

A Riemann-Hilbert approach to black hole solutions

Gabriel Lopes Cardoso

CIRM 2017

with Cristina Câmara, Thomas Mohaupt and Suresh Nampuri
arXiv:1703.10366



Introduction

In this talk we will study

gravity in terms of Riemann-Hilbert (RH) problem.

In gravity:

- spacetime is Lorentzian (pseudo-Riemannian) manifold (M, g)
- metric g : solution to Einstein's field equations $Ric = T$
non-linear second-order PDE's for g , sourced by matter T
- Here: $T = 0$, vacuum solutions of Einstein's field equations
- Examples of exact solutions: Schwarzschild solution (outside region of a neutral static black hole)
In general, finding exact solutions: hard.
- Different approach to constructing exact solutions:
Riemann-Hilbert (RH) problem.

Ingredients:

- Einstein's field equations in **vacuum**, in dimensions $D = 4, 5$
- Restrict to subspace of solutions with $D - 2$ **commuting isometries**;
in adapted coordinates, g only depends on two coordinates (v, ρ)
 \Rightarrow non-linear PDE's in **two space-like** dimensions
- Metric g : symmetric 2-tensor in D dimensions.
Assemble into matrix $M(v, \rho)$:
values in non-compact Riemannian symmetric space G/H
(G non-compact Lie group)
- $D = 5$: $G/H = SL(3, \mathbb{R})/SO(2, 1)$

- Matrix one-form $A = M^{-1}dM$
- Reduced field equations: $d(\rho \star A) = 0$
Hodge dual \star , $(\star)^2 = -\text{id}$

This sector of gravity is integrable: **Lax pair**, called

Breitenlohner-Maison linear system

Annales de IHP, 1987

Study by means of **Riemann-Hilbert factorization problem**.

Integrability: the linear system

Reformulate $d(\rho \star A) = 0$ in terms of an auxiliary linear system:

$$\tau(d + A)X = \star dX, \quad A = M^{-1}dM$$

- τ spectral parameter, $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$
- G -valued matrix $X(\tau; \nu, \rho)$. Demand:
 - ▶ X and X^{-1} to be analytic in interior of unit disc in plane τ , slightly beyond
 - ▶ $X(\tau = 0; \nu, \rho) = \mathbb{I}$
- $d(dX X^{-1}) = (dX X^{-1}) \wedge (dX X^{-1})$
- Demanding $d(\rho \star A) = 0$: $\tau = \tau(w, \nu, \rho)$, $w \in \mathbb{C}$

Algebraic curve: $(w, \tau) \in \mathbb{C}^2$

$$w = \nu + \frac{\rho}{2\tau}(1 - \tau^2)$$

Monodromy matrix

But: since Lax pair has $A = M^{-1} dM$ as **input**, seem to have a fish-bites-each-tail problem . . .



Not quite, as follows:

- $M \in G/H$: $M = V^{\natural} V = M^{\natural}$, \natural involutive anti-homomorphism
- $d(\rho \star A) = 0$, and X , $\Rightarrow \mathcal{M}(w) = \mathcal{M}^{\natural}(w)$, monodromy matrix
- Conversely, pick $\mathcal{M}(w) = \mathcal{M}^{\natural}(w)$
- Recall $w = v + \frac{\rho}{2\tau}(1 - \tau^2)$; hence $\mathcal{M}(w(\tau; v, \rho))$

Riemann-Hilbert factorization problem

Perform **canonical factorization** in τ -plane (assuming its existence):

$$\mathcal{M}(w(\tau; \nu, \rho)) = M_-(\tau; \nu, \rho) M_+(\tau; \nu, \rho) \quad , \quad |\tau| = 1$$

- M_-, M_-^{-1} analytic and bounded in D_- ($|\tau| > 1$)
- M_+, M_+^{-1} analytic and bounded in D_+ ($|\tau| < 1$)
- $M_+(\tau = 0; \nu, \rho) = \mathbb{I}$. Unique.

Can show: [arXiv:1703.10366](https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.10366)

- $M_-(\tau = \infty; \nu, \rho) = M(\nu, \rho)$
 $A = M^{-1}dM, \quad d(\rho \star A) = 0$
- $M_+(\tau; \nu, \rho) = X(\tau; \nu, \rho)$
solves Lax pair $\tau(d + A)X = \star dX$

Riemann-Hilbert factorization problem

Questions:

- 1 When does $\mathcal{M}(w)$ have a canonical factorization?
- 2 How to pick $\mathcal{M}(w)$?
- 3 How to obtain **explicit** factorizations?

Question 1:

- $\mathcal{M}(w(\tau; \nu, \rho))$ invertible matrix function, Hölder continuous on unit circle $|\tau| = 1$
- **Necessary and sufficient conditions** for canonical RH factorization (**Theorem (Cristina Câmara)**)

Question 3:

- Solve a **vectorial** factorization problem

$$\mathcal{M} M_+^{-1} = M_- \quad , \quad \mathcal{M} \phi_+ = \phi_- \quad , \quad \text{Liouville's theorem}$$

Riemann-Hilbert approach to rotating black holes

Question 2: Strategy:

- Pick known spacetime solution $M_{\text{seed}}(v, \rho)$.

Candidate $\mathcal{M}_{\text{seed}}(w)$: $M_{\text{seed}}(v = w, \rho = 0) = \mathcal{M}_{\text{seed}}(w)$.

Delicate, but can be motivated. Works in all known cases.

- Deform $\mathcal{M}_{\text{seed}}(w)$: $\mathcal{M}(w) = g^\natural(w) \mathcal{M}_{\text{seed}}(w) g(w) \Rightarrow M_{\text{new}}(v, \rho)$.

- Ex:
$$\mathcal{M}(w) = \frac{1}{w^2} \begin{pmatrix} A & Bw + \alpha & Cw^2 \\ -Bw - \alpha & Dw^2 & 0 \\ Cw^2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\alpha = 0$: Seed describes near-horizon region of **extremal rotating black hole solution** in $D = 4$

$\alpha \neq 0$: $M_{\text{new}}(v, \rho)$, difficult from field equations.

Outlook: $\mathcal{M}(w) \leftrightarrow T_{\mathcal{M}(w)}^{-1}$. Study $d(\rho \star A) = 0 \leftrightarrow T_{\mathcal{M}(w)} f = g$.

Thanks!