

Automorphism Groups of Minimal Subshifts of low complexity

Samuel Petite
with S. Donoso, F. Durand, A. Maass

LAMFA UMR CNRS
Université de Picardie Jules Verne, France

October 2, 2015

Definition

Let (X, T) be a topological dynamical system, X a topological space. An *automorphism* $\phi: X \rightarrow X$ is an homeomorphism s.t.

$$\phi \circ T = T \circ \phi.$$

$$\text{Aut}(X, T) = \{\phi \text{ automorphism of } (X, T)\}.$$

Definition

Let (X, T) be a topological dynamical system, X a topological space. An *automorphism* $\phi: X \rightarrow X$ is an homeomorphism s.t.

$$\phi \circ T = T \circ \phi.$$

$$\text{Aut}(X, T) = \{\phi \text{ automorphism of } (X, T)\}.$$

$$\langle T \rangle \subset Z(\text{Aut}(X, T)) \subset \text{Aut}(X, T)$$

Definition

Let (X, T) be a topological dynamical system, X a topological space. An *automorphism* $\phi: X \rightarrow X$ is an homeomorphism s.t.

$$\phi \circ T = T \circ \phi.$$

$$\text{Aut}(X, T) = \{\phi \text{ automorphism of } (X, T)\}.$$

$$\langle T \rangle \subset Z(\text{Aut}(X, T)) \subset \text{Aut}(X, T)$$

Q : What can we say on $\text{Aut}(X, T)$?

Basic topological notions

Let A be a finite alphabet.

$A^{\mathbb{Z}}$ endowed with the product topology.

The shift map

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma: A^{\mathbb{Z}} &\rightarrow A^{\mathbb{Z}} \\ (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} &\mapsto (x_{n+1})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\end{aligned}$$

For a closed set $X \subset A^{\mathbb{Z}}$, shift invariant ($\sigma(X) = X$), a **subshift** is the dynamical system $(X, \sigma|_X)$.

Theorem (Curtis-Hedlund-Lyndon)

Let ϕ be an automorphism of (X, σ)

There exists a local map $\hat{\phi}: A^{2r+1} \rightarrow A$ s.t.

$$\phi(x)_n = \hat{\phi}(x_{n-r} \cdots x_{n+r}) \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Theorem (Curtis-Hedlund-Lyndon)

Let ϕ be an automorphism of (X, σ)

There exists a local map $\hat{\phi}: A^{2r+1} \rightarrow A$ s.t.

$$\phi(x)_n = \hat{\phi}(x_{n-r} \cdots x_{n+r}) \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

e.g. $A = \{0, 1\}$, $\hat{\phi}$:

000	001	010	011	100	101	110	111
↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓
0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1

Theorem (Curtis-Hedlund-Lyndon)

Let ϕ be an automorphism of (X, σ)

There exists a local map $\hat{\phi}: A^{2r+1} \rightarrow A$ s.t.

$$\phi(x)_n = \hat{\phi}(x_{n-r} \cdots x_{n+r}) \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

e.g. $A = \{0, 1\}$, $\hat{\phi}$:

	000	001	010	011	100	101	110	111
	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓
	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1

$x = \dots 010011.1010101000111 \dots$

$$\phi(x) =$$

Theorem (Curtis-Hedlund-Lyndon)

Let ϕ be an automorphism of (X, σ)

There exists a local map $\hat{\phi}: A^{2r+1} \rightarrow A$ s.t.

$$\phi(x)_n = \hat{\phi}(x_{n-r} \cdots x_{n+r}) \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

e.g. $A = \{0, 1\}$, $\hat{\phi}$:

	000	001	010	011	100	101	110	111
	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓
	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
$x =$...01001	1.10	10101000111	...				
		↓						
$\phi(x) =$0						

Theorem (Curtis-Hedlund-Lyndon)

Let ϕ be an automorphism of (X, σ)

There exists a local map $\hat{\phi}: A^{2r+1} \rightarrow A$ s.t.

$$\phi(x)_n = \hat{\phi}(x_{n-r} \cdots x_{n+r}) \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

e.g. $A = \{0, 1\}$, $\hat{\phi}$:

	000	001	010	011	100	101	110	111
	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓
	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
$x =$...010011	.101	0101000111	...				
		↓						
$\phi(x) =$0	1						

Theorem (Curtis-Hedlund-Lyndon)

Let ϕ be an automorphism of (X, σ)

There exists a local map $\hat{\phi}: A^{2r+1} \rightarrow A$ s.t.

$$\phi(x)_n = \hat{\phi}(x_{n-r} \cdots x_{n+r}) \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

e.g. $A = \{0, 1\}$, $\hat{\phi}$:

	000	001	010	011	100	101	110	111
	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓
	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
$x =$...010011.1	010	101000111...					
			↓					
$\phi(x) =$01	0						

Theorem (Curtis-Hedlund-Lyndon)

Let ϕ be an automorphism of (X, σ)

There exists a local map $\hat{\phi}: A^{2r+1} \rightarrow A$ s.t.

$$\phi(x)_n = \hat{\phi}(x_{n-r} \cdots x_{n+r}) \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

e.g. $A = \{0, 1\}$, $\hat{\phi}$:

	000	001	010	011	100	101	110	111
	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓
	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1

$x = \dots 010011.10 \quad 101 \quad 01000111 \dots$

$\phi(x) = \dots \dots .010 \quad 1$

Theorem (Curtis-Hedlund-Lyndon)

Let ϕ be an automorphism of (X, σ)

There exists a local map $\hat{\phi}: A^{2r+1} \rightarrow A$ s.t.

$$\phi(x)_n = \hat{\phi}(x_{n-r} \cdots x_{n+r}) \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

e.g. $A = \{0, 1\}$, $\hat{\phi}$:

	000	001	010	011	100	101	110	111
	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓
	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
$x =$...	010011.101	010	1000111...				
			↓					
$\phi(x) =$0101	0					

Theorem (Curtis-Hedlund-Lyndon)

Let ϕ be an automorphism of (X, σ)

There exists a local map $\hat{\phi}: A^{2r+1} \rightarrow A$ s.t.

$$\phi(x)_n = \hat{\phi}(x_{n-r} \cdots x_{n+r}) \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

e.g. $A = \{0, 1\}$, $\hat{\phi}$:	000	001	010	011	100	101	110	111
	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓
	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
$x =$...010011.1010		101	000111...				
			↓					
$\phi(x) =$01010			1				

Theorem (Curtis-Hedlund-Lyndon)

Let ϕ be an automorphism of (X, σ)

There exists a local map $\hat{\phi}: A^{2r+1} \rightarrow A$ s.t.

$$\phi(x)_n = \hat{\phi}(x_{n-r} \cdots x_{n+r}) \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

e.g. $A = \{0, 1\}$, $\hat{\phi}$:

	000	001	010	011	100	101	110	111
	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓
	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
$x =$...010011.10101			010	00111...			
				↓				
$\phi(x) =$010101			0				

Theorem (Curtis-Hedlund-Lyndon)

Let ϕ be an automorphism of (X, σ)

There exists a local map $\hat{\phi}: A^{2r+1} \rightarrow A$ s.t.

$$\phi(x)_n = \hat{\phi}(x_{n-r} \cdots x_{n+r}) \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

e.g. $A = \{0, 1\}$, $\hat{\phi}$:

	000	001	010	011	100	101	110	111
	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓
	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
$x =$...	010011	.101010	100	00111	...		
				↓				
$\phi(x) =$0101010		0				

Theorem (Curtis-Hedlund-Lyndon)

Let ϕ be an automorphism of (X, σ)

There exists a local map $\hat{\phi}: A^{2r+1} \rightarrow A$ s.t.

$$\phi(x)_n = \hat{\phi}(x_{n-r} \cdots x_{n+r}) \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

e.g. $A = \{0, 1\}$, $\hat{\phi}$:

	000	001	010	011	100	101	110	111
	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓
	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1

$x = \dots 010011.1010101 \quad 000 \quad 0111 \dots$

$\phi(x) = \dots \dots .01010100 \quad 0$

Theorem (Curtis-Hedlund-Lyndon)

Let ϕ be an automorphism of (X, σ)

There exists a local map $\hat{\phi}: A^{2r+1} \rightarrow A$ s.t.

$$\phi(x)_n = \hat{\phi}(x_{n-r} \cdots x_{n+r}) \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

e.g. $A = \{0, 1\}$, $\hat{\phi}$:

000	001	010	011	100	101	110	111
↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓
0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1

$$x = \dots 010011.10101010000111 \dots$$

$$\phi(x) = \dots 0100111.0101010000111 \dots$$

Theorem (Curtis-Hedlund-Lyndon)

Let ϕ be an automorphism of (X, σ)

There exists a local map $\hat{\phi}: A^{2r+1} \rightarrow A$ s.t.

$$\phi(x)_n = \hat{\phi}(x_{n-r} \cdots x_{n+r}) \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

e.g. $A = \{0, 1\}$, $\hat{\phi}$:

	000	001	010	011	100	101	110	111
	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓
	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1

$x = \quad \dots 010011.10101010000111 \dots$

$$\phi(x) = \quad \dots 0100111.0101010000111 \dots = \sigma(x)$$

Theorem (Curtis-Hedlund-Lyndon)

Let ϕ be an automorphism of (X, σ)

There exists a local map $\hat{\phi}: A^{2r+1} \rightarrow A$ s.t.

$$\phi(x)_n = \hat{\phi}(x_{n-r} \cdots x_{n+r}) \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Corollary

$\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$ is countable.

$\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$ is a discrete subgroup of $\text{Homeo}(X)$ for the uniform convergence topology.

Main theorem

(X, σ) is **minimal** if any orbit is dense in X .

Main theorem

(X, σ) is **minimal** if any orbit is dense in X .

The **complexity** $p_X: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, $p_X(n) = \#$ words of length n in X .

Main theorem

(X, σ) is **minimal** if any orbit is dense in X .

The **complexity** $p_X: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, $p_X(n) = \#$ words of length n in X .

Theorem (DDMP)

Let (X, σ) be a minimal subshift. If

$$\liminf_n \frac{p_X(n)}{n} < +\infty,$$

then $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)/\langle \sigma \rangle$ is finite.

Theorem (DDMP)

Let (X, σ) be a minimal subshift. If

$$\liminf_n \frac{p_X(n)}{n} < +\infty,$$

then $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)/\langle \sigma \rangle$ is finite.

Example.

- Sturmian subshifts: $p_X(n) = n + 1$ for all n .

Theorem (DDMP)

Let (X, σ) be a minimal subshift. If

$$\liminf_n \frac{p_X(n)}{n} < +\infty,$$

then $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)/\langle \sigma \rangle$ is finite.

Example.

- Sturmian subshifts: $p_X(n) = n + 1$ for all n .
- Primitive substitutive subshifts:
minimal subshift (X, σ) with a clopen proper subset $U \subset X$
s.t. induced system (U, σ_U) is conjugate to (X, σ) .

Theorem (DDMP)

Let (X, σ) be a minimal subshift. If

$$\liminf_n \frac{p_X(n)}{n} < +\infty,$$

then $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)/\langle \sigma \rangle$ is finite.

Example.

- Sturmian subshifts: $p_X(n) = n + 1$ for all n .
- Primitive substitutive subshifts:
minimal subshift (X, σ) with a clopen proper subset $U \subset X$
s.t. induced system (U, σ_U) is conjugate to (X, σ) .
- Linearly recurrent subshift.

Theorem (DDMP)

Let (X, σ) be a minimal subshift. If

$$\liminf_n \frac{p_X(n)}{n} < +\infty,$$

then $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)/\langle \sigma \rangle$ is finite.

Example.

- Sturmian subshifts: $p_X(n) = n + 1$ for all n .
- Primitive substitutive subshifts:
minimal subshift (X, σ) with a clopen proper subset $U \subset X$
s.t. induced system (U, σ_U) is conjugate to (X, σ) .
- Linearly recurrent subshift.
- Coding of minimal Interval Exchange Transformations.

Theorem (DDMP)

Let (X, σ) be a minimal subshift. If

$$\liminf_n \frac{p_X(n)}{n} < +\infty,$$

then $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)/\langle \sigma \rangle$ is finite.

Example. Primitive substitutive subshifts:

Generalizes results of V. Salo-I. Törmä.

Similar result by V. Cyr-B. Kra

Theorem (DDMP)

Let (X, σ) be a minimal subshift. If

$$\liminf_n \frac{p_X(n)}{n} < +\infty,$$

then $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)/\langle \sigma \rangle$ is finite.

Example. This includes also

- Subshifts of polynomial complexity of arbitrarily high degree.
- Subshifts with subexponential complexity
 $p_X(n) \geq g(n)$ i.o. where $\lim_n g(n)/\alpha^n = 0$ for any $\alpha > 1$.

Previous results: in the measurable setting

Centralizer group: for a measurable dynamical system (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, T) ,

$$C(T) = \{\phi: X \rightarrow X; \text{ bi-measurable, } \phi_*\mu = \mu, \phi \circ T = T \circ \phi\}$$

Previous results: in the measurable setting

Centralizer group: for a measurable dynamical system (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, T) ,

$$C(T) = \{\phi: X \rightarrow X; \text{ bi-measurable, } \phi_*\mu = \mu, \phi \circ T = T \circ \phi\}$$

- D. Ornstein (72): mixing rank one system $C(T) = \langle T \rangle$.

Previous results: in the measurable setting

Centralizer group: for a measurable dynamical system (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, T) ,

$$C(T) = \{\phi: X \rightarrow X; \text{ bi-measurable, } \phi_*\mu = \mu, \phi \circ T = T \circ \phi\}$$

- D. Ornstein (72): mixing rank one system $C(T) = \langle T \rangle$.
- A. Del Junco (78): same is true for the Chacon subshift.

Previous results: in the measurable setting

Centralizer group: for a measurable dynamical system (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, T) ,

$$C(T) = \{\phi: X \rightarrow X; \text{ bi-measurable, } \phi_*\mu = \mu, \phi \circ T = T \circ \phi\}$$

- D. Ornstein (72): mixing rank one system $C(T) = \langle T \rangle$.
- A. Del Junco (78): same is true for the Chacon subshift.
- J. King, J.-P. Thouvenot (91): mixing system of finite rank

$C(T)/\langle T \rangle$ is finite.

From the measurable to the topological setting

For non-weakly mixing system:

- B. Host, F. Parreau (89): for a family of substitutive systems

$C(\sigma) = \text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$ and $C(\sigma)/\langle\sigma\rangle$ is finite.

For non-weakly mixing system:

- B. Host, F. Parreau (89): for a family of substitutive systems

$$C(\sigma) = \text{Aut}(X, \sigma) \text{ and } C(\sigma)/\langle\sigma\rangle \text{ is finite.}$$

- M. Lemańczyk, M. Mentzen (89): any finite group can be realized as $C(\sigma)/\langle\sigma\rangle$.

For Subshift of finite Type (SFT) the automorphisms group is big.

For Subshift of finite Type (SFT) the automorphisms group is big.

Hedlund (69), Boyle, Lind & Rudolph (88): Let (X, σ) be an uncountable SFT. Then $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$

- Contains the direct sum of every countable collection of finite group.

For Subshift of finite Type (SFT) the automorphisms group is big.

Hedlund (69), Boyle, Lind & Rudolph (88): Let (X, σ) be an uncountable SFT. Then $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$

- Contains the direct sum of every countable collection of finite group.
- Contains the free group on a countable number of generators.

For Subshift of finite Type (SFT) the automorphisms group is big.

Hedlund (69), Boyle, Lind & Rudolph (88): Let (X, σ) be an uncountable SFT. Then $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$

- Contains the direct sum of every countable collection of finite group.
- Contains the free group on a countable number of generators.

In this case:

$\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$ is not finitely generated, not amenable.

Zoologie, positive entropy

Hochman (2010): for (X, σ) positive entropy SFT, then $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$ contains every finite group.

Hochman (2010): for (X, σ) positive entropy SFT, then $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$ contains every finite group.

Proposition (DDMP)

There exists a minimal positive entropy subshift (X, σ) such that

$$\text{Aut}(X, \sigma) = \langle \sigma \rangle.$$

Hochman (2010): for (X, σ) positive entropy SFT, then $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$ contains every finite group.

Proposition (DDMP)

There exists a minimal positive entropy subshift (X, σ) such that

$$\text{Aut}(X, \sigma) = \langle \sigma \rangle.$$

Notice this example is not weakly-mixing.

Given by a Toeplitz sequence:

i.e. a subshift $\overline{\{\sigma^n(x) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}}$ s.t.

for any neighborhood U of x

$\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : \sigma^n(x) \in U\}$ contains a subgroup of \mathbb{Z} .

Lemma

Let (X, T) be a minimal aperiodic dynamical system. The action of $\text{Aut}(X, T)$ on X

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Aut}(X, T) \times X &\rightarrow X \\ (\phi, x) &\mapsto \phi(x), \end{aligned}$$

is free (the stabilizer of any point is trivial).

Lemma

Let (X, T) be a minimal aperiodic dynamical system. The action of $\text{Aut}(X, T)$ on X

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Aut}(X, T) \times X &\rightarrow X \\ (\phi, x) &\mapsto \phi(x), \end{aligned}$$

is free (the stabilizer of any point is trivial).

Proof. For any automorphism ϕ , the set

$$\{x; \phi(x) = x\}$$

is closed and T invariant.

Main Ideas

Two orbits $\text{Orb}_T^{(1)}$ and $\text{Orb}_T^{(2)}$ are **asymptotic**, if they contains asymptotic points, *i.e.*:

$\exists x \in \text{Orb}_T^{(1)}, y \in \text{Orb}_T^{(2)}$ s.t.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \text{dist}(T^n(x), T^n(y)) = 0.$$

Any infinite subshift admits asymptotic orbits.

Main Ideas

Two orbits $\text{Orb}_T^{(1)}$ and $\text{Orb}_T^{(2)}$ are **asymptotic**, if they contains asymptotic points, *i.e.*:

$\exists x \in \text{Orb}_T^{(1)}, y \in \text{Orb}_T^{(2)}$ s.t.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \text{dist}(T^n(x), T^n(y)) = 0.$$

Any infinite subshift admits asymptotic orbits.

- This defines an equivalence relation.

Main Ideas

Two orbits $\text{Orb}_T^{(1)}$ and $\text{Orb}_T^{(2)}$ are **asymptotic**, if they contains asymptotic points, *i.e.*:

$\exists x \in \text{Orb}_T^{(1)}, y \in \text{Orb}_T^{(2)}$ s.t.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \text{dist}(T^n(x), T^n(y)) = 0.$$

Any infinite subshift admits asymptotic orbits.

- This defines an equivalence relation.
- Any automorphism ϕ maps asymptotic orbits to asymptotic orbits.

Main Ideas

Two orbits $\text{Orb}_T^{(1)}$ and $\text{Orb}_T^{(2)}$ are **asymptotic**, if they contains asymptotic points, *i.e.*:

$\exists x \in \text{Orb}_T^{(1)}, y \in \text{Orb}_T^{(2)}$ s.t.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \text{dist}(T^n(x), T^n(y)) = 0.$$

Any infinite subshift admits asymptotic orbits.

- This defines an equivalence relation.
- Any automorphism ϕ maps asymptotic orbits to asymptotic orbits.
- Any automorphism ϕ induces a permutation on the collection of asymptotic class of orbits.

Corollary

For a minimal t.d.s. (X, T) , with two asymptotic orbits, we have

$$\{1\} \rightarrow \langle T \rangle \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X, T) \xrightarrow{j} \text{Per } \mathcal{O},$$

where :

- \mathcal{O} denote the collection of non trivial asymptotic class of orbits.
- $\text{Per } \mathcal{O}$ denotes the set of permutations on this set.

Corollary

For a minimal t.d.s. (X, T) , with two asymptotic orbits, we have

$$\{1\} \rightarrow \langle T \rangle \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X, T) \xrightarrow{j} \text{Per } \mathcal{O},$$

where :

- \mathcal{O} denote the collection of non trivial asymptotic class of orbits.
- $\text{Per } \mathcal{O}$ denotes the set of permutations on this set.

$j(\phi)$ has a fixed point $\Leftrightarrow \phi \in \langle T \rangle$

Corollary

For a minimal t.d.s. (X, T) , with two asymptotic orbits, we have

$$\{1\} \rightarrow \langle T \rangle \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X, T) \xrightarrow{j} \text{Per } \mathcal{O},$$

where :

- \mathcal{O} denote the collection of non trivial asymptotic class of orbits.
- $\text{Per } \mathcal{O}$ denotes the set of permutations on this set.

If $\#\mathcal{O} = 1$, then $\text{Aut}(X, T) = \langle T \rangle$.

e.g. for Sturmian sequences

Corollary

For a minimal t.d.s. (X, T) , with two asymptotic orbits, we have

$$\{1\} \rightarrow \langle T \rangle \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X, T) \xrightarrow{j} \text{Per } \mathcal{O},$$

where :

- \mathcal{O} denote the collection of non trivial asymptotic class of orbits.
- $\text{Per } \mathcal{O}$ denotes the set of permutations on this set.

If $\#\mathcal{O} < +\infty$, then $\#\text{Aut}(X, T)/\langle T \rangle$ divides $\#\mathcal{O}$.

Proposition

*Let (X, σ) be a subshift with $\liminf_n p_X(n)/n < \infty$.
Then there is a finite number of asymptotic pair, i.e.*

$$\#\mathcal{O} < +\infty.$$

In the same way: $x, y \in X$ are **proximal** if

$$\liminf_n \text{dist}(T^n x, T^n y) = 0.$$

$\phi \in \text{Aut}(X, T)$ maps proximal points to proximal points.

Commutator in a group G : $[g, h] = ghg^{-1}h^{-1}$

$$G_0 = G, \quad G_j = [G_{j-1}, G] = \langle [a, b]; a \in G_{j-1}, b \in G \rangle.$$

Commutator in a group G : $[g, h] = ghg^{-1}h^{-1}$

$$G_0 = G, \quad G_j = [G_{j-1}, G] = \langle [a, b]; a \in G_{j-1}, b \in G \rangle.$$

A group G is d -step nilpotent if $G_d = \{e\}$.

Example. If $d = 1$, G is Abelian.

G a d -step nilpotent Lie group. $\Gamma \subset G$ a lattice.
Any minimal translation L_g in G/Γ is a **nil translation**.

G a d -step nilpotent Lie group. $\Gamma \subset G$ a lattice.
Any minimal translation L_g in G/Γ is a **nil translation**.

Theorem (DDMP)

If $\pi: (X, T) \rightarrow \varprojlim_i (G_i/\Gamma_i, L_{g_i})$ is a proximal extension of an inverse limit of minimal d -nil translation, then $\text{Aut}(X, T)$ is a d -step nilpotent group. Moreover, $\hat{\pi}: \text{Aut}(X, T) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\varprojlim_i (G_i/\Gamma_i, L_{g_i}))$ is injective.

Theorem (DDMP)

If (X, T) is a minimal proximal extension of its maximal non trivial d -step nilfactor (X_d, T_d) . Then $\text{Aut}(X, T)$ embeds into $\text{Aut}(X_d, T_d)$, and $\text{Aut}(X, T)$ is a d -step nilpotent group.

Example. Toeplitz subshifts are proximal extension of their maximal equicontinuous factor ($d = 1$).

Their automorphism group is Abelian.

Question

Given a countable group G . Does it exist a minimal subshift such that $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)/\langle \sigma \rangle$ is isomorphic to G ?

Question

Given a countable group G . Does it exist a minimal subshift such that $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma) / \langle \sigma \rangle$ is isomorphic to G ?

True for G : finite, \mathbb{Z}^d

Salo: example $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$ is Abelian not finitely generated

Question

Given a countable group G . Does it exist a minimal subshift such that $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma) / \langle \sigma \rangle$ is isomorphic to G ?

True for G : finite, \mathbb{Z}^d

Salo: example $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$ is Abelian not finitely generated

Question

Relation between growth rate of $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$ and the complexity ?

Question

Given a countable group G . Does it exist a minimal subshift such that $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)/\langle \sigma \rangle$ is isomorphic to G ?

True for G : finite, \mathbb{Z}^d

Salo: example $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$ is Abelian not finitely generated

Question

Relation between growth rate of $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$ and the complexity ?

Cyr and Kra: if $p_X(n)/n^2 \rightarrow 0$ then $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)/\langle \sigma \rangle$ is periodic.