

# Globally defined semi-analytic sets

(1)

Thanks. This is a joint work with F. Brödigk and J. F. Fernando. ~~The~~ Program

1) Motivation

2) Definitions and results

3) The set of points where a real analytic set is not coherent.

1) This work comes directly from H. Cartan, let me explain -

During the fifties of last century the theory of complex analytic spaces was developed mainly in France (Oka - Cartan - Serre - Grothendieck - Sémi-Cartan) and in Germany (Rückert, Benckhe, Stein, Remmert, Grauert -). Soon it was recognized that Oka coherence theorem, which is at the base of this development, did not hold true in the real case.

In other words if  $X \subset M$  is a real analytic set in a real analytic manifold the ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{I}_X \subset \mathcal{O}_M$  of analytic germs vanishing at  $X$  needs not to be coherent as in the complex case.

This fact led to two opposite positions: on one side Grothendieck considered the real case not interesting at all. On the other side Cartan, after finding several wild examples, indicates a

smaller class of real analytic sets with more tame behaviour. (2)

What does mean wild? For instance

$$X = \{ \rho(z)x^3 - z(x^2 + y^2) = 0 \} \text{ where } \rho(z) = \begin{cases} \exp \frac{1}{z-1} & \text{for } -1 < z < 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The fact that  $\rho(z)$  has an essential singularity at  $z = \pm 1$  forces any analytic function  $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  vanishing on  $X$  to be the zero function.

There are other examples (see <sup>Catlin</sup> Burchet-Catlin / <sup>Whitney-Burchet</sup> Whitney-Burchet) where the notion of irreducible component makes no sense.

The smallest class indicated by Catlin consists of those real analytic sets that lie in a complex Stein space and are the fixed point sets of an anti-holomorphic involution. For instance, if  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  there is an invariant Stein neighbourhood  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  a closed complex <sup>invariant</sup> subset  $\neq$  invariant subset  $Y \subset \Omega$  such that  $X = Y \cap \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Catlin says that the only ~~real~~ meaningful notion of real analytic set  <sup>$X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$</sup>  must refer to a complex analytic set in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  giving  $X$  by intersection with  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . He proves that these real analytic sets are the ones that can be defined as the common zero set of finitely many analytic functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

He says: "La notion de sous ensemble analytique <sup>(3)</sup> réel a ainsi un caractère essentiellement global, contrairement à ce qui avait lieu pour les sous-ensembles analytiques complexes"

After Whitney and Burchart we can define ~~for~~ a real analytic set  $X$  in a real analytic manifold  $M$

Def:  $X$  is  $C$ -analytic if there are  $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \mathcal{O}(M)$  such that  $X = \{x \in M \mid f_1(x) = \dots = f_k(x) = 0\}$ .

Considering also inequalities one comes to the definition of semianalytic set (Lojasiewicz)

Def:  $S \subset M$  is semianalytic if for all  $x \in M$  there is an open neighborhood  $U^x$  such that  $S \cap U^x$  is a finite union of sets of the form  $\{f=0, g_1 > 0, \dots, g_s > 0\}$  where  $f, g_1, \dots, g_s \in \mathcal{O}(U^x)$ .  $\angle$  come see i complex sets

The family of semianalytic sets is not stable by proper analytic maps and this led to the introduction of subanalytic sets (Lojasiewicz, Hironaka)

$\rightarrow$  We are interested in global properties <sup>of the map  $\mathcal{O}(M)$</sup>  You should consider ~~In our opinion~~ it is a natural question to ask <sup>for us</sup>

whether there is a more global notion of semianalytic set, at least for subsets of a  $C$ -analytic set in the sense of Cartan, i.e. whether there exist a class of semianalytic sets, only defined using

analytic functions on the ambient space with (4) good behaviour with respect to Boolean and topological operations.

A first tentative in this direction was explored by Andradóttir-Baöcker-Ruiz (with some compactness assumption) and by Andradóttir-Cortilla mainly in dimension 2.

They defined a "global semianalytic set" in a real analytic manifold  $M$  as a definable set of the ring  $\mathcal{O}(M)$ . But for the general case we do not know whether the closure or the connected components of a global semianalytic set is still global.

(No relation with the global subanalytic sets of  $\mathcal{O}$ -minimal structures. Here nothing is  $\mathcal{O}$ -minimal)

2) We propose the following definition:

Def  $S \subset M$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -semianalytic set if  $S = \bigcup_i S_i$  where the union is locally finite and  $S_i$  is a global semianalytic basic set  $S_i = \{f_i = 0, g_i > 0\}$ . This definition is equivalent to the following, more similar to the one of Lojasic.

Def  $S$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -semianalytic if and only if  $\forall x \in M$  there is an open neighborhood  $U^x$  such that  $S \cap U^x$  is a global semianalytic subset of  $M$ .

# Properties

$\mathbb{C}$ -semianalytic sets are stable by

- locally finite unions, intersection, complement
- closure and interior part, connected components
- inverse image under analytic maps.
- proper analytic maps between Stein spaces.

More precisely the last property says.

Theorem (direct image theorem)  
 $X, Y$  reduced Stein spaces equipped with anti-holom. involutions  $\sigma : X \rightarrow X, \tau : Y \rightarrow Y$  such that  $X^\sigma$  and  $Y^\tau$  are not empty.  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  proper analytic map.

1)  $S \subset X^\sigma$   $\mathbb{C}$ -analytic set described by immersion functions on  $X$  restricted to  $X^\sigma$ . Then  $f(S)$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -semianalytic in  $Y^\tau$ .

2)  $E = f^{-1}(Y^\tau) \cap X^\sigma$ , then  $f(E \cap S)$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -semianalytic

3)  $\forall f, f^{-1}(Y^\tau) = X^\sigma$  then  $f(S)$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -semianalytic whenever  $S$  is.

Note that a proper <sup>local</sup> map between Stein spaces is finite.

We have a nice characterization of such a map,

namely:  $y \in Y \quad f^{-1}(y) = \{x_1, \dots, x_p\}$ . Put

$S = \mathcal{O}(X) \setminus \mathfrak{m}_{x_1} \cup \dots \cup \mathfrak{m}_{x_p}$ . Then

$$S^{-1} \mathcal{O}(X) = \mathcal{O}(Y)_{\mathfrak{m}_y} [H_1 - H_m], \quad H_j \in \mathcal{O}(X)$$

Several subsets special subsets of a  $\mathbb{C}$ -analytic set are known to be semianalytic.  
 Next for a  $\mathbb{C}$ -analytic set  $X$  define a (6)

$\mathbb{C}$ -property a property  $P$  such that

$\{x \in X \mid P(x)\}$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -semianalytic set

(All these sets are semianalytic - we ask more)  
 For instance the following are  $P$ -properties

•  $P_k(x) = \dim_x X = k$

Several sets related to  $X$  are known to be semianalytic.  
Are they  $\mathbb{C}$ -semianalytic?

•  $N(x) = X$  is not coherent at the point  $x$

All these sets were known to be semianalytic. We only let us see something about the second one could globality

3. The set  $N(X)$  where  $X$  is not coherent

By Cartan criterion a point  $x_0 \in X$  where  $X$  is not coherent verifies the following

• Take  $\hat{X}_{x_0}$  the complexification of the germ  $X_{x_0}$

There are points  $y \in X$  arbitrarily close to  $x_0$  such that  $\hat{X}_y$  is not induced by  $\hat{X}_{x_0}$ .

This means that something that was real becomes complex (as real roots disappearing after a double point) and the dimension drops.

Think in Whitney umbrellas

$$x^2 - zy^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$$

$$x_0 = (0, 0, 0)$$

for  $z < 0$

or Cartan umbrellas  $x^3 - z(x^2 + y^2) = 0$ .  
 (the cone over the cubic)

But it is quite possible that the tail lies in some maximal dimension part of  $X$

so that  $\dim X_{z=0} = \dim X_y$  for  $y$  in the tail

$$z(x+y)(x^2+y^2) - x^4 = 0$$

again for  $z < 0$  there is a tail embedded in the 2 dimensional part.

Note that, since the tail is included in  $\text{Sing } X$  this cannot happen if  $X$  is normal, since normalization separates components and the tail is the intersection of two complex conj - components

Assume for a moment to have a  $\mathbb{C}$ -analytic set  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  such that all irred. comp. have the same dimension  $d$ . Take a <sup>universal</sup> complexification  $\tilde{X}$  in  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ . Then  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \tilde{X} = d = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} X$

Let  $Y$  be a normalization of  $\tilde{X}$  with the partition  $\sigma$  induced by the complex conj on  $\tilde{X}$ .

$\pi: X \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  is a proper map of Stein spaces. Now the inverse images of tails in  $X$  become

- tail of  $Y^\sigma$
- ~~some~~  $E = \pi^{-1}(X) \setminus Y^\sigma$

So the set  $N(X)$  where  $X$  is not coherent is as follows

$$C_1 = \pi^{-1}(X) \setminus Y^\sigma, \quad C_2 = Y^\sigma \setminus \overline{Y^\sigma \setminus \text{Sing } Y^\sigma}$$

$$A_i = \overline{C_i} \cap \overline{Y^\sigma \setminus \text{Sing } Y^\sigma}$$

then  $N(X) = \pi(A_1) \cup \pi(A_2)$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -semi-analytic by the direct image theorem.

The general case follows the same idea, but  $\textcircled{2}$   
it is more complicated.

- no need for  $\mathbb{C}$ -regularity.
- our class does not verify  
 $\ast \dim S = \dim \bar{S}^{\mathbb{Z}}$

~~To get the~~ For those  $\mathbb{C}$ -regular sets  
verifying  $\ast$  Ferrero developed ~~the~~ a  
theory of irreducible components very similar  
to the one he did for semialgebraic sets.