

Stable solitons in the 1D cubic-quintic NLS with a delta-function potential

François Genoud
TU Delft

Joint work with
Boris A. Malomed and Rada M. Weishäupl

CIRM, June 15, 2017

The cubic-quintic NLS with a δ -potential

We consider the nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$i\psi_z + \psi_{xx} + \epsilon\delta(x)\psi + 2|\psi|^2\psi - |\psi|^4\psi = 0, \quad (\text{NLS})$$

for $\psi = \psi(x, z) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

This combination of nonlinearities is well known in nonlinear waveguides, including colloidal waveguides.

The delta-function models the interaction of a broad beam with a narrow **trapping potential**, with coupling constant $\epsilon > 0$.

The Cauchy problem for (NLS) is globally well-posed in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$.

(NLS) is the **paraxial approximation** of the nonlinear Helmholtz equation governing TE/TM modes in the waveguide.

Solitons

We look for standing waves of the form $\psi(x, z) = e^{ikz} u(x)$, with $k > 0$ and a **real-valued soliton profile** $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$. This ansatz leads to the stationary equation

$$u'' - ku + \epsilon\delta(x)u + 2u^3 - u^5 = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (\text{SNLS})$$

Orbital stability of standing waves relies on properties of the solutions of (SNLS) with respect to the wavenumber k (Vakhitov–Kolokolov '73 ... Grillakis–Shatah–Strauss '87).

Our approach here is twofold:

- ▶ First determine all localised solutions u_k of (SNLS) explicitly.
- ▶ Then combine this information with spectral and bifurcation-theoretic properties to prove their stability.


A priori properties of solutions

Functions in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying

$$u'' - ku + \epsilon\delta(x)u + 2u^3 - u^5 = 0 \quad (\text{SNLS})$$

in the sense of distributions have the following properties:

- (i) $u'' - ku + 2u^3 - u^5 = 0$, $x \neq 0$;
- (ii) $\pm u > 0$ on \mathbb{R} ;
- (iii) u is even on \mathbb{R} ;
- (iv) $u \in C(\mathbb{R}) \cap C^2(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}) \cap H^2(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$;
- (v) $u'(0^\pm) = \mp \frac{\epsilon}{2} u(0)$;
- (vi) $u(x), u'(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.



$$\epsilon = 0$$




Figure: For $\epsilon = 0$, plot of $\|u\|_{L^2}$ against $k \in (0, \frac{3}{4})$.

For $\epsilon = 0$ the solutions u_k are given by (Pushkarov *et al.* '79)

$$u_k(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2k}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{4k}{3}} \cosh(2\sqrt{k}x)}}, \quad 0 < k < \frac{3}{4}.$$

$$0 < \epsilon < \sqrt{3}$$




Figure: For $\epsilon = 0.5 \cdot \sqrt{3}$, plot of $\|u\|_{L^2}$ against $k \in (\frac{\epsilon^2}{4}, \frac{3}{4} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{4}]$.

Two solutions coexist for each given wavenumber $k > \frac{3}{4}$, with a **fold bifurcation** occurring at $\bar{k}_\epsilon = \frac{3}{4} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{4}$. As we will see, the explicit formulas for the solitons are much more involved than for $\epsilon = 0\dots$




Figure: Soliton profiles for $\epsilon = 0.5 \cdot \sqrt{3}$.

$$\epsilon \approx \sqrt{3}$$




Figure: For $\epsilon = 0.9 \cdot \sqrt{3}$, plot of $\|u\|_{L^2}$ against $k \in (\frac{\epsilon^2}{4}, \frac{3}{4} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{4}]$.

We will show that **all solutions on the curve are orbitally stable.**

Literature

Nonlinear optics:

Gisin–Driben–Malomed (2004)

Birnbaum–Malomed (2008)

Mathematical stability analysis:

Fukuizumi–Ohta–Ozawa (2008)

Jeanjean–Fukuizumi (2008)

Le Coz–Fukuizumi–Fibich–Ksherim–Sivan (2008)

Explicit form of the solutions for $0 < \epsilon < \sqrt{3}$

For $\frac{\epsilon^2}{4} < k < \frac{3}{4}$: only one soliton for each k , given by

$$u_{-,k,\epsilon}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2k}{1 + \frac{\epsilon + \epsilon\sqrt{1+(4k/\epsilon^2-1)(1-4k/3)}}{4(\sqrt{k}-\epsilon/2)} e^{2\sqrt{k}|x|} + \frac{(1-4k/3)(\sqrt{k}-\epsilon/2)}{\epsilon + \epsilon\sqrt{1+(4k/\epsilon^2-1)(1-4k/3)}} e^{-2\sqrt{k}|x|}}}$$

At $k = 3/4$, this reduces to

$$u_{-,3/4,\epsilon}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{1 + \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{3}-\epsilon} e^{\sqrt{3}|x|}}}$$

For $\frac{3}{4} < k < \frac{3}{4} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{4}$: two solitons for each k , given by

$$u_{\pm,k,\epsilon}(x) = 2 \sqrt{\frac{k}{\left(e^{\sqrt{k}(|x|-c)} + e^{-\sqrt{k}(|x|-c)}\right) \left(\left(2\sqrt{\frac{k}{3}} + 1\right)e^{\sqrt{k}(|x|-c)} - \left(2\sqrt{\frac{k}{3}} - 1\right)e^{-\sqrt{k}(|x|-c)}\right)}},$$

where the integration constants $c = c_{\pm,k,\epsilon} \in \mathbb{R}$ can be determined from the values $u_{\pm,k,\epsilon}(0)$, which yields

$$e^{\sqrt{k}c_{-,k,\epsilon}} = \sqrt{\frac{3 - \sqrt{3}\sqrt{3 + \epsilon^2 - 4k} + 2\epsilon\sqrt{k} - 4k}{-3 + \sqrt{3}\sqrt{3 + \epsilon^2 - 4k} + 2\sqrt{3}\sqrt{k} - 2\sqrt{k}\sqrt{3 + \epsilon^2 - 4k}}}$$

and

$$e^{\sqrt{k}c_{+,k,\epsilon}} = \sqrt{\frac{-3 - \sqrt{3}\sqrt{3 + \epsilon^2 - 4k} - 2\epsilon\sqrt{k} + 4k}{3 + \sqrt{3}\sqrt{3 + \epsilon^2 - 4k} - 2\sqrt{3}\sqrt{k} - 2\sqrt{k}\sqrt{3 + \epsilon^2 - 4k}}}.$$

At the fold bifurcation point $(\bar{k}_\epsilon = \frac{3}{4} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{4})$ the solution takes the more tractable form

$$\bar{u}_\epsilon(x) = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{3 + \epsilon^2}{3 + \epsilon^2 \cosh(\sqrt{3 + \epsilon^2}|x|) + \epsilon\sqrt{3 + \epsilon^2} \sinh(\sqrt{3 + \epsilon^2}|x|)}}.$$

We will see that this expression is useful in the local spectral analysis at the fold bifurcation point $(\bar{k}_\epsilon, \bar{u}_\epsilon)$.

Bifurcation and spectral properties

We call **lower curve**, respectively **upper curve**, the sets

$$\mathcal{S}_{-, \epsilon} = \{(k, u_{-, k, \epsilon}) : k \in (\frac{\epsilon^2}{4}, \bar{k}_\epsilon)\},$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{+, \epsilon} = \{(k, u_{+, k, \epsilon}) : k \in (\frac{3}{4}, \bar{k}_\epsilon)\}.$$

We then define

$$\mathcal{S}_\epsilon := \mathcal{S}_{-, \epsilon} \cup \{(\bar{k}_\epsilon, \bar{u}_\epsilon)\} \cup \mathcal{S}_{+, \epsilon}.$$

We also let $F_\epsilon : \mathbb{R} \times H^1(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$F_\epsilon(k, u) = -u'' + ku - \epsilon\delta(x)u - 2u^3 + u^5,$$

so that (SNLS) reads $F_\epsilon(k, u) = 0$.

Theorem 1

(i) The set \mathcal{S}_ϵ is a smooth curve in $\mathbb{R} \times H^1(\mathbb{R})$, and we have

$$\lim_{k \downarrow \frac{\epsilon^2}{4}} \|u_{-,k,\epsilon}\|_{H^1} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{k \downarrow \frac{3}{4}} \|u_{+,k,\epsilon}\|_{L^2} = \infty.$$

(ii) The linearised operator

$$D_u F_\epsilon(k, u) = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + k - \epsilon\delta(x) - 6u^2(x) + 5u^4(x),$$

is non-singular along $\mathcal{S}_{\pm,\epsilon}$, and singular at $(k, u) = (\bar{k}_\epsilon, \bar{u}_\epsilon)$, with

$$\ker D_u F_\epsilon(\bar{k}_\epsilon, \bar{u}_\epsilon) = \text{span}\{|\bar{u}'_\epsilon|\}.$$

(iii) Furthermore, $D_u F_\epsilon(k, u)$ has a strictly positive continuous spectrum, and

- exactly one negative eigenvalue along $\mathcal{S}_{-,\epsilon}$;
- no negative eigenvalues along $\mathcal{S}_{+,\epsilon}$.

Proof

- The bifurcations from $u = 0$ and from infinity follow by standard bifurcation theory.
- ODE arguments show that $D_u F_\epsilon(k, u)$ is an isomorphism for $k \neq \bar{k}_\epsilon$ and that $D_u F_\epsilon(\bar{k}_\epsilon, \bar{u}_\epsilon) = \text{span}\{|\bar{u}'_\epsilon|\}$.
- The smoothness of $\mathcal{S}_{\pm, \epsilon}$ follows from the the implicit function theorem and the non-degeneracy of the solutions on $\mathcal{S}_{\pm, \epsilon}$.
- One negative eigenvalue along $\mathcal{S}_{-, \epsilon}$ follows from the case $\epsilon = 0$ by analytic perturbation theory.

Then it only remains to prove that:

- ▶ $\mathcal{S}_{-, \epsilon}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{+, \epsilon}$ meet smoothly at $(\bar{k}_\epsilon, \bar{u}_\epsilon)$.
- ▶ The first eigenvalue crosses zero with non-zero speed (and so doesn't bounce back) as one passes through $(\bar{k}_\epsilon, \bar{u}_\epsilon)$.

Local analysis at the fold

At the fold bifurcation point, parametrisation by k breaks down. However, following [Crandall and Rabinowitz '73](#), the curve can be locally reparametrised about $(\bar{k}_\epsilon, \bar{u}_\epsilon)$ as a smooth curve

$$\{(k(s), u(s)) : s \in (-\eta, \eta)\} \subset \mathbb{R} \times H^1(\mathbb{R}) \quad (\eta > 0 \text{ small})$$

so that, at $s = 0$,

$$(k(0), u(0)) = (\bar{k}_\epsilon, \bar{u}_\epsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad (\dot{k}(0), \dot{u}(0)) = (0, |\bar{u}'_\epsilon|),$$

where $\ker D_u F_\epsilon(\bar{k}_\epsilon, \bar{u}_\epsilon) = \text{span}\{|\bar{u}'_\epsilon|\}$.

Now, the first eigenvalue $\mu(s)$ of $D_u F_\epsilon(k(s), u(s))$ satisfies $\mu(0) = 0$, and we only need to show that $\dot{\mu}(0) \neq 0$.

Using the reparametrisation and ODE arguments, one shows that

$$\dot{\mu}_0 = \frac{4 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (5\bar{u}_\epsilon^2 - 3)\bar{u}_\epsilon |\bar{u}'_\epsilon|^3}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\bar{u}'_\epsilon|^2}.$$

Thanks to the explicit formulas for \bar{u}_ϵ and $|\bar{u}'_\epsilon|$, a numerical computation yields




Figure: The graph of $f(\epsilon) := \int_0^\infty (5\bar{u}_\epsilon^2 - 3)\bar{u}_\epsilon |\bar{u}'_\epsilon|^3$.



Stability

Due to the $U(1)$ -invariance of (NLS), the appropriate notion of stability in this context is that of orbital stability.

Definition

We say that the standing wave $\psi_k(x, z) = e^{ikz} u_k(x)$ is *orbitally stable* if

for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

for any solution $\varphi(x, z)$ of (NLS) with initial data $\varphi(\cdot, 0) \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ there holds

$$\|\varphi(\cdot, 0) - u_k\|_{H^1} \leq \delta \implies \inf_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \|\varphi(\cdot, z) - e^{i\theta} u_k\|_{H^1} \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } z \geq 0.$$

Theorem 2

The whole curve \mathcal{S}_ϵ consists of orbitally stable standing waves.

Proof.

We use the general theory of Grillakis–Shatah–Strauss:

- (I) We know that the spectrum of $D_u F_\epsilon(k, u_{+,k,\epsilon})$ is strictly positive, for all $k \in (\frac{3}{4}, \bar{k}_\epsilon)$, so the upper curve is stable.
- (II) We also know that, for all $k \in (\frac{\epsilon^2}{4}, \bar{k}_\epsilon)$, $D_u F_\epsilon(k, u_{-,k,\epsilon})$ has exactly one simple negative eigenvalue, is non-singular, and the rest of its spectrum is strictly positive.

Hence, to complete the proof, we only need to verify the slope condition:

$$\frac{d}{dk} \|u_{-,k,\epsilon}\|_{L^2}^2 > 0 \quad \forall k \in (\frac{\epsilon^2}{4}, \bar{k}_\epsilon).$$

Firstly, for $k \in (\frac{3}{4}, \bar{k}_\epsilon)$, it follows from the expression

$$u_{-,k,\epsilon}(x) = 2 \sqrt{\frac{k}{\left(e^{\sqrt{k}(|x|-c)} + e^{-\sqrt{k}(|x|-c)}\right) \left(\left(2\sqrt{\frac{k}{3}} + 1\right)e^{\sqrt{k}(|x|-c)} - \left(2\sqrt{\frac{k}{3}} - 1\right)e^{-\sqrt{k}(|x|-c)}\right)}},$$

that

$$\frac{d}{dk} \|u_{-,k,\epsilon}\|_{L^2}^2 = \frac{\frac{2\sqrt{3}\epsilon}{\sqrt{3+\epsilon^2-4k}} - \frac{3}{\sqrt{k}}}{4k-3} > 0.$$

N.B. Similarly,

$$\frac{d}{dk} \|u_{+,k,\epsilon}\|_{L^2}^2 = -\frac{\frac{2\sqrt{3}\epsilon}{\sqrt{3+\epsilon^2-4k}} + \frac{3}{\sqrt{k}}}{4k-3} < 0 \quad \forall k \in \left(\frac{3}{4}, \bar{k}_\epsilon\right).$$

For $k < 3/4$, a straightforward (but painful) calculation using

$$u_{-,k,\epsilon}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2k}{1 + \frac{\epsilon + \epsilon\sqrt{1+(4k/\epsilon^2-1)(1-4k/3)}}{4(\sqrt{k}-\epsilon/2)}e^{2\sqrt{k}|x|} + \frac{(1-4k/3)(\sqrt{k}-\epsilon/2)}{\epsilon + \epsilon\sqrt{1+(4k/\epsilon^2-1)(1-4k/3)}}e^{-2\sqrt{k}|x|}}$$

shows that

$$\|u_{-,k,\epsilon}\|_{L^2}^2 = \sqrt{3} \log \varphi_\epsilon(k)$$

where

$$\varphi_\epsilon(k) := \frac{\sqrt{3}\epsilon + \sqrt{3\epsilon^2 + (4k - \epsilon^2)(3 - 4k)} + (\sqrt{3} + 2\sqrt{k})(2\sqrt{k} - \epsilon)}{\sqrt{3}\epsilon + \sqrt{3\epsilon^2 + (4k - \epsilon^2)(3 - 4k)} + (\sqrt{3} - 2\sqrt{k})(2\sqrt{k} - \epsilon)}.$$

Finally, $\frac{d}{dk}\varphi_\epsilon(k) =$

$$8\sqrt{k} \frac{\sqrt{3}\sqrt{3\epsilon^2 + (4k - \epsilon^2)(3 - 4k)} + 2\sqrt{k}(3 + \epsilon^2 - 2\epsilon\sqrt{k})}{\sqrt{3\epsilon^2 + (4k - \epsilon^2)(3 - 4k)}(\sqrt{3}\epsilon + \sqrt{3\epsilon^2 + (4k - \epsilon^2)(3 - 4k)} + (\sqrt{3} - 2\sqrt{k})(2\sqrt{k} - \epsilon))^2}$$

which is strictly positive since

$$k < \frac{3}{4} \implies 3 + \epsilon^2 - 2\epsilon\sqrt{k} > (\sqrt{3} - \epsilon)^2 + \sqrt{3}\epsilon > 0. \quad \square$$

Thank you!