Generic cutoff for sparse Markov chains Justin Salez (Université Paris Diderot)



500

Any Markov chain with irreducible, aperiodic transition matrix Pon a finite state space \mathcal{X} converges to its invariant law $\pi = \pi P$:

Any Markov chain with irreducible, aperiodic transition matrix Pon a finite state space \mathcal{X} converges to its invariant law $\pi = \pi P$:

$$\forall (x,y) \in \mathcal{X}^2, \qquad P^t(x,y) \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{} \pi(y).$$

Any Markov chain with irreducible, aperiodic transition matrix Pon a finite state space \mathcal{X} converges to its invariant law $\pi = \pi P$:

$$\forall (x,y) \in \mathcal{X}^2, \qquad P^t(x,y) \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{} \pi(y).$$

 \triangleright Distance to equilibrium: $d(t) := \max_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \| P^t(x, \cdot) - \pi \|_{TV}$

Any Markov chain with irreducible, aperiodic transition matrix Pon a finite state space \mathcal{X} converges to its invariant law $\pi = \pi P$:

$$\forall (x,y) \in \mathcal{X}^2, \qquad P^t(x,y) \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{} \pi(y).$$

 \triangleright Distance to equilibrium: $d(t) := \max_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \|P^t(x, \cdot) - \pi\|_{TV}$

 $\mathrm{d}(t)^{\frac{1}{t}} \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{} \lambda_{\star} = \max\{|\lambda| \colon \lambda \neq 1 \text{ eigenv. of } P\}$

Any Markov chain with irreducible, aperiodic transition matrix Pon a finite state space \mathcal{X} converges to its invariant law $\pi = \pi P$:

$$\forall (x,y) \in \mathcal{X}^2, \qquad P^t(x,y) \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{} \pi(y).$$

 $\triangleright \text{ Distance to equilibrium: } d(t) := \max_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \|P^t(x, \cdot) - \pi\|_{\text{TV}}$ $d(t)^{\frac{1}{t}} \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{} \lambda_{\star} = \max\{|\lambda| \colon \lambda \neq 1 \text{ eigenv. of } P\}$

 \triangleright Relaxation time: $t_{\text{REL}} := \frac{1}{1-\lambda_{\star}}$

Any Markov chain with irreducible, aperiodic transition matrix Pon a finite state space \mathcal{X} converges to its invariant law $\pi = \pi P$:

$$\forall (x,y) \in \mathcal{X}^2, \qquad P^t(x,y) \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{} \pi(y).$$

 $\triangleright \text{ Distance to equilibrium: } d(t) := \max_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \|P^t(x, \cdot) - \pi\|_{\text{TV}}$ $d(t)^{\frac{1}{t}} \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{} \lambda_{\star} = \max\{|\lambda| \colon \lambda \neq 1 \text{ eigenv. of } P\}$

 \triangleright Relaxation time: $t_{\text{REL}} := \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_{\star}}$

 \triangleright Mixing time: $t_{\text{MIX}}(\varepsilon) := \min\{t \ge 0 : d(t) \le \varepsilon\} \ (0 < \varepsilon < 1)$

・ロト ・ 西 ト ・ 田 ト ・ 日 ・ うへつ



<ロト < 団ト < 豆ト < 豆ト = 三 の < 0</p>

 $X_t = \xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_t \mod n$, with $(\xi_t)_{t \ge 1}$ i.i.d.

$$\xi_t = \begin{cases} +1 & w.p. & 0.25 \\ 0 & w.p. & 0.5 \\ -1 & w.p. & 0.25 \end{cases}$$

 $X_t = \xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_t \mod n$, with $(\xi_t)_{t \ge 1}$ i.i.d.

$$\xi_t = \begin{cases} +1 & w.p. & 0.25 \\ 0 & w.p. & 0.5 \\ -1 & w.p. & 0.25 \end{cases}$$

► CLT:
$$\frac{X_{\lfloor \lambda n^2 \rfloor}}{n} \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{d} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \mod 1$$

 $X_t = \xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_t \mod n$, with $(\xi_t)_{t \ge 1}$ i.i.d.

$$\xi_t = \begin{cases} +1 & w.p. & 0.25 \\ 0 & w.p. & 0.5 \\ -1 & w.p. & 0.25 \end{cases}$$

► CLT:
$$\frac{X_{\lfloor \lambda n^2 \rfloor}}{n} \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{d} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \mod 1$$

► LLT:
$$n\mathbb{P}\left(X_{\lfloor\lambda n^2\rfloor} = \lfloor nu \rfloor\right) \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} f_{\lambda}(u)$$

<ロト < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 0 < 0</p>

$$X_t = \xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_t \mod n$$
, with $(\xi_t)_{t \ge 1}$ i.i.d.

$$\xi_t = \begin{cases} +1 & w.p. & 0.25 \\ 0 & w.p. & 0.5 \\ -1 & w.p. & 0.25 \end{cases}$$

$$\blacktriangleright \text{ CLT: } \frac{X_{\lfloor \lambda n^2 \rfloor}}{n} \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{d} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \mod 1$$

► LLT:
$$n\mathbb{P}\left(X_{\lfloor\lambda n^2\rfloor} = \lfloor nu \rfloor\right) \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} f_{\lambda}(u)$$

► Corollary:
$$d_n(\lfloor \lambda n^2 \rfloor) \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 |1 - f_\lambda(u)| du$$



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > → □ = → ○ < ⊙ < ⊙



 \triangleright Convergence to stationarity occurs gradually on timescale $\Theta(n^2)$

E



990

E

• Start with the vector of *n* ones: $X_0 = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$.

- Start with the vector of *n* ones: $X_0 = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$.
- ► At each step, pick one of the *n* coordinates uniformly at random, and replace it with a fresh Bernoulli (¹/₂).

- Start with the vector of *n* ones: $X_0 = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$.
- ► At each step, pick one of the *n* coordinates uniformly at random, and replace it with a fresh Bernoulli (¹/₂).
- ► The number N_t of distinct coordinates that have been refreshed by time t is a coupon collector process

- Start with the vector of *n* ones: $X_0 = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$.
- ► At each step, pick one of the *n* coordinates uniformly at random, and replace it with a fresh Bernoulli (¹/₂).
- The number N_t of distinct coordinates that have been refreshed by time t is a coupon collector process and

$$\mathbb{P}(X_t = x | N_t) = 2^{-N_t} \frac{\binom{\|x\|}{n-N_t}}{\binom{n}{n-N_t}}$$

- Start with the vector of *n* ones: $X_0 = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$.
- ► At each step, pick one of the *n* coordinates uniformly at random, and replace it with a fresh Bernoulli (¹/₂).
- The number N_t of distinct coordinates that have been refreshed by time t is a coupon collector process and

$$\mathbb{P}(X_t = x | N_t) = 2^{-N_t} \frac{\binom{\|x\|}{n-N_t}}{\binom{n}{n-N_t}}$$

• If $t = \frac{1}{2}n \ln n + \lambda n + o(n)$, then $N_t = n - e^{-\lambda}\sqrt{n} + o(\sqrt{n})$

- Start with the vector of *n* ones: $X_0 = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$.
- ► At each step, pick one of the *n* coordinates uniformly at random, and replace it with a fresh Bernoulli (¹/₂).
- The number N_t of distinct coordinates that have been refreshed by time t is a coupon collector process and

$$\mathbb{P}(X_t = x | N_t) = 2^{-N_t} \frac{\binom{\|x\|}{n-N_t}}{\binom{n}{n-N_t}}$$

• If $t = \frac{1}{2}n \ln n + \lambda n + o(n)$, then $N_t = n - e^{-\lambda}\sqrt{n} + o(\sqrt{n})$

• Corollary:
$$d_n\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n\ln n}{2}+\lambda n\right\rfloor\right) \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\frac{e^{-\lambda}}{2}}^{+\frac{e^{-\lambda}}{2}} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}} du$$

<ロト < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 0 < 0</p>



◆ロト ◆昼 ト ◆臣 ト ◆臣 - のへで



 \triangleright Convergence to stationarity occurs **abruptly** at $t \approx \frac{n \log n}{2}$

The cutoff phenomenon (Aldous-Diaconis '86)

The cutoff phenomenon (Aldous-Diaconis '86)

A sequence of Markov chains (indexed by n) exhibits **cutoff** if

$$orallarepsilon\in (0,1), \qquad rac{t_{ ext{MIX}}^{(n)}(1-arepsilon)}{t_{ ext{MIX}}^{(n)}(arepsilon)} \xrightarrow[n
ightarrow 1.$$

The cutoff phenomenon (Aldous-Diaconis '86)

A sequence of Markov chains (indexed by n) exhibits **cutoff** if



The careful work required to prove cutoff often leads to a more or less complete understanding of the chain such that essentially any natural question can be answered.

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

- The careful work required to prove cutoff often leads to a more or less complete understanding of the chain such that essentially any natural question can be answered.
- ► At present writing, proof of a cutoff is a difficult, delicate affair, requiring detailed knowledge of the chain, such as all eigenvalues and eigenvectors. Most of the examples where this can be pushed through arise from random walk on groups, with the walk having a fair amount of symmetry.

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

- The careful work required to prove cutoff often leads to a more or less complete understanding of the chain such that essentially any natural question can be answered.
- ► At present writing, proof of a cutoff is a difficult, delicate affair, requiring detailed knowledge of the chain, such as all eigenvalues and eigenvectors. Most of the examples where this can be pushed through arise from random walk on groups, with the walk having a fair amount of symmetry.
- It occurs in all the examples we can explicitly calculate, but we know no general result which says that the phenomenon must happen for all "reasonable" shuffling methods.

• Card shuffling (Aldous, Diaconis, Shahshahani...)

- Card shuffling (Aldous, Diaconis, Shahshahani...)
- Birth-and-death chains (Diaconis, Saloff-Coste...)

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モ ト ・ モ ・ うへぐ

- Card shuffling (Aldous, Diaconis, Shahshahani...)
- Birth-and-death chains (Diaconis, Saloff-Coste...)
- Random walks on finite groups (Chen, Saloff-Coste...)

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モ ト ・ モ ・ うへぐ
- Card shuffling (Aldous, Diaconis, Shahshahani...)
- Birth-and-death chains (Diaconis, Saloff-Coste...)
- Random walks on finite groups (Chen, Saloff-Coste...)
- Interacting particle systems (Lacoin, Lubetzky, Sly...)

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モ ト ・ モ ・ うへぐ

- Card shuffling (Aldous, Diaconis, Shahshahani...)
- Birth-and-death chains (Diaconis, Saloff-Coste...)
- Random walks on finite groups (Chen, Saloff-Coste...)
- Interacting particle systems (Lacoin, Lubetzky, Sly...)

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モ ト ・ モ ・ うへぐ

• Random walks on sparse graphs

- Card shuffling (Aldous, Diaconis, Shahshahani...)
- Birth-and-death chains (Diaconis, Saloff-Coste...)
- Random walks on finite groups (Chen, Saloff-Coste...)
- Interacting particle systems (Lacoin, Lubetzky, Sly...)

- Random walks on sparse graphs
 - ▶ Random regular graphs (Lubetzky, Sly '10)

- Card shuffling (Aldous, Diaconis, Shahshahani...)
- Birth-and-death chains (Diaconis, Saloff-Coste...)
- Random walks on finite groups (Chen, Saloff-Coste...)
- Interacting particle systems (Lacoin, Lubetzky, Sly...)

- Random walks on sparse graphs
 - ► Random regular graphs (Lubetzky, Sly '10)
 - ► Ramanujan graphs (Lubetzky, Peres '15)

- Card shuffling (Aldous, Diaconis, Shahshahani...)
- Birth-and-death chains (Diaconis, Saloff-Coste...)
- Random walks on finite groups (Chen, Saloff-Coste...)
- Interacting particle systems (Lacoin, Lubetzky, Sly...)

- Random walks on sparse graphs
 - ► Random regular graphs (Lubetzky, Sly '10)
 - ► Ramanujan graphs (Lubetzky, Peres '15)
 - ► Trees (Basu, Hermon, Peres '15)

- Card shuffling (Aldous, Diaconis, Shahshahani...)
- Birth-and-death chains (Diaconis, Saloff-Coste...)
- Random walks on finite groups (Chen, Saloff-Coste...)
- Interacting particle systems (Lacoin, Lubetzky, Sly...)
- Random walks on sparse graphs
 - ► Random regular graphs (Lubetzky, Sly '10)
 - ▶ Ramanujan graphs (Lubetzky, Peres '15)
 - ▶ Trees (Basu, Hermon, Peres '15)
 - ► Random graphs with given degrees (Ben-Hamou, Berestycki, Lubetzky, Peres, S., Sly '15)

- Card shuffling (Aldous, Diaconis, Shahshahani...)
- Birth-and-death chains (Diaconis, Saloff-Coste...)
- Random walks on finite groups (Chen, Saloff-Coste...)
- Interacting particle systems (Lacoin, Lubetzky, Sly...)
- Random walks on sparse graphs
 - ► Random regular graphs (Lubetzky, Sly '10)
 - ▶ Ramanujan graphs (Lubetzky, Peres '15)
 - ► Trees (Basu, Hermon, Peres '15)
 - ► Random graphs with given degrees (Ben-Hamou, Berestycki, Lubetzky, Peres, S., Sly '15)

▶ Random directed graphs (Bordenave, Caputo, S. '16)

- Card shuffling (Aldous, Diaconis, Shahshahani...)
- Birth-and-death chains (Diaconis, Saloff-Coste...)
- Random walks on finite groups (Chen, Saloff-Coste...)
- Interacting particle systems (Lacoin, Lubetzky, Sly...)
- Random walks on sparse graphs
 - ► Random regular graphs (Lubetzky, Sly '10)
 - ▶ Ramanujan graphs (Lubetzky, Peres '15)
 - ▶ Trees (Basu, Hermon, Peres '15)
 - ► Random graphs with given degrees (Ben-Hamou, Berestycki, Lubetzky, Peres, S., Sly '15)
 - ▶ Random directed graphs (Bordenave, Caputo, S. '16)

This phenomenon should be **generic** rather than **exceptional**:

- Card shuffling (Aldous, Diaconis, Shahshahani...)
- Birth-and-death chains (Diaconis, Saloff-Coste...)
- Random walks on finite groups (Chen, Saloff-Coste...)
- Interacting particle systems (Lacoin, Lubetzky, Sly...)
- Random walks on sparse graphs
 - ► Random regular graphs (Lubetzky, Sly '10)
 - ▶ Ramanujan graphs (Lubetzky, Peres '15)
 - ► Trees (Basu, Hermon, Peres '15)
 - ► Random graphs with given degrees (Ben-Hamou, Berestycki, Lubetzky, Peres, S., Sly '15)
 - ▶ Random directed graphs (Bordenave, Caputo, S. '16)

This phenomenon should be **generic** rather than **exceptional**:

"Almost every reasonable chain should exhibit cutoff"

<ロ> < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

• The rows of P_n are i.i.d. Dirichlet $(1, \ldots, 1)$.

• The rows of P_n are i.i.d. Dirichlet $(1, \ldots, 1)$.

$$P_n^2(i,j) = \sum_{k=1}^n P_n(i,k) P_n(k,j) \approx \frac{1}{n}$$

• The rows of P_n are i.i.d. Dirichlet $(1, \ldots, 1)$.

$$P_n^2(i,j) = \sum_{k=1}^n P_n(i,k) P_n(k,j) \approx \frac{1}{n}$$

• Consequently, $\pi_n \approx$ uniform distribution

• The rows of P_n are i.i.d. Dirichlet $(1, \ldots, 1)$.

$$P_n^2(i,j) = \sum_{k=1}^n P_n(i,k) P_n(k,j) \approx \frac{1}{n}$$

• Consequently, $\pi_n \approx$ uniform distribution and

$$d_n(1) \approx \max_{i \in [n]} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \left| P_n(i,j) - \frac{1}{n} \right| \right\} \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} \frac{1}{e}$$

• The rows of P_n are i.i.d. Dirichlet $(1, \ldots, 1)$.

$$P_n^2(i,j) = \sum_{k=1}^n P_n(i,k) P_n(k,j) \approx \frac{1}{n}$$

• Consequently, $\pi_n \approx$ uniform distribution and

$$d_n(1) \approx \max_{i \in [n]} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \left| P_n(i,j) - \frac{1}{n} \right| \right\} \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} \frac{1}{e}$$
$$d_n(2) \approx \max_{i \in [n]} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \left| P_n^2(i,j) - \frac{1}{n} \right| \right\} \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 0$$

• The rows of P_n are i.i.d. Dirichlet $(1, \ldots, 1)$.

$$P_n^2(i,j) = \sum_{k=1}^n P_n(i,k) P_n(k,j) \approx \frac{1}{n}$$

• Consequently, $\pi_n \approx$ uniform distribution and

$$d_n(1) \approx \max_{i \in [n]} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \left| P_n(i,j) - \frac{1}{n} \right| \right\} \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} \frac{1}{e}$$
$$d_n(2) \approx \max_{i \in [n]} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \left| P_n^2(i,j) - \frac{1}{n} \right| \right\} \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 0$$

Corollary: complete mixing in two steps only, no cutoff !

・ロト ・ 母 ト ・ 王 ト ・ 王 ・ つへぐ

1. Sparse: the mass is concentrated on few entries:

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}P_n(i,j)\log\frac{1}{P_n(i,j)} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$$

< ロ > < 回 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > の < で</p>

1. Sparse: the mass is concentrated on few entries:

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} P_n(i,j) \log \frac{1}{P_n(i,j)} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$$
$$\max_{1 \le i \le n} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n} P_n(i,j) \left(\log \frac{1}{P_n(i,j)} \right)^2 \right\} = o_{\mathbb{P}}(\log n).$$

< ロ > < 回 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > の < で</p>

1. Sparse: the mass is concentrated on few entries:

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} P_n(i,j) \log \frac{1}{P_n(i,j)} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$$
$$\max_{1 \le i \le n} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n} P_n(i,j) \left(\log \frac{1}{P_n(i,j)} \right)^2 \right\} = o_{\mathbb{P}}(\log n).$$

2. Non-degenerate: most weights are bounded away from 1:

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\left\{\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\mathbf{1}_{P_n(i,j)>1-\varepsilon}\right]\right\}\quad\xrightarrow[\varepsilon\to0]{}\quad 0$$

1. Sparse: the mass is concentrated on few entries:

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} P_n(i,j) \log \frac{1}{P_n(i,j)} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$$
$$\max_{1 \le i \le n} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n} P_n(i,j) \left(\log \frac{1}{P_n(i,j)} \right)^2 \right\} = o_{\mathbb{P}}(\log n).$$

2. Non-degenerate: most weights are bounded away from 1:

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \mathbf{1}_{P_n(i,j) > 1-\varepsilon} \right] \right\} \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \to 0]{} 0$$

3. Exchangeable: swaps within a row preserve the law of P_n .

$$t_{\text{ENT}}(P) \ := \ rac{\log n}{H} \quad ext{with} \quad H \ := \ rac{1}{n} \sum_{i,j=1}^n P(i,j) \log rac{1}{P(i,j)}.$$

-

$$t_{\scriptscriptstyle ext{ENT}}(P) \; := \; rac{\log n}{H} \quad ext{with} \quad H \; := \; rac{1}{n} \sum_{i,j=1}^n P(i,j) \log rac{1}{P(i,j)}.$$

Theorem. If (P_n) are exchangeable, sparse, non-degenerate, then

$$t_{\scriptscriptstyle ext{ENT}}(P) \; := \; rac{\log n}{H} \quad ext{with} \quad H \; := \; rac{1}{n} \sum_{i,j=1}^n P(i,j) \log rac{1}{P(i,j)}.$$

Theorem. If (P_n) are exchangeable, sparse, non-degenerate, then

1. P_n has a unique invariant law π_n w.h.p. as $n \to \infty$.

$$t_{\scriptscriptstyle ext{ENT}}(P) \; := \; rac{\log n}{H} \quad ext{with} \quad H \; := \; rac{1}{n} \sum_{i,j=1}^n P(i,j) \log rac{1}{P(i,j)}.$$

Theorem. If (P_n) are exchangeable, sparse, non-degenerate, then

- 1. P_n has a unique invariant law π_n w.h.p. as $n \to \infty$.
- 2. For $t \sim \lambda t_{\text{ENT}}(P_n)$ with $\lambda < 1$,

$$\min_{i\in[n]} \left\| P_n^t(i,\cdot) - \pi_n \right\|_{\mathrm{TV}} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 1.$$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モ ト ・ モ ・ うへぐ

$$t_{\scriptscriptstyle ext{ENT}}(P) \; := \; rac{\log n}{H} \quad ext{with} \quad H \; := \; rac{1}{n} \sum_{i,j=1}^n P(i,j) \log rac{1}{P(i,j)}.$$

Theorem. If (P_n) are exchangeable, sparse, non-degenerate, then

- 1. P_n has a unique invariant law π_n w.h.p. as $n \to \infty$.
- 2. For $t \sim \lambda t_{\text{ENT}}(P_n)$ with $\lambda < 1$, $\min_{i \in [n]} \left\| P_n^t(i, \cdot) - \pi_n \right\|_{\text{TV}} \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{\mathbb{P}} 1.$
- 3. For $t \sim \lambda t_{\text{ENT}}(P_n)$ with $\lambda > 1$,

$$\max_{i\in[n]} \left\| P_n^t(i,\cdot) - \pi_n \right\|_{\mathrm{TV}} \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{\mathbb{P}} 0.$$

Random *d*-out model (Adarrio-Berry, Balle, Perarnau '16):

Random *d*-**out model** (Adarrio-Berry, Balle, Perarnau '16):

Each vertex $i \in [n]$ picks d out-neighbours uniformly at random.

Random d-out model (Adarrio-Berry, Balle, Perarnau '16): Each vertex $i \in [n]$ picks d out-neighbours uniformly at random.

$$P_n(i,j) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{d} & \text{if } j \text{ is an out-neighbour of } i \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モ ト ・ モ ・ うへぐ

Random d-out model (Adarrio-Berry, Balle, Perarnau '16): Each vertex $i \in [n]$ picks d out-neighbours uniformly at random.

$$P_n(i,j) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{d} & \text{if } j \text{ is an out-neighbour of } i \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Corollary: for any fixed $d \ge 2$, w.h.p. P_n exhibits cutoff at time

$$t_n=\frac{\log n}{\log d}.$$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モ ト ・ モ ・ うへぐ

Random d-out model (Adarrio-Berry, Balle, Perarnau '16): Each vertex $i \in [n]$ picks d out-neighbours uniformly at random.

$$P_n(i,j) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{d} & \text{if } j \text{ is an out-neighbour of } i \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Corollary: for any fixed $d \ge 2$, w.h.p. P_n exhibits cutoff at time

$$t_n = \frac{\log n}{\log d}.$$

More generally: may allow for arbitrary degrees $(d_{n,i})$, provided

$$rac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \log d_{n,i} = \mathcal{O}(1) \quad ext{and} \quad 2 \leq d_{n,i} \ll \sqrt{\log n}.$$

Example 2: heavy-tailed weights

< □ > < □ > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Example 2: heavy-tailed weights

Random weight model (Bordenave, Caputo, Chafai, Piras '16):

Example 2: heavy-tailed weights

Random weight model (Bordenave, Caputo, Chafai, Piras '16): Take $(X_{ij})_{1 \le i,j < \infty}$ i.i.d. non-negative and set for all $n \ge 1$

$$P_n(i,j) := \frac{X_{ij}}{X_{i1}+\cdots+X_{in}}.$$
Example 2: heavy-tailed weights

Random weight model (Bordenave, Caputo, Chafai, Piras '16): Take $(X_{ij})_{1 \le i,j < \infty}$ i.i.d. non-negative and set for all $n \ge 1$

$$P_n(i,j) := \frac{X_{ij}}{X_{i1}+\cdots+X_{in}}.$$

Assume regularly varying tail with index $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, i.e.

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(X_{11} > \lambda t)}{\mathbb{P}(X_{11} > t)} \quad \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{} \lambda^{-\alpha}.$$

Example 2: heavy-tailed weights

Random weight model (Bordenave, Caputo, Chafai, Piras '16): Take $(X_{ij})_{1 \le i,j < \infty}$ i.i.d. non-negative and set for all $n \ge 1$

$$P_n(i,j) := \frac{X_{ij}}{X_{i1}+\cdots+X_{in}}.$$

Assume regularly varying tail with index $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, i.e.

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(X_{11} > \lambda t)}{\mathbb{P}(X_{11} > t)} \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{} \lambda^{-\alpha}.$$

Corollary: with high probability, P_n exhibits cutoff at time

$$t_n := rac{\log n}{\psi(1) - \psi(1 - \alpha)} \quad ext{where} \quad \psi = rac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}.$$

Eigenvalues of P_n



Thank you for your attention !

