

H^∞ -calculus for the Stokes operator on bounded Lipschitz domains

Peer Kunstmann

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT)
Institute for Analysis

joint work with Lutz Weis (KIT)

25 April 2018

Outline of the talk

1. The Stokes operator in bounded Lipschitz domains
2. Result on H^∞ -calculus
3. The proof
 - (a) Conditions on Littlewood-Paley operators
 - (b) Verifying assumptions in L^q
 - (c) Verifying assumptions in L^2
4. Applications

1. The Stokes operator in bounded Lipschitz domains

Navier-Stokes equations on $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ bounded Lipschitz

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u - \Delta u + (u \cdot \nabla)u + \nabla p &= 0, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} u &= 0, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ u &= 0, & \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega, \\ u(0, \cdot) &= u_0, & \text{in } \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

1. The Stokes operator in bounded Lipschitz domains

Navier-Stokes equations on $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ bounded Lipschitz

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u - \Delta u + (u \cdot \nabla)u + \nabla p &= 0, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} u &= 0, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ u &= 0, & \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega, \\ u(0, \cdot) &= u_0, & \text{in } \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Apply Helmholtz projection \mathbb{P} onto divergence-free vector fields

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u - \mathbb{P}\Delta u + \mathbb{P}(u \cdot \nabla)u &= 0, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ u &= 0, & \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega, \\ u(0, \cdot) &= u_0 = \mathbb{P}u_0, & \text{in } \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Stokes operator A_2 in L^2

For $q \in (1, \infty)$ let

$$\begin{aligned} L_\sigma^q(\Omega) &:= \overline{\{\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)^d : \operatorname{div} \varphi = 0\}}^{\|\cdot\|_q} \\ &= \{u \in L^q(\Omega)^d : \operatorname{div} u = 0, \nu \cdot u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\} \end{aligned}$$

The Stokes operator A_2 in $L_\sigma^2(\Omega)$ is associated with the form

$$a(u, v) = \int_\Omega \nabla u \cdot \overline{\nabla v} \, dx$$

defined on

$$H_{0,\sigma}^1(\Omega) := \overline{\{\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)^d : \operatorname{div} \varphi = 0\}}^{\|\cdot\|_{H^1}} = H_0^1(\Omega)^d \cap L_\sigma^2(\Omega).$$

Compare: $-\Delta_D^D$ in $L^2(\Omega)^d$ is associated with a defined on $H_0^1(\Omega)^d$.

Stokes operator in L^2 (cont.)

Then

- $A_2 \geq 0$ is self adjoint in $L^2_\sigma(\Omega)$,
- e^{-zA_2} is an exponentially stable analytic semigroup on $\{\operatorname{Re} z > 0\}$,
- A_2 has a functional calculus for bounded Borel functions on $[0, \infty)$,
- $D(A_2^{1/2}) = H^1_{0,\sigma}(\Omega)$,

Stokes operator in L^2 (cont.)

Then

- $A_2 \geq 0$ is self adjoint in $L^2_\sigma(\Omega)$,
- e^{-zA_2} is an exponentially stable analytic semigroup on $\{\operatorname{Re} z > 0\}$,
- A_2 has a functional calculus for bounded Borel functions on $[0, \infty)$,
- $D(A_2^{1/2}) = H^1_{0,\sigma}(\Omega)$,
- $D(A_2^{s/2}) = H^s_\sigma(\Omega) := H^s(\Omega)^d \cap L^2_\sigma(\Omega)$ for $s \in (0, 1/2)$
 → Mitrea Monniaux 2008.

Similar for $-\Delta_2^D$ in $L^2(\Omega)^d$. In particular

- $D((-\Delta_2^D)^{s/2}) = H^s(\Omega)^d$ for $s \in (0, 1/2)$.

Stokes operator in L^2 (cont.)

Question: Is $A_2 = -\mathbb{P}_2 \Delta_2^D$ with $D(A_2) = D(\Delta_2^D) \cap L_\sigma^2(\Omega)$?

Not quite.

However, for $\widetilde{A}_2 : H_{0,\sigma}^1(\Omega) \rightarrow (H_{0,\sigma}^1(\Omega))^*$ we have (Monniaux 2006)

$$\widetilde{A}_2 = -\widetilde{P}_2 \widetilde{\Delta}_2^D J_2, \quad \text{where}$$

$$J_2 : H_{0,\sigma}^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H_0^1(\Omega)^d \quad \text{inclusion,}$$

$$\widetilde{P}_2 = J_2^* : (H_0^1(\Omega)^d)^* \rightarrow (H_{0,\sigma}^1(\Omega))^* \quad \text{restriction.}$$

Then

$$D(A_2) = \{u \in H_0^1(\Omega)^d \cap L_\sigma^2(\Omega) : \exists \phi \in L^2(\Omega) : \underbrace{-\Delta u + \nabla \phi}_{=A_2 u} \in L_\sigma^2(\Omega)\}.$$

Helmholtz decomposition in L^q

For $q = 2$ we have the *Helmholtz* (or *Leray*) *decomposition*

$$L^2(\Omega)^d = L^2_\sigma(\Omega) \oplus \nabla H^1(\Omega) \quad (\text{orthogonal})$$

with *Helmholtz projection* $\mathbb{P}_2 : L^2(\Omega)^d \rightarrow L^2_\sigma(\Omega)$.

Helmholtz decomposition in L^q

For $q = 2$ we have the *Helmholtz* (or *Leray*) *decomposition*

$$L^2(\Omega)^d = L^2_\sigma(\Omega) \oplus \nabla H^1(\Omega) \quad (\text{orthogonal})$$

with *Helmholtz projection* $\mathbb{P}_2 : L^2(\Omega)^d \rightarrow L^2_\sigma(\Omega)$.

For $q \neq 2$

$$L^q(\Omega)^d = L^q_\sigma(\Omega) \oplus \nabla W^{1,q}(\Omega)$$

holds if

- $d \geq 3$ and $q \in (\frac{3+\varepsilon}{2+\varepsilon}, 3 + \varepsilon)$ (Fabes Mendez Mitrea 1998)
- $d = 2$ and $q \in (\frac{4+\varepsilon}{3+\varepsilon}, 4 + \varepsilon)$ (D. Mitrea 2002)

where $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\Omega)$ and $\varepsilon = \infty$ for $\partial\Omega \in C^1$.

Stokes operator in L^q : Shen's result

Theorem (Shen 2012)

For any $\theta \in (\pi/2, \pi)$ there exists $\varepsilon > 0$, only depending on d , θ and the Lipschitz character of Ω , such that for

$$\left| \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2} \right| < \frac{1}{2d} + \varepsilon$$

there is a constant $C_{q,\theta}$ satisfying

$$\|(\lambda + A_q)^{-1} f\|_{L^q} \leq \frac{C_{q,\theta}}{|\lambda| + 1} \|f\|_{L^q}, \quad \lambda \in \Sigma_\theta, f \in L^q_\sigma(\Omega),$$

where $C_{q,\theta}$ only depends on d, q, θ and Lipschitz character of Ω .

2. Result on H^∞ -calculus

Theorem 1 (K. Weis 2017)

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ where $d \geq 3$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain. Then there exists $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\Omega) > 0$ such that, for

$$\left| \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2} \right| < \frac{1}{2d} + \varepsilon,$$

the Stokes operator A_q has a bounded H^∞ -calculus in $L^q_\sigma(\Omega)$. For these q we have $D(A_q^\alpha) = D((-\Delta_q^D)^\alpha) \cap L^q_\sigma(\Omega)$ for

$$|\alpha| < \frac{1}{2} - \left(\frac{1}{d} + 2\varepsilon \right)^{-1} \left| \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q} \right|.$$

3. The proof: (a) Conditions on Littlewood-Paley operators

H^∞ -calculus characterized by Littlewood-Paley estimates like

$$\|x\|_{L^q} \sim \left\| \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\varphi(2^n A)x|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^q}, \quad x \in L^q(U),$$

McIntosh 1986; CDMY 1996; Kalton Weis 2001

Optimal angle is the angle of (almost) R -sectoriality,

McIntosh 1986, Kalton Weis 2001, Kalton K. Weis 2006.

Idea: Transfer H^∞ -calculus from an operator B to an (almost) R -sectorial operator A via Littlewood-Paley square functions

Kalton K. Weis 2006.

Conditions on Littlewood-Paley operators: Result

Theorem 2 (K. Weis 2017)

Let B have a bounded $H^\infty(\Sigma_\sigma)$ -calculus on a Banach space X and let A be an (almost) R -sectorial operator in X . Assume that there are functions $\varphi, \psi \in H_0^\infty(\Sigma_\nu) \setminus \{0\}$ where $\nu > \sigma$ such that, for some $\beta > 0$ and all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\sup_{1 \leq s, t \leq 2} \mathcal{R}\{\varphi(s2^{j+k}A)\psi(t2^jB) : j \in \mathbb{Z}\} \leq C2^{-\beta|k|}, \quad (1)$$

$$\sup_{1 \leq s, t \leq 2} \mathcal{R}\{\varphi(s2^{j+k}A)'\psi(t2^jB)'\} : j \in \mathbb{Z}\} \leq C2^{-\beta|k|}. \quad (2)$$

Then A has a bounded H^∞ -calculus on X .

Conditions on Littlewood-Paley operators: Basic idea for $X = L^p$

Assume $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi^2(2^n \lambda) = 1$ for all λ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| \left(\sum_n |\varphi(2^n A)x|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p} \\
 &= \left\| \left(\sum_n |\varphi(2^n A) \left[\sum_m \psi^2(2^{n+m} B)x \right]|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p} \\
 &\leq \sum_m \left\| \left(\sum_n |\varphi(2^n A) \psi(2^{n+m} B) \psi(2^{n+m} B)x|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p}
 \end{aligned}$$

Conditions on Littlewood-Paley operators: Basic idea for $X = L^p$

Assume $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi^2(2^n \lambda) = 1$ for all λ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| \left(\sum_n |\varphi(2^n A)x|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p} \\
 &= \left\| \left(\sum_n |\varphi(2^n A) \left[\sum_m \psi^2(2^{n+m} B)x \right]|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p} \\
 &\leq \sum_m \left\| \left(\sum_n |\varphi(2^n A) \psi(2^{n+m} B) \psi(2^{n+m} B)x|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p} \\
 &= \sum_m \left\| \left(\sum_n |\varphi(2^{n-m} A) \psi(2^n B) \psi(2^n B)x|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p}
 \end{aligned}$$

Conditions on Littlewood-Paley operators: Basic idea for $X = L^p$

Assume $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi^2(2^n \lambda) = 1$ for all λ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| \left(\sum_n |\varphi(2^n A)x|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p} \\
 &= \left\| \left(\sum_n |\varphi(2^n A) \left[\sum_m \psi^2(2^{n+m} B)x \right]|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p} \\
 &\leq \sum_m \left\| \left(\sum_n |\varphi(2^n A) \psi(2^{n+m} B) \psi(2^{n+m} B)x|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p} \\
 &= \sum_m \left\| \left(\sum_n |\varphi(2^{n-m} A) \psi(2^n B) \psi(2^n B)x|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p} \\
 &\leq C \left(\sum_m 2^{-\beta|m|} \right) \left\| \left(\sum_n |\psi(2^n B)x|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p} \lesssim C' \|x\|_{L^p}.
 \end{aligned}$$



Conditions on Littlewood-Paley operators: Complemented subspaces

Theorem 2' (K. Weis 2017)

Let X and Y be Banach spaces. Let $R : Y \rightarrow X$ and $S : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfy $RS = I_X$. Let B have a bounded $H^\infty(\Sigma_\sigma)$ -calculus in Y and let A be (almost) R -sectorial in X . Assume that there are functions $\varphi, \psi \in H_0^\infty(\Sigma_\nu) \setminus \{0\}$ where $\nu > \sigma$ such that for some $\beta > 0$

$$\sup_{1 \leq s, t \leq 2} \mathcal{R}\{\varphi(s2^{j+k}A)R\psi(t2^jB) : j \in \mathbb{Z}\} \leq C2^{-\beta|k|} \quad (3)$$

$$\sup_{1 \leq s, t \leq 2} \mathcal{R}\{\varphi(s2^{j+k}A)'S'\psi(t2^jB)'\} : j \in \mathbb{Z}\} \leq C2^{-\beta|k|}. \quad (4)$$

Then A has a bounded H^∞ -calculus on X .

Conditions on Littlewood-Paley operators: How to get (3)?

Condition (3) holds if

$$R(D(B^\alpha)) \subseteq D(A^\alpha), \quad \|A^\alpha R y\|_X \leq C \|B^\alpha y\|_Y, \quad (5)$$

for $\alpha = \alpha_1, \alpha_2$ where $\alpha_1 < 0 < \alpha_2$.

Conditions on Littlewood-Paley operators: How to get (3)?

Condition (3) holds if

$$R(D(B^\alpha)) \subseteq D(A^\alpha), \quad \|A^\alpha R y\|_X \leq C \|B^\alpha y\|_Y, \quad (5)$$

for $\alpha = \alpha_1, \alpha_2$ where $\alpha_1 < 0 < \alpha_2$.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi(t2^{j+k}A)R\psi(s2^jB) \\ &= \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^\alpha 2^{\alpha k} (t2^{j+k})^{-\alpha} A^{-\alpha} \varphi(t2^{j+k}A) [A^\alpha R B^{-\alpha}] (s2^j)^\alpha B^\alpha \psi(s2^jB) \\ &= \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^\alpha 2^{\alpha k} \tilde{\varphi}(t2^{j+k}A) M \tilde{\psi}(s2^jB), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\varphi}(z) = z^{-\alpha}\varphi(z)$, $\tilde{\psi}(z) = z^\alpha\psi(z)$ are in H_0^∞ □

How to get (3)? (cont.)

Recall

$$\sup_{1 \leq s, t \leq 2} \mathcal{R}\{\varphi(s2^{j+k}A)R\psi(t2^jB) : j \in \mathbb{Z}\} \leq C2^{-\beta|k|}. \quad (3)$$

Now let $X = L^q_\sigma(\Omega)$, $Y = L^q(\Omega)^d$, $R = \mathbb{P}$. Assume

- condition (3) holds for $q = q_0$ and $\beta = 0$ (automatic),
- condition (3) holds for $q = 2$ and $\beta > 0$ (can use (5) for that).

By interpolation, (3) holds for q between 2 and q_0 and some $\beta > 0$.

How to get (3)? (cont.)

Recall

$$\sup_{1 \leq s, t \leq 2} \mathcal{R}\{\varphi(s2^{j+k}A)R\psi(t2^jB) : j \in \mathbb{Z}\} \leq C2^{-\beta|k|}. \quad (3)$$

Now let $X = L^q_\sigma(\Omega)$, $Y = L^q(\Omega)^d$, $R = \mathbb{P}$. Assume

- condition (3) holds for $q = q_0$ and $\beta = 0$ (automatic),
- condition (3) holds for $q = 2$ and $\beta > 0$ (can use (5) for that).

By interpolation, (3) holds for q between 2 and q_0 and some $\beta > 0$.

For the proof of Theorem 1 we thus need

- A_q is R -sectorial in $L^q_\sigma(\Omega)$ for the stated range of q ,
- $\mathbb{P} : H^s(\Omega)^d \rightarrow H^s_\sigma(\Omega)$ and projection in $H^s(\Omega)^d$ for $|s| > 0$ small.

The proof: (b) Verifying assumptions in L^q

Proposition 3 (K. Weis 2017, Tolksdorf 2017)

For any $\theta \in (\pi/2, \pi)$ there exists $\varepsilon > 0$, only depending on d , θ and the Lipschitz character of Ω , such that for

$$\left| \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2} \right| < \frac{1}{2d} + \varepsilon$$

there exists a constant $\tilde{C}_{q,\theta}$, only depending on d , q , θ and Lipschitz character of Ω , such that

$$\mathcal{R}\{(|\lambda| + 1)(\lambda + A_q)^{-1} : \lambda \in \Sigma_\theta\} \leq \tilde{C}_{q,\theta},$$

where the R -bound is taken for operators $L_\sigma^q(\Omega) \rightarrow L_\sigma^q(\Omega)$.

Sketch of proof (Proposition)

Proceed as in Shen 2012:

- $q > 2$ suffices (by self duality)
- use Shen's extrapolation lemma:
needs estimate $L^2 \rightarrow L^q$ for the resolvent problem
- pass through L^2 -estimates for boundary value problems
- $L^2 \rightarrow L^q$ -estimate just needed for a single operator

Extend this to square functions!



The proof: (c) Verifying assumptions in L^2

Proposition (Mitrea Monniaux 2008)

For $|s| < \frac{1}{2}$, the Helmholtz projection \mathbb{P} acts as a bounded linear projection P_s in $H^s(\Omega)^d$ and yields the decomposition

$$H^s(\Omega)^d = H_\sigma^s(\Omega) \oplus \nabla H^{s+1}(\Omega)$$

as a topological direct sum.

The proof uses arguments from Fabes Mendez Mitrea 1998 and

$$\mathbb{P}u = u - \nabla \operatorname{div} \Pi_\Omega(u) - \nabla \psi,$$

where Π_Ω is the Newton potential and ψ solves

$$\Delta \psi = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \nu} = \nu \cdot (u - \nabla \operatorname{div} \Pi_\Omega(u)).$$

4. Applications

Theorem (Tolksdorf 2018)

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ where $d \geq 3$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain. Then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that, for

$$\left| \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2} \right| < \frac{1}{2d} + \varepsilon,$$

the Stokes operator A_q satisfies

$$D(A_q^{1/2}) = W_{0,\sigma}^{1,q}(\Omega).$$

→ L^p - L^q -mapping properties and gradient estimates for semigroup

→ regularity results for Navier-Stokes equations complementing those by Mitrea, Monniaux 2008.