Almost Kähler 4-manifolds of Constant Holomorphic Sectional Curvature are Kähler

M. UPMEIER

JOINT WORK WITH

M. Lejmi

Luminy, January 2018

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆注▶ ◆注▶ 注 のへで

Preliminaries

Definition

An almost Kähler manifold (M, ω, g, J) is equipped with

$$\omega \in \Omega^2(M), \quad J \colon TM o TM, \quad g ext{ metric}$$

such that

$$d\omega = 0, \quad J^2 = -1, \quad \omega = g(J \cdot, \cdot).$$

Preliminaries

Definition

An almost Kähler manifold (M, ω, g, J) is equipped with

$$\omega \in \Omega^2(M), \quad J \colon TM \to TM, \quad g \text{ metric}$$

such that

$$d\omega = 0, \quad J^2 = -1, \quad \omega = g(J \cdot, \cdot).$$

Definition

The Hermitian connection is

$$\nabla_X Y \coloneqq D_X^g Y \underbrace{-\frac{1}{2} J(D_X^g J) Y}_{A_X Y =}.$$

- $\nabla g = 0$, $\nabla J = 0$, but ∇ may have torsion.
- *M* Kähler $\iff \nabla = D^g$.

Statement of Result

The Hermitian holomorphic sectional curvature is

$$H(X) \coloneqq R^{\nabla}_{X,JX,X,JX}, \quad X \in TM, |X| = 1.$$

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

Statement of Result

The Hermitian holomorphic sectional curvature is

$$H(X) \coloneqq R^{
abla}_{X,JX,X,JX}, \quad X \in TM, |X| = 1.$$

Theorem (U.–Lejmi, 2017)

Let M be a closed almost Kähler 4-manifold of globally constant Hermitian holomorphic sectional curvature $k \ge 0$.

Then M is Kähler–Einstein, holomorphically isometric to: $(k > 0) \mathbb{C}P^2$ with the Fubini–Study metric. (k = 0) a complex torus or a hyperelliptic curve with the Ricci-flat Kähler metric.

Similar result for k < 0 under assumption that Ricci is *J*-invariant.



Balas–Gauduchon 1985 Any Hermitian metric of constant nonpositive (Hermitian) holomorphic sectional curvature on a compact complex surface is Kähler

 Balas–Gauduchon 1985 Any Hermitian metric of constant nonpositive (Hermitian) holomorphic sectional curvature on a compact complex surface is Kähler
 Gray–Vanhecke 1979 (Classification of) Almost Hermitian manifolds with constant holomorphic sectional curvature.

 Balas–Gauduchon 1985 Any Hermitian metric of constant nonpositive (Hermitian) holomorphic sectional curvature on a compact complex surface is Kähler
 Gray–Vanhecke 1979 (Classification of) Almost Hermitian manifolds with constant holomorphic sectional curvature.

Sekigawa 1985 On some 4-dimensional compact Einstein almost Kähler manifolds.

Balas–Gauduchon 1985 Any Hermitian metric of constant nonpositive (Hermitian) holomorphic sectional curvature on a compact complex surface is Kähler Gray–Vanhecke 1979 (Classification of) Almost Hermitian

manifolds with constant holomorphic sectional curvature.

Sekigawa 1985 On some 4-dimensional compact Einstein almost Kähler manifolds.

Armstrong 1997 On four-dimensional almost Kähler manifolds.

Pointwise Implications (Algebraic Bianchi)

M⁴ closed almost Kähler

Proposition

Pointwise constant holomorphic sectional curvature H = k is equivalent to

1. $W^{-} = 0$

2. $*\rho = r$ for two Ricci contractions of R^{∇} . Moreover,

$$u \coloneqq \frac{\mathsf{Scal}^g}{12} \le \frac{k}{2}$$

with equality if and only if M is Kähler.

Use

$$R_{XYZW}^{\nabla} = R_{XYZW}^{g} + \underbrace{g((\nabla_X A_Y - \nabla_Y A_X - A_{[X,Y]})Z, W)}_{\alpha \in \Lambda^2 \otimes \Lambda^{2,0+0,2}} - \underbrace{g([A_X, A_Y]Z, W)}_{\beta \in \Lambda^{1,1} \otimes \mathbb{C} \cdot F}.$$

Use

$$R_{XYZW}^{\nabla} = R_{XYZW}^{g} + \underbrace{g((\nabla_{X}A_{Y} - \nabla_{Y}A_{X} - A_{[X,Y]})Z, W)}_{\alpha \in \Lambda^{2} \otimes \Lambda^{2,0+0,2}} - \underbrace{g([A_{X}, A_{Y}]Z, W)}_{\beta \in \Lambda^{1,1} \otimes \mathbb{C} \cdot F}.$$

Play off the symmetries of R^g against the assumption on R^{∇} (which gives it a special form).

$$R^{g} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{C}F & \Lambda^{2,0} \oplus \Lambda^{0,2} & \Lambda^{1,1}_{0} \\ \frac{d \cdot g & W_{F}^{+}}{(W_{F}^{+})^{T} & W_{00}^{+} + \frac{c}{2}g} & R_{00} \\ \hline R_{F}^{T} & R_{00}^{T} & W^{-} + \frac{\mathrm{Scal}^{g}}{12}g \end{bmatrix}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Use

$$R_{XYZW}^{\nabla} = R_{XYZW}^{g} + \underbrace{g((\nabla_X A_Y - \nabla_Y A_X - A_{[X,Y]})Z, W)}_{\alpha \in \Lambda^2 \otimes \Lambda^{2,0+0,2}} - \underbrace{g([A_X, A_Y]Z, W)}_{\beta \in \Lambda^{1,1} \otimes \mathbb{C} \cdot F}.$$

Play off the symmetries of R^g against the assumption on R^{∇} (which gives it a special form).

$$R^{\nabla} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{C}F & \Lambda^{2,0} \oplus \Lambda^{0,2} & \Lambda_0^{1,1} \\ \frac{\frac{5c}{2}g}{2}g & 0 & * \\ \frac{???}{*} & 0 & ??? \\ \hline & & 0 & * \end{bmatrix}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Use

$$R_{XYZW}^{\nabla} = R_{XYZW}^{g} + \underbrace{g((\nabla_X A_Y - \nabla_Y A_X - A_{[X,Y]})Z, W)}_{\alpha \in \Lambda^2 \otimes \Lambda^{2,0+0,2}} - \underbrace{g([A_X, A_Y]Z, W)}_{\beta \in \Lambda^{1,1} \otimes \mathbb{C} \cdot F}.$$

Play off the symmetries of R^g against the assumption on R^{∇} (which gives it a special form).

$$R^{\nabla} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{C}F & \Lambda^{2,0} \oplus \Lambda^{0,2} & \Lambda_0^{1,1} \\ \frac{\underline{s_C}}{2}g & 0 & R_F \\ (W_F^+)^T & 0 & R_{00} \\ \hline -R_F^T & 0 & \frac{\underline{s_g}}{12}g \end{bmatrix}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Outline of Proof of Main Theorem II

Global Impliciations

From the differential Bianchi identity:

Proposition

Let M be a closed almost Kähler 4-manifold of pointwise constant holomorphic sectional curvature k. Then

$$\int_{M} |R_{00}|^{2} = \int_{M} |W_{F}^{+}|^{2} + |W_{00}^{+}|^{2} + 4(5k - 7\nu)(k - 2\nu)$$
(1)
$$\chi = \frac{-1}{8\pi^{2}} \int_{M} |W_{00}^{+}|^{2} + (60\nu^{2} - 72k\nu + 18k^{2})$$
(2)
$$\frac{3}{2}\sigma = \frac{1}{8\pi^{2}} \int_{M} 2|W_{F}^{+}|^{2} + |W_{00}^{+}|^{2} + 6(2k - 3\nu)^{2} \ge 0$$
(3)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Recall: $v := \frac{\text{Scal}^g}{12} = \frac{k}{2}$ implies Kähler.

Outline of Proof of Main Theorem III

Corollary (Signature zero case)

Let M be closed almost Kähler 4-manifold of pointwise constant holomorphic sectional curvature k. Suppose $\sigma = 0$.

Then k = 0 and M is Kähler, with a Ricci-flat metric.

Outline of Proof of Main Theorem III

Corollary (Signature zero case)

Let M be closed almost Kähler 4-manifold of pointwise constant holomorphic sectional curvature k. Suppose $\sigma = 0$.

Then k = 0 and M is Kähler, with a Ricci-flat metric.

Corollary ('Reverse' Bogomolov–Miyaoka–Yau inequality) If M is closed almost Kähler of globally constant holomorphic sectional curvature $k \ge 0$, then for the Euler characteristic

$$3\sigma \geq \chi$$
.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Equality holds if and only if M is Kähler–Einstein.

Theorem

 M^4 closed almost Kähler of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $k \ge 0$. Then M is Kähler.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Theorem

 M^4 closed almost Kähler of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $k \ge 0$. Then M is Kähler.

Proof.

Suppose that *M* is <u>not</u> Kähler: $v < \frac{k}{2}$ somewhere.

Theorem

 M^4 closed almost Kähler of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $k \ge 0$. Then M is Kähler.

Proof.

• Suppose that *M* is <u>not</u> Kähler: $v < \frac{k}{2}$ somewhere.

$$\int_M c_1(TM) \cup \omega = \int_M \frac{s_C}{2\pi} = \underbrace{\int_M \frac{3k}{2\pi}}_{\geq 0} + \int_M \frac{k-2\nu}{2\pi} > 0.$$

Theorem

 M^4 closed almost Kähler of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $k \ge 0$. Then M is Kähler.

Proof.

• Suppose that *M* is <u>not</u> Kähler: $v < \frac{k}{2}$ somewhere.

$$\int_M c_1(TM) \cup \omega = \int_M \frac{s_C}{2\pi} = \underbrace{\int_M \frac{3k}{2\pi}}_{\geq 0} + \int_M \frac{k-2\nu}{2\pi} > 0.$$

• SW-theory \implies *M* symplectom. to ruled surface or $\mathbb{C}P^2$

Theorem

 M^4 closed almost Kähler of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $k \ge 0$. Then M is Kähler.

Proof.

• Suppose that *M* is <u>not</u> Kähler: $v < \frac{k}{2}$ somewhere.

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} c_1(TM) \cup \omega = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{s_C}{2\pi} = \underbrace{\int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{3k}{2\pi}}_{\geq 0} + \int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{k-2\nu}{2\pi} > 0.$$

• SW-theory \implies *M* symplectom. to ruled surface or $\mathbb{C}P^2$

•
$$M = \mathbb{C}P^2$$
 has $3\sigma = \chi$.

Theorem

 M^4 closed almost Kähler of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $k \ge 0$. Then M is Kähler.

Proof.

• Suppose that *M* is <u>not</u> Kähler: $v < \frac{k}{2}$ somewhere.

$$\int_M c_1(TM) \cup \omega = \int_M \frac{s_C}{2\pi} = \underbrace{\int_M \frac{3k}{2\pi}}_{\geq 0} + \int_M \frac{k-2\nu}{2\pi} > 0.$$

• SW-theory $\implies M$ symplectom. to ruled surface or $\mathbb{C}P^2$

•
$$M = \mathbb{C}P^2$$
 has $3\sigma = \chi$.

• *M* rational
$$\implies \sigma \leq 0 \implies \sigma = 0$$
.

Theorem

 M^4 closed almost Kähler of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $k \ge 0$. Then M is Kähler.

Proof.

• Suppose that *M* is <u>not</u> Kähler: $v < \frac{k}{2}$ somewhere.

$$\int_M c_1(TM) \cup \omega = \int_M \frac{s_C}{2\pi} = \underbrace{\int_M \frac{3k}{2\pi}}_{\geq 0} + \int_M \frac{k-2\nu}{2\pi} > 0.$$

• SW-theory \implies *M* symplectom. to ruled surface or $\mathbb{C}P^2$

•
$$M = \mathbb{C}P^2$$
 has $3\sigma = \chi$.

- *M* rational $\implies \sigma \leq 0 \implies \sigma = 0$.
- By previous propositions, '=' implies Kähler, contradiction!