

# Introduction to Stokes structures

## III: A RH correspondence with lattices and the case of singularities

Claus Hertling

Universität Mannheim

13.04.2017

Program SISYPH DFG HE2287/4-1

# Plan

- Riemann-Hilbert correspondence for **holomorphic** flat bundles  $(H, \nabla)$  on  $(\Delta, 0)$  with extra assumptions.
- The case of holomorphic functions with isolated singularities, via this RH correspondence and a topological Fourier-Laplace transformation.

## Situation

$\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}$  disk around 0 with coordinate  $z$ .

$(H \rightarrow \Delta, \nabla)$  hol. bundle with hol. flat connection  $\nabla$  on  $H|_{\mathbb{C}^*}$  with merom. pole at  $z = 0$ . Equivalent:  $(\mathcal{H}_0 := \mathcal{O}(H)_0, \nabla_{\partial_z})$ .

Assumptions:

- (i) The formal decomposition (Level-Turrittin) of  $(\mathcal{H}_0[z^{-1}], \nabla_{\partial_z})$  works without ramification.
- (ii) The pole is pure of level  $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ , i.e. order  $q + 1$ :  
The exponentials  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$  are

$$\varphi = \frac{u_i}{z^q} + \text{lower terms} \in z^{-1}\mathbb{C}[z^{-1}]$$

with  $u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $u_i \neq u_j$  for  $i \neq j$ .

- (iii)  $(\mathcal{H}_0, \nabla_{\partial_z})$  has a pole of order  $q + 1$  (only).

## Formal decomposition

A notation:  $(\mathcal{E}^\varphi, \nabla_{\partial_z}^\varphi) := (\mathbb{C}\{z\}, d + d\varphi)$ . Write  $\mathcal{E}^\varphi$  for  $(\mathcal{E}^\varphi, \nabla_{\partial_z}^\varphi)$ .

(i)&(ii)&(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  The formal decomposition (Levelt-Turrittin) works also for  $(H, \nabla)$ :  $\exists$  formal isom

$$\Psi_{\text{for}} : (\mathcal{H}_0, \nabla_{\partial_z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}\{z\}} \mathbb{C}[[z]] \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{E}^{-\varphi_i} \otimes (\mathcal{H}_0^{\text{reg},i}, \nabla_{\partial_z}^{\text{reg},i}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}\{z\}} \mathbb{C}[[z]],$$

here  $(H^{\text{reg},i}, \nabla^{\text{reg},i})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , is a hol. flat bundle on  $(\Delta, 0)$  with regular singular pole of order  $\leq q + 1$ .

## Sectorial decomposition

Also the sectorial decomposition (Hukuhara-Turrittin) works:  
 For any small interval  $I \subset S^1$  a lift  $\Psi_I$  of  $\Psi_{for}$  exists, an isomorphism

$$\Psi_I : (\mathcal{H}_0, \nabla_{\partial_z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}\{z\}} \mathcal{A}_{S^1|I} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{E}^{-\varphi} \otimes (\mathcal{H}_0^{reg,i}, \nabla_{\partial_z}^{reg,i}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}\{z\}} \mathcal{A}_{S^1|I}.$$

Next slides: • Read off the Stokes filtration from  $\Psi_I$ .

• Claim: Fix  $I \subset S^1$ . Then

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Sectorial} \\ \text{isomorphisms } \Psi_I \end{array} \right\} \xleftrightarrow{1:1} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Joint splittings} \\ \text{of all Stokes} \\ \text{filtrations over } I \end{array} \right\}$$

Recall that here (pure level  $q$ ) joint splittings exist if  $\text{length}(I) \leq \pi/q + \varepsilon$ , and a unique one if  $\text{length}(I) = \pi/q + \varepsilon$ .

## Local system and Stokes filtrations

Recall: The set  $S^{dir} \subset S^1$  of Stokes directions is here

$$S^{dir} = \bigcup_{i \neq j} \left\{ \xi \in S^1 \mid \Re\left(\frac{u_i - u_j}{\xi^q}\right) = 0 \right\} \quad (2q \text{ directions for each } i \neq j).$$

For  $\xi \in S^1 - S^{dir}$

$$i <_{\xi} j : \iff \Re\left(\frac{u_i - u_j}{\xi^q}\right) < 0 \iff e^{\varphi_i - \varphi_j} \in \mathcal{A}_{S^1, \xi}^{rd0}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &:= \text{local system ass. to } L := H|_{S^1}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{\leq i, \xi} &:= \mathcal{L}_{\leq \varphi_i, \xi} := \mathcal{L}_{\xi} \cap e^{\varphi_i} \cdot \mathcal{H}_0 \otimes \mathcal{A}_{S^1, \xi}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{so } \sigma \in \mathcal{L}_{\xi} \text{ is in } \mathcal{L}_{\leq i, \xi} \iff e^{-\varphi_i} \cdot \sigma \in \mathcal{H}_0 \otimes \mathcal{A}_{S^1, \xi}.$$

## Quotient of the Stokes filtrations and reg. sing. bundles

$$\mathcal{L}^{reg,i} := \text{local system ass. to } L^{reg,i} := H^{reg,i}|_{S^1},$$

$$\mathcal{L}^{reg} := \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}^{reg,i}, \quad L^{reg} := \bigoplus_{i=1}^n H^{reg,i}|_{S^1}.$$

Fix  $\xi \in S^1 - S^{dir}$  and choose a sectorial isom  $\Psi_I$  for some  $I \subset S^1$  with  $\xi \in I$ . It induces a flat isom

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_I^{flat} : \mathcal{L}|_I &\rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{reg}|_I \\ \text{with } \mathcal{L}_{\leq i, \xi} &\mapsto \bigoplus_{j \leq \xi i} \mathcal{L}_\xi^{reg,j}. \end{aligned}$$

This induces an isom

$$Gr_i \mathcal{L}_\xi \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_\xi^{reg,i}$$

which is in fact independent of the choice of  $\Psi_I$  and which extends to a global isom

$$Gr_i \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{reg,i}.$$

# 1:1 correspondence sectorial isom's and joint splittings

Read off the Stokes filtration from  $\Psi_I$ :

$$(\Psi_I^{flat})^{-1}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}^{reg,i}|_I) = \mathcal{L}|_I$$

is a joint splitting for all Stokes filtrations  $\mathcal{L}_{\leq \bullet, \zeta}$  for  $\zeta \in I - S^{dir}$ .

Theorem: Fix  $I$ . Then

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Sectorial} \\ \text{isomorphisms } \Psi_I \end{array} \right\} \xleftrightarrow{1:1} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Joint splittings} \\ \text{of all Stokes} \\ \text{filtrations over } I \end{array} \right\}$$



# A RH correspondence for holomorphic bundles

Theorem:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Hol. flat bundles} \\ (H, \nabla) \text{ on } (\Delta, 0) \\ \text{with (i)\&(ii)\&(iii)} \end{array} \right\} \xleftrightarrow{1:1} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \in z^{-1}\mathbb{C}[z^{-1}] \text{ with (ii),} \\ \text{Stokes data } (\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}_{\leq \bullet}, \mathcal{L}^{reg} \cong Gr\mathcal{L}), \\ \text{reg. sing. bundles } (\mathcal{H}_0^{reg,i}, \nabla_{\partial_z}^{reg,i}) \\ \text{which fit to } \mathcal{L}^{reg,i} \\ \text{with poles of order } \leq q + 1 \end{array} \right\}$$

For later use: The Stokes filtrations on the dual local system  $\mathcal{L}^\vee$  are

$$\mathcal{L}_{\geq i, \xi}^\vee = \{ \sigma \in \mathcal{L}_\xi^\vee \mid \forall \omega \in \mathcal{H}_0 \quad \langle \omega, \sigma \rangle \in e^{-\varphi_i} \cdot \mathcal{A}_\xi^{\text{mod } 0} \}, \quad \xi \in S^1 - S^{dir}.$$

## Functions with isol. singularities and nice topology

$\Delta = \Delta_\eta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < \eta\}$ ,  $X \subset \mathbb{C}^N$  Stein manifold of dim  $m$ ,  
 $f : X \rightarrow \Delta$  hol. function with (only) isolated singularities and *nice topology* (def. below).

$$\Sigma := \{u_1, \dots, u_n\} := f(\text{Sing}(f)) = \{\text{critical values}\} \subset \Delta,$$

$$\mu := \sum_{x \in \text{Sing}(f)} \mu(f, x) \quad \text{global Milnor number,}$$

$$\mu_i := \sum_{x \in \text{Sing}(f^{-1}(u_i))} \mu(f, x), \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i = \mu.$$

Notations:  $\Delta(u_i, \delta) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z - u_i| < \delta\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ ,  
 $B^m(x, \varepsilon) := \{y \in X \mid \|y - x\| < \varepsilon\} \subset X \quad (\|\cdot\| \text{ in } \mathbb{C}^N).$

## Nice topology


Nice topology means:

$f : X \rightarrow \Delta$  is outside of  $\Sigma$  a  $C^\infty$  locally trivial fibration, and

$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \exists \delta > 0 \quad \text{s.t.}$

$$f : f^{-1}(\Delta(u_i, \delta)) \cap \left( X - \bigcup_{x \in \text{Sing}(f^{-1}(u_i))} B^m(x, \varepsilon) \right) \rightarrow \Delta(u_i, \delta)$$

is a  $C^\infty$  locally trivial fibration.



## Theorem

Theorem: (folklore? Pham? Douai-Sabbah 03) A Fourier-Laplace transformation of the Gauss-Manin system of  $f : X \rightarrow \Delta$  yields a hol. flat bundle  $(H, \nabla)$  on  $(\mathbb{C}, 0)$  with (i)&(ii)&(iii) and more: formal decomposition without ramification,  $(\mathcal{H}_0[z^{-1}], \nabla_{\partial_z})$  has a pole of pure level 1, i.e. order 2 (or a reg. sing. pole),  $(\mathcal{H}_0, \nabla_{\partial_z})$  has a pole of order  $\leq 2$ , the exponential factors are  $\varphi = \frac{u_i}{z}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,

$$(\mathcal{H}_0^{reg,i}, \nabla_{\partial_z}) \cong FL \left( \bigoplus_{x \in \text{Sing}(f^{-1}(u_i))} (\text{Brieskorn lattice of the germ } (f, x)) \right),$$

so  $\mathcal{H}_0^{reg,i} \subset V^{>0}(\mathcal{H}_0^{reg,i}[z^{-1}])$  ( $V^\bullet =$  Kashiwara-Malgrange filt.).

## Continuation of the theorem

Local system  $\mathcal{L} \supset \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  local system of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattices of rank  $\mu$ ,  
compatible with all  $\mathcal{L}_{\leq i, \xi}$ ,  
a pairing  $P : \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{Z}, \xi} \times \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{Z}, -\xi} \rightarrow \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^m} \cdot \mathbb{Z}$  with good properties,  
more ... [pol MHS from  $(H^{reg, i}, \nabla^{reg, i})$ , mixed TERP str].

Now first (fast) approach via  $D$ -modules, following Sabbah 98.

Later second (more detailed) approach via the RH correspondence above and a topological Fourier-Laplace transformation which leads to the Stokes data.

## $D$ -modules in the algebraic case

First approach. Restrict to the case:  $X$  affine alg. manifold,  
 $\mathbb{C}$  instead of  $\Delta$ ,  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$   $M$ -tame function (def. not here).  
Gauss-Manin system

$$M := H^m(\Omega^\bullet(X), [\partial_\tau]), d_f) = \frac{\Omega^m(X)[\partial_\tau]}{d_f \Omega^{m-1}(X)[\partial_\tau]},$$
$$M_0 := \text{image of } \Omega^m(X) \text{ in } M \quad (= \text{Brieskorn lattice}),$$

$M_0$  is a free  $\mathbb{C}[\tau]$ -module of some finite rank (often  $\neq \mu$ ).

$$G := FL(M)[z] = FL(M[\partial_\tau^{-1}]),$$
$$G_0 := \mathbb{C}[z]\text{-module in } G \text{ generated by the image of } M_0 \text{ in } G$$
$$= \{\text{global sections with moderate growth at } \infty \text{ of } (H, \nabla)\}.$$


This defines  $(H, \nabla)$ .  $G_0$  is a free  $\mathbb{C}[z]$ -module of rank  $\mu$ .

## Picture of Lefschetz thimbles

$\mathcal{L}^\vee :=$  dual local system on  $S^1$

$\supset \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{Z}}^\vee =$  local system of Lefschetz thimbles.

$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{Z}, \xi}^\vee \cong H_{\mathbb{Z}, \xi}^\vee \cong H_m(X, \{x \mid \Re(f(x)/\xi) \gg 0\}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^\mu$   
 $= \mathbb{Z}$ -lattice generated by hom. classes of Lefschetz thimbles




## Semisimple case

Semisimple case:  $n = \mu$  (i.e. only  $A_1$ -sing, all crit. values different).

Suppose  $\Re(u_1) < \dots < \Re(u_\mu)$ .

Lefschetz thimble  $\Gamma_i^+$  above  $\gamma_i^+$ , Lefschetz thimble  $\Gamma_i^-$  above  $\gamma_i^-$ ,

Lefschetz thimble  $M^{1/2}\Gamma_i^+$  above bended path  $\tilde{\gamma}_i^+$ .



$$(M^{1/2}\Gamma_1^+, \dots, M^{1/2}\Gamma_\mu^+) = (\Gamma_1^-, \dots, \Gamma_\mu^-) \cdot S^t, \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{Stokes matrix.}$$



## Splittings and pairings

$$I^+ := \left\{ \xi \in S^1 \mid \arg \xi \in \left] -\frac{\pi}{2} - \varepsilon, \frac{\pi}{2} + \varepsilon \right[ \right\}, \quad I^- := -I^+.$$

The unique joint splitting of all  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{Z}, \geq \bullet, \xi}^\vee$

$$\text{for all } \xi \in I^a - S^{dir} : \quad \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\mu} \mathbb{Z} \cdot \Gamma_i^a \quad \text{for } a \in \{\pm 1\}.$$

Intersection form for Lefschetz thimbles  $P_{Lef}$  (also non-ss case):

$$P_{Lef} : \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{Z}, \xi}^\vee \times \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{Z}, -\xi}^\vee \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{unimodular,}$$

$$P_{Lef}((\Gamma_1^+, \dots, \Gamma_\mu^+)^t, (\Gamma_1^-, \dots, \Gamma_\mu^-)) = (-1)^{m(m+1)/2} \cdot \mathbf{1}_\mu$$

Define  $P^{Lef}$  on  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  by duality, define  $P := \frac{(-1)^{m(m+1)/2}}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^m} \cdot P^{Lef}$ .

Then  $P : \mathcal{H}_0 \times \mathcal{H}_0 \rightarrow z^m \mathbb{C}\{z\}$       K. Saito's pairing.

## Second approach

First construct  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\vee}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_{\geq i, \mathbb{Z}, \xi}^{\vee}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\text{reg}, i, \vee}$  (by a top. FL trf.).

$f : X \rightarrow \Delta$  as above. Choose a small  $\delta$ ,  $\Delta_i := \Delta(u_i, \delta) \subset \Delta$ .

$$H_{\text{hom}, \mathbb{Z}} := \bigcup_{\tau \in \Delta - \Sigma} H_{m-1}(f^{-1}(\tau), \mathbb{Z})/\text{torsion}$$

(middle hom. bundle),

$$(H_{\text{vc}, i, \mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \Delta_i^*) := \bigoplus_{x \in \text{Sing}(f^{-1}(u_i))} \text{(middle hom. bundle of } (f, x)\text{)},$$


$$H_{\text{vc}, i, \mathbb{Z}} \hookrightarrow H_{\text{hom}, \mathbb{Z}}|_{\Delta_i^*} \quad \mathbb{Z}\text{-sublattice bundle}$$

$$(H_{\text{vc}, \mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \Delta - \Sigma) := \text{smallest } \mathbb{Z}\text{-sublattice bundle of } H_{\text{hom}, \mathbb{Z}} \\ \text{with } H_{\text{vc}, \mathbb{Z}}|_{\Delta_i^*} \supset H_{\text{vc}, i, \mathbb{Z}}.$$

## Monodromy and pairings

Now forget  $H_{hom, \mathbb{Z}}$ ,  
keep  $H_{VC, \mathbb{Z}}$ ,  $H_{VC, i, \mathbb{Z}}$ .

$M_i := \text{Mon on } H_{VC, \mathbb{Z}} |_{\Delta_i^*}$ .  
 $M_i$  is on  $H_{VC, i, \mathbb{Z}}$  quasiunipotent,  
on  $H_{VC, \mathbb{Z}} |_{\Delta_i^*} / H_{VC, i, \mathbb{Z}}$  trivial.



$\exists$  flat pairings:

$$I_{int} : H_{VC, \mathbb{Z}, \tau} \times H_{VC, \mathbb{Z}, \tau} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{for } \tau \in \Delta - \Sigma,$$

$$P_i : H_{VC, i, \mathbb{Z}, u_i + \tau} \times H_{VC, i, \mathbb{Z}, u_i - \tau} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{for } u_i + \tau \in \partial \Delta_i,$$

$I_{int}$  intersection form,  $(-1)^{m-1}$ -symmetric,

$P_i$  unimodular and  $(-1)^m$ -symmetric.

Compatibility: for  $a \in H_{VC, \mathbb{Z}, u_i + \tau}$ ,  $b \in H_{VC, i, \mathbb{Z}, u_i + \tau}$ ,  $|\tau| = \delta$ ,

$$I_{int}(a, b) = (-1)^{m+1} P_i(M_i^{-1/2}(M_i - \text{id})(a), b).$$

## Shadows of Lefschetz thimbles

Consider for  $(i, \xi) \in \{1, \dots, n\} \times S^1$  the space of paths

$$\Pi_{i,\xi} := \left\{ \text{paths } \gamma_i \text{ from } u_i \text{ to } \xi \cdot \eta \in \partial\Delta \mid \right. \\ \left. \text{image}(\gamma_i) \cap \partial\Delta = \{\text{one point } p_i\} \right\}.$$

Any  $(\gamma_i, \delta_i) \in \Pi_{i,\xi} \times H_{\text{vc},i,\mathbb{Z},p_i}$  is a *shadow of a Lefschetz thimble*.

Theorem: (folklore? H., unpublished; similar to Bloch-Esnault 04, Hien 09, Mochizuki 10)

$\forall \xi \in S^1 \exists$  chain complex  $C_2(\xi) \xrightarrow{\partial_2} C_1(\xi) \xrightarrow{\partial_1} C_0(\xi)$  with

$$\begin{aligned} H_1(C_\bullet(\xi)) &= \mathbb{Z}\text{-lattice of rank } \mu \text{ of shadows of Lefschetz thimbles} \\ &= \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z} \cdot \Pi_{i,\xi} \times H_{\text{vc},i,\mathbb{Z},\xi} \right) / \sim \quad (\sim \text{ suitable eq. rel.}) \\ &=: L_{\mathbb{Z},\xi}^\vee. \end{aligned}$$

## Eq. rel. by example, theorem continued

Example:


$$\gamma_1 \in \Pi_{1,\xi}, \gamma_2, \gamma_3 \in \Pi_{2,\xi}$$

$$\delta \in H_{vc,2,\mathbb{Z},p_2}$$

$$\nabla_\gamma : H_{vc,\mathbb{Z},\gamma(0)} \rightarrow H_{vc,\mathbb{Z},\gamma(1)}$$

parallel transport along  $\gamma$

$$(\gamma_3, \delta) \sim (\gamma_2, \delta) + (\gamma_1, (M_1 - \text{id})(\nabla_{\gamma_1^{-1}} \nabla_{\gamma_2}(\delta)))$$



Theorem continued:  $\exists$  natural (induced) pairing

$$P_{Lef} : L_{\mathbb{Z},\xi}^{\vee} \times L_{\mathbb{Z},-\xi}^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \text{ unimodular, flat, } (-1)^m \text{ symmetric.}$$

$L_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\vee} := \bigcup_{\xi \in S^1} L_{\mathbb{Z},\xi}^{\vee}$  is a flat  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice bundle of rank  $\mu$ .


## Stokes filtrations in terms of paths

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{Z}, \geq i, \xi}^{\vee} = \left\{ \left[ \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_k a_{jk} (\gamma_j^{(k)}, \delta_j^{(k)}) \right] \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{Z}, \xi}^{\vee} \mid a_{jk} \in \mathbb{Z}, \right. \\ \left. (\gamma_j^{(k)}, \delta_j^{(k)}) \in \Pi_{j, \xi}, \quad \delta_j^{(k)} \times H_{\text{vc}, j, \mathbb{Z}, \xi}, \right. \\ \left. \text{image}(\gamma_j^{(k)}) \subset \left\{ \tau \in \Delta \mid \Re\left(\frac{\tau - u_i}{\xi}\right) \geq 0 \right\} \right\}.$$

In the picture


lines:  $\in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{Z}, \geq 1, \xi}^{\vee}$

dotted lines:  $\notin \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{Z}, \geq 1, \xi}^{\vee}$



## Unique splitting in terms of paths

Let  $I \subset S^1$  be an interval of length  $\pi + \varepsilon$  with midpoint  $\xi_0 \in S^1$  and  $\pm i\xi_0 \notin S^{dir}$ . One can see the unique joint splitting for all  $\xi \in I$ :



from deformations of paths which move in the direction of  $\xi_0$  until they meet  $\partial\Delta$ .

## Regular singular pieces

With  $\alpha_i : \partial\Delta_i \rightarrow S^1$ ,  $u + \tau \mapsto \tau/\delta$ , define

$$L^{reg,i,\vee} := \alpha_i^* H_{vc,i,\mathbb{Z}}|_{\partial\Delta_i}.$$

Then

$$Gr_i L_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\vee} \cong L_{\mathbb{Z}}^{reg,i,\vee}.$$

Define

$$(\mathcal{H}_0^{reg,i}, \nabla_{\partial_z}) := FL \left( \bigoplus_{x \in \text{Sing}(f^{-1}(u_i))} \text{Brieskorn lattice of the germ } (f, x) \right).$$

All data on the right hand side of the RH correspondence above are defined. This determines  $(H, \nabla)$ .



## $(H, \nabla)$ by a FL transformation

$(H_{vc, \mathbb{C}}^{\vee} \rightarrow \Delta - \Sigma) :=$  flat bundle dual to  $(H_{vc, \mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \Delta - \Sigma)$ .  
Extend it to a hol flat bundle  $H_{BL} \rightarrow \Delta$  on  $(\Delta, \Sigma)$  with reg. singularities at  $\Sigma$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow \mathcal{O} \left( \begin{array}{l} \text{flat extension} \\ \text{to } u_i \text{ of } H_{vc, i, \mathbb{C}}^{\perp} \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{BL, u_i} \\ &\rightarrow FL \left( \bigoplus_{x \in \text{Sing}(f^{-1}(u_i))} \text{Brieskorn lattice of } (f, x) \right) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here observe that  $H_{vc, i, \mathbb{C}}^{\perp} \subset H_{vc, \mathbb{C}}^{\vee}|_{\Delta_i^*}$  has trivial monodromy.

## Global sections of $H$ with mod. growth at $\infty$

$$G_0 = \Gamma^{mod \infty}(H) := FL\left(\Gamma^{mod \infty} H_{BL}[\partial_\tau^{-1}]\right) = FL(\Gamma^{mod \infty} H_{BL})[z].$$

More concretely:  $H|_{S^1} = L =$  flat bundle on  $S^1$  dual to  $L^\vee$ .


$\omega \in \Gamma^{mod \infty}(H_{BL})$  defines a global hol. section  $[\omega]$  of  $H|_{\mathbb{C}^*}$  by

$$[\omega](z)((\gamma, \delta)) := \int_\gamma e^{-\tau/z} \cdot \omega(\delta(\tau)) d\tau.$$

$\Gamma^{mod \infty}(H) :=$  the  $\mathbb{C}[z]$ -module generated by such sections.

## A path argument for a linear combination

Seemisimple example (relevant for the mirror partner of  $\mathbb{P}^n$ ):



Suppose  $\Gamma_1 + \alpha_2\Gamma_2 + \alpha_3\Gamma_3 = \alpha_4\Gamma_4 + \alpha_5\Gamma_5 + \Gamma_6$  for some  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Then

$$\Gamma \stackrel{!}{=} \Gamma_1 + \alpha_2\Gamma_2 + \alpha_3\Gamma_3 = \alpha_4\Gamma_4 + \alpha_5\Gamma_5 + \Gamma_6.$$