

Picard curves with small conductor
joint work with Michel Börner and Stefan Wewers

Irene Bouw

Ulm University

June 19, 2017

Motivation

Question (Brumer): What is the smallest possible conductor a Picard curve defined over \mathbb{Q} can have?

Motivation

Question (Brumer): What is the smallest possible conductor a Picard curve defined over \mathbb{Q} can have?

Mestre bound: A/\mathbb{Q} abelian variety of dimension g . Conductor N satisfies

$$N > (10.32)^g.$$

Smallest cases known to me (curves):

$$g = 1 : X_1(11) \quad N = 11,$$

$$g = 2 : X_1(13) \quad N = 13^2.$$

$$g = 3 : (\text{Picard}) \quad N = 2^6 \cdot 3^6.$$

Motivation

Question (Brumer): What is the smallest possible conductor a Picard curve defined over \mathbb{Q} can have?

Mestre bound: A/\mathbb{Q} abelian variety of dimension g . Conductor N satisfies

$$N > (10.32)^g.$$

Smallest cases known to me (curves):

$$g = 1 : X_1(11) \quad N = 11,$$

$$g = 2 : X_1(13) \quad N = 13^2.$$

$$g = 3 : (\text{Picard}) \quad N = 2^6 \cdot 3^6.$$

The conductor is a product of local factors:

$$N = \prod_p p^{f_p}, \quad (K = \mathbb{Q}).$$

This talk: For Picard curves over \mathbb{Q} we find restrictions on the conductor exponent f_p , which can be computed from the stable reduction of Y at p .

Models

Let p prime, $K = \mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{nr}}$, $\mathcal{O} \subset K$ ring of integers, $k = \mathcal{O}/(\mathfrak{p})$. A **model** \mathcal{Y} of Y is a normal proper flat \mathcal{O} -scheme with $\mathcal{Y} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K \simeq Y$.

Y has **good reduction** if there exists a model with $\overline{Y} := \mathcal{Y} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$ smooth. Otherwise, **bad reduction**. (This includes potentially good but not good reduction.)

$$f_p \neq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad Y \text{ bad reduction at } p.$$

Models

Let p prime, $K = \mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{nr}}$, $\mathcal{O} \subset K$ ring of integers, $k = \mathcal{O}/(\mathfrak{p})$. A **model** \mathcal{Y} of Y is a normal proper flat \mathcal{O} -scheme with $\mathcal{Y} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K \simeq Y$.

Y has **good reduction** if there exists a model with $\overline{Y} := \mathcal{Y} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$ smooth. Otherwise, **bad reduction**. (This includes potentially good but not good reduction.)

$$f_p \neq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad Y \text{ bad reduction at } p.$$

A result of Deligne–Mumford

Assume $g(Y) \geq 2$. There exists a Galois extension L/K and a unique minimal semistable model \mathcal{Y} over \mathcal{O}_L , such that $\Gamma := \text{Gal}(L, K)$ acts on \mathcal{Y} , hence on the special fiber \overline{Y} .

Picard curve over K , $\text{char}(K) \neq 3$

$$Y : \quad y^3 = f(x), \quad f \in K[x] \text{ separable of degree } 4$$

The cover

$$Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1, \quad (x, y) \mapsto x.$$

is Galois over $K(\zeta_3)$ with group generated by $\sigma(x, y) = (x, \zeta_3 y)$.

Picard curve over K , $\text{char}(K) \neq 3$

$$Y : \quad y^3 = f(x), \quad f \in K[x] \text{ separable of degree } 4$$

The cover

$$Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1, \quad (x, y) \mapsto x.$$

is Galois over $K(\zeta_3)$ with group generated by $\sigma(x, y) = (x, \zeta_3 y)$.

May assume $f \in \mathcal{O}[x]$ normalized, then

$$\mathcal{Y}_f : \quad y^3 = f(x)$$

defines a model \mathcal{Y}_f . Its reduction \overline{Y} given by the equation reduced mod p .

Picard curve over K , $\text{char}(K) \neq 3$

$$Y : \quad y^3 = f(x), \quad f \in K[x] \text{ separable of degree } 4$$

The cover

$$Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1, \quad (x, y) \mapsto x.$$

is Galois over $K(\zeta_3)$ with group generated by $\sigma(x, y) = (x, \zeta_3 y)$.

May assume $f \in \mathcal{O}[x]$ normalized, then

$$\mathcal{Y}_f : \quad y^3 = f(x)$$

defines a model \mathcal{Y}_f . Its reduction \overline{Y} given by the equation reduced mod p .

$$\mathcal{Y}_f \text{ minimal} \Leftrightarrow 0 \leq \nu_p(\Delta(f)) < 36.$$

Assume \mathcal{Y}_f minimal and $p \neq 3$, then

$$Y \text{ good reduction} \Leftrightarrow p \nmid \Delta(f).$$

Hence if $p \mid \Delta(f)$ there is no **other** model with \overline{Y} smooth.

Primes of bad reduction

Every Picard curve Y/\mathbb{Q} has bad reduction at $p = 3$.

Primes of bad reduction

Every Picard curve Y/\mathbb{Q} has bad reduction at $p = 3$.

Proof: Assume Y has good reduction over $K = \mathbb{Q}_3^{\text{nr}}$, i.e. there exists a smooth model \mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{O} . Then $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_K(\overline{Y})$. Put $L = \mathbb{Q}_3^{\text{nr}}(\zeta_3)$.

Primes of bad reduction

Every Picard curve Y/\mathbb{Q} has bad reduction at $p = 3$.

Proof: Assume Y has good reduction over $K = \mathbb{Q}_3^{\text{nr}}$, i.e. there exists a smooth model \mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{O} . Then $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_k(\overline{Y})$. Put $L = \mathbb{Q}_3^{\text{nr}}(\zeta_3)$.

$$\exists \tau \in \Gamma = \text{Gal}(L/K) \text{ with } \tau(\zeta_3) = \zeta_3^2.$$

τ acts k -linearly on \overline{Y} and

$$\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^2 \neq \sigma \quad \in \text{Aut}_k(\overline{Y}).$$

Hence τ acts nontrivially on \overline{Y} .

Primes of bad reduction

Every Picard curve Y/\mathbb{Q} has bad reduction at $p = 3$.

Proof: Assume Y has good reduction over $K = \mathbb{Q}_3^{\text{nr}}$, i.e. there exists a smooth model \mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{O} . Then $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_k(\overline{Y})$. Put $L = \mathbb{Q}_3^{\text{nr}}(\zeta_3)$.

$$\exists \tau \in \Gamma = \text{Gal}(L/K) \text{ with } \tau(\zeta_3) = \zeta_3^2.$$

τ acts k -linearly on \overline{Y} and

$$\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^2 \neq \sigma \in \text{Aut}_k(\overline{Y}).$$

Hence τ acts nontrivially on \overline{Y} .

Contradiction to the assumption that \mathcal{Y} defined over \mathcal{O}_K . □

Primes of bad reduction

Every Picard curve Y/\mathbb{Q} has bad reduction at $p = 3$.

Proof: Assume Y has good reduction over $K = \mathbb{Q}_3^{\text{nr}}$, i.e. there exists a smooth model \mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{O} . Then $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_k(\overline{Y})$. Put $L = \mathbb{Q}_3^{\text{nr}}(\zeta_3)$.

$$\exists \tau \in \Gamma = \text{Gal}(L/K) \text{ with } \tau(\zeta_3) = \zeta_3^2.$$

τ acts k -linearly on \overline{Y} and

$$\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^2 \neq \sigma \quad \in \text{Aut}_k(\overline{Y}).$$

Hence τ acts nontrivially on \overline{Y} .

Contradiction to the assumption that \mathcal{Y} defined over \mathcal{O}_K . □

Remark: Assume that Y acquires good reduction over $L \ni \zeta_3$. Analyzing the action of σ and $\tau \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$ on \overline{Y} shows that

$$g(\overline{Y}/\langle \tau \rangle) = 0.$$

The conductor exponent

Let $\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{O}_L$ stable model, $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(L/K)$, $\bar{Y}^0 = \bar{Y}/\Gamma$.

Proposition

$f_p = \delta + \epsilon$ with

$$\epsilon = 2g(Y) - \dim H_{\text{et}}^1(\bar{Y}^0, \mathbb{Q}_\ell),$$

$$\delta = 0 \iff L/K \text{ tame.}$$

We have

$$\dim H_{\text{et}}^1(\bar{Y}^0, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = \sum_{\bar{W}} 2g(\bar{W}) + \gamma(\bar{Y}^0),$$

where the sum runs over the irreducible components of \bar{Y}^0 and $\gamma(\bar{Y}^0)$ is the number of loops in the graph of components of \bar{Y}^0 .

The main result

Let $Y/\mathbb{Q}_3^{\text{nr}}$ be a Picard curve. Then $f_3 \geq 4$.

The main result

Let $Y/\mathbb{Q}_3^{\text{nr}}$ be a Picard curve. Then $f_3 \geq 4$.

The proof shows that $\epsilon \in \{4, 5, 6\}$ by a case-by-case analysis for \bar{Y} .

All values for ϵ occur.

The main result

Let $Y/\mathbb{Q}_3^{\text{nr}}$ be a Picard curve. Then $f_3 \geq 4$.

The proof shows that $\epsilon \in \{4, 5, 6\}$ by a case-by-case analysis for \bar{Y} .

All values for ϵ occur.

Corollary

Assume that Y/\mathbb{Q}_3 has potentially good reduction at $p = 3$. Then $\epsilon = 6$, hence $f_p \geq 6$.

The case $p \neq 3$

A similar analysis for $p \neq 3$ yields:

Proposition

- $f_2 \neq 1$,
- $f_p \in \{0, 2, 4, 6\}$. (In particular, $\delta = 0$.)

The case $p \neq 3$

A similar analysis for $p \neq 3$ yields:

Proposition

- $f_2 \neq 1$,
- $f_p \in \{0, 2, 4, 6\}$. (In particular, $\delta = 0$.)

Computing the stable reduction is much easier if $p \neq 3$. We know the field L over which Y acquires stable reduction explicitly. \overline{Y} is completely determined by the configuration of the branch points.

Brumer–Kramer prove an upper bound for f_p . This yields $f_2 \leq 28$.

Example

The curve

$$Y : \quad y^3 = x^4 - 1 =: f(x), \quad \Delta(f) = -2^8$$

has good reduction for $p \neq 2, 3$. Fact: $|\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(Y)| = 48$.

The conductor is $N = 2^6 \cdot 3^6$.

Y has potentially good reduction at $p = 3$ over a tame extension.

Y reduces to a chain of 3 elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}_2^{\text{nr}}(\sqrt[3]{2}, i)$.

The twist $y^3 = x^4 + 1$ has $N = 2^{16} \cdot 3^6$.

Searching for curves with small conductor

Faltings

Let K be a number field, S a finite set of places, and $g \geq 2$.

- The number of curves over K with good reduction outside S (up to isomorphism) is finite.
- The number of curves over K with conductor $\leq N$ is finite.

Searching for curves with small conductor

Faltings

Let K be a number field, S a finite set of places, and $g \geq 2$.

- The number of curves over K with good reduction outside S (up to isomorphism) is finite.
- The number of curves over K with conductor $\leq N$ is finite.

Malmskog–Rasmussen have determined all Picard curves over \mathbb{Q} with good reduction outside $S = \{3\}$. These curves have $10 \leq f_3 \leq 21$, hence $N > 2^6 \cdot 3^6$.

The method generalizes in principle to other sets S .

The exceptional primes

Example Let

$$Y : \quad y^3 = f(x) = x^4 + 14x^2 + 72x - 41, \quad \Delta(f) = -2^{10} \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^6.$$

Hence Y has bad reduction at $p = 2, 3, 5$.

The exceptional primes

Example Let

$$Y : y^3 = f(x) = x^4 + 14x^2 + 72x - 41, \quad \Delta(f) = -2^{10} \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^6.$$

Hence Y has bad reduction at $p = 2, 3, 5$.

However, we have

$$N = 2^{19} \cdot 3^{13}.$$

Necessary conditions for p to be an exceptional prime:

- $6 \mid \nu_p(\Delta(f))$,
- f splits over $K = \mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{nr}}$. This implies that Y acquires stable reduction over K ,
- the Jacobian of Y has good reduction over K .