Introduction to Quantum Optics [Theory] quantum control perspective

Peter Zoller University of Innsbruck and IQOQI, Austrian Academy of Sciences

Lectures 1 + 2





Quantum Optical Systems & Control

Lectures 1+2: Isolated / Driven Hamiltonian quantum optical systems

- Basic systems & concepts of quantum optics an overview
- Example / Application: Ion Trap Quantum Computer

Lectures 3+4: *Open* quantum optical systems [a modern perspective]

- Continuous measurement theory, Quantum Stochastic Schrödinger Equation, master equation & quantum trajectories
- Illustrations / Applications [in quantum information]

Literature

The Quantum World of Ultra-Cold Atoms and Light:

Book I: Foundations of Quantum Optics Book II: The Physics of Quantum-Optical Devices Book III: Ultra-cold Atoms

by Crispin W Gardiner and Peter Zoller





Quantum Noise

A Handbook of Markovian and Non-Markovian Quantum Stochastic Methods with Applications to Quantum Optics

by Crispin W Gardiner and Peter Zoller



What is Quantum Optics?

... a Short Tour & Overview [Experiment + Theory]

- Quantum Properties of Light
- [Quantum] Interaction of Light & Matter

... a Few Examples

Ex 1: Quantum Computing with Trapped Ions

general purpose quantum computing

- quantum gates

- deterministic



• atomic physics: trapped ions

uibk



Exp.: Innsbruck, NIST, JQI, MIT, Mainz, MPQ ...

Ex 1: Quantum Computing with Trapped Ions

general purpose quantum computing



coherent Hamiltonian evolution

- quantum gates
- deterministic coherent control

• atomic physics: trapped ions

uibk



... in Lecture 1+2 we will go in detail over the underlying theory.

Ex 2: Atomic Hubbard Models - QSimulators

Quantum Many-Body

• Hubbard models etc.

$$\hat{H} = -\sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} J_{\alpha\beta} \hat{a}^{\dagger}_{\alpha} a_{\beta} + \frac{1}{2} U \sum_{\alpha} \hat{a}^{\dagger}_{\alpha} \hat{a}^{\dagger}_{\alpha} \hat{a}_{\alpha} \hat{a}_{\alpha}$$

Hubbard Hamiltonian

Bosons, Fermions

- strongly correlated system
- quantum phase transitions

Analog quantum simulation: "always on"

 We "build" a quantum system with desired Hamiltonian & controllable parameters, e.g. Hubbard models of atoms in optical lattices **Atomic Physics**

uibk

• atoms in optical lattices







From Artificial Quantum Matter to Real Materials

Quantum Regime $\lambda/d\gtrsim 1$



de Broglie Wavepackets

Universality of Quantum Mechanics!

Ultracold Quantum Matter

- Densities: 10¹⁴/cm³
- Temperatures: few nK



Same $\lambda/d!$

Real Materials

- Densities: 10²⁴-10²⁵/cm³
- Temperatures: mK several hundred K



(Neuchatel)

Engineered Atomic Many-Body Systems

Rydberg Spin-Models [as Quantum Simulator]

Hamiltonian Engineering

Rydberg states

$$Hamiltonian$$

$$H = \sum_{i} \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{i} \sigma_{x}^{i} - \sum_{i} \Delta_{i} n_{i} + \sum_{i < j} V_{ij} n_{i} n_{j}$$

$$\sigma_{x}^{i} = |g_{i}\rangle \langle r_{i}| + |r_{i}\rangle \langle g_{i}|$$

$$V_{ij} = C_{6}/r_{ij}^{6} \quad n_{i} = |r_{i}\rangle \langle r_{i}| = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sigma_{z}^{i})$$

uibk

Exp: Lukin-Greiner-Vuletic groups (Harvard - MIT), Browaeys (Palaiseau), Saffman (Wisconsin), Biedermann (Sandia)

Example: Trapped Ion Quantum Computer



Theory 1. Single Trapped Laser-Driven Ion

driven two-level atom in a harmonic oscillator trap



uibk

Engineer interesting quantum states?

Theory 2. Single Trapped Laser-Driven Ion

driven two-level atom in a harmonic oscillator trap



Ion Trap Quantum Computer ... as a Quantum Optical Problem

- single ion
 - Hamiltonians, examples for quantum state engineering
- many ions
 - Hamiltonians, entangling gate

Appendices: • quantum information: qubits, quantum gates etc.

• From real atoms to two-level atoms & Rabi oscillations

Side-Remarks

From Real Atoms to Two-Level Systems Rabi Oscillations

Two-Level Atom & Rabi Oscillations





Electric field of laser

$$\vec{E}_{cl}(\vec{x}=0,t) = \mathscr{E}\vec{\epsilon}e^{-i\omega t} + \mathscr{E}^*\vec{\epsilon}^*e^{+i\omega t}$$
$$\equiv \vec{E}_{cl}^{(+)}(0,t) + \vec{E}_{cl}^{(-)}(0,t)$$

Schrödinger Equation

$$i\hbar\frac{d}{dt}|\psi(t)\rangle = \left(H_{0A} - \vec{\mu}\cdot\vec{E}_{\rm cl}(\vec{x}=0,t)\right)|\psi(t)\rangle$$

Two-Level System (TLS)

keep $|\psi(t)\rangle = a_g(t)|g\rangle + a_e(t)|e\rangle$

resonant levels

Rotating Wave Approximation

 $\vec{\mu}\vec{E}_{cl}(0,t) \longrightarrow \vec{\mu}_{eg}\vec{\epsilon}\vec{E}_{cl}^{(+)}(0,t)|e\rangle\langle g| + \vec{\mu}_{ge}\vec{\epsilon}\vec{E}_{cl}^{(-)}(0,t)|g\rangle\langle e|$ absorption emission

Problem: We drive an atom near-resonant with a laser (no motion)

Two-Level Atom & Rabi Oscillations



Hamiltonian TLS + RWA

$$H = \hbar \omega_{eg} |e\rangle \langle e| - \vec{\mu}_{eg} \vec{\epsilon} \mathscr{E} e^{-i\omega t} |e\rangle \langle g| - \vec{\mu}_{ge} \vec{\epsilon} \mathscr{E}^* e^{i\omega t} |g\rangle \langle e|$$

absorption emission

Transformation to "rotating frame": $a_e(t) = \tilde{a}_e(t)e^{-i\omega t}$

$$i\frac{d}{dt}\left(\begin{array}{c}\tilde{a}_{e}\\a_{g}\end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc}-\Delta & -\vec{\mu}_{eg}\vec{\epsilon}\mathcal{E}\\-\vec{\mu}_{ge}\vec{\epsilon}^{*}\mathcal{E}^{*} & 0\end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c}\tilde{a}_{e}\\a_{g}\end{array}\right)$$

Parameters: Rabi frequency $\Omega_c \equiv 2\vec{\mu}_{eg}\vec{\epsilon}\mathcal{E}/\hbar \equiv \Omega e^{-i\varphi}$ and detuning $\Delta = \omega - \omega_{eg}$

Hamiltonian in "rotating frame"

$$\tilde{H} = -\hbar\Delta |e\rangle \langle e| -\frac{1}{2}\hbar\Omega e^{-i\varphi} |e\rangle \langle g| -\frac{1}{2}\hbar\Omega e^{+i\varphi} |g\rangle \langle e|$$

Validity Ω , $|\Delta| \ll \omega \approx \omega_{eg}$

Problem: We drive an atom near-resonant with a laser (no motion)

Two-Level Atom

Hamiltonian in "rotating frame"

$$\tilde{H} = -\hbar\Delta |e\rangle \langle e| -\frac{1}{2}\hbar\Omega e^{-i\varphi} |e\rangle \langle g| -\frac{1}{2}\hbar\Omega e^{+i\varphi} |g\rangle \langle e|$$

Discussion: on-resonance Rabi oscillations ($\Delta = 0$)

$$U_t = e^{-i\tilde{H}t/\hbar} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\frac{1}{2}\Omega t & -ie^{-i\varphi}\sin\frac{1}{2}\Omega t \\ -ie^{+i\varphi}\sin\frac{1}{2}\Omega t & \cos\frac{1}{2}\Omega t \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{e}$$

Examples:

• transition probability $g \rightarrow e$ $P_{e \leftarrow g}(t) = \frac{1}{2} [1 - \cos \Omega t]$

 π -pulse $\Omega t = \pi$ inverts the TLS

$$U_{t=\pi/\Omega} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -ie^{-i\varphi} \\ -ie^{+i\varphi} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$2\pi \text{ pulse} \qquad \qquad U_{t=2\pi/\Omega} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$|a_{e}(t)|^{2} |_{0.8} |_{0.6} |_{0.4} |_{0.2} |_{1} |_{2} |_{3} |_{4} |_{5} \Delta = 2\Omega$$

$$Rabi oscillations t$$



Quantum State Engineering & Quantum Computing with Trapped Ions



Model

Problem: We consider an ion moving in a trapping potential and interacting with laser light. The ion has internal degrees of freedom, the electronic excitation of the ion, and external degrees of freedom corresponding to the center of mass motion of the ion in the trap.



uibk

The System

system = internal + external degrees of freedom



Center of mass motion

We consider a single ion confined in a harmonic trap and interacting with one or several laser beams. We will assume that the lasers are directed along one of the principal axes of the harmonic potential. This assumption will simplify the problem, since it enables us to consider the ion motion in only one dimension. uibk

Hamiltonian describing the free motion of the ion in the trap

$$H_{T} = \frac{\hat{p}^{2}}{2M} + \frac{1}{2}Mv^{2}\hat{x}^{2}$$

$$\hat{x} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2Mv}} (a + a^{\dagger}), \quad \hat{p} = i\sqrt{\frac{\hbar Mv}{2}} (a^{\dagger} - a)$$

$$H_{T} = \hbar v a^{\dagger} a$$

$$H_{T} |n\rangle = \hbar v n |n\rangle \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, ...)$$

Remarks on the experimental situation:

- Experiment: typical trapping frequencies are 1 MHz to 10 MHz
- Using laser cooling one can cool to the vibrational ground state
 0> see later.

Electronic excitation

internal structure of the ion has the form of a two–level system $\{|g\rangle, |e\rangle\}$

Hamiltonian



Interaction Hamiltonian

The interaction Hamiltonian describing the excitation of the electron by the laser field of the form $-\vec{\mu} \cdot \vec{E}$ with \vec{E} the classical driving field evaluated at the center of mass position *x* of the ion (which becomes an operator \hat{x}).



traveling wave or a running wave along the x-direction,

$$\vec{E}(x,t) = \mathcal{E}\vec{e}e^{ikx-i\omega t} + \text{C. C.},$$

 $\vec{E}(x,t) = \mathcal{E}\vec{e}\sin(kx+\phi)e^{-i\omega t} + \text{C. C.}$

with wave vector $k = 2\pi/\lambda$. The parameter ϕ indicates the position of the ion in the standing wave, e.g. $\phi = 0$ at the node, $\phi = \pi/2$ at the antinode.

For a two-level atom and in the RWA this interaction Hamiltonian is of the form

$$H_{1} = \begin{cases} -\hbar \left(\frac{1}{2} \Omega e^{ik \cdot \hat{x} - i\omega t} | e \rangle \langle g | + \text{h. c.} \right) & \text{running wave} \\ -\hbar \left(\frac{1}{2} \Omega \sin(k \hat{x} + \phi) e^{-i\omega t} | e \rangle \langle g | + \text{h. c.} \right) & \text{standing wave} \end{cases},$$

Remarks:

 Excitation by the laser transfers the electron to the excited state. This transition is associated with a momentum transfer to the center of mass degrees of freedom. (Note: for a traveling wave this is a momentum kick

 $|g\rangle|p\rangle \xrightarrow{H_1} |e\rangle e^{ik\hat{x}}|p\rangle \equiv |e\rangle|p + \hbar k\rangle$

with $|p\rangle$ a momentum eigenstate). This opens the possibility of manipulating the center of mass motion of the ion via the laser.

• Lamb-Dicke parameter: we have two length scales, the size of the ground state a_0 and the wavelength of the light λ . The ratio



The case $\eta \ll 1$ corresponds to a tight trap. We call this the Lamb-Dicke regime.

Another interpretation of the Lamb-Dicke parameter

recoil energy $\epsilon_R := \hbar k^2/2M$ a few to a few tens of *kHz*

 $\eta = \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_R}{\hbar v}}$

Total Hamiltonian

• Traveling wave

$$H = \hbar v a^{\dagger} a - \hbar \Delta |e\rangle \langle e| - \left(\hbar \frac{1}{2} \Omega e^{i\eta(a+a^{\dagger})} |e\rangle \langle g| + h.c.\right)$$

• Standing wave

 $H = \hbar v a^{\dagger} a - \hbar \Delta |e\rangle \langle e| - \hbar \frac{1}{2} \Omega \sin(\eta (a + a^{\dagger}) + \phi) (|e\rangle \langle g| + |g\rangle \langle e|)$

The system Hilbert space is $\mathcal{H}_{TLS} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{L^2(R^1)}$

uibk

Energy spectrum eigenstates of the bare Hamiltonian H_0

ground state

 $H_0|g\rangle|n\rangle = n\hbar v|g\rangle|n\rangle,$

excited state

 $H_0|e\rangle|n\rangle = \hbar(\omega_{eg} + n\nu)|e\rangle|n\rangle$

. . .



vibrational spectrum + electronic excitation

Laser induced couplings in a traveling wave configuration

The matrix elements coupling the ground states $|g\rangle|n\rangle$ to the excited states $|e\rangle|m\rangle$ are for a running wave:

uibk

$$\langle m|\langle e|H_1|g\rangle|n\rangle = -\hbar\frac{1}{2}\Omega\langle m|e^{ik\cdot\hat{x}}|n\rangle = -\hbar\frac{1}{2}\Omega\langle m|e^{i\eta(a+a^{\dagger})}|n\rangle$$

In the Lamb Dicke limit $\eta \ll 1$ we can expand

 $e^{ik\cdot \hat{x}} \equiv e^{i\eta(a+a^{\dagger})} = 1 + i\eta(a+a^{\dagger}) + \dots$

• which in leading order gives the matrix elements

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2} \Omega \langle n | e^{ik \cdot \hat{x}} | n \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \Omega (1 + O(\eta^2)), \\ \langle n + 1 | e^{ik \cdot \hat{x}} | n \rangle &= i \frac{1}{2} \Omega (\eta \sqrt{n+1} + O(\eta^3)), \\ \langle n - 1 | e^{ik \cdot \hat{x}} | n \rangle &= i \frac{1}{2} \Omega (\eta \sqrt{n} + O(\eta^3)), \end{split}$$

(Remark: the real expansion parameter is not η but $\sqrt{n} \eta$)

 The Lamb-Dicke expansion of the traveling wave Hamiltonian is

> $H = \hbar v a^{\dagger} a - \hbar \Delta |e\rangle \langle e|$ $- \frac{\Omega}{2} \{ |e\rangle \langle g| [1 + i\eta (a + a^{\dagger}) + O(\eta^2)] + \text{h.c.} \}.$



dominant excitation of the bare transition $|g\rangle|n\rangle \rightarrow |e\rangle|n\rangle$ for $\Delta = \omega - \omega_{eg} \approx 0$ with Rabifrequency Ω

two motional sidebands $|g\rangle|n\rangle \rightarrow |e\rangle|n\pm 1\rangle$

excited for $\Delta \approx \omega - \omega_{eg} \approx \pm v$ with Rabi frequencies $\eta \Omega$

no excitation on the red sideband for the ground state $|g\rangle|0\rangle$

Limiting Hamiltonians:

• Laser on resonance $\Delta = \omega - \omega_{eg} \approx 0$ exciting $|g\rangle |n\rangle \rightarrow |e\rangle |n\rangle$:

When we tune the laser close to the atomic transition frequency, the transitions $|g\rangle|n\rangle \rightarrow |e\rangle|n\rangle$ will be excited, while for $\eta\Omega \ll v$ excitation of the sidebands $|g\rangle|n\rangle \rightarrow |e\rangle|n\pm 1\rangle$ is suppressed (because they are off resonant).



• Laser tuned to the lower motional sideband (red sideband) $\Delta \approx \omega - \omega_{eg} \approx -v$ corresponding to $|g\rangle|n\rangle \rightarrow |e\rangle|n-1\rangle$.

For $\Omega \ll v$ (a strong condition!) the bare atomic resonance is not excited.

The Hamiltonian is a Jaynes Cummings Hamiltonian with RWA

 $H = \hbar v a^{\dagger} a - \hbar \Delta |e\rangle \langle e| -i\eta \frac{\Omega}{2} \{ |e\rangle \langle g|a + h.c. \}$



• Laser tuned to the upper motional sideband (blue sideband) $\Delta \approx \omega - \omega_{eg} \approx +v$ corresponding to $|g\rangle|n\rangle \rightarrow |e\rangle|n+1\rangle$.

For $\Omega \ll v$ (a strong condition!) the bare atomic resonance is not excited.

. . .

The Hamiltonian is a "anti"-Jaynes Cummings Hamiltonian with RWA

 $H = \hbar v a^{\dagger} a - \hbar \Delta |e\rangle \langle e| -i\eta \frac{\Omega}{2} \{|e\rangle \langle g|a^{\dagger} + h.c.\}$



Quantum State Engineering

Statement of the problem

Apply unitary transformations to produce from a given initial (pure) state $|i\rangle$ (which we know how to prepare) a certain final state $|f\rangle$ (which we want to engineer - for whatever reason).

We thus must *design a Hamiltonian*, or a sequence of Hamiltonians, thus that the corresponding time evolution operators give

$$|f\rangle = U|i\rangle \equiv \dots U_2 U_1|i\rangle$$

In particular, one can ask the question how to engineer certain phonon (superposition) states



 $|e,2\rangle$

Specific relevant examples are:

- Fock states $|n\rangle$
- coherent states $|\alpha\rangle$
- squeezed states $|\alpha,\epsilon\rangle$
- Schrödinger cat states $|\alpha\rangle \pm |-\alpha\rangle$

Initial state: We need a pure state to start with. We assume that the ion can be prepared in the vibrational and atomic ground state using laser cooling techniques (see later).

$$|\Psi(t=0)\rangle = |g\rangle \otimes |0\rangle$$



Example 1

Starting from this state we can prepare any superposition

 $|g\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \rightarrow (\alpha |g\rangle + \beta |e\rangle) \otimes |0\rangle$

by applying an appropriate laser pulse on resonance



The vibrational states are not touched.

Example 2

We can convert an atomic superposition to a the same superposition of phonon states by applying π – laser pulse on the red transition: the state $|g\rangle \otimes |0\rangle$ is not coupled to the laser light, so that $|g\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \rightarrow |g\rangle \otimes |0\rangle$ while $|e\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \rightarrow |g\rangle \otimes |1\rangle$ so that

 $(\alpha |g\rangle + \beta |e\rangle) \otimes |0\rangle \rightarrow |g\rangle \otimes (\alpha |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle)$



Example 3

we can engineer an arbitrary superposition state of phonon states

$$|g\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \rightarrow |\Psi\rangle = |g\rangle \otimes \sum_{n=0}^{N} c_{n}|n\rangle$$

for given coefficients c_n .



- - -



Procedure: Applying a laser on the red sideband we couple the states $|g\rangle|n\rangle \leftrightarrow |e\rangle|n-1\rangle$.

As a first step we apply a π -pulse so that we make the amplitude of $|g\rangle|N\rangle$ equal to zero by transferring the amplitude c_N to $|e\rangle|N-1\rangle$. But we now have a superposition of ground and excited state.



In the second step we apply a resonant laser so that we transform the known! superposition of $|g\rangle|N-1\rangle$, $|e\rangle|N-1\rangle$ to $|g\rangle|N-1\rangle$ with no amplitude left in $|e\rangle|N-1\rangle$. Now we repeat the argument until we have transformed the state to $|g\rangle|0\rangle$.



In the second step we apply a resonant laser so that we transform the known! superposition of $|g\rangle|N-1\rangle$, $|e\rangle|N-1\rangle$ to $|g\rangle|N-1\rangle$ with no amplitude left in $|e\rangle|N-1\rangle$. Now we repeat the argument until we have transformed the state to $|g\rangle|0\rangle$.

The inverse transformation produces the desired state starting from the ground state.

lons in a linear trap

The above model is readily extended to describe a string of N ions in a linear trap

uibk

A linear trap corresponds to a confinement of the motion along x, y and z directions in an (anisotropic) harmonic potential of frequencies

 $v \equiv v_x \ll v_y, v_z.$



The equilibrium position of the ions will be given by the confining forces of the trapping potential balancing the Coulomb repulsion between the ions.

If the ions have been previously laser cooled in all three dimensions they undergo small oscillations around these equilibrium position. In this case, the motion of the ions is described in terms of normal modes.





1D model for two ions in a linear trap



$$H = \frac{\hat{p}_{1}^{2}}{2M} + \frac{1}{2}Mv^{2}\hat{x}_{1}^{2} + \frac{\hat{p}_{2}^{2}}{2M} + \frac{1}{2}Mv^{2}\hat{x}_{2}^{2} + \frac{e^{2}}{4\pi\epsilon_{0}|\hat{x}_{1} - \hat{x}_{2}|} + \hbar\omega_{1eg}|e\rangle_{11}\langle e| + \hbar\omega_{2eg}|e\rangle_{22}\langle e| + \left[\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{1}(t)e^{ik\hat{x}_{1} - i\omega t}|e\rangle_{11}\langle g| + \text{h.c.}\right] + \left[\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{2}(t)e^{ik\hat{x}_{2} - i\omega t}|e\rangle_{22}\langle g| + \text{h.c.}\right]$$



1D model for two ions in a linear trap



center-of-mass (COM) and relative coordinates

$$\hat{X} = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{x}_1 + \hat{x}_2) \qquad \hat{x}_1 = \hat{X} - \frac{1}{2}\hat{x} \\ \hat{x} = \hat{x}_2 - \hat{x}_1 \qquad \hat{x}_2 = \hat{X} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{x}$$

$$H = \left[\frac{\hat{P}^{2}}{2(2M)} + \frac{1}{2}(2M)v^{2}\hat{X}^{2}\right] + \left[\frac{\hat{P}^{2}}{2(M/2)} + \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}M)v^{2}\hat{x}^{2} + \frac{e^{2}}{4\pi\epsilon_{0}|\hat{x}|}\right] \\ + \hbar\omega_{1eg}|e\rangle_{11}\langle e| + \hbar\omega_{2eg}|e\rangle_{22}\langle e| \\ + \left[\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{1}(t)e^{ik\hat{X}}e^{-ik\frac{1}{2}\hat{x}}e^{-i\omega t}|e\rangle_{11}\langle g| + \text{h.c.}\right] + \left[\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{2}(t)e^{ik\hat{X}}e^{+ik\frac{1}{2}\hat{x}}e^{-i\omega t}|e\rangle_{22}\langle g| + \text{h.c.}\right]$$



center of mass mode

stretchmode

u

for N ions the separation remains with increasing N

 $\sqrt{3}\nu$

1D model for two ions in a linear trap



center-of-mass (COM) and relative coordinates

$$H = va_{cm}^{\dagger}a_{cm} + \sqrt{3} va_{r}^{\dagger}a_{r}$$

- $\Delta_{1}|e\rangle_{11}\langle e|-\Delta_{2}|e\rangle_{22}\langle e|$
+ $\left[\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{1}(t)e^{-i\eta_{cm}(a_{cm}+a_{cm}^{\dagger})}e^{-i\eta_{r}(a_{r}+a_{r}^{\dagger})}|e\rangle_{11}\langle g|+h.c.\right]$
+ $\left[\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{2}(t)e^{-i\eta_{cm}(a_{cm}+a_{cm}^{\dagger})}e^{+i\eta_{r}(a_{r}+a_{r}^{\dagger})}|r\rangle_{22}\langle g|+h.c.\right].$

 ${\cal V}$

 $\sqrt{3}\nu$



for N ions the separation remains with increasing N

Background Material

Basic Quantum Computing



• quantum computing



Quantum Memory



• Qubit:



 $\alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_2$

superposition

• Quantum register

N spin-1/2 systems

 $|\Psi\rangle = c_{000}|000\rangle + c_{001}|001\rangle + \ldots + c_{111}|111\rangle$

entangled state

-quantum parallelism

- -interference of computational paths
- (+ cleverness) = quantum algorithms

Quantum Gates

$$|\psi_{in}\rangle \longrightarrow |\psi_{out}\rangle = \hat{U}|\psi_{in}\rangle$$
 with U unitary

• Single qubit gate





 \hat{U}_1 = rotation of a single qubit





 A general unitary transformation can be decomposed into single bit rotations and a universal two-bit quantum gate



• state measurement



Ion Trap Quantum Computer '95

• Cold ions in a linear trap



State vector

Qubits: internal atomic states

1-qubit gates: addressing ions with a laser

2-qubit gates: entanglement via exchange of phonons of quantized collective mode

$$|\Psi
angle = \sum c_x |x_{N-1}, \dots, x_0
angle_{at\,om} |0
angle_{phonor}$$
quantum register databus

- QC as a time sequence of laser pulses
- Read out by quantum jumps

Level scheme



Two-qubit phase gate

• step 1: swap first qubit to phonon



. . .

. . .





$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{U}_{m}^{\pi,0} \\ g\rangle_{m}|0\rangle & \longrightarrow & |g\rangle_{m}|0\rangle \\ r\rangle_{m}|0\rangle & \longrightarrow & -i|g\rangle_{m}|1\rangle \end{array}$$



• step 3: swap phonon back to first qubit



$$\begin{array}{cccc} & \hat{U}_{m}^{\pi,0} \\ & |g\rangle_{n}|0\rangle & \longrightarrow & |g\rangle_{m}|g\rangle_{n} \\ |g\rangle_{m} & |r\rangle_{n}|0\rangle & \longrightarrow & |g\rangle_{m}|r\rangle_{n} & |0\rangle \\ & & i|g\rangle_{n}|1\rangle & \longrightarrow & |r\rangle_{m}|g\rangle_{n} \\ & & -i|r\rangle_{n}|1\rangle & \longrightarrow & -|r\rangle_{m}|r\rangle_{n} \end{array}$$

summary: we have a phase gate between atom m and n



uibk

$$|\epsilon_1\rangle|\epsilon_2\rangle \to (-1)^{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2}|\epsilon_1\rangle|\epsilon_2\rangle \quad (\epsilon_{1,2}=0,1)$$

Rem.: this idea translates immediately to CQED

uibk

Quantum gates with ions: Nature March 2003

Realization of the Cirac–Zoller controlled-NOT quantum gate

Ferdinand Schmidt-Kaler, Hartmut Häffner, Mark Riebe, Stephan Gulde, Gavin P. T. Lancaster, Thomas Deuschle, Christoph Becher, Christian F. Roos, Jürgen Eschner & Rainer Blatt

Institut für Experimentalphysik, Universität Innsbruck, Technikerstraße 25, A-6020 Innsbruck, Austria

Experimental demonstration of a robust, high-fidelity geometric two ion-qubit phase gate

D. Leibfried*†, B. DeMarco*, V. Meyer*, D. Lucas*‡, M. Barrett*, J. Britton*, W. M. Itano*, B. Jelenković*§, C. Langer*, T. Rosenband* & D. J. Wineland*



truth table CNOT



Where we are today ...

Digital and Analog Quantum Simulation with Trapped Ions

Digital Quantum Simulation



idea: approximate time evolution by a stroboscopic sequence of gates



Real-time dynamics of lattice gauge the few-qubit quantum computer doi:10.1038/nat

Esteban A. Martinez¹*, Christine A. Muschik^{2,3}*, Philipp Schindler¹, Daniel Nigg¹, Alexander Erh Philipp Hauke^{2,3}, Marcello Dalmonte^{2,3}, Thomas Monz¹, Peter Zoller^{2,3} & Rainer Blatt^{1,2}





E. Martinez

C. Muschik

Schwinger pair production



ion trap quantum computer



Schwinger Model: 1+1D QED

$$\hat{H}_{\text{lat}} = -iw\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \left[\hat{\Phi}_n^{\dagger} e^{i\hat{\theta}_n} \hat{\Phi}_{n+1} - \text{h.c.} \right] + J\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \hat{L}_n^2 + m\sum_{n=1}^{N} (-1)^n \hat{\Phi}_n^{\dagger} \hat{\Phi}_n$$

Kogut-Susskind Hamiltonian (Wilson LGT)

Real-time dynamics of lattice gauge theories with a few-qubit quantum computer

Schwinger pair production



ion trap quantum computer



Digital Quantum Simulation of an Exotic Spin Model

- obtained after integrating gauge field

Analog Quantum Simulation



• spin models

$$H = \hbar \sum_{i,j} J_{ij} \sigma_x^{(i)} \sigma_x^{(j)} + \hbar B \sum_i \sigma_z^{(i)}$$

K. Kim, C. Monroe et al., Nature (2010)

P Jurcevic, BP Lanyon, R Blatt, C. Roos et al. (2014)

trapped ions



tunable range interaction

 We "build" a quantum system with desired Hamiltonian & controllable parameters, e.g. Hubbard models of atoms in optical lattices





 $H_{XY} = \sum_{i < j} J_{ij} (\sigma^+ \sigma^- + \sigma^- \sigma^+).$

- ✓ Light-cone-like spreading of
- ✓ breakdown of the quantum speedlimit due to long range interactions

[exp & theory indistinguishable]

Entanglement

25

spin 1&7

20

P Jurcevic, et al., PZ, R Blatt & CF Roos, Nature (2014); related work by C. Monroe group

64

30

deleted

Tomorrow ...



What is Quantum Optics?

... a Short Tour & Overview [Experiment + Theory]

- Quantum Properties of Light
- [Quantum] Interaction of Light & Matter

... a Few Examples

... measurements beyond the Standard Quantum Limit



Tutorial: J Huang et al., arxiv1308.6092

Ex 5: Cavity QED & Quantum Networks

CQED & light-matter interface



open quantum system

uibk

For a review see: P. Lodahl, A Rauschenbeutel, P.Z. et al., Nature 2017

Ex 5: Cavity QED & Quantum Networks

CQED & light-matter interface



Quantum State Transfer

fidelity of transfer ~ quantum control problem ... see Lecture 4

Ex 5: Cavity QED & Quantum Networks

CQED & light-matter interface



Quantum Networks

