

# Efficient estimation of present value distributions for long-dated contracts

Constantinos Kardaras  
London School of Economics

KABANOV's 70th  
Luminy  
September, 2018

# The problem

## Present value

$$X_0 = \int_0^{T_0} D_u f(Z_u) du + D_{T_0} g(Z_{T_0}).$$

- **Payment** flow  $f(Z)$  and lump sum  $g(Z)$  depend on factors  $Z$ .
- **Discounting**  $D$  depends on  $Z$ , potential extra randomness.
- **Termination**  $T_0$  occurs with rate depending on factors  $Z$ .

## Aim

Calculate the law of  $X_0$  given  $Z_0$ .

- With  $Z_0 \sim p$  known, equivalent to obtain the *joint* law  $\pi$  of  $(Z_0, X_0)$ .
- Very few cases have known “closed form” answers.
- PDE or MC methods either impossible or extremely inefficient.

# The problem

## Present value

$$X_0 = \int_0^{T_0} D_u f(Z_u) du + D_{T_0} g(Z_{T_0}).$$

- **Payment** flow  $f(Z)$  and lump sum  $g(Z)$  depend on factors  $Z$ .
- **Discounting**  $D$  depends on  $Z$ , potential extra randomness.
- **Termination**  $T_0$  occurs with rate depending on factors  $Z$ .

## Aim

Calculate the law of  $X_0$  given  $Z_0$ .

- With  $Z_0 \sim p$  known, equivalent to obtain the *joint* law  $\pi$  of  $(Z_0, X_0)$ .
- Very few cases have known “closed form” answers.
- PDE or MC methods either impossible or extremely inefficient.

# Factor

## Dynamics

$Z$  ergodic diffusion, living in  $(\underline{e}, \bar{e})$ ,  $-\infty \leq \underline{e} < \bar{e} \leq \infty$ , such that:

$$dZ_t = m(Z_t)dt + \sigma(Z_t)dW_t, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let  $p$  be the invariant (stationary) probability.

## Ergodicity of $Z$

This happens exactly when, with  $z_0 \in (\underline{e}, \bar{e})$  and

$$\Psi(z) := \exp\left(-2 \int_{z_0}^z \frac{m(s)}{\sigma^2(s)} ds\right),$$

$$\int_{\underline{e}}^{z_0} \Psi(z) dz = \infty = \int_{z_0}^{\bar{e}} \Psi(z) dz, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\underline{e}}^{\bar{e}} \frac{\Psi(z)}{\sigma^2(z)} dz < \infty,$$

In this case,  $p \propto \Psi/\sigma^2$ .

# Factor

## Dynamics

$Z$  ergodic diffusion, living in  $(\underline{e}, \bar{e})$ ,  $-\infty \leq \underline{e} < \bar{e} \leq \infty$ , such that:

$$dZ_t = m(Z_t)dt + \sigma(Z_t)dW_t, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let  $p$  be the invariant (stationary) probability.

## Ergodicity of $Z$

This happens exactly when, with  $z_0 \in (\underline{e}, \bar{e})$  and

$$\Psi(z) := \exp\left(-2 \int_{z_0}^z \frac{m(s)}{\sigma^2(s)} ds\right),$$

$$\int_{\underline{e}}^{z_0} \Psi(z) dz = \infty = \int_{z_0}^{\bar{e}} \Psi(z) dz, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\underline{e}}^{\bar{e}} \frac{\Psi(z)}{\sigma^2(z)} dz < \infty,$$

In this case,  $p \propto \Psi/\sigma^2$ .

# Discounting and Termination

## Discounting

With  $D_0 = 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}-\frac{dD_t}{D_t} &= r(Z_t)dt + \tilde{\theta}(Z_t)dW_t + \eta(Z_t)dB_t \\ &= a(Z_t)dt + \theta(Z_t)dZ_t + \eta(Z_t)dB_t, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.\end{aligned}$$

## Termination

$N$ : COX process (of contract terminations).

- Given  $Z \equiv (Z_t; t \in \mathbb{R})$ ,  $N$  is inhomogeneous POISSON with rate  $\lambda(Z)$ .
- Assume that  $p[\lambda > 0] > 0$ . (Contracts terminate in finite time.)
- Define the time-of-next-contract-termination

$$T_t := \inf \{u \geq t \mid \Delta N_u = 1\}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

# Discounting and Termination

## Discounting

With  $D_0 = 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}-\frac{dD_t}{D_t} &= r(Z_t)dt + \tilde{\theta}(Z_t)dW_t + \eta(Z_t)dB_t \\ &= a(Z_t)dt + \theta(Z_t)dZ_t + \eta(Z_t)dB_t, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.\end{aligned}$$

## Termination

$N$ : COX process (of contract terminations).

- Given  $Z \equiv (Z_t; t \in \mathbb{R})$ ,  $N$  is inhomogeneous POISSON with rate  $\lambda(Z)$ .
- Assume that  $p[\lambda > 0] > 0$ . (Contracts terminate in finite time.)
- Define the time-of-next-contract-termination

$$T_t := \inf \{u \geq t \mid \Delta N_u = 1\}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

# The law of $(Z_0, X_0)$ via ergodicity: a first idea

Extend  $X_0$  to a process

$$X_t = \int_t^{T_t} \frac{D_u}{D_t} f(Z_u) du + \frac{D_{T_t}}{D_t} g(Z_{T_t}), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- The joint process  $(Z, X)$  is ergodic, with invariant joint law  $\pi$ .

Dynamics of  $(Z, X)$ ?

- Idea: Write dynamics for  $(Z, X)$  in its filtration. Then, simulate  $(Z, X)$  starting from *any*  $(Z_0, X_0) = (z, x)$ ; by the ergodic theorem, the empirical laws converge to the actual one.
- Barrier:  $X$  is “forward-looking”; idea does not seem implementable.



# The law of $(Z_0, X_0)$ via ergodicity: a first idea

Extend  $X_0$  to a process

$$X_t = \int_t^{T_t} \frac{D_u}{D_t} f(Z_u) du + \frac{D_{T_t}}{D_t} g(Z_{T_t}), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- The joint process  $(Z, X)$  is ergodic, with invariant joint law  $\pi$ .

Dynamics of  $(Z, X)$ ?

- Idea: Write dynamics for  $(Z, X)$  in its filtration. Then, simulate  $(Z, X)$  starting from *any*  $(Z_0, X_0) = (z, x)$ ; by the ergodic theorem, the empirical laws converge to the actual one.
- Barrier:  $X$  is “forward-looking”; idea does not seem implementable.

# The law of $(Z_0, X_0)$ via ergodicity: a first idea

Extend  $X_0$  to a process

$$X_t = \int_t^{T_t} \frac{D_u}{D_t} f(Z_u) du + \frac{D_{T_t}}{D_t} g(Z_{T_t}), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- The joint process  $(Z, X)$  is ergodic, with invariant joint law  $\pi$ .

Dynamics of  $(Z, X)$ ?

- Idea: Write dynamics for  $(Z, X)$  in its filtration. Then, simulate  $(Z, X)$  starting from *any*  $(Z_0, X_0) = (z, x)$ ; by the ergodic theorem, the empirical laws converge to the actual one.
- Barrier:  $X$  is “forward-looking”; idea does not seem implementable.

# Flip the idea backwards

## Time reversal

Define the processes

$$\zeta_t = Z_{-t}, \quad \chi_t = X_{-t}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The process  $(\zeta, \chi)$  is ergodic and Markov, same invariant law  $\pi$  as  $(Z, X)$ .  
Now,  $\chi$  depends on the *past* of  $\zeta$ . Define also

$$\nu_t = -N_{-t}, \quad \omega_t = -W_{-t}, \quad \beta_t = -B_{-t}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

## Dynamics of $(\zeta, \chi)$ ?

- Dynamics of  $\zeta$  from Hausmann-Pardoux '86. (See result later.)
- Given  $\zeta$ , dynamics for  $\chi$  follow. (See next slides.)

# Flip the idea backwards

## Time reversal

Define the processes

$$\zeta_t = Z_{-t}, \quad \chi_t = X_{-t}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The process  $(\zeta, \chi)$  is ergodic and Markov, same invariant law  $\pi$  as  $(Z, X)$ .  
Now,  $\chi$  depends on the *past* of  $\zeta$ . Define also

$$\nu_t = -N_{-t}, \quad \omega_t = -W_{-t}, \quad \beta_t = -B_{-t}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

## Dynamics of $(\zeta, \chi)$ ?

- Dynamics of  $\zeta$  from Hausmann-Pardoux '86. (See result later.)
- Given  $\zeta$ , dynamics for  $\chi$  follow. (See next slides.)

# Flip the idea backwards

## Time reversal

Define the processes

$$\zeta_t = Z_{-t}, \quad \chi_t = X_{-t}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The process  $(\zeta, \chi)$  is ergodic and Markov, same invariant law  $\pi$  as  $(Z, X)$ .  
Now,  $\chi$  depends on the *past* of  $\zeta$ . Define also

$$\nu_t = -N_{-t}, \quad \omega_t = -W_{-t}, \quad \beta_t = -B_{-t}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

## Dynamics of $(\zeta, \chi)$ ?

- Dynamics of  $\zeta$  from Haussmann-Pardoux '86. (See result later.)
- Given  $\zeta$ , dynamics for  $\chi$  follow. (See next slides.)

## Dynamics for $\chi$

Recall that

$$D_t X_t = \int_t^{T_t} D_u f(Z_u) du + D_{T_t} g(Z_{T_t}), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Using “-” and “+” to denote sampling at the left- and right end-point respectively and “ $\delta$ ” for differences, we obtain (excluding high order terms)

$$\begin{aligned} X_- &= f(Z_-)\delta t + \frac{D_+}{D_-} X_+ (1 - \delta N) + g(Z_+)\delta N \quad \Rightarrow \\ -\delta X &= f(Z_-)\delta t + X_+ \frac{\delta D}{D_-} + (g(Z_+) - X_+) \delta N. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f(Z_-)\delta t = f(Z_+)\delta t$ , it follows that

$$\delta \chi = f(\zeta_-)\delta t + \chi_- \frac{\delta D}{D_-} + (g(\zeta_-) - \chi_-) \delta \nu.$$

## Dynamics for $\chi$

Recall that

$$D_t X_t = \int_t^{T_t} D_u f(Z_u) du + D_{T_t} g(Z_{T_t}), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Using “-” and “+” to denote sampling at the left- and right end-point respectively and “ $\delta$ ” for differences, we obtain (excluding high order terms)

$$\begin{aligned} X_- &= f(Z_-) \delta t + \frac{D_+}{D_-} X_+ (1 - \delta N) + g(Z_+) \delta N \quad \Rightarrow \\ -\delta X &= f(Z_-) \delta t + X_+ \frac{\delta D}{D_-} + (g(Z_+) - X_+) \delta N. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f(Z_-) \delta t = f(Z_+) \delta t$ , it follows that

$$\delta \chi = f(\zeta_-) \delta t + \chi_- \frac{\delta D}{D_-} + (g(\zeta_-) - \chi_-) \delta \nu.$$

## Time-reversed dynamics for $\delta D/D_-$

$$\begin{aligned}-\frac{\delta D}{D_-} &= a(Z_-)\delta t + \theta(Z_-)\delta Z + \eta(Z_-)\delta B \\ &= a(Z_+)\delta t - \theta(Z_+)(-\delta Z) - \eta(Z_+)(-\delta B) \\ &\quad - \delta a(Z)\delta t + \delta\theta(Z)(-\delta Z) + \delta\eta(Z)(-\delta B).\end{aligned}$$

But,  $\delta a(Z)\delta t = 0 = \delta\eta(Z)(-\delta B)$ , and

$$\delta\theta(Z)(-\delta Z) = -\theta'(Z_+)\sigma^2(Z_+)\delta t = -\theta'(\zeta_-)\sigma^2(\zeta_-)\delta t.$$

Putting everything together, with

$$\alpha := \theta'\sigma^2 - a,$$

$$\frac{\delta D}{D_-} = \alpha(\zeta_-)\delta t + \theta(\zeta_-)\delta\zeta + \eta(\zeta_-)\delta\beta.$$



## Time-reversed dynamics for $\delta D/D_-$

$$\begin{aligned}-\frac{\delta D}{D_-} &= a(Z_-)\delta t + \theta(Z_-)\delta Z + \eta(Z_-)\delta B \\ &= a(Z_+)\delta t - \theta(Z_+)(-\delta Z) - \eta(Z_+)(-\delta B) \\ &\quad - \delta a(Z)\delta t + \delta\theta(Z)(-\delta Z) + \delta\eta(Z)(-\delta B).\end{aligned}$$

But,  $\delta a(Z)\delta t = 0 = \delta\eta(Z)(-\delta B)$ , and

$$\delta\theta(Z)(-\delta Z) = -\theta'(Z_+)\sigma^2(Z_+)\delta t = -\theta'(\zeta_-)\sigma^2(\zeta_-)\delta t.$$

Putting everything together, with

$$\alpha := \theta'\sigma^2 - a,$$

$$\frac{\delta D}{D_-} = \alpha(\zeta_-)\delta t + \theta(\zeta_-)\delta\zeta + \eta(\zeta_-)\delta\beta.$$

## Time-reversed dynamics for $\delta D/D_-$

$$\begin{aligned}-\frac{\delta D}{D_-} &= a(Z_-)\delta t + \theta(Z_-)\delta Z + \eta(Z_-)\delta B \\ &= a(Z_+)\delta t - \theta(Z_+)(-\delta Z) - \eta(Z_+)(-\delta B) \\ &\quad - \delta a(Z)\delta t + \delta\theta(Z)(-\delta Z) + \delta\eta(Z)(-\delta B).\end{aligned}$$

But,  $\delta a(Z)\delta t = 0 = \delta\eta(Z)(-\delta B)$ , and

$$\delta\theta(Z)(-\delta Z) = -\theta'(Z_+)\sigma^2(Z_+)\delta t = -\theta'(\zeta_-)\sigma^2(\zeta_-)\delta t.$$

Putting everything together, with

$$\alpha := \theta'\sigma^2 - a,$$

$$\frac{\delta D}{D_-} = \alpha(\zeta_-)\delta t + \theta(\zeta_-)\delta\zeta + \eta(\zeta_-)\delta\beta.$$

# The main result

## Theorem

- With  $\zeta_0 \sim p$ ,  $\chi_t^x = x$  (for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ), let  $(\zeta, \chi^x)$  satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}d\zeta_t &= m(\zeta_t)dt + \sigma(\zeta_t)d\omega_t, \\d\chi_t^x &= f(\zeta_t)dt + \chi_{t-}^x(\alpha(\zeta_t)dt + \theta(\zeta_t)d\zeta_t + \eta(\zeta_t)d\beta_t) \\ &\quad + (g(\zeta_t) - \chi_{t-}^x) d\nu_t, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \end{aligned}$$

where  $(\omega, \beta)$  are independent Brownian motions, and  $\nu$  a COX process with rate  $\lambda(\zeta)$ . Define the *occupation measure*  $\hat{\pi}_t^x$  via

$$\hat{\pi}_t^x[A] = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_A(\zeta_s, \chi_s^x) ds, \quad t > 0.$$

- Then, it almost surely holds that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\pi}_t^x = \pi \text{ (weakly)}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

# The main result

## Theorem

- With  $\zeta_0 \sim p$ ,  $\chi_t^x = x$  (for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ), let  $(\zeta, \chi^x)$  satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}d\zeta_t &= m(\zeta_t)dt + \sigma(\zeta_t)d\omega_t, \\d\chi_t^x &= f(\zeta_t)dt + \chi_{t-}^x(\alpha(\zeta_t)dt + \theta(\zeta_t)d\zeta_t + \eta(\zeta_t)d\beta_t) \\&\quad + (g(\zeta_t) - \chi_{t-}^x) d\nu_t, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \end{aligned}$$

where  $(\omega, \beta)$  are independent Brownian motions, and  $\nu$  a COX process with rate  $\lambda(\zeta)$ . Define the *occupation measure*  $\widehat{\pi}_t^x$  via

$$\widehat{\pi}_t^x[A] = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_A(\zeta_s, \chi_s^x) ds, \quad t > 0.$$

- Then, it almost surely holds that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\pi}_t^x = \pi \text{ (weakly)}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

# Extensions

## Multi-dimensional diffusive factor models

- Difficult to *check* for ergodicity (tests involving Lyapunov functions, adjoint equations).
- Even more difficult to *calculate* invariant measure  $p$  (gradient conditions, special cases like multi-dimensional OU models).
- Dynamics for  $\zeta$  involve  $p$ .

## Continuous Markov chain factor models

- Allow for different payoff during sojourns, transition and termination.
- More tractable: piecewise deterministic  $\chi$  between transitions of  $\zeta$ .
- Results in density estimation: convergence of laws in total variation.

# Extensions

## Multi-dimensional diffusive factor models

- Difficult to *check* for ergodicity (tests involving Lyapunov functions, adjoint equations).
- Even more difficult to *calculate* invariant measure  $p$  (gradient conditions, special cases like multi-dimensional OU models).
- Dynamics for  $\zeta$  involve  $p$ .

## Continuous Markov chain factor models

- Allow for different payoff during sojourns, transition and termination.
- More tractable: piecewise deterministic  $\chi$  between transitions of  $\zeta$ .
- Results in density estimation: convergence of laws in total variation.

The End

Yuri, thanks.  
Looking forward to your 80th!