







KYUSHU UNIVERSITY

PENSER LES MATÉRIAUX DE DEMAIN

Transport driven by velocity-space structures in toroidal plasmas Maxime Lesur P.H. Diamond, Y. Kosuga, X. Garbet, Collaborators: T. Cartier-Michaud, T. Drouot, G. Dif-Pradalier, J. Médina, T. Réveillé, A. Ghizzo, E. Gravier

Fundings: French Research Federation for Magnetic Confined Fusion JSPS Kakenhi Project 15K18305

Context: Turbulence in the core of fusion plasmas

Core turbulence

- Microscopic (mm) waves
- Driven by pressure gradients
- In this work: electrostatic
- Transport particles and energy from core to edge via ExB drift ⇒Degrade confinement
- Collisional mean free path (km) >> λ



Turbulence can be channeled or mitigated

- Turbulence can generate large coherent eddies which degrade confinement further.
 Huld '90
- Turbulence (prey) can feed macroscopic flows (predators), which significantly improve confinement.
- Theory could provide selection criteria ? Other methods of control ?

Validity limits of conventional approaches

Analytic theory

- Based on linear theory.
- Example: quasi-linear theory.
 ⇒ Random walk
- Caveat: assumes collection of waves with random phases.
- Qualitative discrepancies with measured transport.

Numerical simulations

- Kinetic simulations (gyrokinetics), large efforts on fine scales in real space.
- Caveat: may miss fine scales in velocity (or energy) space.

Experimental measurements

• Direct measurement challenging.

⇒ Microscopic velocity-space structures?
⇒ Macroscopic impacts?

 $\Delta v \ll v_{th}$



 $\Delta r \sim \rho_{ci} \sim mm$

 $\tau \sim 1$ - 10 μs

3

Conclusion: microscopic phase-space structures can be responsible for MOST of the transport!

Analytic theories

 Either robust structures with long life-time, or microscopic "granulation" of the phase-space.
 Turbulence, transport

Zonal flows

Corrugation, torque

Collisionless friction

• Essential macroscopic impacts:

Numerical simulations

Self-organisation of structures

P(Ksi,Psi)-<F>_alpha E=2.2092077716, time = 23.5 Above the resonant energies

Angle

⇒ Essential impacts of small-scale phase-space structures in fusion plasmas!
But...

0.005

0.004 0.003 0.002 0.001

0.000

-0.001

-0.002 -0.003

-0.004 -0.005 Heat transport due to structures

Biglari '88

Kosuga '13,

'14, '16, '17



... many caveats.

Reduced (toy) model

• Prototype for low-frequency turbulence

 \Rightarrow Coupling with higher-frequency turbulence is neglected

- \Rightarrow Quasi-periodic orbits with faster time-scale are averaged out
- Heavily simplified geometry (but retain toroidal effects and inhomogeneity of equilibrium plasma)

Decaying turbulence

• Initial perturbations, no stirring. Only the transient behavior is analyzed.

Weak turbulence

- Small equilibrium gradients
- Small system size

No collision operator

⇒ Future work: remove these caveats one by one



Model equations

Vlasov equation:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + [J_0\phi, f]_{\alpha,\psi} + E\Omega_d \frac{\partial f}{\partial \alpha} = 0.$$

Quasi-neutrality:



$$C_{1}\left[\phi - \langle \phi \rangle + \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\imath \delta_{m} \hat{\phi}_{m})\right] - C_{2} \bar{\Delta} \phi = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} J_{0}(E) f \sqrt{E} dE - 1$$

$$J_{0}(E) \approx \left(1 - \frac{m^{2} \rho_{0}^{2}}{4}E\right) \left(1 - \frac{k^{2} \delta_{b}^{2}}{4}E\right) \qquad \qquad Tagger, Laval, Pellat '77$$

$$Biglari, Diamond, Terry '88$$

$$Depret '00$$

$$Sarazin '05$$

$$Darmet '08$$

Main limitation: $\omega \sim \omega_{\text{precession}} \Rightarrow \text{TIM}$, TEMDrouot '14Retains the main ingredients of PS structure formation:

- Free energy and dissipation mechanism
- Wave-particle interactions
- Equilibrium inhomogeneity

Numerical method (1/2)

 $\partial_t f + \mathcal{V}(X(t), t) \cdot \nabla f = 0$

Vlasov advection: semi-Lagrangian scheme

Sonnendrücker '99

• Based on conservation of f along characteristics X, solutions of



Numerical method (2/2)

Charge density $\int_{0}^{+\infty} dE \ \mathcal{J}\bar{f}$

• Pade approximation (tends to over-damp the small scales)

$$\mathcal{J}_{\rho_{c0},\delta_{b0}}f = (1 - \frac{E}{4}\delta_{b0}^2\partial_{\psi}^2)^{-1}(1 - \frac{E}{4}\rho_{c0}^2\partial_{\alpha}^2)^{-1}f = \bar{f}$$

• Simpson's rule for integration in E

Quasineutrality:
$$\left\{ C_e \left(1 - \delta_{m,0} \varepsilon_e \right) - C_i \left(-m^2 + \bar{\delta}_b^2 \partial_{\psi}^2 \right) \right\} \hat{\Phi}_m(\psi) = \hat{\bar{n}}_m(\psi)$$

• Fourier decomposition in α , 4th order finite-difference in ψ

TERESA, in the jargon of gyrokinetic codes:

- Global, full-f, fixed-gradient (possibility of flux-driven)
- Collisionless, electrostatic
- Non-adiabatic electrons with a simple model (possibility of kinetic electrons)

iven) $\frac{\hat{n}_{e,m}}{n_0} = (1 + \imath m \delta) \frac{e \hat{\phi}_m}{T}$

Kadomtsev '71

Lesur '17

Cartier-Michaud '13

9

TERESA explores kinetic effects in tokamak turbulence

Cartier-

Kinetic version of classic instabilities



Precession phase α







Kelvin-Helmholtz





Case study of a single mode (all other modes set to 0)

Resonant wave-particle interactions can create a narrow structure in energy-space

 But linear growth-rate is not so sensitive (0.1% inaccuracy for N_E ≈ 200).





First peak is not so sensitive to N_E

But then, convergence requires large N_E

- Appears to converge for N_E ≈ 30
- But new peaks for N_E > 300

First peak: not-so-fine scales in energy-space

Slice at a given precession angle:



The second peak is associated with the growth of phasespace structures with $\Delta E \ll T_0$ 48 96 192 384 1536 0.004 0.003 0.002 0.001 0.000 Ē 2 -0.001-0.002 0.0060 $N_{F} = 96$ 1 0.0045 0.4 π 0.6 **3** φ **μ** 0 0.2 0.0030 0.0015 0.0000 ш -0.0015 -0.0030 $N_{F} = 384$ -0.0045 0.0045 0 0.2 0.4 π 0 0.0030 ¢ E/T0 5 0.0015 0.0000 Slices at $\phi=0.5$ -0.0015 -0.0030 $N_{F} = 1536$ -0.0045 1 **2**TO 0.2 0.4 π 0.6 0.8 0 Radia cessicati named $\phi(\phi)$ 13

Second peak: fine-scales in energy-space

These structures survive turbulence



Turbulent case



Small-scale phase-space structures drive radial flux of phase-space density



Small-scale phase-space structures drive particle and heat transport

 $q \equiv \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int \langle \Lambda_{\psi} \rangle E^{3/2} dE.$ $\frac{\partial (nT)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial q}{\partial \psi} = 0$ Heat balance

Heat flux q

Impact on heat transport

- Dominant effect in the flux
- Qualitatively: non-diffusive



Summary

TERESA code

- Focuses on trapped (banana) particles
- Toy-model for low-frequency turbulence
- Retains essential kinetic ingredients •

Convergence in N_{F} is delicate

- Small-scale structures localized in energy self-organize near the resonant energy, and travel toward higher energies.
- They drive strong transport, which is • missing from simulations with $N_{F} < 1000$

Perspectives

- Larger systems
- Stronger, stirred turbulence
- Effect of collisions?
- Signatures for experimental observation



Small-scale energy-space structures in GK

6D Phase-space (3D + 3V) $\omega << \omega_{gyro}$ **Gyrokinetics:** 4D Phase-space + 1 parameter

Kinetics:

Existence of fine-scale structures in velocity-space

Not an issue? (d) Entropy and energy flux constant between 4 x 4 $t=50; \theta=0$ Still an issue! 1024 x 64 (c) 4



Cascade in velocity-space

Turbulent cascade of entropy in phase-space

- Driven by nonlinear phase-mixing in strongly turbulent plasmas
- Generation of small-scales in real space and that in velocity space are intertwined.

Schekochihin '08 Tatsuno '09







Trapped ion distribution (perturbation in %)



Context 1 : Current-driven ion-acoustic turbulence

1D model for ion-electron plasma with initial velocity drift

- 1D Vlasov for ions and electrons (collisionless)
- Poisson equation
- ⇒ Current-driven ion-acoustic turbulence Tonks & Langmuir '29 Revans '33

Velocity redistribution

- Anomalous resistivity
- Turbulent "heating"
- Turbulent transport in other contexts



Electrostatic trapping yields phase-space vortex



Nonlinear growth of a phase-space vortex

Growth mechanism

- F-P drag by scattering electrons
- Lagrangian conservation of *f* yields Eulerian growth of $\delta f = \gamma \sim \sqrt{\varphi}$





Phase-space dynamics



Phase-space turbulence?

Interaction of two structures is deterministic



How about the interaction of coexisting structures of various sizes?



Motivation: essential role of phase-space structures

Vortices in phase-space are observed in experiments

- Space plasmas
- Laboratory linear plasmas
- Magnetic reconnection of toroidal plasmas
- **Fusion plasmas**

EXPERIMENTS

THEORY

Laser plasmas

Deep implications for instabilities, turbulence, transport, heating

- Drive nonlinear instabilities
- Modify the magnitude of saturation, spectrum of turbulence
 - Qualitative effect on transport
 - Interact with large-scale flows
- PS vortex-driven subcritical instability dominates the EM spectrum Ido '17 Lesur '16





- Saeki '79 Fox '08
- Kusama '99 ; Berk, Breizman & Pekker '96 Sarri '10

- Dupree '82
 - Terry '90
 - Biglari '88
- Kosuga '11

