## Motion correction for medical imaging

## Camille Pouchol

CIAM, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm

The mathematics of imaging winter school, CIRM, Marseille, 7-11 January 2019



イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

Assume we know how to "invert" some linear ill-posed inverse problem  $Af_0 = g_0$  (actually,  $g_0$  is a Poisson or Gaussian with mean  $Af_0$ ), by solving something like

 $\arg\min_{f_0} d(Af_0, g_0)(+R(f_0)).$ 

In Positron Emission Tomography (PET), A is the so-called *system matrix*,  $g_0$  is the data we have,  $f_0$  is the image we are looking for.

<ロト <回ト < 回ト < 回ト = 三日

Assume we know how to "invert" some linear ill-posed inverse problem  $Af_0 = g_0$  (actually,  $g_0$  is a Poisson or Gaussian with mean  $Af_0$ ), by solving something like

$$\arg\min_{f_0} d(Af_0, g_0)(+R(f_0)).$$

In Positron Emission Tomography (PET), A is the so-called *system matrix*,  $g_0$  is the data we have,  $f_0$  is the image we are looking for.

Problem: what if the organ is moving? (lungs, heart)

The problem becomes Af(t) = g(t), and we want to recover, say, f(0).

< ロト < 部 > < 注 > < 注 > < 注</p>

In practice, possibility to group phases together. Example: PET imaging for lungs, 15 to 20 minutes.

For simplicity, assuming two gates (like inhale and exhale positions):

Two images  $f_0$  and  $f_1$ , and you observe  $g_0$  and  $g_1$ , (a stochastic version of)  $Af_0$  and  $Af_1$ .

Goal: recover  $f_0$ .

Final step of modelling:  $f_1$  and  $f_0$  are linked by a transformation, some **unknown** diffeomorphism  $\varphi$  such that  $f_1 = \varphi \circ f_0$ .

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト 二日

In practice, possibility to group phases together. Example: PET imaging for lungs, 15 to 20 minutes.

For simplicity, assuming two gates (like inhale and exhale positions):

Two images  $f_0$  and  $f_1$ , and you observe  $g_0$  and  $g_1$ , (a stochastic version of)  $Af_0$  and  $Af_1$ . Goal: recover  $f_0$ .

Final step of modelling:  $f_1$  and  $f_0$  are linked by a transformation, some **unknown** diffeomorphism  $\varphi$  such that  $f_1 = \varphi \circ f_0$ .

$$\arg\min_{f_0,\varphi} \ d(Af_0,g_0) + d(Af_1,g_1)(+R(f_0) + R(f_1) + R(\varphi)).$$

Difficulty: requires recovering both  $f_0$ , this is *reconstruction* AND  $\varphi$ , this is *motion correction*.

Idea: solve the optimisation problem by alternatively trying to find  $f_0$  (reconstruction), then  $\varphi$  (motion estimation), each phase improving each other. By gradient descent?

Problems:

- ◊ unfeasible in practice, matrix A is huge and only a few iterations are allowed,
- $\diamond\,$  if only a few iterations, terrible results,
- ◊ searching among diffeomorphisms can also be terribly cumbersome.

《口》 《國》 《臣》 《臣》

Idea: solve the optimisation problem by alternatively trying to find  $f_0$  (reconstruction), then  $\varphi$  (motion estimation), each phase improving each other. By gradient descent?

Problems:

- ◊ unfeasible in practice, matrix A is huge and only a few iterations are allowed,
- $\diamond\,$  if only a few iterations, terrible results,
- ◊ searching among diffeomorphisms can also be terribly cumbersome.

We are trying

- $\diamond~$  (reconstruction) to use expectation maximisation (ML-EM) so that even a few iterations yield coherent results,
- (motion correction) to learn the diffeomorphisms: direct or indirect matching by parametrising with neural networks.