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## Motivating example

- p quantitative phenotypic traits, measured across a population
- Mean $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$, covariance matrix $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$

Q: Suppose natural selection acts on one of the traits. What will be the distribution of this trait in the next generation? On the distribution of other traits?

## Motivating example

- pquantitative phenotypic traits, measured across a population
- Mean $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$, covariance matrix $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$

Q: Suppose natural selection acts on one of the traits. What will be the distribution of this trait in the next generation? On the distribution of other traits?

A: Depends on the "additive genetic component" of $\Sigma$

Can estimate genetic covariance using variance components models (Fisher 1918)

## Half-sib experiment

Sires
Dams

Offspring


One-way layout: $\quad n=I J$ individuals, $I$ groups of size $J$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
Y_{i j}=\mu+\alpha_{i}+\varepsilon_{i j} & \in \mathbb{R}^{p} \quad \text { (as row vector) } \\
\alpha_{i} \stackrel{i i d}{\sim} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \Sigma_{A}\right), & \text { group effect } \\
\varepsilon_{i j} \stackrel{i i d}{\sim} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \Sigma_{E}\right), & \text { residual error }
\end{array}
$$

Additive genetic covariance: $\quad G \approx 4 \Sigma_{A}$
[vs. phenotypic covariance: $\operatorname{Cov}(Y)=\Sigma_{A}+\Sigma_{E}$ ]

## Understanding $G$ for high-dimensional trait sets

Multivariate breeder's equation (Lande and Arnold 1983):

$$
\Delta \mu=G \beta
$$

- Leading principal components of $G$ indicate directions of strongest evolutionary response
- Rank of $G$ indicates effective dimensionality of evolution
- Sparsity of $G$ indicates extent of genetic correlations among traits


## MANOVA estimator for $\Sigma_{A} \quad[\propto G]$

Between-group and within-group "sums of squares": $\quad(p \times p)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
S S A & =J \sum_{i}\left(\bar{Y}_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)^{T}\left(\bar{Y}_{i}-\bar{Y}\right) & S S E & =\sum_{i, j}\left(Y_{i j}-\bar{Y}_{i}\right)^{T}\left(Y_{i j}-\bar{Y}_{i}\right) \\
& =Y^{T} P_{A} Y & & =Y^{T} P_{E} Y
\end{aligned}
$$

Standard MANOVA estimator:

$$
\hat{\Sigma}_{A}=\frac{1}{J}\left(\frac{1}{I-1} S S A-\frac{1}{n-l} S S E\right)=Y^{T} B Y
$$

for

$$
B=\tau_{A} P_{A}-\tau_{E} P_{E}
$$

NOT positive definite!

Estimation of genetic covariance $\Sigma_{A}$ quite different from 'phenotypic covariance' $n^{-1} Y^{T} Y$ (estimates $\left.\Sigma_{A}+\Sigma_{E}\right)$.

## Agenda

- Variance component models and quadratic estimators
- Bulk eigenvalue distributions
- Extreme eigenvalue distributions
- Spiked models: effect on $\hat{\Sigma}_{A}$

Theory is asymptotic ( $p, I \rightarrow \infty$ proportionally and $J$ fixed), using random matrix theory.

Simulations assess accuracy in finite samples.

## Multivariate variance component models

One way design $\quad Y_{i j}=\mu+\alpha_{i}+\epsilon_{i j} \quad$ is an example of:

$$
Y=X \beta+U_{1} \alpha_{1}+\ldots+U_{k-1} \alpha_{k-1}+\epsilon
$$

$X \beta \quad-\quad$ fixed effects model
$U_{r} \quad-\quad$ fixed incidence matrices
$\alpha_{r} \quad-\quad I_{r} \times p$ random effects, rows $\sim N\left(0, \Sigma_{r}\right)$
$\epsilon-n \times p$ residual errors, rows $\sim N\left(0, \Sigma_{k}\right)$

Covariance components: $\quad \Sigma_{1}, \ldots, \Sigma_{k}$

## Some designs in quantitative genetics

[Examples, mixed model $Y=X \beta+U_{1} \alpha_{1}+\ldots+U_{k-1} \alpha_{k-1}+\epsilon$ ]
[e.g. Lynch \& Walsh, 1998]

Balanced half-sib
Unbalanced half-sib
Full-sib half-sib
Monozygotic twin half-sib
Balanced one way
$I, J$
Unbalanced one way
$I, n_{i}$
Nested two-way
$I, J_{i}, n_{i j}$

Balanced nested multi-way $\quad I, J, \ldots, n$
Comstock-Robinson
all cases:

Replicated crossed two-way $I, J, K, L$

## Quadratic Estimators of Variance Component Matrices

In the mixed model, consider estimators of $\Sigma_{r}$ of form:

$$
\hat{\Sigma}=Y^{T} B Y
$$

- (M)ANOVA estimators:
equate Sum of Squares to expectations \& solve, e.g. (1-way)

$$
B=\tau_{A} P_{A}-\tau_{E} P_{E}
$$

- MINQUE [minimum norm quadratic unbiased estimator] e.g. unbalanced one way design, with 'prior parameter' $\rho \geq 0$,

$$
B=\tau_{\rho A} \Pi_{\rho A}-\tau_{\rho E} P_{E}
$$

## Quadratic Estimators - Structure

Insert data

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y=U_{1} \alpha_{1}+\cdots+U_{k} \alpha_{k} \\
& \quad\left(\text { after setting } X \beta=0, \epsilon=U_{k} \alpha_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

into estimator

$$
\hat{\Sigma}_{r}=Y^{T} B Y=\sum_{r, s=1}^{k} \alpha_{r}^{T} U_{r}^{T} B U_{s} \alpha_{s}
$$

Write $\alpha_{s}=G_{s} \Sigma_{s}^{1 / 2}, \quad G_{s}$ i.i.d Gaussian matrix; $\quad B_{r, s}=U_{r}^{T} B U_{s}$.

$$
\hat{\Sigma}_{r}=\sum_{r, s} \Sigma_{r}^{1 / 2} G_{r}^{T} B_{r, s} G_{s} \Sigma_{s}^{1 / 2}
$$

"Doubly correlated", general $B$.

## Models in Wireless Communications

- MIMO-Multiple Access Channels

$$
S+\sum_{r=1}^{k} R_{r}^{1 / 2} G_{r}^{*} T_{r} G_{r} R_{r}^{1 / 2}
$$

$R_{r}, T_{r} \succ 0$ - receiver, transmitter covariances.


Couillet-Debbah-Silverstein 2011

- Frequency selective MIMO Moustakas-Simon 2007, Dupuy-Loubaton 2011

$$
\sum_{r, s=1}^{k} R_{r}^{1 / 2} G_{r}^{*} T_{r}^{1 / 2} T_{s}^{1 / 2} G_{s} R_{s}^{1 / 2}
$$

- Variance components: $B=\left(B_{r, s}\right)$ symmetric, not necess $\succ 0$

$$
\sum_{r, s} \Sigma_{r}^{1 / 2} G_{r}^{T} B_{r, s} G_{s} \Sigma_{s}^{1 / 2}
$$

## Bulk eigenvalue distribution

## Special case: no common random effects

$Y$ has $n$ rows $\stackrel{\text { iid }}{\sim} N_{p}(0, \Sigma) ; \quad \gamma=p / n \rightarrow \gamma_{\infty}$

$$
\hat{\Sigma}=n^{-1} Y^{\top} Y \quad\left(B=n^{-1} I_{n}\right)
$$

Empirical eigenvalue distribution: $\quad \mu_{\hat{\Sigma}}=p^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \delta_{\lambda_{i}(\hat{\Sigma})}$
Theorem [Marčenko-Pastur] There are deterministic measures $\mu_{0 n}$ s.t.

$$
\mu_{\hat{\Sigma}}-\mu_{0 n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

$\mu_{0 n}$ has Stieltjes transform $m_{0}(z)=\int(\lambda-z)^{-1} \mu_{0 n}(d \lambda)$ given by

$$
m_{0}(z)=\frac{1}{p} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(1-\gamma-\gamma z m_{0}(z)\right) \Sigma-z \mathrm{Id}\right]^{-1}
$$

(with unique solution $m_{0}(z) \in \mathbb{C}^{+}$)

## Special ${ }^{2}$ case: M-P quarter circle law

When $\Sigma=$ Id,$\quad \mu_{0}=\mu_{0 n}$ has the quarter circle density

$$
f(x)=\frac{\sqrt{\left(E_{+}-x\right)\left(x-E_{-}\right)}}{2 \pi x}, \quad E_{ \pm}=(1 \pm \sqrt{p / n})^{2}
$$

$n=1600 p=500$


For general $\Sigma$, density $f(x)$ can have multiple intervals of support.

## General case for $\mu_{\hat{\Sigma}}=p^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \delta_{\lambda_{i}(\hat{\Sigma})}$

Assume • $n, p, I_{1}, \ldots, I_{k} \rightarrow \infty$ proportionally,

- $\hat{\Sigma}=Y^{T} B Y$
- $\left\|\Sigma_{r}\right\|,\left\|U_{r}\right\| \leq O(1),\|B\| \leq O(1 / n)$.


## General case for $\mu_{\hat{\Sigma}}=p^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \delta_{\lambda_{i}(\hat{\Sigma})}$

Assume • $n, p, I_{1}, \ldots, I_{k} \rightarrow \infty$ proportionally,

- $\hat{\Sigma}=Y^{T} B Y$
- $\left\|\Sigma_{r}\right\|,\left\|U_{r}\right\| \leq O(1),\|B\| \leq O(1 / n)$.

Theorem [Fan J 2016] There are deterministic measures $\mu_{0 n}$ s.t.

$$
\mu_{\hat{\Sigma}}-\mu_{0 n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

$\mu_{0 n}$ has Stieltjes transform $m_{0}(z)=\int(\lambda-z)^{-1} \mu_{0 n}(d \lambda)$ given by

$$
m_{0}(z)=-\frac{1}{p} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(z \mathrm{Id}+\sum_{r=1}^{k} b_{r}(z) \Sigma_{r}\right]^{-1}\right.
$$

for $a_{1}(z), \ldots, a_{k}(z), b_{1}(z), \ldots, b_{k}(z)$ the unique solution to $a$ certain system of $2 k$ equations.

## Particular cases

Notes:

1. $\mu_{0}$ depends on $n, p, I_{1}, \ldots, I_{k-1}, \Sigma_{1}, \ldots, \Sigma_{k}$
2. $k=1$ : Recovers Marchenko-Pastur theorem for $\hat{\Sigma}=n^{-1} Y^{T} Y$
$k=2$ : System of equations for balanced one-way layout, for $\hat{\Sigma}_{A}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{A}(z)=-(1 / I) \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(z \operatorname{ld}+b_{A}(z) \Sigma_{A}+b_{E}(z) \Sigma_{E}\right)^{-1} \Sigma_{A}\right] \\
& a_{E}(z)=-(1 / n) \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(z \operatorname{ld}+b_{A}(z) \Sigma_{A}+b_{E}(z) \Sigma_{E}\right)^{-1} \Sigma_{E}\right] \\
& b_{A}(z)=-\frac{1}{1+a_{A}(z)+a_{E}(z)} \\
& b_{E}(z)=\frac{J-1}{J\left(J-1-a_{E}(z)\right)}-\frac{1}{J+J_{a_{A}}(z)+J a_{E}(z)} \\
& m_{0}(z)=-(1 / p) \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(z \operatorname{ld}+b_{A}(z) \Sigma_{A}+b_{E}(z) \Sigma_{E}\right)^{-1}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Easily solvable by iteration to compute $\frac{1}{\pi} \Im m_{0}(z)$ near real-axis.

## Computing the deterministic equivalent

Theorem (Fan, J. (cont'd))
To compute $m_{0}(z)$, the preceding system of equations may be solved by initializing $\left(b_{A}, b_{E}\right)$ arbitrarily, then iteratively updating $\left(a_{A}, a_{E}\right)$ and $\left(b_{A}, b_{E}\right)$ until convergence.



Black curves: $\pi^{-1} \Im m_{0}(z)$ for $\Im z=10^{-4}$.
Right: $\Sigma_{A}=\Sigma_{E}=\mathrm{Id} . I=200$ groups of size $J=2, p=500$.

## Balanced nested/crossed designs

Lattice subspace structure:

$$
S_{r}=\bigoplus_{r^{\prime} \preceq r} \stackrel{\circ}{S}_{r^{\prime}}
$$

Mean (Sum of) Squares $M S_{r}$ for $\dot{S}_{r}$

$$
\mathbb{E} M S_{t}=\sum_{r \succeq t} c_{r} \Sigma_{r}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{0} \\
\mid \\
S_{1} \\
\vdots \\
S_{r} \\
\mid \\
S_{r+1} \\
\vdots \\
S_{k}
\end{gathered}
$$

Möbius inversion: $\hat{\Sigma}_{t}=Y^{\top} B_{t} Y$
In equation system for $m_{0}(z)$ for $\hat{\Sigma}_{t}$,

- compute $b_{r}(z)$ as rational function of $a_{s}(z)$
- included in software (forthcoming)


## Two-way nested example


$\Sigma_{1}=I d_{p}$,
(a): $\hat{\Sigma}_{1}$,

## About proof of bulk convergence

- Via rectangular free probability
- In classical probability, if scalar variables $W \Perp H \mid B$, then

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[e^{i s W} \mid H, B\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[e^{i s W} \mid B\right]
$$

- use operator-valued free probability for eigenvalues of

$$
W=Y^{T} B Y=\sum_{r, s=1}^{k} H_{r}^{T} G_{r}^{T} B_{r, s} G_{s} H_{s}, \quad\left(H_{r}=\Sigma_{r}^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

via block matrix embedding, e.g.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
H_{A}, H_{E} & G_{A}^{T} & G_{E}^{T} \\
G_{A} & B_{A A} & B_{A E} \\
G_{E} & B_{E A} & B_{E E}
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Free probability correspondence

All the familiar probability notions have analogues:
(commutative) probability
non-commutative probability
scalar r.v.s $X, Y$
sample space
expectation $\mathbb{E}$
moments $\mathbb{E} X^{k}$
Fourier transform
(asy) independence
sub $\sigma$-field $\mathcal{H}$
conditional expectation
conditional indep. $\mid \mathcal{H}$
(conditional) Fourier transform
random matrices $A, B$
operator ( $W^{*}$-)algebra
trace $\tau$
moments $\tau\left(A^{k}\right)=\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}^{k}(A)$
Stieltjes/Cauchy transform
(asy) freeness
sub ( $W^{*}$-) algebra $\mathcal{B}$
$\mathcal{B}$-valued expectation operator conditional freeness over $\mathcal{B}$
(operator valued) Cauchy transform

Voiculescu 1991, 1995, Speicher 1998, Nica, Shlyakhtenko and Speicher 2002, Benaych-Georges 2009, Hiai and Petz 2000, Speicher and Vargas 2012,

## Extreme eigenvalue distribution

## Tracy-Widom at each edge

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X=\left(X_{\alpha i}\right) M \times N, \quad X_{\alpha i} \sim\left(0, N^{-1}\right) ; \quad T \text { symmetric } \\
& \left.\hat{\Sigma}=X^{T} T X \quad \text { (and, if } T \geq 0, \tilde{\Sigma}=T^{1 / 2} X X^{T} T^{1 / 2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For $M / N \rightarrow d>0$ and a regular right (or left) edge $E_{*}$ :


Theorem [Fan, J 17] If $\lambda_{\max }, \lambda_{\min }$ are extreme eigenvalues near $E_{*}$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
(\gamma N)^{2 / 3}\left(\lambda_{\max }-E_{*}\right) \xrightarrow{L} \mathrm{TW}_{1} & \text { (right edge) } \\
(\gamma N)^{2 / 3}\left(E_{*}-\lambda_{\min }\right) \xrightarrow{L} \mathrm{TW}_{1} & \text { (left edge) }
\end{array}
$$

Extends Lee - Schnelli, 2016: $T>0$, largest eigenvalue only]

## Lindeberg swapping

Swap eigenvalues $\left(t_{\alpha}\right)$ of $T$ one-at-a-time between bulks:

$O(N)$ swaps with careful scaling doesn't change limit of $\lambda_{\max }$ ! Then build on resolvent comparison approach, Lee - Schnelli, 2016.

## Largest eigenvalue under "global null hypothesis"

Back to var. components model: $\quad Y=X \beta+U_{1} \alpha_{r}+\cdots+U_{k} \alpha_{k}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{0}: \Sigma_{r}=c_{r}^{2} \text { Id }, \quad r=1, \ldots, k \quad \text { "sphericity" } \\
\hat{\Sigma}=Y^{T} B Y, \quad[B X=0]
\end{gathered}
$$

Note: $B$ has +ve and -ve eigenvalues, even in the limit.

Corollary (Fan J 17)
Assume $n, p \rightarrow \infty$ proportionally, $H_{0}$ holds, $\|B\| \asymp 1 / n$, and $B$ satisfies certain regularity conditions. Then

$$
\left(\lambda_{\max }(\hat{\Sigma})-\mu_{n p}\right) / \sigma_{n p} \xrightarrow{L} T W_{1},
$$

where $\mu_{n p}, \sigma_{n p}=(\kappa p)^{-2 / 3}$ are functions of $p, \lambda_{1}(B), \ldots, \lambda_{n}(B)$.

## Formulas for center $\mu_{n p}$ and scale $\sigma_{n p}$

Let $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{M}$ be eigenvalues of $T=\left(p c_{r} c_{s} U_{r}^{T} B U_{s}\right)$,

$$
\text { with } M=I_{1}+\cdots+I_{k}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(m)=-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{1}{p} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} \frac{t_{\alpha}}{1+t_{\alpha} m} \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{*}: \text { solves } z^{\prime}(m)=0 \\
& \mu_{n p}=E_{+}=z\left(m_{*}\right) \\
& \sigma_{n p}=\left[z^{\prime \prime}\left(m_{*}\right) /\left(2 p^{2}\right)\right]^{1 / 3}
\end{aligned}
$$

El Karoui 2007,
Hachem-Hardy-Najim 2016


## Spiked Variance Component models

## Spiked models for variance components

Assume [J '01]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma_{A}=\sigma_{A}^{2} \mathrm{ld}+\text { finite number of spikes } \\
& \Sigma_{E}=\sigma_{E}^{2} \mathrm{Id}+\text { finite number of spikes }
\end{aligned}
$$

Where do corresponding outlier eigenvalues of $\hat{\Sigma}_{A}$ appear in the spectrum?

## Spiked models for variance components

Assume [J '01]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma_{A}=\sigma_{A}^{2} \operatorname{ld}+\text { finite number of spikes } \\
& \Sigma_{E}=\sigma_{E}^{2} \mathrm{Id}+\text { finite number of spikes }
\end{aligned}
$$

Where do corresponding outlier eigenvalues of $\hat{\Sigma}_{A}$ appear in the spectrum? i.e. let

$$
\Sigma_{A}=\sigma_{A}^{2} \operatorname{ld}+V_{A} \Theta_{A} V_{A}^{T}, \quad \Sigma_{E}=\sigma_{E}^{2} \operatorname{ld}+V_{E} \Theta_{E} V_{E}^{T}
$$

$\Theta_{A}, \Theta_{E}$ (diagonal) contain spike values, columns of $V_{A}, V_{E}$ the corresponding eigenvectors. Set

$$
\Theta=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\Theta_{A} & 0 \\
0 & \Theta_{E}
\end{array}\right), \quad S=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{Id} & V_{A}^{T} V_{E} \\
V_{E}^{T} V_{A} & \mathrm{ld}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

$S$ contains eigenvector alignments.

## Aliasing from the error covariance



Eigenvalues of $\hat{\Sigma}_{A}$
$\Sigma_{A}=$ Id, but $\Sigma_{E}=$ Id + spike at 25
$I=200$ groups of size $J=2, p=500$ traits.

## Dependence on eigenvector alignment



Eigenvalues of $\hat{\Sigma}_{A}$


Eigenvalues of $\hat{\Sigma}_{A}$
$\Sigma_{A}=\mathrm{Id}+$ spike at $15, \Sigma_{E}=\mathrm{Id}+$ spike at 25 .
Left: Spikes orthogonal.
Right: Spikes aligned.

## Locations of outliers

Let $\hat{\Sigma}=c_{1}$ MSA $+c_{2}$ MSE $w$. deterministic equivalent measure $\mu_{0}$.

$$
\Theta=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\Theta_{A} & 0 \\
0 & \Theta_{E}
\end{array}\right), \quad S=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{Id} & V_{A}^{T} V_{E} \\
V_{E}^{T} V_{A} & \mathrm{Id}
\end{array}\right), \quad T=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t_{1}(\lambda) \mathrm{ld} & 0 \\
0 & t_{2}(\lambda) \mathrm{Id}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Here, $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ are two (explicit) analytic functions of $\lambda, c_{1}, c_{2}$.

## Locations of outliers

Let $\hat{\Sigma}=c_{1}$ MSA $+c_{2}$ MSE $w$. deterministic equivalent measure $\mu_{0}$.
$\Theta=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\Theta_{A} & 0 \\ 0 & \Theta_{E}\end{array}\right), \quad S=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\mathrm{Id} & V_{A}^{T} V_{E} \\ V_{E}^{T} V_{A} & \mathrm{Id}\end{array}\right), \quad T=\left(\begin{array}{cc}t_{1}(\lambda) \mathrm{Id} & 0 \\ 0 & t_{2}(\lambda) \mathrm{Id}\end{array}\right)$
Here, $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ are two (explicit) analytic functions of $\lambda, c_{1}, c_{2}$.
Theorem (Fan \& Yi Sun, informal version)
Suppose $p, I \rightarrow \infty$ with $\sigma_{A}^{2}, \sigma_{E}^{2}, \Theta, S$ fixed. For each root $\lambda$ of

$$
\operatorname{det}(\operatorname{ld}+S \Theta T(\lambda))=0
$$

outside supp $\left(\mu_{0}\right)$, an eigenvalue of $\hat{\Sigma}$ converges to $\lambda$.
The remaining eigenvalues of $\hat{\Sigma}$ converge to $\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{0}\right)$.
$\rightarrow$ Algorithm to estimate $\Theta$ from loci of outlier eigenvalues of $\hat{\Sigma}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ as $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ vary.

## Locations of outliers in $\hat{\Sigma}_{A}$



Black dots indicate theoretical predictions for outlier locations.
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## THANK YOU!

## Largest eigenvalue: balanced one-way example

$$
H_{0}: \Sigma_{A}=0, \quad \Sigma_{E}=\mathrm{ld}, \quad B_{A}=\tau_{A} P_{A}-\tau_{E} P_{E}
$$




Histogram \& QQ-plot of scaled $\lambda_{\max }\left(\hat{\Sigma}_{A}\right) \mu_{n p}=0.91, \sigma_{n p}=0.012$

$$
I=400, \quad J=4, \quad p=500
$$

- Conditions ok if $\lambda_{\max }(B) \asymp 1 / n$ with multiplicity $\asymp n$
- For $c_{r}$ unknown, can use $\hat{c}_{r}^{2}=p^{-1} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{\Sigma}_{r}\right)$.


## Approximation accuracy in finite samples

|  | $F_{1}$ | $J=2$ | $\begin{aligned} & n=p \\ & J=5 \end{aligned}$ | $J=10$ | $J=2$ | $\begin{aligned} & =4 \times p \\ & J=5 \end{aligned}$ | $J=10$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p=20$ | 0.90 | 0.938 | 0.944 | 0.953 | 0.932 | 0.937 | 0.940 |
|  | 0.95 | 0.971 | 0.974 | 0.978 | 0.968 | 0.970 | 0.972 |
|  | 0.99 | 0.995 | 0.995 | 0.995 | 0.993 | 0.994 | 0.995 |
| $p=100$ | 0.90 | 0.926 | 0.934 | 0.931 | 0.923 | 0.919 | 0.918 |
|  | 0.95 | 0.963 | 0.969 | 0.968 | 0.962 | 0.961 | 0.961 |
|  | 0.99 | 0.992 | 0.995 | 0.995 | 0.993 | 0.993 | 0.994 |
| $p=500$ | 0.90 | 0.922 | 0.917 | 0.918 | 0.913 | 0.909 | 0.916 |
|  | 0.95 | 0.961 | 0.958 | 0.959 | 0.956 | 0.954 | 0.959 |
|  | 0.99 | 0.992 | 0.992 | 0.991 | 0.993 | 0.992 | 0.994 |

Empirical CDF values at the theoretical 0.90, 0.95, and 0.99 quantiles of the $F_{1}$ law. (Standard errors 0.001-0.003.)

The Tracy-Widom test is slightly conservative in practice.

