

Complexity of distances between metric and Banach spaces

Marek Cúth, Michal Doucha, Ondřej Kurka

Non Linear Functional Analysis, CIRM

Definition

Let X, Y be Polish spaces and let E, F equivalence relations on X, Y respectively.

Definition

Let X, Y be Polish spaces and let E, F equivalence relations on X, Y respectively. E is said to be *Borel reducible* to F , if there is a Borel mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that for every $a, b \in X$ we have $aEb \Leftrightarrow f(a)Ff(b)$. We denote it by

$$E \leq_B F.$$

Definition

Let X, Y be Polish spaces and let E, F equivalence relations on X, Y respectively. E is said to be *Borel reducible* to F , if there is a Borel mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that for every $a, b \in X$ we have $aEb \Leftrightarrow f(a)Ff(b)$. We denote it by

$$E \leq_B F.$$

We say that E and F are *Borel bireducible* if $E \leq_B F$ and $F \leq_B E$. We denote it by

$$E \sim_B F.$$

Definition

Let X, Y be Polish spaces and let E, F equivalence relations on X, Y respectively. E is said to be *Borel reducible* to F , if there is a Borel mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that for every $a, b \in X$ we have $aEb \Leftrightarrow f(a)Ff(b)$. We denote it by

$$E \leq_B F.$$

We say that E and F are *Borel bireducible* if $E \leq_B F$ and $F \leq_B E$. We denote it by

$$E \sim_B F.$$

Example (Polish space): \mathcal{M} = space of all metrics on \mathbb{N} . On \mathcal{M} we consider a Polish topology inherited from $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$.

Definition

Let X, Y be Polish spaces and let E, F equivalence relations on X, Y respectively. E is said to be *Borel reducible* to F , if there is a Borel mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that for every $a, b \in X$ we have $aEb \Leftrightarrow f(a)Ff(b)$. We denote it by

$$E \leq_B F.$$

We say that E and F are *Borel bireducible* if $E \leq_B F$ and $F \leq_B E$. We denote it by

$$E \sim_B F.$$

Example (Polish space): \mathcal{M} = space of all metrics on \mathbb{N} . On \mathcal{M} we consider a Polish topology inherited from $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$.

Every $f \in \mathcal{M}$ is then a code for a Polish metric space M_f , which is the completion of (\mathbb{N}, f) .

Definition

Let X, Y be Polish spaces and let E, F equivalence relations on X, Y respectively. E is said to be *Borel reducible* to F , if there is a Borel mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that for every $a, b \in X$ we have $aEb \Leftrightarrow f(a)Ff(b)$. We denote it by

$$E \leq_B F.$$

We say that E and F are *Borel bireducible* if $E \leq_B F$ and $F \leq_B E$. We denote it by

$$E \sim_B F.$$

Example (Polish space): \mathcal{M} = space of all metrics on \mathbb{N} . On \mathcal{M} we consider a Polish topology inherited from $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$.

Every $f \in \mathcal{M}$ is then a code for a Polish metric space M_f , which is the completion of (\mathbb{N}, f) .

We refer to \mathcal{M} as to the “(standard Borel) space of all infinite Polish metric spaces”.

Definition

Let X, Y be Polish spaces and let E, F equivalence relations on X, Y respectively. E is said to be *Borel reducible* to F , if there is a Borel mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that for every $a, b \in X$ we have $aEb \Leftrightarrow f(a)Ff(b)$. We denote it by

$$E \leq_B F.$$

We say that E and F are *Borel bireducible* if $E \leq_B F$ and $F \leq_B E$. We denote it by

$$E \sim_B F.$$

Example (Polish space): \mathcal{M} = space of all metrics on \mathbb{N} . On \mathcal{M} we consider a Polish topology inherited from $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$.

Every $f \in \mathcal{M}$ is then a code for a Polish metric space M_f , which is the completion of (\mathbb{N}, f) .

We refer to \mathcal{M} as to the “(standard Borel) space of all infinite Polish metric spaces”.

Example (equivalence relation): \cong_i is an equivalence relation on \mathcal{M} defined as $f \cong_i g$ iff M_f is isometric with M_g .

Standard Borel space: A set X with σ -algebra \mathcal{B} such that there is a Polish topology on X so that \mathcal{B} is the σ -algebra of Borel sets in this topology.

Standard Borel space: A set X with σ -algebra \mathcal{B} such that there is a Polish topology on X so that \mathcal{B} is the σ -algebra of Borel sets in this topology.

Example: If X is a Polish space and $B \subset X$ is a Borel set, then the subspace B with the inherited Borel structure is standard Borel.

Standard Borel space: A set X with σ -algebra \mathcal{B} such that there is a Polish topology on X so that \mathcal{B} is the σ -algebra of Borel sets in this topology.

Example: If X is a Polish space and $B \subset X$ is a Borel set, then the subspace B with the inherited Borel structure is standard Borel.

More concrete example: $V =$ the vector space over \mathbb{Q} of all finitely supported sequences of rational numbers.

Standard Borel space: A set X with σ -algebra \mathcal{B} such that there is a Polish topology on X so that \mathcal{B} is the σ -algebra of Borel sets in this topology.

Example: If X is a Polish space and $B \subset X$ is a Borel set, then the subspace B with the inherited Borel structure is standard Borel.

More concrete example: $V =$ the vector space over \mathbb{Q} of all finitely supported sequences of rational numbers.

$\mathcal{B}_0 =$ norms on V . On \mathcal{B}_0 we consider a Polish topology inherited from \mathbb{R}^V .

Standard Borel space: A set X with σ -algebra \mathcal{B} such that there is a Polish topology on X so that \mathcal{B} is the σ -algebra of Borel sets in this topology.

Example: If X is a Polish space and $B \subset X$ is a Borel set, then the subspace B with the inherited Borel structure is standard Borel.

More concrete example: $V =$ the vector space over \mathbb{Q} of all finitely supported sequences of rational numbers.

$\mathcal{B}_0 =$ norms on V . On \mathcal{B}_0 we consider a Polish topology inherited from \mathbb{R}^V .

$\mathcal{B} =$ norms for which the canonical extension to c_{00} is still a norm.

Standard Borel space: A set X with σ -algebra \mathcal{B} such that there is a Polish topology on X so that \mathcal{B} is the σ -algebra of Borel sets in this topology.

Example: If X is a Polish space and $B \subset X$ is a Borel set, then the subspace B with the inherited Borel structure is standard Borel.

More concrete example: $V =$ the vector space over \mathbb{Q} of all finitely supported sequences of rational numbers.

$\mathcal{B}_0 =$ norms on V . On \mathcal{B}_0 we consider a Polish topology inherited from \mathbb{R}^V .

$\mathcal{B} =$ norms for which the canonical extension to c_{00} is still a norm.

\mathcal{B} is standard Borel space (Borel subset of \mathcal{B}_0).

Standard Borel space: A set X with σ -algebra \mathcal{B} such that there is a Polish topology on X so that \mathcal{B} is the σ -algebra of Borel sets in this topology.

Example: If X is a Polish space and $B \subset X$ is a Borel set, then the subspace B with the inherited Borel structure is standard Borel.

More concrete example: $V =$ the vector space over \mathbb{Q} of all finitely supported sequences of rational numbers.

$\mathcal{B}_0 =$ norms on V . On \mathcal{B}_0 we consider a Polish topology inherited from \mathbb{R}^V .

$\mathcal{B} =$ norms for which the canonical extension to c_{00} is still a norm.

\mathcal{B} is standard Borel space (Borel subset of \mathcal{B}_0).

Every $\nu \in \mathcal{B}$ is then a code for an infinite-dimensional Banach space X_ν which is the completion of (V, ν) .

Standard Borel space: A set X with σ -algebra \mathcal{B} such that there is a Polish topology on X so that \mathcal{B} is the σ -algebra of Borel sets in this topology.

Example: If X is a Polish space and $B \subset X$ is a Borel set, then the subspace B with the inherited Borel structure is standard Borel.

More concrete example: $V =$ the vector space over \mathbb{Q} of all finitely supported sequences of rational numbers.

$\mathcal{B}_0 =$ norms on V . On \mathcal{B}_0 we consider a Polish topology inherited from \mathbb{R}^V .

$\mathcal{B} =$ norms for which the canonical extension to c_{00} is still a norm.

\mathcal{B} is standard Borel space (Borel subset of \mathcal{B}_0).

Every $\nu \in \mathcal{B}$ is then a code for an infinite-dimensional Banach space X_ν which is the completion of (V, ν) .

We refer to \mathcal{B} as to the “(standard Borel) space of all infinite-dimensional Banach spaces”.

Standard Borel space: A set X with σ -algebra \mathcal{B} such that there is a Polish topology on X so that \mathcal{B} is the σ -algebra of Borel sets in this topology.

Example: If X is a Polish space and $B \subset X$ is a Borel set, then the subspace B with the inherited Borel structure is standard Borel.

More concrete example: $V =$ the vector space over \mathbb{Q} of all finitely supported sequences of rational numbers.

$\mathcal{B}_0 =$ norms on V . On \mathcal{B}_0 we consider a Polish topology inherited from \mathbb{R}^V .

$\mathcal{B} =$ norms for which the canonical extension to c_{00} is still a norm.

\mathcal{B} is standard Borel space (Borel subset of \mathcal{B}_0).

Every $\nu \in \mathcal{B}$ is then a code for an infinite-dimensional Banach space X_ν which is the completion of (V, ν) .

We refer to \mathcal{B} as to the “(standard Borel) space of all infinite-dimensional Banach spaces”.

Example (equivalence relation): \cong_L is an equivalence relation on \mathcal{B} defined as $\nu \cong_L \mu$ iff X_ν is linearly isometric with X_μ .

Standard Borel space: A set X with σ -algebra \mathcal{B} such that there is a Polish topology on X so that \mathcal{B} is the σ -algebra of Borel sets in this topology.

Example: If X is a Polish space and $B \subset X$ is a Borel set, then the subspace B with the inherited Borel structure is standard Borel.

More concrete example: $V =$ the vector space over \mathbb{Q} of all finitely supported sequences of rational numbers.

$\mathcal{B}_0 =$ norms on V . On \mathcal{B}_0 we consider a Polish topology inherited from \mathbb{R}^V .

$\mathcal{B} =$ norms for which the canonical extension to c_{00} is still a norm.

\mathcal{B} is standard Borel space (Borel subset of \mathcal{B}_0).

Every $\nu \in \mathcal{B}$ is then a code for an infinite-dimensional Banach space X_ν which is the completion of (V, ν) .

We refer to \mathcal{B} as to the “(standard Borel) space of all infinite-dimensional Banach spaces”.

Example (equivalence relation): \cong_L is an equivalence relation on \mathcal{B} defined as $\nu \cong_L \mu$ iff X_ν is linearly isometric with X_μ .

Definition

Let X, Y be standard Borel spaces and let E, F equivalence relations on X, Y respectively. E is said to be *Borel reducible* to F , if there is a Borel mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that for every $a, b \in X$ we have $aEb \Leftrightarrow f(a)Ff(b)$. We denote it by

$$E \leq_B F.$$

We say that E and F are *Borel bireducible* if $E \leq_B F$ and $F \leq_B E$. We denote it by

$$E \sim_B F.$$

\mathcal{M} =space of all infinite Polish metric spaces

\mathcal{B} =space of all infinite-dimensional Banach spaces

Definition

Let X, Y be standard Borel spaces and let E, F equivalence relations on X, Y respectively. E is said to be *Borel reducible* to F , if there is a Borel mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that for every $a, b \in X$ we have $aEb \Leftrightarrow f(a)Ff(b)$. We denote it by

$$E \leq_B F.$$

We say that E and F are *Borel bireducible* if $E \leq_B F$ and $F \leq_B E$. We denote it by

$$E \sim_B F.$$

\mathcal{M} =space of all infinite Polish metric spaces

\mathcal{B} =space of all infinite-dimensional Banach spaces

By the Mazur-Ulam theorem, we have $\cong_L \leq_B \cong_i$.

Definition

Let X, Y be standard Borel spaces and let E, F equivalence relations on X, Y respectively. E is said to be *Borel reducible* to F , if there is a Borel mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that for every $a, b \in X$ we have $aEb \Leftrightarrow f(a)Ff(b)$. We denote it by

$$E \leq_B F.$$

We say that E and F are *Borel bireducible* if $E \leq_B F$ and $F \leq_B E$. We denote it by

$$E \sim_B F.$$

\mathcal{M} =space of all infinite Polish metric spaces

\mathcal{B} =space of all infinite-dimensional Banach spaces

By the Mazur-Ulam theorem, we have $\cong_L \leq_B \cong_i$.

It is even known (Melleray 2007) that $\cong_L \sim_B \cong_i$.

Gromov-Hausdorff distance:

- for $A, B \subseteq M$ two non-empty subsets of a metric space M , the *Hausdorff distance* between A and B is

$$\rho_H^M(A, B) := \max\{\sup_{a \in A} d(a, B), \sup_{b \in B} d(A, b)\}.$$

Gromov-Hausdorff distance:

- for $A, B \subseteq M$ two non-empty subsets of a metric space M , the *Hausdorff distance* between A and B is

$$\rho_H^M(A, B) := \max\{\sup_{a \in A} d(a, B), \sup_{b \in B} d(A, b)\}.$$

- *Gromov-Hausdorff distance* between metric spaces M, N is

$$\rho_{GH}(M, N) := \inf_{\substack{\iota_M: M \hookrightarrow X \\ \iota_N: N \hookrightarrow X}} \rho_H^X(\iota_M(M), \iota_N(N)).$$

Gromov-Hausdorff distance:

- for $A, B \subseteq M$ two non-empty subsets of a metric space M , the *Hausdorff distance* between A and B is

$$\rho_H^M(A, B) := \max\{\sup_{a \in A} d(a, B), \sup_{b \in B} d(A, b)\}.$$

- *Gromov-Hausdorff distance* between metric spaces M, N is

$$\rho_{GH}(M, N) := \inf_{\substack{\iota_M: M \hookrightarrow X \\ \iota_N: N \hookrightarrow X}} \rho_H^X(\iota_M(M), \iota_N(N)).$$

Equivalence relation E_{GH} :

$$E_{GH} = \{(d, e) \in \mathcal{M} : \rho_{GH}(M_d, M_e) = 0\}.$$

Gromov-Hausdorff distance:

- for $A, B \subseteq M$ two non-empty subsets of a metric space M , the *Hausdorff distance* between A and B is

$$\rho_H^M(A, B) := \max\{\sup_{a \in A} d(a, B), \sup_{b \in B} d(A, b)\}.$$

- *Gromov-Hausdorff distance* between metric spaces M, N is

$$\rho_{GH}(M, N) := \inf_{\substack{\iota_M: M \hookrightarrow X \\ \iota_N: N \hookrightarrow X}} \rho_H^X(\iota_M(M), \iota_N(N)).$$

Equivalence relation E_{GH} :

$$E_{GH} = \{(d, e) \in \mathcal{M} : \rho_{GH}(M_d, M_e) = 0\}.$$

Denote by $\mathcal{M}^1 \subset \mathcal{M}$ the space of metric spaces with distances bounded by 1.

Gromov-Hausdorff distance:

- for $A, B \subseteq M$ two non-empty subsets of a metric space M , the *Hausdorff distance* between A and B is

$$\rho_H^M(A, B) := \max\{\sup_{a \in A} d(a, B), \sup_{b \in B} d(A, b)\}.$$

- *Gromov-Hausdorff distance* between metric spaces M, N is

$$\rho_{GH}(M, N) := \inf_{\substack{\iota_M: M \hookrightarrow X \\ \iota_N: N \hookrightarrow X}} \rho_H^X(\iota_M(M), \iota_N(N)).$$

Equivalence relation E_{GH} :

$$E_{GH} = \{(d, e) \in \mathcal{M} : \rho_{GH}(M_d, M_e) = 0\}.$$

Denote by $\mathcal{M}^1 \subset \mathcal{M}$ the space of metric spaces with distances bounded by 1.

Recent result (Ben Yaacov, Doucha, Nies, Tsankov 2017):

For every $d \in \mathcal{M}^1$, the set $\{e \in \mathcal{M}^1 : \rho_{GH}(M_d, M_e) = 0\}$ is Borel.

Gromov-Hausdorff distance:

- for $A, B \subseteq M$ two non-empty subsets of a metric space M , the *Hausdorff distance* between A and B is

$$\rho_H^M(A, B) := \max\{\sup_{a \in A} d(a, B), \sup_{b \in B} d(A, b)\}.$$

- *Gromov-Hausdorff distance* between metric spaces M, N is

$$\rho_{GH}(M, N) := \inf_{\substack{\iota_M: M \hookrightarrow X \\ \iota_N: N \hookrightarrow X}} \rho_H^X(\iota_M(M), \iota_N(N)).$$

Equivalence relation E_{GH} :

$$E_{GH} = \{(d, e) \in \mathcal{M} : \rho_{GH}(M_d, M_e) = 0\}.$$

Denote by $\mathcal{M}^1 \subset \mathcal{M}$ the space of metric spaces with distances bounded by 1.

Recent result (Ben Yaacov, Douçha, Nies, Tsankov 2017):

For every $d \in \mathcal{M}^1$, the set $\{e \in \mathcal{M}^1 : \rho_{GH}(M_d, M_e) = 0\}$ is Borel.

Question 1: Is for every $d \in \mathcal{M}^1$ the function $\rho_{GH}(M_d, \cdot)$ Borel on \mathcal{M}^1 ?

Gromov-Hausdorff distance:

- for $A, B \subseteq M$ two non-empty subsets of a metric space M , the *Hausdorff distance* between A and B is

$$\rho_H^M(A, B) := \max\{\sup_{a \in A} d(a, B), \sup_{b \in B} d(A, b)\}.$$

- *Gromov-Hausdorff distance* between metric spaces M, N is

$$\rho_{GH}(M, N) := \inf_{\substack{\iota_M: M \hookrightarrow X \\ \iota_N: N \hookrightarrow X}} \rho_H^X(\iota_M(M), \iota_N(N)).$$

Equivalence relation E_{GH} :

$$E_{GH} = \{(d, e) \in \mathcal{M} : \rho_{GH}(M_d, M_e) = 0\}.$$

Denote by $\mathcal{M}^1 \subset \mathcal{M}$ the space of metric spaces with distances bounded by 1.

Recent result (Ben Yaacov, Douçha, Nies, Tsankov 2017):

For every $d \in \mathcal{M}^1$, the set $\{e \in \mathcal{M}^1 : \rho_{GH}(M_d, M_e) = 0\}$ is Borel.

Question 1: Is for every $d \in \mathcal{M}^1$ the function $\rho_{GH}(M_d, \cdot)$ Borel on \mathcal{M}^1 ?

Question 2: Is $E_{GH}|_{\mathcal{M}^1} \leq_B \cong_i$?

Kadets distance between Banach spaces X, Y is

$$\rho_K(X, Y) = \inf_{\substack{\iota_X: X \hookrightarrow Z \\ \iota_Y: Y \hookrightarrow Z}} \rho_H^Z(\iota_X(B_X), \iota_Y(B_Y)),$$

where ι_X and ι_Y are linear isometric embeddings into a Banach space.

Kadets distance between Banach spaces X, Y is

$$\rho_K(X, Y) = \inf_{\substack{\iota_X: X \hookrightarrow Z \\ \iota_Y: Y \hookrightarrow Z}} \rho_H^Z(\iota_X(B_X), \iota_Y(B_Y)),$$

where ι_X and ι_Y are linear isometric embeddings into a Banach space.

Equivalence relation E_K :

$$E_K = \{(\mu, \nu) \in \mathcal{B} : \rho_K(X_\mu, X_\nu) = 0\}.$$

Kadets distance between Banach spaces X, Y is

$$\rho_K(X, Y) = \inf_{\substack{\iota_X: X \hookrightarrow Z \\ \iota_Y: Y \hookrightarrow Z}} \rho_H^Z(\iota_X(B_X), \iota_Y(B_Y)),$$

where ι_X and ι_Y are linear isometric embeddings into a Banach space.

Equivalence relation E_K :

$$E_K = \{(\mu, \nu) \in \mathcal{B} : \rho_K(X_\mu, X_\nu) = 0\}.$$

Recent result (Ben Yaacov, Doucha, Nies, Tsankov 2017):

For every $\mu \in \mathcal{B}$, the set $\{\nu \in \mathcal{B} : \rho_K(X_\mu, X_\nu) = 0\}$ is Borel.

Kadets distance between Banach spaces X, Y is

$$\rho_K(X, Y) = \inf_{\substack{\iota_X: X \hookrightarrow Z \\ \iota_Y: Y \hookrightarrow Z}} \rho_H^Z(\iota_X(B_X), \iota_Y(B_Y)),$$

where ι_X and ι_Y are linear isometric embeddings into a Banach space.

Equivalence relation E_K :

$$E_K = \{(\mu, \nu) \in \mathcal{B} : \rho_K(X_\mu, X_\nu) = 0\}.$$

Recent result (Ben Yaacov, Doucha, Nies, Tsankov 2017):

For every $\mu \in \mathcal{B}$, the set $\{\nu \in \mathcal{B} : \rho_K(X_\mu, X_\nu) = 0\}$ is Borel.

Analogue of question 1: Is for every $\mu \in \mathcal{B}$ the function $\rho_K(\mu, \cdot)$ Borel on \mathcal{B} ?

Kadets distance between Banach spaces X, Y is

$$\rho_K(X, Y) = \inf_{\substack{\iota_X: X \hookrightarrow Z \\ \iota_Y: Y \hookrightarrow Z}} \rho_H^Z(\iota_X(B_X), \iota_Y(B_Y)),$$

where ι_X and ι_Y are linear isometric embeddings into a Banach space.

Equivalence relation E_K :

$$E_K = \{(\mu, \nu) \in \mathcal{B} : \rho_K(X_\mu, X_\nu) = 0\}.$$

Recent result (Ben Yaacov, Doucha, Nies, Tsankov 2017):

For every $\mu \in \mathcal{B}$, the set $\{\nu \in \mathcal{B} : \rho_K(X_\mu, X_\nu) = 0\}$ is Borel.

Analogue of question 1: Is for every $\mu \in \mathcal{B}$ the function $\rho_K(\mu, \cdot)$ Borel on \mathcal{B} ?

Analogue of question 2: Is $E_K \leq_B \cong_i$?

Definition

Let X be a standard Borel space. A pseudometric $\rho : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ on X is called *an analytic pseudometric*, if for every $r > 0$ the set $\{(x, y) \in X^2 : \rho(x, y) < r\}$ is analytic.

Definition

Let X be a standard Borel space. A pseudometric $\rho : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ on X is called *an analytic pseudometric*, if for every $r > 0$ the set $\{(x, y) \in X^2 : \rho(x, y) < r\}$ is analytic.

- every analytic equivalence relation is induced by an analytic pseudometric

Definition

Let X be a standard Borel space. A pseudometric $\rho : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ on X is called *an analytic pseudometric*, if for every $r > 0$ the set $\{(x, y) \in X^2 : \rho(x, y) < r\}$ is analytic.

- every analytic equivalence relation is induced by an analytic pseudometric
- $\rho_{GH}(d, e) := \rho_{GH}(M_d, M_e)$ is analytic pseudometric on \mathcal{M}

Definition

Let X be a standard Borel space. A pseudometric $\rho : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ on X is called *an analytic pseudometric*, if for every $r > 0$ the set $\{(x, y) \in X^2 : \rho(x, y) < r\}$ is analytic.

- every analytic equivalence relation is induced by an analytic pseudometric
- $\rho_{GH}(d, e) := \rho_{GH}(M_d, M_e)$ is analytic pseudometric on \mathcal{M}
- Banach-Mazur and Kadets distances are analytic pseudometrics on \mathcal{B}

Definition

Let X be a standard Borel space. A pseudometric $\rho : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ on X is called *an analytic pseudometric*, if for every $r > 0$ the set $\{(x, y) \in X^2 : \rho(x, y) < r\}$ is analytic.

- every analytic equivalence relation is induced by an analytic pseudometric
- $\rho_{GH}(d, e) := \rho_{GH}(M_d, M_e)$ is analytic pseudometric on \mathcal{M}
- Banach-Mazur and Kadets distances are analytic pseudometrics on \mathcal{B}
- *Lipschitz distance* between metric spaces M, N is

$$\rho_L(M, N) = \log \inf \{ \max \{ \text{Lip}(T), \text{Lip}(T^{-1}) \} : T : M \rightarrow N \text{ is bi-Lipschitz} \}.$$

Definition

Let X be a standard Borel space. A pseudometric $\rho : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ on X is called *an analytic pseudometric*, if for every $r > 0$ the set $\{(x, y) \in X^2 : \rho(x, y) < r\}$ is analytic.

- every analytic equivalence relation is induced by an analytic pseudometric
- $\rho_{GH}(d, e) := \rho_{GH}(M_d, M_e)$ is analytic pseudometric on \mathcal{M}
- Banach-Mazur and Kadets distances are analytic pseudometrics on \mathcal{B}
- *Lipschitz distance* between metric spaces M, N is

$$\rho_L(M, N) = \log \inf \{ \max \{ \text{Lip}(T), \text{Lip}(T^{-1}) \} : T : M \rightarrow N \text{ is bi-Lipschitz} \}.$$

The Lipschitz distance is analytic pseudometric on both \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{B} .

Definition

Let X, Y be standard Borel spaces and let ρ_X , resp. ρ_Y be analytic pseudometrics on X , resp. on Y .

Definition

Let X, Y be standard Borel spaces and let ρ_X , resp. ρ_Y be analytic pseudometrics on X , resp. on Y . We say that ρ_X is *Borel-uniformly continuous reducible* to ρ_Y , $\rho_X \leq_{B,u} \rho_Y$ in symbols, if there exists a Borel function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that,

Definition

Let X, Y be standard Borel spaces and let ρ_X , resp. ρ_Y be analytic pseudometrics on X , resp. on Y . We say that ρ_X is *Borel-uniformly continuous reducible* to ρ_Y , $\rho_X \leq_{B,u} \rho_Y$ in symbols, if there exists a Borel function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there are $\delta_X > 0$ and $\delta_Y > 0$ satisfying

$$\forall x, y \in X : \rho_X(x, y) < \delta_X \Rightarrow \rho_Y(f(x), f(y)) < \varepsilon$$

and

$$\forall x, y \in X : \rho_Y(f(x), f(y)) < \delta_Y \Rightarrow \rho_X(x, y) < \varepsilon.$$

Definition

Let X, Y be standard Borel spaces and let ρ_X , resp. ρ_Y be analytic pseudometrics on X , resp. on Y . We say that ρ_X is *Borel-uniformly continuous reducible* to ρ_Y , $\rho_X \leq_{B,u} \rho_Y$ in symbols, if there exists a Borel function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there are $\delta_X > 0$ and $\delta_Y > 0$ satisfying

$$\forall x, y \in X : \rho_X(x, y) < \delta_X \Rightarrow \rho_Y(f(x), f(y)) < \varepsilon$$

and

$$\forall x, y \in X : \rho_Y(f(x), f(y)) < \delta_Y \Rightarrow \rho_X(x, y) < \varepsilon.$$

In this case we say that f is a *Borel-uniformly continuous reduction*.

Definition

Let X, Y be standard Borel spaces and let ρ_X , resp. ρ_Y be analytic pseudometrics on X , resp. on Y . We say that ρ_X is *Borel-uniformly continuous reducible* to ρ_Y , $\rho_X \leq_{B,u} \rho_Y$ in symbols, if there exists a Borel function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there are $\delta_X > 0$ and $\delta_Y > 0$ satisfying

$$\forall x, y \in X : \rho_X(x, y) < \delta_X \Rightarrow \rho_Y(f(x), f(y)) < \varepsilon$$

and

$$\forall x, y \in X : \rho_Y(f(x), f(y)) < \delta_Y \Rightarrow \rho_X(x, y) < \varepsilon.$$

In this case we say that f is a *Borel-uniformly continuous reduction*. If $\rho_X \leq_{B,u} \rho_Y$ and $\rho_Y \leq_{B,u} \rho_X$, we say that ρ_X is *Borel-uniformly continuous bi-reducible* with ρ_Y and write $\rho_X \sim_{B,u} \rho_Y$.

Definition

Let X, Y be standard Borel spaces and let ρ_X , resp. ρ_Y be analytic pseudometrics on X , resp. on Y . We say that ρ_X is *Borel-uniformly continuous reducible* to ρ_Y , $\rho_X \leq_{B,u} \rho_Y$ in symbols, if there exists a Borel function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there are $\delta_X > 0$ and $\delta_Y > 0$ satisfying

$$\forall x, y \in X : \rho_X(x, y) < \delta_X \Rightarrow \rho_Y(f(x), f(y)) < \varepsilon$$

and

$$\forall x, y \in X : \rho_Y(f(x), f(y)) < \delta_Y \Rightarrow \rho_X(x, y) < \varepsilon.$$

In this case we say that f is a *Borel-uniformly continuous reduction*. If $\rho_X \leq_{B,u} \rho_Y$ and $\rho_Y \leq_{B,u} \rho_X$, we say that ρ_X is *Borel-uniformly continuous bi-reducible* with ρ_Y and write $\rho_X \sim_{B,u} \rho_Y$.

- note that $\rho_X \leq_{B,u} \rho_Y$ implies the reducibility between the corresponding equivalence relations, i.e. $E_{\rho_X} \leq_B E_{\rho_Y}$.

A *correspondence* between A and B is a binary relation $\mathcal{R} \subseteq A \times B$ such that for every $a \in A$ there is $b \in B$ such that $a\mathcal{R}b$, and for every $b \in B$ there is $a \in A$ such that $a\mathcal{R}b$.

A *correspondence* between A and B is a binary relation $\mathcal{R} \subseteq A \times B$ such that for every $a \in A$ there is $b \in B$ such that $a\mathcal{R}b$, and for every $b \in B$ there is $a \in A$ such that $a\mathcal{R}b$.

Lemma

Let M and N be two metric spaces. For every $r > 0$ we have $\rho_{GH}(M, N) < r$ if and only if there exists a correspondence \mathcal{R} between M and N such that $\sup |d_M(m, m') - d_N(n, n')| < 2r$, where the supremum is taken over all $m, m' \in M$ and $n, n' \in N$ with $m\mathcal{R}n$ and $m'\mathcal{R}n'$.

A *correspondence* between A and B is a binary relation $\mathcal{R} \subseteq A \times B$ such that for every $a \in A$ there is $b \in B$ such that $a\mathcal{R}b$, and for every $b \in B$ there is $a \in A$ such that $a\mathcal{R}b$.

Lemma

Let M and N be two metric spaces. For every $r > 0$ we have $\rho_{GH}(M, N) < r$ if and only if there exists a correspondence \mathcal{R} between M and N such that $\sup |d_M(m, m') - d_N(n, n')| < 2r$, where the supremum is taken over all $m, m' \in M$ and $n, n' \in N$ with $m\mathcal{R}n$ and $m'\mathcal{R}n'$.

For two metrics on natural numbers $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we consider the relation

$$f \simeq_\varepsilon g \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \exists \pi \in \mathcal{S}_\infty \forall \{n, m\} \in [\mathbb{N}]^2 : |f(\pi(n), \pi(m)) - g(n, m)| \leq \varepsilon.$$

A *correspondence* between A and B is a binary relation $\mathcal{R} \subseteq A \times B$ such that for every $a \in A$ there is $b \in B$ such that $a\mathcal{R}b$, and for every $b \in B$ there is $a \in A$ such that $a\mathcal{R}b$.

Lemma

Let M and N be two metric spaces. For every $r > 0$ we have $\rho_{GH}(M, N) < r$ if and only if there exists a correspondence \mathcal{R} between M and N such that $\sup |d_M(m, m') - d_N(n, n')| < 2r$, where the supremum is taken over all $m, m' \in M$ and $n, n' \in N$ with $m\mathcal{R}n$ and $m'\mathcal{R}n'$.

For two metrics on natural numbers $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we consider the relation

$$f \simeq_\varepsilon g \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \exists \pi \in \mathcal{S}_\infty \forall \{n, m\} \in [\mathbb{N}]^2 : |f(\pi(n), \pi(m)) - g(n, m)| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Lemma

Let $p > 0$ be a real number. For any two metrics on natural numbers $f, g \in \mathcal{M}_p$ we have $\rho_{GH}(f, g) = \inf\{r : f \simeq_{2r} g\}$ provided that $\rho_{GH}(f, g) < p/2$.

Lemma

Let X and Y be separable Banach spaces, let $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be linearly independent and linearly dense sequences in X and Y , respectively, and put $V = \mathbb{Q} \operatorname{span}\{e_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, $W = \mathbb{Q} \operatorname{span}\{f_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Lemma

Let X and Y be separable Banach spaces, let $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be linearly independent and linearly dense sequences in X and Y , respectively, and put $V = \mathbb{Q} \operatorname{span}\{e_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, $W = \mathbb{Q} \operatorname{span}\{f_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.
Then $\rho_{BM}(X, Y) < r$ if and only if there exists a surjective linear isomorphism $T : X \rightarrow Y$ with $\log \|T\| \|T^{-1}\| < r$ and $T(V) = W$.

Theorem

- *The following pseudometrics are mutually Borel-uniformly continuous bi-reducible: the **Gromov-Hausdorff distance** when restricted to Polish metric spaces, to metric spaces bounded from above, from below, from both above and below, to Banach spaces; the **Lipschitz distance** when restricted to metric spaces bounded from below and above, and to uniformly discrete metric spaces; the **Banach-Mazur distance**; the **Kadets distance** on Banach spaces; the **Hausdorff-Lipschitz and net distances** on Banach spaces.*
- *The pseudometrics above are Borel-uniformly continuous reducible to the **uniform** and **Lipschitz** distances on Banach spaces.*

Theorem

Let ρ be any pseudometric to which the Kadets distance is Borel-uniformly continuous reducible (e.g. the Kadets distance itself, or the Gromov-Hausdorff distance). Then there are elements A from the domain of ρ such that the function $\rho(A, \cdot)$ is not Borel.

Theorem

Let ρ be any pseudometric to which the Kadets distance is Borel-uniformly continuous reducible (e.g. the Kadets distance itself, or the Gromov-Hausdorff distance). Then there are elements A from the domain of ρ such that the function $\rho(A, \cdot)$ is not Borel.

Sketch of the proof:

$\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ = all subsets of \mathbb{N} endowed with the coarsest topology for which $\{A \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) : n \in A\}$ is clopen for every n (a copy of the Cantor space $2^{\mathbb{N}}$).

Theorem

Let ρ be any pseudometric to which the Kadets distance is Borel-uniformly continuous reducible (e.g. the Kadets distance itself, or the Gromov-Hausdorff distance). Then there are elements A from the domain of ρ such that the function $\rho(A, \cdot)$ is not Borel.

Sketch of the proof:

$\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ = all subsets of \mathbb{N} endowed with the coarsest topology for which $\{A \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) : n \in A\}$ is clopen for every n (a copy of the Cantor space $2^{\mathbb{N}}$).

$K(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}))$ = all compact subsets of $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ endowed by the Vietoris topology.

Theorem

Let ρ be any pseudometric to which the Kadets distance is Borel-uniformly continuous reducible (e.g. the Kadets distance itself, or the Gromov-Hausdorff distance). Then there are elements A from the domain of ρ such that the function $\rho(A, \cdot)$ is not Borel.

Sketch of the proof:

$\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ = all subsets of \mathbb{N} endowed with the coarsest topology for which $\{A \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) : n \in A\}$ is clopen for every n (a copy of the Cantor space $2^{\mathbb{N}}$).

$K(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}))$ = all compact subsets of $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ endowed by the Vietoris topology.

Theorem (Hurewicz)

The set

$$\mathfrak{H} = \left\{ \mathcal{A} \in K(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})) : \mathcal{A} \text{ contains an infinite set} \right\}$$

is not Borel.

Proposition

Let us consider the space

$$X = \left(\bigoplus G_n \right)_{\ell_1},$$

where G_1, G_2, \dots is a dense sequence of finite-dimensional spaces. Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a Borel mapping $\mathfrak{G} : K(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that

- (a) if $\mathcal{A} \in K(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}))$ contains an infinite set, then $\rho_{BM}(\mathfrak{G}(\mathcal{A}), X) \leq \varepsilon$, and thus $\rho_K(\mathfrak{G}(\mathcal{A}), X) \leq \varepsilon$,
- (b) if $\mathcal{A} \in K(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}))$ consists of finite sets only, then $\mathfrak{G}(\mathcal{A})$ contains a normalized 1-separated shrinking basic sequence, and thus $\rho_K(\mathfrak{G}(\mathcal{A}), X) \geq 1/8$.

Proposition

Let us consider the space

$$X = \left(\bigoplus G_n \right)_{\ell_1},$$

where G_1, G_2, \dots is a dense sequence of finite-dimensional spaces. Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a Borel mapping $\mathfrak{S} : K(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that

- (a) if $\mathcal{A} \in K(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}))$ contains an infinite set, then $\rho_{BM}(\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{A}), X) \leq \varepsilon$, and thus $\rho_K(\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{A}), X) \leq \varepsilon$,
- (b) if $\mathcal{A} \in K(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}))$ consists of finite sets only, then $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{A})$ contains a normalized 1-separated shrinking basic sequence, and thus $\rho_K(\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{A}), X) \geq 1/8$.

Sketch of the proof:

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, we put $\theta = e^{-\varepsilon}$ and

$$X_{\mathcal{A}} = T[\mathcal{A}_1, \theta] \oplus_1 X, \quad \mathcal{A} \in K(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})),$$

Proposition

Let us consider the space

$$X = \left(\bigoplus G_n \right)_{\ell_1},$$

where G_1, G_2, \dots is a dense sequence of finite-dimensional spaces. Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a Borel mapping $\mathfrak{G} : K(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that

- (a) if $\mathcal{A} \in K(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}))$ contains an infinite set, then $\rho_{BM}(\mathfrak{G}(\mathcal{A}), X) \leq \varepsilon$, and thus $\rho_K(\mathfrak{G}(\mathcal{A}), X) \leq \varepsilon$,
- (b) if $\mathcal{A} \in K(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}))$ consists of finite sets only, then $\mathfrak{G}(\mathcal{A})$ contains a normalized 1-separated shrinking basic sequence, and thus $\rho_K(\mathfrak{G}(\mathcal{A}), X) \geq 1/8$.

Sketch of the proof:

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, we put $\theta = e^{-\varepsilon}$ and

$$X_{\mathcal{A}} = T[\mathcal{A}_1, \theta] \oplus_1 X, \quad \mathcal{A} \in K(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})),$$

where $\mathcal{A}_1 = \{A \cup \{1\} : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$. We check that $X_{\mathcal{A}}$ satisfies the requirements (a) and (b) on $\mathfrak{G}(\mathcal{A})$.