Designs which allow each medical centre to treat only a limited number of cancer types with only a limited number of drugs



Design of Experiments: New Challenges, Centre International de Rencontres Mathématiques, 1 May 2018 Joint work with Peter Cameron (University of St Andrews)

Bailey

2-part 2-designs

1/26

In order to keep the protocol for a cancer clinical trial simple for each medical centre involved, it is proposed to limit each medical centre to only a few of the cancer types and only a few of the drugs.

In order to keep the protocol for a cancer clinical trial simple for each medical centre involved, it is proposed to limit each medical centre to only a few of the cancer types and only a few of the drugs. Let v_1 be the total number of cancer types, and v_2 the total number of drugs.

In order to keep the protocol for a cancer clinical trial simple for each medical centre involved, it is proposed to limit each medical centre to only a few of the cancer types and only a few of the drugs. Let v_1 be the total number of cancer types, and v_2 the total number of drugs. At the workshop on *Design and Analysis of Experiments in Healthcare* at the INI, Cambridge, in 2015, Valerii Fedorov listed the following desirable properties.

In order to keep the protocol for a cancer clinical trial simple for each medical centre involved, it is proposed to limit each medical centre to only a few of the cancer types and only a few of the drugs. Let v_1 be the total number of cancer types, and v_2 the total number of drugs. At the workshop on *Design and Analysis of Experiments in Healthcare* at the INI, Cambridge, in 2015, Valerii Fedorov listed the following desirable properties.

(a) All medical centres involve the same number, say k_1 , of cancer types, where $k_1 < v_1$.

In order to keep the protocol for a cancer clinical trial simple for each medical centre involved, it is proposed to limit each medical centre to only a few of the cancer types and only a few of the drugs. Let v_1 be the total number of cancer types, and v_2 the total number of drugs. At the workshop on *Design and Analysis of Experiments in Healthcare* at the INI, Cambridge, in 2015, Valerii Fedorov listed the following desirable properties.

- (a) All medical centres involve the same number, say k_1 , of cancer types, where $k_1 < v_1$.
- (b) All medical centres use the same number, say k_2 , of drugs, where $k_2 < v_2$.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト ニヨー ク

In order to keep the protocol for a cancer clinical trial simple for each medical centre involved, it is proposed to limit each medical centre to only a few of the cancer types and only a few of the drugs. Let v_1 be the total number of cancer types, and v_2 the total number of drugs. At the workshop on *Design and Analysis of Experiments in Healthcare* at the INI, Cambridge, in 2015, Valerii Fedorov listed the following desirable properties.

- (a) All medical centres involve the same number, say k_1 , of cancer types, where $k_1 < v_1$.
- (b) All medical centres use the same number, say k_2 , of drugs, where $k_2 < v_2$.
- (c) Each pair of distinct cancer types are involved together at the same non-zero number, say λ_{11} , of medical centres.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト ニヨー ク

In order to keep the protocol for a cancer clinical trial simple for each medical centre involved, it is proposed to limit each medical centre to only a few of the cancer types and only a few of the drugs. Let v_1 be the total number of cancer types, and v_2 the total number of drugs. At the workshop on *Design and Analysis of Experiments in Healthcare* at the INI, Cambridge, in 2015, Valerii Fedorov listed the following desirable properties.

- (a) All medical centres involve the same number, say k_1 , of cancer types, where $k_1 < v_1$.
- (b) All medical centres use the same number, say k_2 , of drugs, where $k_2 < v_2$.
- (c) Each pair of distinct cancer types are involved together at the same non-zero number, say λ_{11} , of medical centres.
- (d) Each pair of distinct drugs are used together at the same non-zero number, say λ_{22} , of medical centres.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ = つくで

In order to keep the protocol for a cancer clinical trial simple for each medical centre involved, it is proposed to limit each medical centre to only a few of the cancer types and only a few of the drugs. Let v_1 be the total number of cancer types, and v_2 the total number of drugs. At the workshop on *Design and Analysis of Experiments in Healthcare* at the INI, Cambridge, in 2015, Valerii Fedorov listed the following desirable properties.

- (a) All medical centres involve the same number, say k_1 , of cancer types, where $k_1 < v_1$.
- (b) All medical centres use the same number, say k_2 , of drugs, where $k_2 < v_2$.
- (c) Each pair of distinct cancer types are involved together at the same non-zero number, say λ_{11} , of medical centres.
- (d) Each pair of distinct drugs are used together at the same non-zero number, say λ_{22} , of medical centres.
- (e) Each drug is used on each type of cancer at the same number, say λ_{12} , of medical centres.

Bailey

The first four conditions state that, considered separately, the designs for cancer types and drugs are balanced incomplete-block designs (a.k.a. BIBDs or 2-designs) with the medical centres as blocks. We propose calling a design that satisfies all five properties a 2-*part BIBD* or 2-*part 2-design*.

The first four conditions state that,

considered separately, the designs for cancer types and drugs are balanced incomplete-block designs

(a.k.a. BIBDs or 2-designs)

with the medical centres as blocks. We propose calling a design that satisfies all five properties a 2-*part BIBD* or 2-*part 2-design*.

The parameters of a 2-part 2-design satsify some equations, and also an inequality that generalizes both Fisher's inequality and Bose's inequality.

<□> <@> < 注→ < 注→ < 注→ < 注→ ○ 注→ の

The first four conditions state that,

considered separately, the designs for cancer types and drugs are balanced incomplete-block designs

(a.k.a. BIBDs or 2-designs)

with the medical centres as blocks. We propose calling a design that satisfies all five properties a 2-*part BIBD* or 2-*part 2-design*.

The parameters of a 2-part 2-design satsify some equations, and also an inequality that generalizes both Fisher's inequality and Bose's inequality.

We give several constructions of 2-part 2-designs, then generalize them to *m*-part 2-designs.

<□> <@> < 注→ < 注→ < 注→ < 注→ ○ 注→ の

An example: $v_1 = 6$, $k_1 = 3$, $v_2 = 5$, $k_2 = 2$, b = 10

Combinations: 6 Cancer Types and 5 Drugs*



9

	Cancer						
	Block	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6
	1	D1,5	D1,5	D1,5			
Operational constraints for blocks (sub trials): • No more than 3 cancer types per block • Only 2 drugs per block	2	D1,2				D1,2	D1,2
	3	D2,3		D2,3	D2,3		
	4	D3,4	D3,4				D3,4
	5	D4,5			D4,5	D4,5	
	6		D1,3		D1,3	D1,3	
	7		D2,4	D2,4		D2,4	
	8			D3,5		D3,5	D3,5
	9			D1,4	D1,4		D1,4
	10		D2,5		D2,5		D2,5
Properties: Every pair of drugs at one trial		Bei	Benchmarking: in reality "practical" designs take into account medical knowledge, disease				

Every pair of cancertypes at two trials ٠

Every drug with every cancer type at two trials

prevalence, differing enrollment rates per cancer type and competing products

*Thanks to Prof. Rosemary Bailey

Thanks to Valerii Fedorov for this image.

4/26

Comparison with classical factorial designs

Block 1 of our example is shown as

C1	C2	C3	
D1, D5	D1, D5	D1, D5	

which means that the medical centre which it represents will accept into the trial only patients with cancer types 1, 2 or 3;

Comparison with classical factorial designs

Block 1 of our example is shown as

C1	C2	C3	
D1, D5	D1, D5	D1, D5	

which means that the medical centre which it represents will accept into the trial only patients with cancer types 1, 2 or 3; patients of each of these types will be randomized (in approximately equal numbers) to

- drug 1, drug 5, and placebo (original idea)
- drug 1, drug 5, their combination, and placebo (modified idea).

Comparison with classical factorial designs

Block 1 of our example is shown as

C1	C2	C3	
D1, D5	D1, D5	D1, D5	

which means that the medical centre which it represents will accept into the trial only patients with cancer types 1, 2 or 3; patients of each of these types will be randomized (in approximately equal numbers) to

- drug 1, drug 5, and placebo (original idea)
- drug 1, drug 5, their combination, and placebo (modified idea).

Contrast this with a classical factorial design in blocks, which would never have level C1 of factor C occuring in several combinations in a block while level C4 does not occur in that block at all.

The concise representation of the design

Block	Cancer types	Drugs
1	C1, C2, C3	D1, D5
2	C1, C5, C6	D1, D2
3	C1, C3, C4	D2, D3
4	C1, C2, C6	D3, D4
5	C1, C4, C5	D4, D5
6	C2, C4, C5	D1, D3
7	C2, C3, C5	D2, D4
8	C3, C5, C6	D3, D5
9	C3, C4, C6	D1, D4
10	C2, C4, C6	D2, D5

The concise representation of the design

Block	Cancer types	Drugs
1	C1, C2, C3	D1, D5
2	C1, C5, C6	D1, D2
3	C1, C3, C4	D2, D3
4	C1, C2, C6	D3, D4
5	C1, C4, C5	D4, D5
6	C2, C4, C5	D1, D3
7	C2, C3, C5	D2, D4
8	C3, C5, C6	D3, D5
9	C3, C4, C6	D1, D4
10	C2, C4, C6	D2, D5

Warning! This does not mean that each block has 5 treatments.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 の

Definition

A 2-part 2-design for v_1 cancer types and v_2 drugs in *b* medical centres, with further parameters k_1 , k_2 , λ_{11} , λ_{22} and λ_{12} , is an allocation of cancer types and drugs to medical centres satisfying:

- (a) all medical centres involve k_1 cancer types, where $k_1 < v_1$;
- (b) all medical centres use k_2 drugs, where $k_2 < v_2$;
- (c) each pair of distinct cancer types occur together at λ_{11} medical centres, where $\lambda_{11} > 0$;
- (d) each pair of distinct drugs occur together at λ_{22} medical centres, where $\lambda_{22} > 0$;
- (e) each drug occurs with each type of cancer at λ_{12} medical centres.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト ニヨー ク

In a 2-part 2-design with parameters v_1 , v_2 , b, k_1 , k_2 , λ_{11} , λ_{22} and λ_{12} , the following hold.

1. Each cancer type occurs in r_1 blocks, where $v_1r_1 = bk_1$.

In a 2-part 2-design with parameters v_1 , v_2 , b, k_1 , k_2 , λ_{11} , λ_{22} and λ_{12} , the following hold.

- 1. Each cancer type occurs in r_1 blocks, where $v_1r_1 = bk_1$.
- 2. Each drug occurs in r_2 blocks, where $v_2r_2 = bk_2$.

In a 2-part 2-design with parameters v_1 , v_2 , b, k_1 , k_2 , λ_{11} , λ_{22} and λ_{12} , the following hold.

- 1. Each cancer type occurs in r_1 blocks, where $v_1r_1 = bk_1$.
- 2. Each drug occurs in r_2 blocks, where $v_2r_2 = bk_2$.

3.
$$\lambda_{11}(v_1-1) = r_1(k_1-1).$$

In a 2-part 2-design with parameters v_1 , v_2 , b, k_1 , k_2 , λ_{11} , λ_{22} and λ_{12} , the following hold.

- 1. Each cancer type occurs in r_1 blocks, where $v_1r_1 = bk_1$.
- 2. Each drug occurs in r_2 blocks, where $v_2r_2 = bk_2$.

3.
$$\lambda_{11}(v_1-1) = r_1(k_1-1).$$

4.
$$\lambda_{22}(v_2-1) = r_2(k_2-1).$$

In a 2-part 2-design with parameters v_1 , v_2 , b, k_1 , k_2 , λ_{11} , λ_{22} and λ_{12} , the following hold.

- 1. Each cancer type occurs in r_1 blocks, where $v_1r_1 = bk_1$.
- 2. Each drug occurs in r_2 blocks, where $v_2r_2 = bk_2$.

3.
$$\lambda_{11}(v_1-1) = r_1(k_1-1).$$

4.
$$\lambda_{22}(v_2-1) = r_2(k_2-1).$$

5.
$$bk_1k_2 = v_1v_2\lambda_{12}$$
.

In a 2-part 2-design with parameters v_1 , v_2 , b, k_1 , k_2 , λ_{11} , λ_{22} and λ_{12} , the following hold.

- 1. Each cancer type occurs in r_1 blocks, where $v_1r_1 = bk_1$.
- 2. Each drug occurs in r_2 blocks, where $v_2r_2 = bk_2$.

3.
$$\lambda_{11}(v_1-1) = r_1(k_1-1).$$

4.
$$\lambda_{22}(v_2-1) = r_2(k_2-1).$$

5.
$$bk_1k_2 = v_1v_2\lambda_{12}$$
.

6.
$$b \ge v_1 + v_2 - 1$$
.

In general, $r_1 \neq r_2$, so we cannot use the usual definition of resolvable design.

In general, $r_1 \neq r_2$, so we cannot use the usual definition of resolvable design.

Definition

A 2-part block design is *c*-partitionable if the set of blocks can be grouped into *c* classes of b/c blocks each, in such a way that every cancer type occurs the same number of times in each class and every drug occurs the same number of times in each class.

In general, $r_1 \neq r_2$, so we cannot use the usual definition of resolvable design.

Definition

A 2-part block design is *c*-partitionable if the set of blocks can be grouped into *c* classes of b/c blocks each, in such a way that every cancer type occurs the same number of times in each class and every drug occurs the same number of times in each class.

Theorem

If a 2-*part* 2-*design is c-partitionable then b* $\geq v_1 + v_2 + c - 2$ *.*

Let Δ_1 be a BIBD for v_1 treatments in b_1 blocks of size k_1 , and let Δ_2 be a BIBD for v_2 treatments in b_2 blocks of size k_2 .

◆□> ◆圖> ◆注> ◆注> ●注

Let Δ_1 be a BIBD for v_1 treatments in b_1 blocks of size k_1 , and let Δ_2 be a BIBD for v_2 treatments in b_2 blocks of size k_2 . Form all b_1b_2 combinations of a block of each sort.

Let Δ_1 be a BIBD for v_1 treatments in b_1 blocks of size k_1 , and let Δ_2 be a BIBD for v_2 treatments in b_2 blocks of size k_2 . Form all b_1b_2 combinations of a block of each sort. For each block combination, form the Cartesian product of their sets of treatments.

Let Δ_1 be a BIBD for v_1 treatments in b_1 blocks of size k_1 , and let Δ_2 be a BIBD for v_2 treatments in b_2 blocks of size k_2 . Form all b_1b_2 combinations of a block of each sort. For each block combination, form the Cartesian product of their sets of treatments.

The result is a 2-part 2-design, but it has b_1b_2 blocks, which is often too large.

Given a 2-part 2-design, create another one, interchanging the values of k_1 and $v_1 - k_1$, by replacing the set of cancer types in each block by the complementary set of cancer types.

Given a 2-part 2-design, create another one, interchanging the values of k_1 and $v_1 - k_1$, by replacing the set of cancer types in each block by the complementary set of cancer types.

The result is also a 2-part 2-design so long as $v_1 - k_1 \ge 2$.

▲口 > ▲圖 > ▲ 臣 > ★ 臣 > 「臣 」 ①

Given a 2-part 2-design, create another one, interchanging the values of k_1 and $v_1 - k_1$, by replacing the set of cancer types in each block by the complementary set of cancer types. The result is also a 2-part 2-design so long as $v_1 - k_1 \ge 2$.

Similarly, swap drugs to interchange k_2 and $v_2 - k_2$.

Given a 2-part 2-design, create another one, interchanging the values of v_1 and v_2 , and the values of k_1 and k_2 , by interchanging the roles of cancer types and drugs.
Let Δ_1 be a BIBD for v_1 treatments in b_1 blocks of size k_1 , and let Δ_2 be a BIBD for v_2 treatments in b_2 blocks of size k_2 .

Partition the set of blocks of Δ_1 into *r* sets of b_1/r blocks, in any way at all.

<ロト (四) (三) (三) (三) (三)

Partition the set of blocks of Δ_1 into *r* sets of b_1/r blocks, in any way at all.

Match these sets to the *r* resolution classes of Δ_1 , in any way at all.

<ロト (四) (三) (三) (三) (三)

Partition the set of blocks of Δ_1 into *r* sets of b_1/r blocks, in any way at all.

Match these sets to the *r* resolution classes of Δ_1 , in any way at all.

For each matched pair, construct the cartesian product design.

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲理▶ ▲理▶ 二語 三名

Partition the set of blocks of Δ_1 into *r* sets of b_1/r blocks, in any way at all.

Match these sets to the *r* resolution classes of Δ_1 , in any way at all.

For each matched pair, construct the cartesian product design.

The result is a 2-part 2-design, and it has b_1b_2/r blocks.

・ロト・西ト・ヨト・ヨー の

An example of a subcartesian product: $v_1 = 3$, $v_2 = 4$

 $\begin{array}{r} \Delta_1 \\ b = 3 \\ \hline
 C1, C2 \\ C1, C3 \\ C2, C3 \end{array}$

 $Δ_2$ resolvable r = 3D1, D3 D2, D4 D2, D3 D1, D4 D1, D2 D3, D4

3 N

Bailey

An example of a subcartesian product: $v_1 = 3$, $v_2 = 4$



A B + A B +
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

An example of a subcartesian product: $v_1 = 3$, $v_2 = 4$



3 D

If $v_1 = v_2 = 2k_1 = 2k_2 = 2n$, write down a Hadamard matrix of order 4n with all entries +1 in the first row.



If $v_1 = v_2 = 2k_1 = 2k_2 = 2n$, write down a Hadamard matrix of order 4n with all entries +1 in the first row.



If $v_1 = v_2 = 2k_1 = 2k_2 = 2n$, write down a Hadamard matrix of order 4n with all entries +1 in the first row.

Replace all \pm entries in row 2 with levels of C/D.

+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1
C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6
+1	-1	+1	-1	+1	-1	+1	-1	-1	+1	+1	-1
+1	-1	-1	-1	+1	+1	-1	-1	+1	-1	+1	+1
+1	+1	+1	-1	-1	-1	-1	+1	+1	-1	+1	-1
+1	-1	-1	+1	+1	-1	+1	+1	+1	-1	-1	-1
+1	-1	-1	+1	-1	+1	-1	+1	-1	+1	+1	-1
+1	-1	+1	+1	-1	-1	-1	-1	+1	+1	-1	+1
+1	+1	-1	-1	+1	-1	-1	+1	-1	+1	-1	+1
+1	+1	-1	+1	-1	-1	+1	-1	-1	-1	+1	+1
+1	+1	-1	-1	-1	+1	+1	-1	+1	+1	-1	-1
+1	-1	+1	-1	-1	+1	+1	+1	-1	-1	-1	+1

A (20) × (20) ×

If $v_1 = v_2 = 2k_1 = 2k_2 = 2n$, write down a Hadamard matrix of order 4n with all entries +1 in the first row.

Replace all \pm entries in row 2 with levels of C/D.

											_
+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1
C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6
+ 1	- 1	+1	-1	+1	-1	+1	-1	-1	+1	+1	-1
+1	-1	-1	-1	+1	+1	-1	-1	+1	-1	+1	+1
+1	+1	+1	-1	-1	-1	-1	+1	+1	-1	+1	-1
+1	-1	-1	+1	+1	-1	+1	+1	+1	-1	-1	-1
+1	-1	-1	+1	-1	+1	-1	+1	-1	+1	+1	-1
+1	-1	+1	+1	-1	-1	-1	-1	+1	+1	-1	+1
+1	+1	-1	-1	+1	-1	-1	+1	-1	+1	-1	+1
+1	+1	-1	+1	-1	-1	+1	-1	-1	-1	+1	+1
+1	+1	-1	-1	-1	+1	+1	-1	+1	+1	-1	-1
+1	-1	+1	-1	-1	+1	+1	+1	-1	-1	-1	+1
Row 3	$B \rightarrow \{$	C1,C3	3,C5	D1,E	D4,D5	5}					-
	-										

<ロト (四) (三) (三) (三) (三)

If $v_1 = v_2 = 2k_1 = 2k_2 = 2n$, write down a Hadamard matrix of order 4n with all entries +1 in the first row.

Replace all \pm entries in row 2 with levels of C/D.

+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1
C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6
+1	-1	+1	-1	+1	-1	+1	-1	-1	+1	+1	-1
+1	-1	-1	-1	+1	+1	-1	-1	+1	-1	+1	+1
+1	+1	+1	-1	-1	-1	-1	+1	+1	-1	+1	-1
+1	-1	-1	+1	+1	-1	+1	+1	+1	-1	-1	-1
+1	-1	-1	+1	-1	+1	-1	+1	-1	+1	+1	-1
+1	-1	+1	+1	-1	-1	-1	-1	+1	+1	-1	+1
+1	+1	-1	-1	+1	-1	-1	+1	-1	+1	-1	+1
+1	+1	-1	+1	-1	-1	+1	-1	-1	-1	+1	+1
+1	+1	-1	-1	-1	+1	+1	-1	+1	+1	-1	-1
+1	-1	+1	-1	-1	+1	+1	+1	-1	-1	-1	+1
Row 3	$\rightarrow \{ 0$	C1,C3	3,C5	D1,E	D4,D5	5} and	d {C2	2,C4,0	C6 E	02,D3	,D6}.

<ロト (四) (三) (三) (三) (三)

If $v_1 = v_2 = 2k_1 = 2k_2 = 2n$, write down a Hadamard matrix of order 4n with all entries +1 in the first row.

Replace all \pm entries in row 2 with levels of C/D.

_												_	
Γ	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	
	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	
	+1	-1	+1	-1	+1	-1	+1	-1	-1	+1	+1	-1	
	+1	-1	-1	-1	+1	+1	-1	-1	+1	-1	+1	+1	
	+1	+1	+1	-1	-1	-1	-1	+1	+1	-1	+1	-1	
	+1	-1	-1	+1	+1	-1	+1	+1	+1	-1	-1	-1	
	+1	-1	-1	+1	-1	+1	-1	+1	-1	+1	+1	-1	
	+1	-1	+1	+1	-1	-1	-1	-1	+1	+1	-1	+1	
	+1	+1	-1	-1	+1	-1	-1	+1	-1	+1	-1	+1	
	+1	+1	-1	+1	-1	-1	+1	-1	-1	-1	+1	+1	
	+1	+1	-1	-1	-1	+1	+1	-1	+1	+1	-1	-1	
	+1	-1	+1	-1	-1	+1	+1	+1	-1	-1	-1	+1	
λc	Row $3 \rightarrow \{C1, C3, C5 D1, D4, D5\}$ and $\{C2, C4, C6 D2, D3, D6\}$.												
٩r	and so on, so $b = 2(4n-2) = 8n-4$.												
						2-part 2	2-designs						

Bailey

Start with a BIBD for v treatments in v blocks of size k, where each pair of blocks have λ treatments in common, and $\lambda > 1$ and $3 \le k \le v - k$.

Start with a BIBD for v treatments in v blocks of size k, where each pair of blocks have λ treatments in common, and $\lambda > 1$ and $3 \le k \le v - k$.

Choose one block, and identify its treatments with drugs (so $v_2 = k$).

Start with a BIBD for v treatments in v blocks of size k, where each pair of blocks have λ treatments in common, and $\lambda > 1$ and $3 \le k \le v - k$.

Choose one block, and identify its treatments with drugs (so $v_2 = k$).

Identify the other treatments with cancer types (so $v_1 = v - k$).

・ロト ・個 ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト … ヨー

Start with a BIBD for v treatments in v blocks of size k, where each pair of blocks have λ treatments in common, and $\lambda > 1$ and $3 \le k \le v - k$.

Choose one block, and identify its treatments with drugs (so $v_2 = k$).

Identify the other treatments with cancer types (so $v_1 = v - k$). Each remaining block gives a block of our 2-part 2-design, so

$$b = v - 1$$

$$k_2 = \lambda$$

$$k_1 = k - \lambda$$

$$\lambda_{11} = \lambda$$

$$\lambda_{12} = \lambda$$

$$\lambda_{22} = \lambda - 1.$$

・ロト ・個 ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト … ヨー

1	ows	are b	olock	S
1	5	3	4	9
2	6	4	5	10
3	7	5	6	0
4	8	6	7	1
5	9	7	8	2
6	10	8	9	3
7	0	9	10	4
8	1	10	0	5
9	2	0	1	6
10	3	1	2	7
0	4	2	3	8

	rows a	are b	locks	5	
1	5	3	4	9	
2	6	4	5	10	
3	7	5	6	0	
4	8	6	7	1	
5	9	7	8	2	
6	10	8	9	3	
7	0	9	10	4	
8	1	10	0	5	
9	2	0	1	6	
10	3	1	2	7	
0	4	2	3	8	
1	5	3	4	ł	9
D1	D2	D	3 D	4	D5

_

r	ows	are b	locks	S
1	5	3	4	9
2	6	4	5	10
3	7	5	6	0
4	8	6	7	1
5	9	7	8	2
6	10	8	9	3
7	0	9	10	4
8	1	10	0	5
9	2	0	1	6
10	3	1	2	7
0	4	2	3	8
1	5	3	4	Ł
D1	D2	D	3 D	4

r	ows	are b	lock	5		2-pa	rt 2-c	lesigi	n	
1	5	3	4	9	dı	ugs	ca	cancer types		
2	6	4	5	10	D2	D4	C2	C3	C5	_
3	7	5	6	0	D2	D3	C1	C3	C4	
4	8	6	7	1	D1	D4	C3	C4	C6	
5	9	7	8	2	D2	D5	C2	C4	C6	
6	10	8	9	3	D3	D5	C3	C5	C6	
7	0	9	10	4	D4	D5	C1	C4	C5	
8	1	10	0	5	D1	D2	C1	C5	C6	
9	2	0	1	6	D1	D5	C1	C2	C3	
10	3	1	2	7	D1	D3	C2	C4	C5	
0	4	2	3	8	D3	D4	C1	C2	C6	
1	5	3	4	9	0	2	6	7	8	10
D1	D2	D	3 D	4 D5	C1	C2	C3	C4	C6	C5

・ロト ・個 ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト … ヨー

r	ows	are b	lock	S		2-pa	rt 2-c	lesigi	n	
1	5	3	4	9	dr	ugs	ca	ncer t	ypes	_
2	6	4	5	10	D2	D4	C2	C3	C5	_
3	7	5	6	0	D2	D3	C1	C3	C4	
4	8	6	7	1	D1	D4	C3	C4	C6	
5	9	7	8	2	D2	D5	C2	C4	C6	
6	10	8	9	3	D3	D5	C3	C5	C6	
7	0	9	10	4	D4	D5	C1	C4	C5	
8	1	10	0	5	D1	D2	C1	C5	C6	
9	2	0	1	6	D1	D5	C1	C2	C3	
10	3	1	2	7	D1	D3	C2	C4	C5	
0	4	2	3	8	D3	D4	C1	C2	C6	
1	5	3	4	9	0	2	6	7	8	10
D1	D2	D	3 D	4 D5	C1	C2	C3	C4	C6	C5

・ロト・「日本・日本・日本・日本・日本

r	ows	are b	locks	5				2-pa	art 2-c	lesigr	ı	
1	5	3	4	9			dı	ugs	cai	ncer t	ypes	_
2	6	4	5	10)		D2	D4	C2	C3	C5	_
3	7	5	6	0			D2	D3	C1	C3	C4	
4	8	6	7	1			D1	D4	C3	C4	C6	
5	9	7	8	2			D2	D5	C2	C4	C6	
6	10	8	9	3			D3	D5	C3	C5	C6	
7	0	9	10	4			D4	D5	C1	C4	C5	
8	1	10	0	5			D1	D2	C1	C5	C6	
9	2	0	1	6			D1	D5	C1	C2	C3	
10	3	1	2	7			D1	D3	C2	C4	C5	
0	4	2	3	8			D3	D4	C1	C2	C6	
1	5	3	4		9		0	2	6	7	8	10
D1	D2	D3	5 D	4	D5		C1	C2	C3	C4	C6	C5
This	This is exactly the first 2-part 2-design that I showed you.											

Given a 2-part 2-design with $v_2 = 2k_2 + 1$, add an extra drug, increasing v_2 to $v_2 + 1$, k_2 to $k_2 + 1$ and b to 2b.

・ロト ・個 ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト … ヨー

Given a 2-part 2-design with $v_2 = 2k_2 + 1$, add an extra drug, increasing v_2 to $v_2 + 1$, k_2 to $k_2 + 1$ and b to 2b. Replace each previous block by two new blocks, both with the original subset of cancer types.

- Given a 2-part 2-design with $v_2 = 2k_2 + 1$, add an extra drug, increasing v_2 to $v_2 + 1$, k_2 to $k_2 + 1$ and b to 2b.
- Replace each previous block by two new blocks, both with the original subset of cancer types.
- One of these has the same drugs as before, plus the new drug. The other has all the remaining drugs.

If $v_1 = v_2$ and $k_1 = k_2$ then the concise form of a 2-part 2-design is a "semi-regular group-divisible incomplete block-design for two groups of treatments".

Look these up in Clatworthy's *Tables of Two-Associate Class Partially Balanced Designs*.

If there is a group *G* which acts doubly transitively on the set of cancer types and also acts doubly transitively on the set of drugs, then choose an initial block and then get the remaining blocks by applying the permutations in *G* to it.

Interesting examples are too large to fit on a slide!

(ロ) (同) (ヨ) (ヨ) (ヨ)

On 28 March 2016, Valerii sent me the png file of the first design in this talk. When I thanked him, he emailed back the next day with

Dear Rosemary, It can be never ending story For instance, can we extend the table below and add another factor: oncogenes (biomarker)? ...

In a 3-part 2-design, we also have a set of v_3 biomarkers, such that

- (a) all medical centres involve k_1 cancer types, where $k_1 < v_1$;
- (b) all medical centres use k_2 drugs, where $k_2 < v_2$;
- (c) each pair of distinct cancer types occur together at λ_{11} medical centres, where $\lambda_{11} > 0$;
- (d) each pair of distinct drugs occur together at λ_{22} medical centres, where $\lambda_{12} > 0$;
- (e) each drug occurs with each type of cancer at λ_{12} medical centres;

<ロト < 同ト < 同ト < 同ト < 同ト < 同ト < 同 > (1)

In a 3-part 2-design, we also have a set of v_3 biomarkers, such that

- (a) all medical centres involve k_1 cancer types, where $k_1 < v_1$;
- (b) all medical centres use k_2 drugs, where $k_2 < v_2$;
- (c) each pair of distinct cancer types occur together at λ_{11} medical centres, where $\lambda_{11} > 0$;
- (d) each pair of distinct drugs occur together at λ_{22} medical centres, where $\lambda_{12} > 0$;
- (e) each drug occurs with each type of cancer at λ_{12} medical centres;
- (f) all medical centres use k_3 biomarkers, where $k_3 < v_3$;

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト ニヨー ク

In a 3-part 2-design, we also have a set of v_3 biomarkers, such that

- (a) all medical centres involve k_1 cancer types, where $k_1 < v_1$;
- (b) all medical centres use k_2 drugs, where $k_2 < v_2$;
- (c) each pair of distinct cancer types occur together at λ_{11} medical centres, where $\lambda_{11} > 0$;
- (d) each pair of distinct drugs occur together at λ_{22} medical centres, where $\lambda_{12} > 0$;
- (e) each drug occurs with each type of cancer at λ_{12} medical centres;
- (f) all medical centres use k_3 biomarkers, where $k_3 < v_3$;
- (g) each pair of distinct biomarkers occur together at λ_{33} medical centres, where $\lambda_{33} > 0$;

In a 3-part 2-design, we also have a set of v_3 biomarkers, such that

- (a) all medical centres involve k_1 cancer types, where $k_1 < v_1$;
- (b) all medical centres use k_2 drugs, where $k_2 < v_2$;
- (c) each pair of distinct cancer types occur together at λ_{11} medical centres, where $\lambda_{11} > 0$;
- (d) each pair of distinct drugs occur together at λ_{22} medical centres, where $\lambda_{12} > 0$;
- (e) each drug occurs with each type of cancer at λ_{12} medical centres;
- (f) all medical centres use k_3 biomarkers, where $k_3 < v_3$;
- (g) each pair of distinct biomarkers occur together at λ_{33} medical centres, where $\lambda_{33} > 0$;
- (h) each biomarker occurs with each type of cancer at λ_{13} medical centres;

In a 3-part 2-design, we also have a set of v_3 biomarkers, such that

- (a) all medical centres involve k_1 cancer types, where $k_1 < v_1$;
- (b) all medical centres use k_2 drugs, where $k_2 < v_2$;
- (c) each pair of distinct cancer types occur together at λ_{11} medical centres, where $\lambda_{11} > 0$;
- (d) each pair of distinct drugs occur together at λ_{22} medical centres, where $\lambda_{12} > 0$;
- (e) each drug occurs with each type of cancer at λ_{12} medical centres;
- (f) all medical centres use k_3 biomarkers, where $k_3 < v_3$;
- (g) each pair of distinct biomarkers occur together at λ_{33} medical centres, where $\lambda_{33} > 0$;
- (h) each biomarker occurs with each type of cancer at λ_{13} medical centres;
- (i) each biomarker occurs with each drug at λ₂₃ medical centres.

23/26
Let Δ_1 be a BIBD for v_1 treatments in b_1 blocks of size k_1 , Δ_2 a BIBD for v_2 treatments in b_2 blocks of size k_2 , and Δ_3 a BIBD for v_3 treatments in b_3 blocks of size k_3 .

Let Δ_1 be a BIBD for v_1 treatments in b_1 blocks of size k_1 , Δ_2 a BIBD for v_2 treatments in b_2 blocks of size k_2 , and Δ_3 a BIBD for v_3 treatments in b_3 blocks of size k_3 .

Use an orthogonal array of strength 2, with three columns, where column i has b_i symbols.

Let Δ_1 be a BIBD for v_1 treatments in b_1 blocks of size k_1 , Δ_2 a BIBD for v_2 treatments in b_2 blocks of size k_2 , and Δ_3 a BIBD for v_3 treatments in b_3 blocks of size k_3 .

Use an orthogonal array of strength 2, with three columns, where column i has b_i symbols.

For each row of the orthogonal array, construct the cartesian product of the three blocks, one in each of Δ_1 , Δ_2 and Δ_3 .

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日 二

An example using an orthogonal array: $v_1 = v_2 = v_3 = 3$

design Δ_1		desig	$\operatorname{sn}\Delta_2$	design Δ_1		
Block 1	C1, C2	Block 1	D1, D2	Block 1	B1, B2	
Block 2	C1, C3	Block 2	D1, D3	Block 2	B1, B3	
Block 3	C2, C3	Block 3	D2, D3	Block 3	B2, B3	

・ロト・四ト・日本・日本・日本・日本

An example using an orthogonal array: $v_1 = v_2 = v_3 = 3$

design Δ_1		desig	$\operatorname{gn}\Delta_2$	design Δ_1		
Block 1	C1, C2	Block 1	D1, D2	Block 1	B1, B2	
Block 2	C1, C3	Block 2	D1, D3	Block 2	B1, B3	
Block 3	C2, C3	Block 3	D2, D3	Block 3	B2, B3	

Orthogonal

	array				
1	1	1			
2	2	2			
3	3	3			
1	3	2			
2	1	3			
3	2	1			
1	2	3			
2	3	1			
3	1	2			

Bailey

25/26

An example using an orthogonal array: $v_1 = v_2 = v_3 = 3$

design Δ_1			design Δ_2			design Δ_1				
	В	lock	:1	C1, C2	Blo	ck 1	D1,	, D2	Block 1	B1, B2
	В	lock	2	C1, C3	Blo	ck 2	D1,	, D3	Block 2	B1, B3
	В	lock	: 3	C2, C3	Blo	ck 3	D2,	, D3	Block 3	B2, B3
Orthogonal			Cancer			Bio-				
	array			Block	types Drug		Drugs	s markers		
	1	1	1		1	C1,	C2	D1, D2	B1, B	52
	2	2	2		2	C1,	C3	D1, D3	B1, B	3
	3	3	3		3	С2,	C3	D2, D3	B2, B	3
	1	3	2		4	C1,	C2	D2, D3	B1, B	3
	2	1	3		5	C1,	C3	D1, D2	B2, B	3
	3	2	1		6	С2,	C3	D1, D3	B1, B	52
	1	2	3		7	C1,	C2	D1, D3	B2, B	3
	2	3	1		8	C1,	C3	D2, D3	B1, B	52
	3	1	2		9	C2,	C3	D1, D2	B1, B	3

(日) (個) (E) (E) (E)

The foregoing definition extends to *m* different types of thing.

◆□▶ ◆舂▶ ◆吾▶ ◆吾▶ 善吾・の

The foregoing definition extends to m different types of thing. Most of the constructions generalize.

▲口 > ▲圖 > ▲ 臣 > ★ 臣 > 「臣 」 ①

The foregoing definition extends to m different types of thing. Most of the constructions generalize.

Theorem

Let Δ be an m-part 2-design with v_i things of type i, for i = 1, ..., m. If Δ is c-partitionable then $b \ge v_1 + \cdots + v_m + c - m$. In particular, $b \ge v_1 + \cdots + v_m - m + 1$.

▲口 > ▲圖 > ▲ 臣 > ★ 臣 > 「臣 」 ①