

# Quenched Mass Transport of Particles Towards a Target

Idris Kharroubi

LPSM

Sorbonne University

(Ex-Pierre et Marie Curie-Paris 6 University)

**Innovative Research in Mathematical Finance**

In Honor of Yuri Kabanov

Joint work with

B. Bouchard (Université Paris Dauphine)

and

B. Djehiche (KTH Stockholm)

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Quenched mean-field SDE
- 3 Stochastic target problem
- 4 The dynamic programming PDE
- 5 Conclusion

## Stochastic target problems

- Consider a random dynamical system controlled by  $\nu$  and starting at time  $t$  with the value  $x$ .
- Suppose that this system is described by a process  $X^{t,x,\nu}$ .

Look for the values  $x$  such that the system reaches a set  $K$  at a terminal time  $T$  by choosing an appropriate control  $\nu$ .

Namely, the objective is to characterize the reachability sets

$$V(t) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : X_T^{t,x,\nu} \in K \text{ for some admissible control } \nu \right\}$$

for  $t \in [0, T]$ .

## Brownian diffusions and HJB equations

- In the Brownian diffusion case,  $v(t, \cdot) = 1 - \mathbb{1}_V(t)$  is shown to be solution to an HJB PDE (Soner & Touzi 2001).
- The main motivation is the **super-replication problem** in finance: find initial endowments such that there exists an investment strategy allowing the terminal wealth to be greater than a given pay-off (see e.g. El Karoui & Quenez 95).

## Extension of the stochastic target problem

- In general the super-replication price is **too high**.
- Possible generalization: investment under terminal **loss constraint**:

$$V_\ell(t) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \mathbb{E}[\ell(X_T^{t,x,\nu})] \geq 0 \text{ for some control } \nu \right\}.$$

Motivation: **relaxing** the a.s. super-hedging constraint to get a **lower** price. In this case, we take  $\ell(x) = \mathbb{1}_K(x) - p$  with  $p \in [0, 1]$ .

Approach introduced in Föllmer and Leuckert 99. Then developed in Bouchard et al. 10.

Main idea of this last paper: use **martingale representation** to express the expectation constraint as an **a.s. constraint** on an extended process.

## Our motivation

Study the stochastic target problem for **non-linear controlled diffusions**:

$$X_s^{t,\chi,\nu} = \chi + \int_t^s b_u(X_u^{t,\chi,\nu}, \mathbb{P}_{X_u^{t,\chi,\nu}}, \nu_u) du + \int_t^s \sigma_u(X_u^{t,\chi,\nu}, \mathbb{P}_{X_u^{t,\chi,\nu}}, \nu_u) dB_u,$$

where

- $B$  is a standard Brownian motion,
- $\chi$  an independent random variable whose distribution can be interpreted as the initial repartition of a population.

Still with **constraint**  $\mathbb{E}[\ell(X_T^{t,\chi,\nu})] \geq 0$ .

## Extended problem: conditional law

We consider a constraint on the condition law of  $X_T^{t,\chi,\nu}$  given  $B$ :

$$V(t) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \mathbb{P}_{X_T^{t,\chi,\nu}}^B \in K \text{ for some control } \nu \right\},$$

This **includes** the previous problem. Indeed, from **Mart. Rep. Thm**

$$\mathbb{E}[\ell(X_T^{t,\chi,\nu})] = \int \ell(x) d\mathbb{P}_{X_T^{t,\chi,\nu}}^B(x) - \int_0^T \alpha_s dB_s.$$

Hence the constraint  $\mathbb{E}[\ell(X_T^{t,\chi,\nu})] \geq 0$  can be rewritten

$$L(\mathbb{P}_{\bar{X}_T^{t,\bar{\chi},\bar{\nu}}}^B) \geq 0$$

with  $\bar{\nu} = (\nu, \alpha)$ ,  $\bar{\chi} = (\chi, \eta)$ ,  $\bar{X}^{t,\bar{\chi},\bar{\nu}} = (X^{t,\chi,\nu}, \eta + \int_t^T \alpha_s dB_s)$  and

$$L(\mu) = \int (\ell(x) - y) \mu(dx, dy).$$

## Extended problem

We can also **extend** the dynamics of  $X_T^{t,\chi,\nu}$  as follows:

$$X_s^{t,\chi,\nu} = \chi + \int_t^s b_u(X_u^{t,\chi,\nu}, \mathbb{P}_{X_u^{t,\chi,\nu}}^B, \nu_u) du + \int_t^s \sigma_u(X_u^{t,\chi,\nu}, \mathbb{P}_{X_u^{t,\chi,\nu}}^B, \nu_u) dB_u.$$

Such **general formulation** is related to the probabilistic analysis of **large scale particle systems**.

In those systems, one is interested in the behavior of particles conditionally to the environment ('quenched' behaviors/properties).



## Interpretation

One can also identify the initial condition  $\chi$  as a **law**  $\mu$ .

Then, our problem can be interpreted as a **transport problem**:

what is the collection of **initial distributions**  $\mu$  of a population of particles, such that the **terminal repartition**  $\mathbb{P}_{X_T}^B$ , given the environment (modeled by  $B$ ) satisfies the **constraint** ?

$$\mathcal{V}(t) = \left\{ \mu : \exists(\chi, \nu) \text{ s.t. } \mathbb{P}_{\chi}^B = \mu \text{ and } \mathbb{P}_{X_T}^B \in G \right\}.$$

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Quenched mean-field SDE
- 3 Stochastic target problem
- 4 The dynamic programming PDE
- 5 Conclusion

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Quenched mean-field SDE
- 3 Stochastic target problem
- 4 The dynamic programming PDE
- 5 Conclusion

## Probabilistic setting

$T > 0$  fixed time horizon.

$$\Omega^\circ = \{\omega^\circ \in \mathcal{C}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d) : \omega_0^\circ = 0\}$$

$\mathbb{F}^\circ = (\mathcal{F}_t^\circ)_{t \leq T}$  filtration generated by the canonical process  
 $B(\omega^\circ) := \omega^\circ, \omega^\circ \in \Omega^\circ$ .

$\mathbb{P}^\circ$  Wiener measure on  $(\Omega^\circ, \mathcal{F}_T^\circ)$ .

$\bar{\mathbb{F}}^\circ = (\bar{\mathcal{F}}_t^\circ)_{t \leq T}$  the  $\mathbb{P}^\circ$ -completion of  $\mathbb{F}^\circ$ .

$\Omega^! := [0, 1]^d$  endowed with  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}^! := \mathcal{B}([0, 1]^d)$  Lebesgue measure  $\mathbb{P}^!$ .

## Probability space

We then define the product filtered space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$  by

- $\Omega := \Omega^\circ \times \Omega^1$ ,
- $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}^\circ \otimes \mathbb{P}^1$ ,
- $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_T$  where  $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \leq T}$  is the completion of  $(\mathcal{F}_t^\circ \otimes \mathcal{F}_t^1)_{t \leq T}$ .

We canonically extend the random variable  $\xi$  and the process  $B$  on  $\Omega$  by setting  $\xi(\omega) = \xi(\omega^1)$  and  $B(\omega) = B(\omega^\circ)$  for any  $\omega = (\omega^\circ, \omega^1) \in \Omega$ .

## Wasserstein space

We define

$$\mathcal{P}_2 := \left\{ \mu \text{ probability measure on } (\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \text{ s.t. } \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^2 \mu(dx) < +\infty \right\}.$$

This space is endowed with the **2-Wasserstein distance** defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_2(\mu, \mu') := \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |x - y|^2 \pi(dy, dx) : \right. \\ \left. \text{s.t. } \pi(\cdot \times \mathbb{R}^d) = \mu \text{ and } \pi(\mathbb{R}^d \times \cdot) = \mu' \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

for  $\mu, \mu' \in \mathcal{P}_2$ . ( $\mathcal{P}_2, \mathcal{W}_2$ ) is then Polish.

For later use, we also define the collection  $\mathcal{P}_2^{\bar{\mathbb{F}}^\circ}$  of  $\bar{\mathbb{F}}^\circ$ -adapted continuous  $\mathcal{P}_2$ -valued processes.

## Controlled diffusion

Let  $U$  be a closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}^q$  for some  $q \geq 1$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  the set of  $U$ -valued  $\mathbb{F}$ -progressive processes.

Given

- $\theta \in \bar{\mathcal{T}}^\circ$  (the set of  $[0, T]$ -valued  $\bar{\mathbb{F}}^\circ$ -stopping times),
- $\chi \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_\theta, \mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R}^d)$ ,
- $\nu \in \mathcal{U}$ ,

we let  $X^{\theta, \chi, \nu}$  denote the solution of

$$X = \mathbb{E}[\chi | \mathcal{F}_{\theta \wedge \cdot}] + \int_{\theta}^{\theta \vee \cdot} b_s(X_s, \mathbb{P}_{X_s}^B, \nu_s) ds + \int_{\theta}^{\theta \vee \cdot} a_s(X_s, \mathbb{P}_{X_s}^B, \nu_s) dB_s,$$

## Existence and stability for SDEs

We suppose that  $b, a$  are **continuous**, **bounded** and **Lipschitz**: there exists a constant  $L$  such that

$$|b_t(x, \mu, \cdot) - b_t(x', \mu', \cdot)| + |a_t(x, \mu, \cdot) - a_t(x', \mu', \cdot)| \leq L(|x - x'| + \mathcal{W}_2(\mu, \mu'))$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\mu, \mu' \in \mathcal{P}_2$ .

### Proposition

For all  $\theta \in \bar{T}^\circ$ ,  $\nu \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $\chi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_\theta)$ , the SDE admits a unique strong solution  $X^{\theta, \chi, \nu}$ , and it satisfies

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{[0, T]} |X^{\theta, \chi, \nu}|^2 \right] < +\infty .$$

**Stability:** if  $(t_n, \chi_n) \rightarrow (t, \chi)$  and  $(\nu^n)_n \subset \mathcal{U}$  converges to  $\nu$   $dt \otimes d\mathbb{P}$ -a.e., then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{W}_2(\mathbb{P}_{X_T^{t_n, \chi_n, \nu^n}}^B, \mathbb{P}_{X_T^{t, \chi, \nu}}^B)^2] = 0.$$



## Existence and stability for SDEs

We suppose that  $b, a$  are **continuous**, **bounded** and **Lipschitz**: there exists a constant  $L$  such that

$$|b_t(x, \mu, \cdot) - b_t(x', \mu', \cdot)| + |a_t(x, \mu, \cdot) - a_t(x', \mu', \cdot)| \leq L(|x - x'| + \mathcal{W}_2(\mu, \mu'))$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\mu, \mu' \in \mathcal{P}_2$ .

### Proposition

For all  $\theta \in \bar{T}^\circ$ ,  $\nu \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $\chi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_\theta)$ , the SDE admits a unique strong solution  $X^{\theta, \chi, \nu}$ , and it satisfies

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{[0, T]} |X^{\theta, \chi, \nu}|^2 \right] < +\infty .$$

**Stability:** if  $(t_n, \chi_n) \rightarrow (t, \chi)$  and  $(\nu^n)_n \subset \mathcal{U}$  converges to  $\nu$   $dt \otimes d\mathbb{P}$ -a.e., then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{W}_2(\mathbb{P}_{X_T^{t_n, \chi_n, \nu^n}}^B, \mathbb{P}_{X_T^{t, \chi, \nu}}^B)^2] = 0.$$

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Quenched mean-field SDE
- 3 Stochastic target problem**
- 4 The dynamic programming PDE
- 5 Conclusion

## First formulation

Look for **the set of initial measures** for the conditional law  $\mathbb{P}_\chi^B$  such that the **terminal conditional law** of  $X_T^{t,\chi,\nu}$  given  $B$  **belongs to a fixed closed subset**  $G$  of  $\mathcal{P}_2$ :

$$\mathcal{V}(t) = \left\{ \mu \in \mathcal{P}_2 : \exists (\chi, \nu) \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_t) \times \mathcal{U} \text{ s.t. } \mathbb{P}_\chi^B = \mu \text{ and } \mathbb{P}_{X_T^{t,\chi,\nu}}^B \in G \right\}.$$

This formulation is **not convenient** for setting a DPP:

troubles come from  $\exists \chi$  s.t.  $\mathbb{P}_\chi^B = \mu$ .

## Strong formulation

Strong formulation allows to **take any representing variable**  $\chi$  for the initial law  $\mu$ .

### Proposition

A measure  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2$  belongs to  $\mathcal{V}(t)$  **if and only if** for all  $\chi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_t)$  such that  $\mathbb{P}_\chi^B = \mu$  there exists  $\nu \in \mathcal{U}$  for which  $\mathbb{P}_{\chi_T^t, \chi, \nu}^B \in G$ :

$$\mathcal{V}(t) = \left\{ \mu \in \mathcal{P}_2 : \forall \chi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_t) \text{ s.t. } \mathbb{P}_\chi^B = \mu \exists \nu \in \mathcal{U} \text{ and } \mathbb{P}_{\chi_T^t, \chi, \nu}^B \in G \right\}.$$

## Sketch of the proof

- Obviously

$$\left\{ \mu \in \mathcal{P}_2 : \forall \chi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_t) \text{ s.t. } \mathbb{P}_\chi^B = \mu \exists \nu \in \mathcal{U} \text{ and } \mathbb{P}_{X_T^t, \chi, \nu}^B \in G \right\} =: \tilde{\mathcal{V}}(t) \subset \mathcal{V}(t).$$

- Turn to  $\mathcal{V}(t) \subset \tilde{\mathcal{V}}(t)$ .

Let  $\mu \in \mathcal{V}(t)$  and consider  $(\chi, \nu)$  such that  $\mathbb{P}_\chi^B = \mu$  and  $\mathbb{P}_{X_T^t, \chi, \nu}^B \in G$ .

$\nu$   $\mathbb{F}$ -progressive  $\Rightarrow \nu_s(\omega^\circ, \omega^1) = u(s, B_{\cdot \wedge s}(\omega^\circ), \xi(\omega^1))$ ,  $s \in [t, T]$ , with  $u$  Borel.

Given  $\bar{\chi} \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_t)$ , we construct  $\bar{\xi}$  such that

$$(\chi, \xi, B) \stackrel{(\text{law})}{=} (\bar{\chi}, \bar{\xi}, B)$$

(Take  $\zeta$  **right-inverse of  $\chi$**  and set  $\bar{\xi} = \zeta(\bar{\chi})$ .)

Set  $\bar{\nu} = u(\cdot, \cdot, B, \bar{\xi})$ , then  $(\chi, \nu, B) \stackrel{(\text{law})}{=} (\bar{\chi}, \bar{\nu}, B)$  and  $\mathbb{P}_{X_T^t, \bar{\chi}, \bar{\nu}}^B = \mathbb{P}_{X_T^t, \chi, \nu}^B \in G$ .

## Dynamic programming principle

### Theorem

Fix  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $\theta \in \bar{\mathcal{T}}^\circ$  with values in  $[t, T]$ . Then,

$$\mathcal{V}(t) = \left\{ \mu \in \mathcal{P}_2 : \exists (\chi, \nu) \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_t) \times \mathcal{U} \text{ s.t. } \mathbb{P}_\chi^B = \mu \text{ and } \mathbb{P}_{X_\theta^{t, \chi, \nu}}^B \in \mathcal{V}(\theta) \right\}.$$

Note that this DPP holds only for **stopping times in  $\bar{\mathcal{T}}^\circ$**  i.e. stopping time w.r.t. the (completed) Brownian filtration.

## Sketch of the proof ( $\theta$ deterministic)

Denote by  $\hat{\mathcal{V}}(t)$  the right hand side of the equality.

- $\mathcal{V}(t) \subset \hat{\mathcal{V}}(t)$ .

Fix  $\mu \in \mathcal{V}(t)$ . Then, there exists  $(\chi, \nu)$  such that

$$\mathbb{P}_\chi^B = \mu \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}_{X_T^{t,\chi,\nu}}^B \in G \quad \text{on} \quad \tilde{\Omega}^\circ \in \mathcal{F}^\circ \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbb{P}^\circ(\tilde{\Omega}^\circ) = 1.$$

From the flot property we have  $\mathbb{P}_{X_T^{t,\chi,\nu}}^B = \mathbb{P}_{X_T^{\theta, X_\theta^{t,\chi,\nu}, \nu}}^B \in G$ .

Bp:  $\mathbb{P}_{X_\theta^{t,\chi,\nu}} \neq \mathbb{P}_{X_\theta^{t,\chi,\nu}}^B$ .

Solution: condition the value of  $B_{\cdot \wedge \theta} = \tilde{\omega}_{\cdot \wedge \theta}^0$  to get a r.v. independent of  $B$  with law  $\mathbb{P}_{X_\theta^{t,\chi,\nu}}^B(\tilde{\omega}^0)$ .

Take the conditional initial condition and control  $\chi^{\tilde{\omega}^0} = X_\theta^{t,\chi,\nu}(\tilde{\omega}^0)$  and  $\nu^{\tilde{\omega}^0}$

Since  $\mathbb{P}_{X_T^{\theta, \chi^{\tilde{\omega}^0}, \nu^{\tilde{\omega}^0}}}^B = \mathbb{P}_{X_T^{\theta, X_\theta^{t,\chi,\nu}, \nu}}^B(\tilde{\omega}^0) \in G$  we get  $\mathbb{P}_{X_\theta^{t,\chi,\nu}}^B \in \mathcal{V}(\theta)$ .

## Sketch of the proof ( $\theta$ deterministic)

- $\hat{\mathcal{V}}(t) \subset \mathcal{V}(t)$

Fix  $\mu \in \hat{\mathcal{V}}(t)$  and  $(\chi, \nu)$  such that  $\mathbb{P}_\chi^B = \mu$  and  $\mathbb{P}_{X_\theta^t, \chi, \nu}^B \in \mathcal{V}(\theta)$ .

By **measurable selection results**, there exists a **measurable** map  $\vartheta$  such that

$$\mathbb{P}_{X_T^{\theta, \chi', \vartheta(\chi')}}^B \in G \quad \mathbb{P}^\circ - \text{a.s. for } \mathfrak{P} - \text{a.e. } \chi'$$

where  $\mathfrak{P}$  is the probability measure induced by  $\omega^\circ \mapsto X_\theta^{t, \chi, \nu}(\omega^\circ, \cdot)$ .

Define the process  $\bar{\nu} \in \mathcal{U}$  by

$$\bar{\nu}(\omega) = \nu(\omega) \mathbb{1}_{[0, \theta)} + \vartheta(\theta, X_\theta^{t, \chi, \nu}(\omega^\circ, \cdot))(\omega) \mathbb{1}_{[\theta, T]}.$$

We get  $\mathbb{P}_{X_T^t, \chi, \bar{\nu}}^B \in G$  and  $\mu \in \mathcal{V}(t)$ .



# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Quenched mean-field SDE
- 3 Stochastic target problem
- 4 The dynamic programming PDE**
- 5 Conclusion

## The value function

Let  $v : [0, T] \times \mathcal{P}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the indicator function of the complement of the reachability set  $\mathcal{V}$ :

$$v(t, \mu) = 1 - \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{V}(t)}(\mu), \quad (t, \mu) \in [0, T] \times \mathcal{P}_2.$$

Aim: provide a **characterization** of  $v$  as a (viscosity) solution of a fully **non-linear second order parabolic PDE**.

## Lift on $\mathcal{P}_2$

Aim: define derivatives for functions defined on  $\mathcal{P}_2$ .

Pb:  $\mathcal{P}_2$  is **not a vector space**.

Possible approach: **Lifting** (by Lions)

Fix  $\tilde{\Omega}^1$  a polish space,  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^1$  its Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra and  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^1$  atomless probability.  
In particular  $\mathcal{P}_2 = \{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_\xi^1 : \xi \in L^2(\tilde{\Omega}^1, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^1, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}^1; \mathbb{R}^d)\}$ .

For a function  $w : \mathcal{P}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we define its **lift** as  $W : L^2(\tilde{\Omega}^1, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^1, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}^1; \mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$   
such that

$$W(X) = w(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_X), \quad \text{for all } X \in L^2(\tilde{\Omega}^1, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^1, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}^1; \mathbb{R}^d).$$

Allows to consider functions defined on the **Hilbert space**  $L^2(\tilde{\Omega}^1, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^1, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}^1; \mathbb{R}^d)$ .

## Derivatives on $\mathcal{P}_2$ (first order)

We then say that  $w$  is **Fréchet differentiable** (resp.  $\mathcal{C}^1$ ) on  $\mathcal{P}_2$  if its lift  $W$  is (resp. continuously) **Fréchet differentiable on**  $L_2(\tilde{\Omega}^1, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^1, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}^1; \mathbb{R}^d)$ .

Then  $DW(X) \in L^2(\tilde{\Omega}^1, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^1, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}^1; \mathbb{R}^d)$  admits the **representation**

$$DW(X) = \partial_\mu w(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_X)(X)$$

with  $\partial_\mu w(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_X) : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  measurable map, called the derivative of  $w$  at  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_X$ .

We have  $\partial_\mu w(\mu) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d), \mu; \mathbb{R}^d)$  for  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2$ .

## Derivatives on $\mathcal{P}_2$ (second order)

$w$  is said to be fully  $\mathcal{C}^2$  if it is  $\mathcal{C}^1$  on  $\mathcal{P}_2$  and

- the map  $(\mu, x) \mapsto \partial_\mu w(\mu)(x)$  is **continuous** at any  $(\mu, x) \in \mathcal{P}_2 \times \mathbb{R}^d$ ,
- for any  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2$ , the map  $x \mapsto \partial_\mu w(\mu)(x)$  is **continuously differentiable** and the map  $(\mu, x) \mapsto \partial_x \partial_\mu w(\mu)(x)$  is **continuous** at any  $(\mu, x) \in \mathcal{P}_2 \times \mathbb{R}^d$ ,
- for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , the map  $\mu \mapsto \partial_\mu w(\mu)(x)$  is **differentiable in the lifted sense** and its derivative, regarded as the map  $(\mu, x, x') \mapsto \partial_\mu^2 w(\mu)(x, x')$ , is **continuous** at any  $(\mu, x) \in \mathcal{P}_2 \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ .

## Chain rule

### Proposition

Let  $w \in C_b^{1,2}([0, T] \times \mathcal{P}_2)$ . Given  $(t, \chi, \nu) \in [0, T] \times L^2(\mathcal{F}_t) \times \mathcal{U}$ , set  $X = X^{t, \chi, \nu}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 w(s, \mathbb{P}_{X_s}^B) &= w(t, \mathbb{P}_\chi^B) \\
 &+ \int_t^s \mathbb{E}_B \left[ \partial_t w(r, \mathbb{P}_{X_r}^B) + \partial_\mu w(r, \mathbb{P}_{X_r}^B)(X_r) b_r(X_r, \mathbb{P}_{X_r}^B, \nu_r) \right] dr \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_t^s \mathbb{E}_B \left[ \text{Tr} \left( \partial_x \partial_\mu w(r, \mathbb{P}_{X_r}^B)(X_r) a_r a_r^\top(X_r, \mathbb{P}_{X_r}^B, \nu_r) \right) \right] dr \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_t^s \mathbb{E}_B \left[ \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_B \left[ \text{Tr} \left( \partial_\mu^2 w(r, \mathbb{P}_{X_r}^B)(X_r, \tilde{X}_r) a_r \tilde{a}_r^\top \right) \right] \right] dr \\
 &+ \int_t^s \mathbb{E}_B \left[ \partial_\mu w(r, \mathbb{P}_{X_r}^B)(X_r) a_r(X_r, \mathbb{P}_{X_r}^B, \nu_r) \right] dB_r
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $s \in [t, T]$ , where  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{a})$  is a copy of  $(X, a)$  on  $\tilde{\Omega} = \Omega^\circ \times \Omega'$ .

## Sketch of the proof

Same idea as in Sznitman 1989: **replace** the laws by empirical means and make things **converge by LLN**. (Approach used by Chassagneux et al 2015)

Fix  $(\chi, \nu) = (x(\xi), u(\cdot, B_{\wedge}, \xi))$  and define  $(\chi^\ell, \nu^\ell) := (x(\xi^\ell), u(\cdot, B, \xi^\ell))$ , for  $\ell \geq 1$  where  $(\xi^\ell)_{\ell \geq 1}$  **IID sequence** with  $\xi^1 = \xi$ .

Then  $X^{t, \chi^\ell, \nu^\ell}$ ,  $\ell \geq 1$  is **IID given B**.

Apply **classical Itô formula** to  $(X_r^{t, \chi^\ell, \nu^\ell})_{1 \leq \ell \leq N} \mapsto w(r, \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\ell=1}^N \delta_{X_r^{t, \chi^\ell, \nu^\ell}})$ .

From **LLN conditionally to B** we have  $\mathcal{W}_2(\bar{\mu}_r^N, \mathbb{P}_{X_r^1}^B) \rightarrow 0$  a.s. as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $r \in [t, s]$ .

The approximated Itô's formula and this conditional LLN give the result.

## Chain rule on $L^2$

### Corollary

Let  $W : [0, T] \times L^2(\tilde{\Omega}^1, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^1, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}^1; \mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the lift of a  $C_b^{1,2}$  function. Set  $X$  a copy of  $X^{t, \chi, \nu}$  on  $\tilde{\Omega}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} W(s, X_s) &= W(t, \chi) \\ &+ \int_t^s \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_B \left[ \partial_t W(r, X_r) + DW(r, X_r) b_r(X_r, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{X_r}^B, \nu_r) \right] dr \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_t^s \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_B \left[ D^2 W(r, X_r)(X_r)(a_r Z)(a_r Z)^\top(X_r, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{X_r}^B, \nu_r) \right] dr \\ &+ \int_t^s \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_B \left[ DW(r, X_r) a_r(X_r, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{X_r}^B, \nu_r) \right] dB_r \end{aligned}$$

for all  $s \in [0, T]$ , with  $Z \sim N(0, I_d)$  and  $Z \perp \chi, B$ .



## Lack of regularity for lift function

Unfortunately, lift of  $C^2$  functions in the sens of measures **are not necessarily**  $C^2$  in the sens  $L^2$  (Buckdahn & al.).

Pb: need to have  $C^2$ -function in  $L^2$  for the **viscosity properties** (test functions).

**Solution** (Pham& Wei): consider test functions that are not measure invariant  $\Rightarrow$  **need to extend** the previous chain rule to general  $C^2$  functions on  $L^2$ .

**Holds true** by (Carmona & Delarue).

## The PDE

We aim at proving that  $V : [0, T] \times L^2(\tilde{\Omega}^i, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^i, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}^i; \mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  lift of  $v$  is **solution** on  $[0, T) \times L^2(\tilde{\Omega}^i, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^i, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}^i; \mathbb{R}^d)$  of

$$-\partial_t W(t, \chi) + \mathcal{H}(t, \chi, DW(t, \chi), D^2W(t, \chi)) = 0.$$

where  $\mathcal{H} = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon$  with

$$\mathcal{L}_t^u(\chi, P, Q) := \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_B \left[ b_t^\top(\chi, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_\chi, u)P + \frac{1}{2}Q(a_t(\chi, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_\chi, u)Z)a_t(\chi, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_\chi, u)Z \right]$$

$$\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(t, \chi, P, Q) := \sup_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon(t, \chi, P)} \left\{ -\mathcal{L}_t^u(\chi, P, Q) \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon(t, \chi, P) := \left\{ u \in L^0(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}; U) : |\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_B[a_t(\chi, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_\chi, u)P]| \leq \varepsilon \right\},$$

## Continuity assumption

We need the following **assumption**. It ensures the existence of a **regular feedback control** 'close' to the **kernel**  $\mathcal{N}_0$ .

### (Continuity Assumption)

Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be an open subset of  $[0, T] \times [L^2(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}; \mathbb{R}^d)]^2$  such that  $\mathcal{N}_0 \neq \emptyset$  on  $\mathcal{O}$ . Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $(t_0, \chi_0, P_0) \in \mathcal{O}$  and  $u_0 \in \mathcal{N}_0(t_0, \chi_0, P_0)$ , there exists

- $\mathcal{O}'$  **open neighborhood** of  $(t_0, \chi_0, P_0)$
- $\hat{u} : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \Omega' \rightarrow U$  **measurable**

such that

- $\mathbb{E}_B[|\hat{u}_{t_0}(\chi_0, P_0, \xi) - u_0|] \leq \varepsilon$ ,
- there exists  $C > 0$  for which

$$\mathbb{E}[|\hat{u}_t(\chi, P, \xi) - \hat{u}_t(\chi', P', \xi)|^2] \leq C\mathbb{E}[|\chi - \chi'|^2 + |P - P'|^2]$$

for all  $(t, \chi, P), (t, \chi', P') \in \mathcal{O}'$ ,

- $\hat{u}_t(\chi, P, \xi) \in \mathcal{N}_0(t, \chi, P) \mathbb{P}^\circ - a.e.$ , for all  $(t, \chi, P) \in \mathcal{O}'$ ,

## Viscosity property

We also suppose that there exists a constant  $C$  and a function  $m : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $m(t) \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow 0]{} 0$  and

$$|b_t(x, \mu, u) - b_{t'}(x, \mu, u')| + |a_t(x, \mu, u) - a_{t'}(x, \mu, u')| \leq m(t - t') + C|u - u'|.$$

for all  $t, t' \in [0, T]$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2$  and  $u, u' \in U$ .

### Theorem

The function  $V$  is a *viscosity supersolution* of the HJB equation. If in addition the **Continuity Assumption** holds, then  $V$  is also a *viscosity subsolution* of the HJB equation.

## Idea of the proof (regular case)

Fix  $(t, \chi, \nu)$  and set  $X = X^{t, \chi, \nu}$ . From Itô's formula we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 V(t+h, X_s) &= V(t, \chi) \\
 &+ \int_t^{t+h} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_B \left[ \partial_t V(r, X_r) + \mathcal{L}_r^u(X_r, DV(X_r), D^2 V(X_r)) \right] dr \\
 &+ \int_t^{t+h} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_B \left[ DV(r, X_r) a_r(X_r, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{X_r}^B, \nu_r) \right] dB_r
 \end{aligned}$$

## Idea of the proof (regular case)

- Suppose that  $v(t, \mathbb{P}_\chi^B) = 1$ .

Fix  $u_0 \in \mathcal{N}_0(t, \chi, DV(t, \chi))$

Take  $\nu_s$  **feedback valued** in  $\mathcal{N}_0(s, X_s, DV(s, X_s))$  close to  $u_0$ .

**Existence** of  $X$  is **ensured** by **Continuity assumption**.

Chain rule gives

$$\int_t^{t+h} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_B \left[ \partial_t V(r, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{X_r}^B) + \mathcal{L}_r^{\nu}(X_r, DV(r, X_r), D^2 V(r, X_r)) \right] dr \leq 0$$

Then we get by dividing by  $h$  and sending  $h \rightarrow 0$

$$\partial_t V(t, \mathbb{P}_\chi) + \mathcal{H}(\chi, DV(t, \chi), D^2 V(t, \chi)) \leq 0.$$

## Idea of the proof (regular case)

- Suppose that  $v(t, \mathbb{P}_\chi^B) = 0$ .

From the DDP, there is some control  $\nu$  such that  $v(t+h, \mathbb{P}_{X_s}^B) = 0$  for  $h \in (0, T-t)$ . Then we have

$$\int_t^{t+h} \mathbb{E}_B \left[ \partial_t V(r, \mathbb{P}_{X_r}^B) + \mathcal{L}_r^\nu(X_r, DV(r, X_r), D^2 V(r, X_r)) \right] dr \\ + \int_t^{t+h} \mathbb{E}_B \left[ DV(r, X_r) a_r(X_r, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{X_r}^B, \nu_r) \right] dB_r = 0.$$

**Identification** of the MG and BV parts gives

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_B \left[ DV(r, X_r) a_r(X_r, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{X_r}^B, \nu_r) \right] = 0 \\ \int_t^{t+h} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_B \left[ \partial_t V(r, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{X_r}^B) + \mathcal{L}_r^\nu(X_r, DV(r, X_r), D^2 V(r, X_r)) \right] dr = 0.$$

Then we get by dividing by  $h$  and sending  $h \rightarrow 0$

$$\partial_t V(t, \mathbb{P}_\chi) + \mathcal{H}(\chi, DV(t, \chi), D^2 V(t, \chi)) \geq 0.$$

## Parabolic boundary condition

Define the function  $g$  by

$$g(\chi) = 1 - \mathbb{1}_G(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_\chi), \quad \chi \in L^2(\tilde{\Omega}^1, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^1, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}^1; \mathbb{R}^d)$$

and  $g_*$  and  $g^*$  its **lower** and **upper** semi-continuous envelopes.

### Theorem

Under **(H1)**, the function  $V$  satisfies

$$V^*(T, \cdot) = g^* \quad \text{and} \quad V_*(T, \cdot) = g_*$$

on  $L^2(\Omega^1, \mathcal{F}^1, \mathbb{P}^1; \mathbb{R}^d)$ .



# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Quenched mean-field SDE
- 3 Stochastic target problem
- 4 The dynamic programming PDE
- 5 Conclusion

## Conclusion and perspectives

- Define a **new stochastic target problem** with potential **financial** and **physical** applications.
- Get a **dynamic programming principle** and **PDE** properties

### Extensions and open problems

- **Uniqueness** (comparison at least) for the PDE
- Target for  $\mathbb{P}_{X_T}$  (unconditional law)
- **Numerical** methods.

# Thank You!