_i \rangle \\ d \end{array} \right]_\xi m \end{aligned} \right\}.$$

Representation theory of \mathcal{D}_ξ

Let \mathcal{C}_ξ be the category of finite dimensional \mathcal{D}_ξ -modules M such that

$$M = \bigoplus_{(\lambda, \mu) \in P \times P} M_{(\lambda, \mu)},$$

where $M_{(\lambda, \mu)}$ is the weight space of weight (λ, μ) :

$$M_{(\lambda, \mu)} = \left\{ m \in \left| \forall 1 \leq i \leq n, K_i \cdot m = \xi^{\langle \lambda, \alpha_i \rangle} m, L_i \cdot m = \xi^{\langle \mu, \alpha_i \rangle} m, \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \begin{aligned} \left[\begin{array}{c} K_i; 0 \\ d \end{array} \right] \cdot m &= \left[\begin{array}{c} \langle \lambda, \alpha_i \rangle \\ d \end{array} \right]_\xi m, \left[\begin{array}{c} L_i; 0 \\ d \end{array} \right] m = \left[\begin{array}{c} \langle \mu, \alpha_i \rangle \\ d \end{array} \right]_\xi m \end{aligned} \right\}.$$

Remark

The category \mathcal{C}_ξ is not semisimple.

Tilting modules

For each $(\lambda, \mu) \in P \times P$ with $\lambda + \mu \in 2P^+$, there is a $\mathcal{D}_q^{\text{res}}(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})$ form $L^{\text{res}}(\lambda, \mu)$ of $L(\lambda, \mu)$

Tilting modules

For each $(\lambda, \mu) \in P \times P$ with $\lambda + \mu \in 2P^+$, there is a $\mathcal{D}_q^{\text{res}}(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})$ form $L^{\text{res}}(\lambda, \mu)$ of $L(\lambda, \mu)$
 $\rightsquigarrow W(\lambda, \mu) = L^{\text{res}}(\lambda, \mu) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]} \mathbb{C}$, the Weyl module.

Tilting modules

For each $(\lambda, \mu) \in P \times P$ with $\lambda + \mu \in 2P^+$, there is a $\mathcal{D}_q^{\text{res}}(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})$ form $L^{\text{res}}(\lambda, \mu)$ of $L(\lambda, \mu)$
 $\rightsquigarrow W(\lambda, \mu) = L^{\text{res}}(\lambda, \mu) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]} \mathbb{C}$, the Weyl module.

Definition

A module M in \mathcal{C}_ξ is a tilting module if both M and M^* are filtered by Weyl modules.

Tilting modules

For each $(\lambda, \mu) \in P \times P$ with $\lambda + \mu \in 2P^+$, there is a $\mathcal{D}_q^{\text{res}}(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})$ form $L^{\text{res}}(\lambda, \mu)$ of $L(\lambda, \mu)$
 $\rightsquigarrow W(\lambda, \mu) = L^{\text{res}}(\lambda, \mu) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]} \mathbb{C}$, the Weyl module.

Definition

A module M in \mathcal{C}_ξ is a tilting module if both M and M^* are filtered by Weyl modules.

Theorem (Andersen)

Tensor product of tilting modules is a tilting module.

Semisimplification

\mathcal{C} a pivotal monoidal category (+ other technical assumptions)

Semisimplification

\mathcal{C} a pivotal monoidal category (+ other technical assumptions)
 $\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{ss}}$ the semisimplification of \mathcal{C} (kill negligible morphisms)

Semisimplification

\mathcal{C} a pivotal monoidal category (+ other technical assumptions)
 $\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{ss}}$ the semisimplification of \mathcal{C} (kill negligible morphisms)

$$\{\text{simple objects in } \mathcal{C}^{\text{ss}}\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{indecomposable objects in } \mathcal{C} \\ \text{of non-zero quantum dimension} \end{array} \right\}$$

Semisimplification

\mathcal{C} a pivotal monoidal category (+ other technical assumptions)
 $\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{ss}}$ the semisimplification of \mathcal{C} (kill negligible morphisms)

$$\{\text{simple objects in } \mathcal{C}^{\text{ss}}\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{indecomposable objects in } \mathcal{C} \\ \text{of non-zero quantum dimension} \end{array} \right\}$$

$\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{T}_\xi)$ the semisimplification of the full subcategory of \mathcal{C}_ξ consisting of tilting modules filtered by Weyl modules of the form $W(\lambda, \mu)$ with $\mu \in Q$.

Semisimplification

\mathcal{C} a pivotal monoidal category (+ other technical assumptions)
 $\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{ss}}$ the semisimplification of \mathcal{C} (kill negligible morphisms)

$$\{\text{simple objects in } \mathcal{C}^{\text{ss}}\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{indecomposable objects in } \mathcal{C} \\ \text{of non-zero quantum dimension} \end{array} \right\}$$

$\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{T}_\xi)$ the semisimplification of the full subcategory of \mathcal{C}_ξ consisting of tilting modules filtered by Weyl modules of the form $W(\lambda, \mu)$ with $\mu \in Q$.

Proposition

Isomorphism classes of simple objects in $\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{T}_\xi)$ are in bijection with pairs $(\lambda, \mu) \in P \times P$ with $\lambda + \mu \in 2C$ and $\mu \in Q$ where

$$C = \{\eta \in P^+ \mid \langle \eta, \theta_0 \rangle \leq d - (n + 1)\},$$

θ_0 being the longest root of Φ .

Relation with the modular data of $G(d, 1, n(n+1)/2)$

$\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{T}_\xi)$ has a lot of invertible objects which are in the symmetric center. If I is such an object of quantum dimension 1, add an isomorphism between X and $X \otimes I$ for any X . Denote by $\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{T}_\xi) \rtimes \mathcal{S}$ the category with these additional isomorphisms.

Relation with the modular data of $G(d, 1, n(n+1)/2)$

$\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{T}_\xi)$ has a lot of invertible objects which are in the symmetric center. If I is such an object of quantum dimension 1, add an isomorphism between X and $X \otimes I$ for any X . Denote by $\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{T}_\xi) \rtimes \mathcal{S}$ the category with these additional isomorphisms.

Theorem (L.)

$\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{T}_\xi) \rtimes \mathcal{S}$ is a braided pivotal fusion category.

Relation with the modular data of $G(d, 1, n(n+1)/2)$

$\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{T}_\xi)$ has a lot of invertible objects which are in the symmetric center. If I is such an object of quantum dimension 1, add an isomorphism between X and $X \otimes I$ for any X . Denote by $\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{T}_\xi) \rtimes \mathcal{S}$ the category with these additional isomorphisms.

Theorem (L.)

$\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{T}_\xi) \rtimes \mathcal{S}$ is a braided pivotal fusion category.

If n is even, this category is non-degenerate and has $d^{n-1} \binom{d}{n+1}$ simple objects.

Relation with the modular data of $G(d, 1, n(n+1)/2)$

$\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{T}_\xi)$ has a lot of invertible objects which are in the symmetric center. If I is such an object of quantum dimension 1, add an isomorphism between X and $X \otimes I$ for any X . Denote by $\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{T}_\xi) \rtimes \mathcal{S}$ the category with these additional isomorphisms.

Theorem (L.)

$\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{T}_\xi) \rtimes \mathcal{S}$ is a braided pivotal fusion category.

If n is even, this category is non-degenerate and has $d^{n-1} \binom{d}{n+1}$ simple objects.

If n is odd, this category is slightly degenerate and has $2d^{n-1} \binom{d}{n+1}$ simple objects.

Relation with the modular data of $G(d, 1, n(n+1)/2)$

$\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{T}_\xi)$ has a lot of invertible objects which are in the symmetric center. If I is such an object of quantum dimension 1, add an isomorphism between X and $X \otimes I$ for any X . Denote by $\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{T}_\xi) \rtimes \mathcal{S}$ the category with these additional isomorphisms.

Theorem (L.)

$\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{T}_\xi) \rtimes \mathcal{S}$ is a braided pivotal fusion category.

If n is even, this category is non-degenerate and has $d^{n-1} \binom{d}{n+1}$ simple objects.

If n is odd, this category is slightly degenerate and has $2d^{n-1} \binom{d}{n+1}$ simple objects.

In any cases, the \mathbb{Z} -modular datum defined by $\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{T}_\xi) \rtimes \mathcal{S}$ coincide with the modular datum associated with the family \mathcal{F} of $G\left(d, 1, \frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right)$ defined before.

A conjecture of Cuntz

Corollary

If we replace $N_{f,g}^h$ in the definition of the fusion algebra $A_{\mathcal{F}}$ by $|N_{f,g}^h|$, we obtain an associative algebra $A_{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{abs}}$.

A conjecture of Cuntz

Corollary

If we replace $N_{f,g}^h$ in the definition of the fusion algebra $A_{\mathcal{F}}$ by $|N_{f,g}^h|$, we obtain an associative algebra $A_{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{abs}}$.

If n is odd, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) & \\ & \swarrow & \searrow \\ A_{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{abs}} \simeq \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})/([\mathbf{1}] - [\varepsilon]) & & \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})/([\mathbf{1}] + [\varepsilon]) \simeq A_{\mathcal{F}} \end{array}$$

with $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}) \rtimes \mathcal{S}$.

Thank you for your attention!